

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 1984

SESSION OF 1984

168TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 20

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.s.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Almighty Father, into Thy hands we commend our spirits; our minds to know Thee, our hearts to love Thee, our wills to serve Thee, for we are Thine.

Blessed Lord, who hast taught us that Thou art the way, the truth, and the life, receive us and draw us after Thee, that we may follow Thy steps. Enlighten and guide us, lest the sins and errors of life overwhelm us. Abide in us and quicken us by the power of Thy indwelling. O gracious Spirit, who dost will peace and purpose loving-kindness and who dost create good and destroy evil, take us and fashion us after Thine image. Let Thy comfort strengthen, Thy grace renew, and Thy fire cleanse us. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, March 19, 1984, will be postponed until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1997 By Representatives ITKIN, McVERRY, SHOWERS, CALTAGIRONE, KUKOVICH, PRESTON, BELFANTI, OLIVER, MACKOWSKI, COY, HERMAN, PETRONE, COHEN, RAPPAPORT, D. R. WRIGHT, DUFFY, MRKONIC, LUCYK, DeLUCA, COLAFELLA, BELOFF, TRELLO and PETRARCA

An Act amending the "Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly Act," approved November 4, 1983 (P. L. 217, No. 63), further providing for program criteria.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, March 20, 1984.

No. 1998 By Representatives PERZEL, KOSINSKI, REBER and JOHNSON

An Act amending the "Unemployment Compensation Law," approved December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp. Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), eliminating disability benefits from the deduction for periodic payments.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, March 20, 1984.

No. 1999 By Representatives DAWIDA, SWEET, DALEY, VAN HORNE, BOYES, HARPER, NAHILL, GEIST, PISTELLA, COWELL and PRESTON

An Act providing for the disposal of unclaimed garments.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, March 20, 1984.

No. 2000 By Representatives LETTERMAN, WOZNIAK, BOWSER, MERRY, HALUSKA, PUNT, OLASZ, TRELLO, PRATT, KENNEDY and PETRONE

An Act amending the "State Highway Law," approved June 1, 1945 (P. L. 1242, No. 428), eliminating the requirement that bidders on highway projects be prequalified by the department.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, March 20, 1984.

No. 2001 By Representatives WILSON, J. L. WRIGHT, BUNT, BELOFF, KOSINSKI, HALUSKA, BOOK, PETRONE, PETRARCA, BURNS, DeLUCA, PISTELLA, CIVERA, POTT, D. R. WRIGHT, GEIST, MILLER, CESSAR, REINARD, CIMINI, OLASZ, GANNON, LEHR, B. SMITH, DURHAM, ARTY, HERMAN, COWELL, STEVENS, SEMMEL, GRIECO, HAGARTY, LUCYK, D. W. SNYDER, MERRY, GREENWOOD, DONATUCCI, FREEMAN and CLYMER

An Act amending the "Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly Act," approved November 4, 1983 (P. L. 217, No. 63), further defining "maximum annual income."

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, March 20, 1984.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 952, PN 1695

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, March 20, 1984.

ACTUARIAL NOTE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker has in hand and acknowledges receipt of the actuarial note for HB 98, PN 2119.

(Copy of actuarial note is on file with the Journal clerk.)

LEAVES OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker now turns to leaves of absence.

Does the minority leader have any known leaves of absence? The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I request leave for the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. FREIND, for the day; and the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. STEVENS, for the day.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the leaves will be granted. The Chair hears no objection.

Will the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Gallagher, check the leader's desk on the Democratic side and see if there are any noted leaves of absence?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, I request leave of absence for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. RAPPAPORT, for today; and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'DONNELL, for today only.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Without objection, the leaves are granted. The Chair hears no objection.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for today. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—193

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.

Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Telek
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Herman	Noye	Trello
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Truman
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Van Horne
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwilk
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton	Robbins	

ADDITIONS—1

Saloom

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 201, PN 1802**, entitled:

An Act providing for safe drinking water; imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Resources in relation thereto; and appropriating certain funds.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 201, PN 1802, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 985, PN 1138**, entitled:

An Act repealing section 4(c) of the "Senior Citizens Rebate and Assistance Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), relating to rent rebates to tenants of tax exempt property.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 985, PN 1138, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1587, PN 2641.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1613, PN 2018**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), excluding tax on retail sales of insulating materials.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1613, PN 2018, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1746, PN 2633**, entitled:

An Act concerning a credit against the Pennsylvania income tax for crop contributions or livestock contributions, or both, to charitable organizations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1746, PN 2633, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1757, PN 2254**, entitled:

An Act amending "The General County Assessment Law," approved May 22, 1933 (P. L. 853, No. 155), excluding ground-water heat pumps in determining the value of residential real estate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1757, PN 2254, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1759, PN 2256**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), excluding resident groundwater heat pumps from the sales tax.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1759, PN 2256, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1761, PN 2258**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Fourth to Eighth Class County Assessment Law," approved May 21, 1943 (P. L. 571, No. 254), excluding groundwater heat pumps in determining the value of residential real estate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1761, PN 2258, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1794, PN 2642**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for the family farm corporation exemption from capital stock tax.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1794, PN 2642, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1823, PN 2374**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Fiscal Code," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 343, No. 176), further providing for payment of interest on certain Commonwealth purchases.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1823, PN 2374, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1139, PN 1706**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 343, No. 176), entitled "The Fiscal Code," providing additional powers for the investment of moneys; providing for the issuance and refinancing of tax anticipation notes; and further providing for the temporary financing and refinancing of capital projects prior to the sale of bonds.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 1139, PN 1706, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 640, PN 2632**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 12, 1971 (P. L. 313, No. 78), entitled "An act providing for elimination of discriminatory provisions relating to compensation for services and treatment under sickness and accident insurance contracts and providing for nondiscriminatory reimbursement of sickness and bodily injury claims thereunder," providing for the inclusion of non-profit health insurance plans.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 640, PN 2632, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 705, PN 1529.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom's name will be added to the master roll call.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House students from the Shippensburg Area Junior High School with their teachers, Mr. Hartz, Mr. Costanza, and Mr. Morgan. They are guests of Representatives Coy and Noye.

Representative Cimini and Representative Grieco have as their guests here today Mr. and Mrs. Carmine Cillo and their son, Jason. They are from Williamsport, Pennsylvania.

RAINBOW CONNECTION PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The House of Representatives is privileged today to have as its guests a group of young students from the Pennsylvania School for the Deaf. They are known as the Rainbow Connection, and they are going to perform a series of musical numbers in sign language for the House. They are Sharon McCaleb, Gus Interante, Jeff Maher, Crystal Henry, Cedric Bivins, Lonnie Perry, Cindy Van Horn, and Eileen Smith, and they are here accompanied by Michael Kirby and Marcia Volpe, who is the principal of the Upper School. Please welcome the children to the hall of the House.

FILMING PERMISSION GRANTED

The SPEAKER. Permission has been granted for still photos and television photos to be taken, particularly to Mike Ross, as long as he does not take any of the Speaker.

You may now proceed.

(A musical program was presented in American Sign Language.)

The SPEAKER. I congratulate formally the children, and I remind all of us in the hall of this House that the Lord God has ways of teaching us, and I think maybe some of us may have learned a lesson today.

Congratulations to the children. Thank you very much for coming. Please let them know how much we enjoyed the performance and how much we admire their courage and their spirit. Thank you again.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House, as guests of the Representatives from Beaver County, Shirley Powell, Betty Coleman, Mary Hendel, and Jane Dillen.

We have, as guests of Representative Dorr, members of the AARP (American Association of Retired Persons) group from Hanover, Pennsylvania.

**BILLS AGREED TO ON
SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 884, PN 1423; SB 885, PN 1424; SB 886, PN 1425; SB 887, PN 1426; SB 892, PN 1431; SB 899, PN 1438; and HB 1463, PN 1776.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1753, PN 2272**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for certain vehicle owners to pay a processing fee in lieu of a registration fee.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1753, PN 2272, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

STATEMENT BY MR. MACKOWSKI

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McKean, Mr. Mackowski. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MACKOWSKI. I rise to ask for unanimous consent. I would like to read a letter into the record that I received from the borough manager of Kane, Pennsylvania, which is in the Seneca Highlands district, enjoying a great deal of tourist trade, and I want to correct something that was said in the House last week by reading this letter, if I may.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, under unanimous consent, the gentleman, Mr. Mackowski. The Chair hears no objection. The gentleman has the floor and may proceed.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The letter I have received from the borough of Kane, addressed to me, simply says this:

March 16, 1984

Representative William Mackowski
Box 62
House of Representatives
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

The Honorable William Mackowski:

Dear Honorable Mackowski:

It has come to my attention that during debate in the House of Representatives this week on proposed oil and gas drilling regulation it was stated that due to

pollution from oil and gas drilling "you can't get a good glass of water in Kane, Pa."

We cannot let such a statement go un rebutted. The fact is nothing could be farther from the truth.

For over a century Kane has enjoyed a reputation as a health haven, well known for the quality of both its air and water. There is simply no justification for any claim that the quality of the water has been impaired by oil and gas drilling.

The best proof, of course, is in the tasting and we eagerly invite you and your colleagues to stop in for a drink!

Sincerely,
Bruce E. Fosselman
Borough Manager

BEF:kj

CC: Ray Demi, Western Pa. Water Co.
John Cleland, Solicitor Kane Borough

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's statement and the letter will be placed in the record.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

STATEMENT BY MR. LETTERMAN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Permission to respond?

The SPEAKER. Under unanimous consent, permission is granted. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I think if they would look at the record, it did not say in Kane, Pennsylvania; it said around Kane, Pennsylvania. Last night, at one of the dinners we were at, one of the people we were talking to made the statement, no, water in Kane is pretty good, but I live 4 miles out, and I have to use a filter, and it is all red when I drink it. That is just an indication that there is bad water up around there. You had better get the mayor. He might be right about in Kane, Pennsylvania, but let us find out about around Kane, Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Have we finished with the water issue temporarily?

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Not entirely, sir.

The SPEAKER. No. I thought we would not be.

Without objection, unanimous consent to Mr. Mackowski is granted.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Kane, Pennsylvania, happens to be in my district, and I am well aware of the conditions of the water around there, and that is why I hasten to defend that particular area - not only the borough but the area. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair would suggest that all of us in this General Assembly had better be thinking of the possibility of addressing an increasingly serious problem about the drinking water of our people, whether it be in Kane, whether it be in Pittsburgh, whether it be in McKeesport, or wherever. We are eventually going to face a very serious and possibly a very expensive condition, and we had better start thinking about what we are going to do about it.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1888, PN 2467.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1921, PN 2519**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the registration and regulation of certain off-road vehicles including but not limited to all-terrain vehicles and trail bikes; and imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Resources.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1921, PN 2519, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 6, PN 6; and SB 73, PN 1803.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 588, PN 2636**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 18, 1974 (P. L. 359, No. 120), referred to as the "Municipal Police Education and Training Law," including first class cities within the act; and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 588, PN 2636, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1535, PN 2585**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 2, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1860, No. 586), entitled "An act encouraging landowners to make land and water areas available to the public for recreational purposes by limiting liability in connection therewith, and repealing certain acts," further providing for the definitions of "owner" and "recreational purpose."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Rudy.

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to the merits of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may proceed.

Mrs. RUDY. I would like to urge my colleagues to support HB 1535, which strengthens Act 586 of 1966, which limited private landowners' liability for public recreational purposes. This act is strengthened by adding snowmobiling to the definition of "recreational purposes" and adds publicly owned land of the Commonwealth and its agencies, boards, and commissions to the act. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. May I interrogate the maker of the amendment, please?

The SPEAKER. The lady, Mrs. Rudy, indicates she will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Wass, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, if you would, would you be kind enough to expand on the private land versus public lands regarding this legislation?

Mrs. RUDY. Okay. The bill expands to include lands that are owned by the Commonwealth. Presently, Act 586 of 1966 includes private lands that are used for recreational purposes, so this just strengthens the present act to include publicly owned lands, as by the Commonwealth.

Mr. WASS. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Greenwood.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate the prime sponsor, please.

The SPEAKER. The lady indicates she will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Greenwood, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Thank you.

I am not sure I am clear on the effect of this bill. Will this bill for the first time permit snowmobiles to be operated in State parks?

Mrs. RUDY. Well, under "recreational purposes" there is a broad definition for recreational purposes, but snowmobiles are not spelled out under the present act, so this just adds the term "snowmobiles." As far as being used in State parks, I imagine it would be the same as what it is presently. I mean, it would not change anything as far as the limitations. It would actually probably expand the use of snowmobiles in State parks because of the fact that the Commonwealth would now be included in this legislation.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Well, if I understand the bill, I am going to vote against it and I am going to ask my colleagues in the House to vote against it, too. I am not sure that what we really want to be doing is taking the State parks that we have paid for with public money. Most people use them in a much more passive way than snowmobiling, and I for one would not appreciate having a winter walk in a park disturbed by snowmobiles, so I am going to ask for a negative vote, unless the sponsor can demonstrate that that would not be the effect of this piece of legislation.

Mrs. RUDY. Well, Mr. Speaker, you must realize that besides snowmobiling, it would limit the liability of the Commonwealth for anything else that would go on in those public parks, too - any land that would be owned by the Commonwealth, its boards, or commissions. So it does not just deal with snowmobiling specifically.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Well, Mr. Speaker, that is why I am confused, because if it is a matter of limiting the Commonwealth's liability, that is okay with me, but I am disturbed if this makes it easier to have snowmobiles roaring through State parks.

Mrs. RUDY. Well, Mr. Speaker, personally I do not think you would see an expansion of snowmobile trails over what are actually in use right now. I cannot see this bill mushrooming snowmobile trails throughout the Commonwealth.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, on final passage.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, just one other question relative to the same subject.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman wish to interrogate the lady?

Mr. DAVIES. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The lady indicates she will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Davies, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, in a rather unique situation which involves the land surrounding a lake, the lake is under control of the United States Corps of Engineers and the Federal Government administrates the lake itself. While the lands are controlled by two commissions of the Commonwealth and there are several propagation areas for wildlife in

the area, can this piece of legislation open that area to snowmobiles, even though it is now restricted from such use?

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, I think perhaps there is a misunderstanding of the bill. I do not perceive this bill as opening and mushrooming snowmobile trails throughout the State. Actually, what the bill is supposed to do is protect landowners, mainly farmers, who might permit their ground to be used for snowmobiling. That is why the term "snowmobiling" was added to the bill, and it is also to protect the Commonwealth for other purposes as far as recreational purposes are concerned.

The SPEAKER. Will the lady and gentleman yield?

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is glad to welcome to the hall of the House before he disappears Dr. Pancoast. Sieb Pancoast, who served so honorably well for so many years, is in the hall of the House. Please welcome him.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1535 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes for interrupting Mr. Davies. The gentleman may now proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My concern is not so much the extension of the utilization of the snowmobile in areas where it is acceptable; my only concern was that it does not in any manner, shape, or form interfere with the propagation of our wildlife or the sanctuaries for that wildlife that are existing now under the circumstances which I addressed in my particular district. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini, on final passage.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask a few questions. The first question is, would a snowmobiler who encountered a rope which was stretched across a road in a State park and the rope happened to hit him in the throat or head be able to sue the State for those damages if he were to survive?

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, at this time I do not believe I am qualified to answer that. All I know is that, of course, this bill deals with publicly owned land of the Commonwealth and its agencies, boards, and commissions, which would include State game lands managed by the State Game Commission.

Mr. SERAFINI. My next question, Mr. Speaker, would be, would this open lands which are currently for sale by the Department of General Services, owned by the State, which surround facilities such as, in my district, the Clarks Summit State Hospital and the Farview State correctional facility? Would those lands then be available for recreational use based upon the ability of the State now to encounter those situations with this bill?

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, I think if the community would want those lands for recreational purposes or the State would want those lands for recreational purposes, they could be

opened up for recreational purposes. They probably could be opened up for recreational purposes anyway, even if this bill was not enacted.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, my final statement would be that based upon those questions, I would presume that the liability which the State would eliminate itself from could become a dramatic danger to those individuals who use those facilities for recreation. It would let haphazard closing of roads and the haphazard barriers—

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman finished with his interrogation?

Mr. SERAFINI. Yes.

The SPEAKER. All right. The lady then does not have to stand for further interrogation. The gentleman is recognized on final passage.

Mr. SERAFINI. That would perhaps allow the haphazard closing of roads and the ability of those individuals who are required to maintain that State park as a safe facility then to not concern themselves with that particular job the way they should.

The other point is that if lands were made available for recreational purposes around the Clarks Summit State Hospital and Farview correctional facility, dangers and abuse to those individuals who are not only incarcerated in those facilities but seeking medical attention in those facilities would be impugned.

I respectfully request a negative vote on this legislation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For the information of the members, as to the reference to snowmobiling, it has always been my understanding that the Department of Environmental Resources with our State parks has always kept it to the discretion of the State park superintendents on where to allow snowmobiling, what trails, and when. And when I say "when," I mean on what amount of snow has fallen so that it would not be harmful to the environmental impact of the State parks, Mr. Speaker. So the discretion on snowmobiling has really been up to the State park superintendents. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach, on final passage.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There seems to be some confusion about the bill. I hope I will not add to that confusion.

Truthfully, the act we are amending is an act encouraging landowners to make land and water areas available for public recreational purposes. There are a number of landowners, private landowners, who would like to make their land available for snowmobiling purposes but presently are hesitant to do so because, when the previous act was passed, the legislature did not enumerate snowmobiling as one of the recreational purposes for which liability would be limited. All this bill does is add snowmobiling as one of those purposes.

The second part of the bill simply extends the limited-liability provision to publicly owned lands should the Commonwealth, a county, a city, or any other municipality wish to allow certain types of recreation on its lands. Whether or not that recreation occurs is up to the municipality or the governmental unit to determine that it should be allowed.

If you will recall, one of the great problems that the administration has voiced from time to time is the fact that the State is facing increasing liability costs across the board, be it in the motor vehicles or be it in recreational purposes. This bill makes a distinctive attempt to limit that liability without limiting the public's right to participate in certain kinds of activities for which the State has opened its land.

I would urge support of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, there seems to be quite a bit of controversy over this legislation, so at this time I would like to move to recommit HB 1535, PN 2585, to the Committee on Conservation.

The SPEAKER. It has been moved by the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom, that HB 1535, PN 2585, be recommitted to the Committee on Conservation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

MOTION WITHDRAWN

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw my motion.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. On final passage, the Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, there is some confusion about the effect of the legislation. I think the confusion is born in the fact that the bill really refers to another act and amends another act, and the other act is not present here in print. I have an agreement with Mr. Saloom and some others who had originally thought they wanted to recommit that if we go over this bill for today and we have a chance to make sure that it does not do some untoward things that some people think that it is going to do, that we may run it tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker, I would move that this bill go over for today.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

DECISION OF CHAIR RESCINDED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair rescinds its announcement that the bill has been agreed to on third consideration and is on final passage. The Chair hears no objection.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, HB 1535, PN 2585, will go over for today's session. The Chair hears no objection.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House, as guests of Representative Jackson and Representative Moehlmann, the Reverend Dr. John E. Moyer, who is the presiding bishop of the Evangelical Congregational Church. The bishop is here with 38 pastors and their wives from the Evangelical Congregational Church. Thank you for being here.

We also have distinguished guests from Europe here. They are two young politicians, Hans-Jurgen Zahorka, who is a candidate for the European Parliament, and Heiner Wehn. They are here with their legal counsel, William Sloane. They are here from West Germany, and they are officers of the American-European Community Youth Association. Our German visitors are here naturally as the guests of that Deutsche gentleman from Baericks Kounty, Herr Hefty Fryer.

Now that you see what you might be letting yourselves in for, maybe you will change your mind.

The gentleman, Mr. Wozniak, advises the Chair he has constituents here from his district, the Greater Johnstown area. They are here in the hall of the House. Welcome to the hall of the House.

RESOLUTION PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. HR 184, PN 2600. The Chair recognizes the minority whip on the resolution.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The minority leader has just had to leave the floor for a moment or two. He did have some comments he would like to offer with regard to this resolution. Would it be in order to hold the resolution until the gentleman returns?

The SPEAKER. We of course will honor the request of the gentleman, Mr. Hayes. We will pass over temporarily HR 184.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce a Rules Committee meeting in my office immediately upon the declaration of the recess.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky, for the purpose of announcing a meeting of the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a meeting of the Appropriations Committee immediately upon the call of the recess in the majority caucus room. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Representatives from Beaver County have two additional visitors, Dale Laughner and George Verlihy. We welcome them to the hall of the House - Dale Laughner and George Verlihy.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House stands in recess until 1:30 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

- HB 403;
- HB 1799;
- HB 1910; and
- SB 750.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

SENATE MESSAGE

**HOUSE AMENDMENTS
NONCONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has nonconcurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 503, PN 1680**, and has appointed Senators CORMAN, SHUMAKER and STOUT a committee of conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (already appointed) on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

SENATE MESSAGE

**ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION
FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate, March 19, 1984

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, March 26, 1984 unless sooner recalled by the President Pro

Tempore, and when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, March 26, 1984 unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
Resolution was concurred in.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1887, PN 2466**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 30 (Fish) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for free fishing days.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Afflerbach	Fargo	Linton	Rybak
Alderette	Fattah	Livengood	Saloom
Angstadt	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Scheetz
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, D. R.

Deal	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	
Dorr	Levi	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Ryan	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—1

Lloyd

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Gamble	Olasz	Rudy
Carn	Haluska	Pistella	Wiggins
Deluca	McVerry	Preston	Wilson
Evans	Maiale		

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 817, PN 914 By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act providing for the collection and maintenance of oral histories of the General Assembly and its proceedings, and of legislative documents and records not in the State Archives; providing further duties for the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission; and making an appropriation.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1157, PN 2269 By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the "State Capitol Preservation Act," approved December 20, 1982 (P. L. 1442, No. 327), providing for State appropriations and the status of the committee as an independent agency.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1476, PN 2691 (Amended)

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending "The Local Tax Enabling Act," approved December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257, No. 511), prohibiting the levying of tax on amusement devices, on admission to public golf courses and on admission to ski facilities.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1817, PN 2692 (Amended)

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending "The Permanent Registration Act for Cities of the Second Class, Cities of the Second Class A, Cities of the Third Class, Boroughs, Towns, and Townships," approved April 29, 1937 (P. L. 487, No. 115), requiring the Secretary of the Commonwealth to supply official registration application forms to State committees of political parties.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1850, PN 2413 By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Cancer Control, Prevention and Research Act," approved December 18, 1980 (P. L. 1241, No. 224), extending the expiration date of the act.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 408, PN 1747 By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1972 (P. L. 1280, No. 284), entitled "Pennsylvania Securities Act of 1972," reducing the waiting period prior to offering certain investments; exempting certain registered promoters; limiting the forfeit of certain mutual fund fees; reducing the time period for certain registration statements to be on file with the commission; further providing for the effectiveness of certain registration statements and amendments thereto; eliminating certain withdrawals for mutual funds; and further providing for fees to be assessed by the Pennsylvania Securities Commission.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 525, PN 1815 (Amended)

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the act of October 10, 1975 (P. L. 383, No. 110), entitled "Physical Therapy Practice Act," providing for the certification of athletic trainers by the State Board of Physical Therapy Examiners; creating an Athletic Trainer Advisory Committee; authorizing the setting of educational standards, certification standards and fees, for the renewal, revocation and suspension of certifications; further providing standards for the practice of physical therapy; making an appropriation; and providing penalties for violations of the act.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 639, PN 1154 By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, changing a definition; and further providing for creditable nonstate service.

APPROPRIATIONS.

BILL AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 639, PN 1154.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 1370, PN 1648 By Rep. PISTELLA

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further defining the term "compensation" for personal income tax purposes.

FINANCE.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Rudy.

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1887 I was out of my seat when the vote was taken. If I would have been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I likewise was out of my seat on HB 1887, and I would like to be voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 198, PN 2678

By Rep. MANDERINO

Establishing a select committee to investigate compliance and the effectiveness of procedures used to determine compliance with the act of March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act.

RULES.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House today a group of senior citizens. They are here seated at the rear of the hall of the House and they are here as the guests of Representative Angstadt. Please welcome them to the hall of the House. Welcome.

Representative Pistella and all the other members of the Allegheny County delegation have invited as their guests Ceci Sommers, who is station manager of WQED-FM, and Cheryl Towers, who is the executive director of the Pittsburgh Center for the Arts. Both are on the board of Citizens for the Arts in Pennsylvania. Welcome to the hall of the House.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman, who submits the following remarks for the record. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. LETTERMAN submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

June 2 has been tentatively set as the first Pennsylvania Fish For Free Day. The 2d was chosen to coincide with National Fishing Week (June 4-10) and also come at a time that participants who attend any of our seminar sites are almost guaranteed of catching panfish.

We have identified 43 sites around the State that would lend themselves to Commission-sponsored fishing schools. Each site was chosen based on its proximity to population centers, shoreline access, parking, safety and species composition of the lake, with a large population of panfish receiving the highest priority.

On the actual date of Pennsylvania Fish For Free Day, the Commission, with the cooperation of organizations such as TU, B.A.S.S., and local sportsmen's clubs, will conduct fishing seminars at each site and provide as much one-on-one hands-on instruction as possible.

The Commission also plans to produce 10,000 panfish packets for distribution at the seminar sites. Each panfish packet will contain a bobber, leader, panfish jig and various pieces of literature that will provide the novice angler with information on how to catch, cook and clean various species of fish. The panfish

packets are being put together from donations from national tackle manufacturers and will be given away free.

The Commission plans to recruit as many celebrities as possible for participation at the various sites. We also feel it would be an excellent opportunity for our State lawmakers to become involved.

The Commission will make as much loaner equipment as possible available at each site. The loaner equipment will come from rods and reels that were confiscated from violators over the past several years and from donations from the national tackle manufacturers. I should add that tackle manufacturers have shown extreme interest in Pennsylvania Fish For Free Day and are cooperating at the State and national level.

The Commission plans to advertise the day in a number of ways which will include Commission-produced storefront posters (20,000), radio and TV, public service announcements, outdoor talk shows, and press releases. Many of the press releases will be educational in nature to reduce any confusion over creel limits and closed seasons for any species.

1978 - 1,007,921 licenses sold;
1979 - 1,004,003 licenses sold;
1980 - 1,072,000 licenses sold;
1981 - 1,092,038 licenses sold; and
1982 - 1,122,279 licenses sold.

NORTHEAST REGION

Bradford County -
Carbon County - Mauch Chunk Lake
Columbia County -
Lackawanna County -
Luzerne County - Harris Pond
Monroe County -
Pike County -
Montour County - Lake Chillisquaque
Susquehanna County -
Wayne County - Prompton Dam (Prompton State Park)
Sullivan County - Hunters Lake
Wyoming County - Lake Winola

NORTHCENTRAL REGION

Cameron County - George B. Stevenson Reservoir
Centre County - Sayres Dam
Clearfield County - Curwensville Lake
Clinton County -
Elk County - Ridgway Reservoir
Lycoming County - Rose Valley Lake
McKean County - Hamlin Lake
Northumberland County -
Potter County - Lyman Run Lake (Lyman Run State Park)
Snyder County - Middle Creek Lake
Tioga County - Hills Creek Lake (Hills Creek State Park)
Union County -

SOUTHCENTRAL REGION

Adams County -
Bedford County - Shawnee Lake (Shawnee State Park)
Blair County - Canoe Lake (Canoe Creek State Park)
Cumberland County -
Dauphin County -
Franklin County -
Fulton County -
Huntingdon County - Stone Valley Lake
Juniata County -
Lebanon County - Memorial Lake (Memorial Lake State Park)
Mifflin County -
Perry County - Little Buffalo Lake (Little Buffalo State Park)
York County - Pinchot Lake (Pinchot State Park) and Lake Marburg (Codorus State Park)

SOUTHEAST REGION

Berks County - Blue Marsh Lake
 Bucks County - Lake Galena (Peace Valley Park)
 Chester County - Marsh Creek Lake (Marsh Creek State Park)
 Delaware County - Springton Reservoir
 Lancaster County - Muddy Run
 Lehigh County - Leaser Lake
 Northampton County - Minsi Lake
 Philadelphia County -
 Schuylkill County -

SOUTHWEST REGION

Armstrong County - Crooked Creek Lake (Crooked Creek State Park)
 Allegheny County - North Park Lake
 Beaver County - Raccoon Lake (Raccoon Creek State Park)
 Cambria County - Glendale Lake (Prince Gallitzin State Park)
 Fayette County - Dunlap Lake
 Greene County -
 Indiana County - Yellow Creek Lake (Yellow Creek State Park)
 Somerset County - Somerset Lake
 Washington County - Canonsburg Lake

NORTHWEST REGION

Butler County - Lake Arthur (Moraine State Park)
 Clarion County - Kahle Lake
 Crawford County - Tamarack Lake
 Erie County - Edinboro Lake and Presque Isle Bay (Presque Isle State Park)
 Forest County -
 Jefferson County - Kyle Dam
 Lawrence County -
 Mercer County - Lake Wilhelm (Maurice K. Goddard State Park)
 Venango County - Kahle Lake

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. We have with us some students from various foreign countries: Banu Buyukkal from Turkey; Mark Daepfen from Switzerland; Genevieve Toussaint from Belgium; and Cassilda Sousa from Brazil. They are in the gallery. They are accompanied by Judy Emmett, Chuck Love, and Sally Love. They are all students at the Pine Richland High School in Allegheny County, and they are the guests of Representative Pott.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Page 11, HB 1711, PN 2554. That bill is not yet ready to be called up. Without objection, that bill will go over for today's session. The Chair hears no objection.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, you passed over HB 1711 on two different days now. Could you share with me or with the House why it is necessary to pass over HB 1711?

The SPEAKER. The only thing that the Chair can tell you is that HB 1711 was scheduled for a vote, so listed on the Speaker's calendar, and then, apparently on advice of the majority leader, has been passed over. The gentleman, Mr. Manderino, may be able to tell you more than that, but the Speaker cannot.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, it seems that HB 1711 makes reference to two different highways in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Interstate 80 and Interstate 81 - and there are amendments on the floor of the House to name those two highways by at least three or four different names. We are trying to resolve the naming of those two highways among the various cosponsors of the amendments that want to name. I think the problem is resolved though, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Grieco, I know, who is recovering from some eye surgery, made a special trip down here today for the passage of this bill, and I am going to ask the Speaker to take it up later today and reverse his decision before seven more names appear.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Does that answer the question, Mr. Wass?

Mr. WASS. Yes.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a statement?

The SPEAKER. Under unanimous consent?

Mr. WASS. Yes.

The SPEAKER. Is it on this bill?

Mr. WASS. Yes.

The SPEAKER. No; you may not. The bill is not before the House.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House a delegation of his particular friends and supporters from the 19th Legislative District. The Chair would not be here, would not have been able to come here, and would never have been returned were it not for some of the people you see back there. That is not all of them, but it is approximately 50 percent. Welcome to the hall of the House.

RESOLUTION

Mr. OLASZ called up **HR 184, PN 2600**, entitled:

Memorializing Congress to take steps to halt or deter United States industries from establishing plants abroad.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Olasz, kindly explain his resolution and what it is he is intending to do by it.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Olasz, is recognized on the question, shall the House adopt the resolution?

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate my colleague's comments in relinquishing the floor to me for whatever purpose, but what I want this Assembly to recognize, and

hopefully by the passage of this resolution, is that we are memorializing Congress to halt the jobs that are trickling out of the United States at an alarming rate. Congress must immediately act to stop the hemorrhage of our Nation's economic lifeblood. I urge Congress to take appropriate action to halt or deter the practice of U.S. companies, particularly the steel and automobile industries, from establishing plants in foreign countries which take away jobs of the American labor force.

Just recently the Ford Motor Company indicated that they intend to invest approximately \$500 million in Mexico. There will be 3,000 immediate jobs with a spinoff of 8,000 to 9,000 additional jobs.

Just approximately a week ago General Motors indicated their willingness to build a plant in the Republic of Korea. I think it is time that Congress takes action that these American tax dollars are invested here at home.

I respect Mr. Ryan's concern for business in America, but that is the exact reason why I have introduced this resolution, because of my concern of businesses in America. I submit to you, just consider an automobile. We start with the basic component - steel. We then go to the tires, rubber tires, with steel bands in them. We move up to the glass, the windshields, produced in the Allegheny Valley. We then go into the radios, the textiles in the seats, and this, according to their own estimate, amounts to approximately 8,000 to 9,000 additional jobs.

The spinoff that I am familiar with in the local steel works - the local hardware stores that sell the nuts, the bolts; the small machine factories that do small machine jobs for these industries - where are they going to locate? Where are their employees going to go?

I reserve the balance of my comments to hear what Mr. Ryan has to say.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair turns the floor back to Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I do not know how it is that I so often end up getting involved in really meaningless resolutions, meaningless in the sense that no matter what we pass, it is not going to change anything; it is an indication of how we feel. And I think most of us would agree that when we memorialize Congress, it probably is about as effective as one of our units of local government memorializing the House of Representatives as to what we should do. In other words, those of us who would represent that particular area of local government are very much encouraged at their interest and persuaded by their resolution, but the rest of us probably do not pay a whole lot of attention to it, because we feel, and I think rightfully feel, that it is nice to hear from local government; it is nice to hear what they think we should be doing, but it is essentially our job to make the decision, and I look at this resolution, which is memorializing Congress to take some action, somewhat in the same light.

I have—and I do not believe I have ever strayed from this theory—I have over many years taken a position that there are certain things that we in this House really should not be concerning ourselves with. Memorializing Congress is probably

one of the ones that is at the top of that list. I do not think it is effective, and I think essentially it is a waste of our time, and I daresay no one in Congress has ever read one of these resolutions.

Aside from that, however, I would like you to think for a minute what we are asking them to do, and God forbid that they would pay attention to our resolution and all of a sudden pass a law that says no United States company can establish a business elsewhere than here in the United States, and that is what this resolution is asking Congress to do. I look at that and I suggest to you that you should follow it to its logical conclusion, and that would be the State of New Jersey passing a law saying you cannot establish a business in any other State, or this State—and perhaps we have the right to do it; I doubt it—do we dare pass a law in Pennsylvania that says no Pennsylvania corporation shall establish a business elsewhere than in the State of Pennsylvania? That is really what we are talking about, although at a lower unit of government.

Now, I look and I am reminded of what we have done here in Pennsylvania over the years. As a member of this General Assembly, although I voted "no," we very proudly stood up here some 6, 8, or 9 years ago—I forget the exact date—and we said, we have now passed the legislation here in Pennsylvania that will allow and encourage the German corporation, Volkswagen, to locate here in the western part of Pennsylvania. Those who were involved in that particular acquisition, if you will, acquisition of jobs, Mr. Manderino being forefront in that effort on the Volkswagen plant, stood proudly and commented—I am sure during elections; I know many of our Republicans did—look what we have done for Pennsylvania; we have brought the Volkswagen plant to Pennsylvania; we passed legislation to make it easier for them to locate here.

What would happen if such a law came into being? Would Japan, in reciprocating, say, we are going to pass a law that says no Japanese company shall locate in any country that prohibits the same thing in return, which would be us? Would Germany do the same thing? Would England do the same thing? Would Ireland do the same thing? Would we have, and I believe it was a Democratic Senator—I think it was Senator Lloyd, if I am not mistaken—who very proudly a couple of years ago took credit—and I say deservedly so, because I know no different—took credit for bringing a company from Belgium, I believe it was, to locate in southeastern Pennsylvania to manufacture sneakers, as I recall, Adidas, or it was one of the big companies that makes the sneakers. We look for that foreign investment. What happens if these countries say you cannot locate here?

Now, let us take a look at Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania, I am told, according to a communication I have dated March 15 from the Secretary of Commerce, is fourth in the United States among the States that have jobs related to the export of manufactured products. We in Pennsylvania have 284,000 jobs here in this State that are involved in manufacturing products or services that are shipped outside of the continental limits of the United States. We have here in Pennsylvania

more than 500 international firms in the State employing in excess of 100,000 people. We are fifth in the United States in attracting new foreign manufacturing investment. We have had 42 foreign firms located in Pennsylvania in the year 1982, which represented an investment of \$47 million. Pennsylvania in 1981 exported 15 billion dollars' worth of our products, and our rate of export increased between 1977 and 1981 by over 200 percent.

Now, granted, this does not relate directly to the resolution that the gentleman has before the House, and I am not suggesting to any one of you how you should vote for this; I am asking you only to think about the resolution. I believe that the attitude—and this is not Republican philosophy; this is not Democratic philosophy; this is Matt Ryan philosophy—I believe that the attitude of parochialism, evidenced by a resolution like this, ultimately is bad. It sends bad signals to foreign investors who would come here; it sends bad signals to Pennsylvania corporations that might want to do business overseas, and I think generally, if anything like this were ever adopted by the Congress of the United States and became the law of the land, it would create a minor economic disaster.

I ask you simply to think about these things, that this is a resolution which is offered in good faith by a gentleman whose constituency is made up to a great extent, I understand, by people in the automotive industry, which is suffering, and I understand that suffering. I do not think, however, that this is the proper signal that we should send to other States and to other nations. For that reason I am voting "no," and I am not encouraging anyone to join me in that vote. I am simply asking you to listen, think it out, and then do as you see fit, and I would hope that some of my remarks have opened some doors and have given some pause for reflection as to whether or not this is or is not a good resolution and a good philosophy. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes, on the question, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Brien.

Mr. O'BRIEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the sponsor stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Olasz, indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. O'BRIEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have a query. A gentleman came to me the other day and he told me that as a result of Federal legislation to prohibit the dumping of foreign steel, that any company, any United States company, that wanted to bid on a defense contract had to use 100 percent American steel. Now, it was brought to my attention that companies located within the NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) Alliance are exempted from that restriction. Thereby, they are able to bid much lower on a defense contract than a United States company is allowed to do. Therefore, by this restriction on foreign steel, what is happening, and again—

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, prepared to ask a question or make a statement on the resolution?

Mr. O'BRIEN. Mr. Speaker, this is in the form of a query. I was wondering if he could either—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the query.

Mr. O'BRIEN. I was wondering whether the sponsor could affirm or deny that this is in fact the case?

Mr. OLASZ. Well, if you just heard it the other day, Mr. Speaker, I am sure that you have a lot more knowledge about that question being broached than I do. My concern deals specifically with the automobile steel industry, and I am not aware of what NATO's regulations are. That is a Federal issue right now that I have no knowledge of.

I might add, Mr. Speaker, while he is looking at his notes, I think to debate this issue today—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is restricted to answering the question.

Is the gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, finished with his query?

Mr. O'BRIEN. Yes, and I just would like to add one comment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may make a comment on final passage.

Mr. O'BRIEN. In response to what our Republican leader had stated, the question that he brought up about reciprocity is of particular interest to me in my legislative district, because, as you know, there has been great publicity about the high-speed rail, which is supposed to go between Philadelphia and the city of Pittsburgh. There is a big employer, or it was a big employer at one time, the Budd Company in Philadelphia, that is now German owned, and they are United Auto Workers as well. If the question of reciprocity came in, I would hope that that contract for the high-speed rail would not be compromised. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. GRUITZA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to comment on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GRUITZA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I feel that we should support the Olasz resolution. I feel that while we are not a body that has direct control over the trade laws and the policy that is taking place in Washington, I think we would have to be blind not to recognize what corporate America has been doing over the past several years, as has been evidenced time and time again with their investments abroad.

The most recent shock that hit our area, Ohio and western Pennsylvania, came when Ford announced that it would be building a motor car assembly plant in Mexico. When you consider that Ford has been able to do so well through its investments domestically in the United States, it seems to be a slap in the face to the American people that they now feel compelled to build these cars in Mexico for sale, and I would add to what Mr. Ryan has said, for sale in the United States. The market for these cars will be the mainland United States. These cars are not targeted for sale in South America and in

Mexico but rather are being targeted for sale up here in the mainland United States. I think there is a big difference between Volkswagen coming to Pennsylvania and manufacturing cars that are primarily for sale within the mainland U.S.A. as opposed to for export abroad. I think that at a time when so many jobs have left this country, we had better start looking at the underlying policies in Washington, and I urge support for the Olasz amendment.

I think that we can ill afford any more of our major corporations investing abroad, particularly for sale on our own markets, and I would raise the question, if this happened across the board, if you study philosophy, there are certain philosophies that say, will my behavior, if carried out to the extreme by everyone, what impact will this have on our society or on our country if this were to follow that all of our companies were to build their plants in Mexico and Korea where they could find cheaper labor? What would be the impact on America as a whole, and who would buy these products in America? I think that the Olasz resolution, while it may lack the import of law or the effect of law, certainly should be a message that we can all support here in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives.

I feel compelled to rise on this issue because I see what is happening out in the western part of the State and I see what a plant like this Ford plant could have meant to the Sharon-New Castle-Youngstown area had it been constructed in our area rather than in Mexico City. I feel very strongly on this issue. I feel that if Ford wants to sell cars in Mexico, then that is fine and they should build them there and they can sell them. But for sale in Detroit and New Castle and Cleveland and Pittsburgh, then let us see them built in the United States where they can help our struggling economy here. I think that Mr. Ryan's comments on export in Pennsylvania are appropriate, but I would remind the gentleman that the United States right now has a trade deficit approaching \$50 or \$60 billion, and I think that this deficit will only continue to increase if we do not start looking at the situation that we have here.

So I would urge your support for this resolution. I think it is a good resolution, it is in the interest of Pennsylvania, it is in the interest of America, and I think it is pro-American from A to Z. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. Would the gentleman, Mr. Olasz, stand for interrogation, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Olasz, says he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Gallen, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GALLEN. Mr. Speaker, in this resolution it says that we are asking Congress to enact legislation to halt or deter the practice of United States companies, particularly those in the auto industry, from establishing plants in foreign countries, which take away jobs from our American labor force. Now, my question is, what kind of laws? How do they go about

that? Could you give me some examples of how Congress goes about doing this?

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, one thought conceivably could be to tax the American dollars that are being taken abroad for foreign investment. That could be a start.

Mr. GALLEN. I could not hear the answer, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman repeat the answer?

Mr. OLASZ. To place a tax on the American dollars that are being invested abroad.

Mr. GALLEN. Could you give me any other examples?

Mr. OLASZ. I think that is sufficient at this particular time. That is one example and I think it is an outstanding example.

Mr. GALLEN. Well, Mr. Speaker, I, like Mr. Ryan, feel that we are tilting at windmills with this type of legislation. I will vote for it in the hope that maybe we are not. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, I would like the gentleman to consider one other thing as to why foreign companies come to this country to invest; that is, because we have political stability here. And why do our companies sometimes go to foreign countries to invest? Perhaps this resolution will ask the government to inquire about policies of tax incentives and tax breaks that foreign countries offer, something maybe we should be considering.

I see nothing wrong with standing and supporting this resolution, supporting the shareholders, the stockholders who work hard and earn their money and invest it in American companies. We are here to represent them also, and I believe that many of them would like to stand here and speak for opposing their companies going to foreign countries. Remember, political instability, many times, leads those other companies to come to America. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the author of the resolution, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Olasz, will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Vroon, is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, throughout many, many long years we have had the establishment of foreign operations by American companies abroad in all countries all over the globe. In almost every one of these instances, these operations were begun to establish a plant to produce a product to sell in those areas or to sell to other countries nearby from those particular plants. This kind of operation is still going on very extensively in the world. Would you suggest, by this resolution, that we have to put a stop to that kind of activity?

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, I think that the trade deficit that this country has every year that is growing and growing is an

example of the freedom of trade that is being exhibited by our friends in Europe.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, are you saying that the trade deficit is attributable to these foreign plants by domestic corporations?

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, just last week we had an example when Secretary Baran chose to withhold payment on the stainless steel piping in the new office building. Those of us who are on top of the issue know full well what our Japanese friends do to an American car before it can be resold in their country. I think those are facts, Mr. Speaker, that should be considered about some of these questions that are being asked.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, are you also aware of the fact that there are profits that are brought back into this country as a result of these operations from abroad, and that this is a counteracting deal to your trade deficit?

Mr. OLASZ. All I know is that our people are not working over here and they seem to be having no unemployment problems over there.

Mr. VROON. All right.

Mr. Speaker, I am finished with my interrogation now, and I would like to make a few remarks.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I know this is not the most important resolution in the world, but as it becomes a matter of record here, I cannot just sit here and vote "no" without saying why, and I want to say why I am voting "no" most emphatically.

The trouble, the cause, of foreign trade deficits is not the establishment of a few plants outside of our borders. The big cause of our trade deficit is the importation of goods that are made cheaper abroad than are made here, and they are not made by American companies abroad; they are made by foreign companies abroad. That is where our jobs went - the jobs that would be ours by producing the goods that we buy from abroad. That is what we are losing here and that is where the big loss is. That is why we are having trade deficits today. This is no threat whatsoever to the trade deficit picture, but let me tell you what kind of a threat it would be.

If Mr. Ford and company should decide, all right, we are an American company, we are not going to start a plant abroad, so they pull in their horns and they stay in the United States, they are going to suffer from these imported cars from Japan and from other areas, and they are going to lose more and more of the market as a result. Now, this is a dispensive move on their part to start a plant in Brazil or in Mexico or wherever to meet that foreign competition. You know what is going to happen: they are going to sell more Ford cars here instead of Japanese cars, and it is not going to be that much of a drain on American labor. You cannot truly say that they are giving jobs abroad instead of domestic jobs, because their domestic jobs do not produce a competitively priced car, and that is exactly why these things happen. And to say that we can correct this by law is really a wild and economically unfeasible assumption.

I think this is rather a foolish resolution and self-defeating and counterproductive. I think we ought to encourage the establishment of plants abroad and we ought to encourage our people to meet the competition that comes in here from abroad, even if they have to build a few cars in Mexico. So I think this is a very poor resolution, and I strongly urge everybody to vote "no."

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Hershey.

Mr. HERSHEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to comment on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and he may make his comments.

Mr. HERSHEY. Just this past January, Mr. Speaker, our Governor returned from West Germany securing an agreement with three businesses to put plants in Pennsylvania. One of those businesses will be building buses in the 13th District in Honey Brook, Pennsylvania. That was the most gratifying announcement that came to our district since I was a Representative, and I cannot in good faith vote for this resolution that sends a signal to foreign countries that we do not want their business. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, remarks were made as to what good this resolution will do. I say, what harm will it do? They talked about cheaper wages in foreign countries. At public hearings in Brackenridge, Pennsylvania, the vice president of Allegheny Ludlum took a study of Great Britain, Spain, Japan, and France, and the wages paid their steelworkers and benefits were approximately the same as our steelworkers are being paid, and that is a matter of record and a matter of fact.

We all remember Pearl Harbor when this country was totally unprepared for war and we called on our steel mills and our steelworkers to get us prepared. Within 6 months we were prepared for war. What happens now with these foreign countries that we are dealing with now if a war happens to be declared with them? Will we have to be dependent on getting our steel from them? I say, let us not be dependent on anybody and let us make our steel here in this country and be prepared for everything. I support the resolution. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to speak on the merits of the resolution. I think the previous two speakers kind of took the words out of my mouth. I would like to say that I think that there is no harm in voting for this bill. There can be a lot of harm in voting against it.

In the garment industry, which is very strong in my district, we are now seeing the same thing happen - an outmigration of American companies that are setting up shop in the Bahamas and just off the shores of Florida. They are assembling the

products there and they are shipping them back to the United States for sale. That is causing the closure of many of our garment shops just the way it is with the steel mills in western Pennsylvania.

I think that we owe the American people a "yes" vote on this, particularly the Pennsylvanians. And I just wonder how many of the opponents of this resolution would be standing and talking about how foolish this resolution were if two or three of their largest manufacturers that employed 2,000 or 3,000 people announced that they were moving to Mexico. I wonder how many of the members from the other side of the aisle who spoke against this resolution would have stood up here today and asked people for a "no" vote on this if it were their plants moving to Mexico. I urge a "yes" vote on this resolution. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Olasz, for the second time.

Mr. OLASZ. And final, I hope.

The SPEAKER. And final.

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, I must respond to my colleague, Mr. Ryan's remarks. I certainly appreciate his efforts, and I respect him very highly, but by his own statement, it amazes me that such an insignificant resolution, when it has no standing in Congress, could be debated so lengthily and quite lengthily by my colleagues.

But to respond to a couple of statements that were made, it is a matter of record that the State of California has placed a limit on the number of dollars that Mideast countries can put in their banks. It is a matter of record that the State of Ohio has legislation prohibiting foreign countries from purchasing large tracts of acreage in the State of Ohio. It is also a fact that our own Senator Heinz is memorializing Congress by a resolution of his to invoke the Fair Trade Act. It is also a fact that we have thousands and thousands of Pennsylvanians who are unemployed. Mr. Speaker, it is also a fact that just a few years ago the taxpayers of Pennsylvania participated in a bailout of the Chrysler Corporation, and years before that, they participated in the bailout of the Lockheed Corporation. Now these companies are taking these tax dollars abroad for investment.

In conclusion, I think those of us who recognize an American-made car should at least have the knowledge that it was made in America. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—132

Afflerbach	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rybak
Alderette	Duffy	Letterman	Saloom
Angstadt	Durham	Linton	Salvatore
Armstrong	Evans	Livengood	Semmel
Arty	Fattah	Lloyd	Serafini
Baldwin	Fee	Lucyk	Seventy
Barber	Fischer	McCall	Spitz
Battisto	Freeman	McHale	Stairs
Belardi	Fryer	McIntyre	Steighner

Belfanti	Gallagher	McMonagle	Stewart
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Stuban
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Taylor, E. Z.
Burd	Gannon	Markosek	Taylor, F. E.
Burns	Geist	Mayernik	Telek
Caltagirone	George	Michlovic	Tigue
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Micozzie	Trello
Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Truman
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Van Horne
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkonic	Wachob
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Wambach
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Wargo
Clark	Hayes	Olasz	Wass
Cohen	Herman	Oliver	Wiggins
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Williams
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wilson
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wogan
Cowell	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wozniak
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Pratt	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Preston	Zwilk
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	
Deal	Lashinger	Richardson	Irvis,
Dietz	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Dombrowski	Lehr		

NAYS—57

Beloff	Gladeck	Merry	Ryan
Bowser	Godshall	Miller	Saurman
Boyes	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Scheetz
Brandt	Grieco	Mowery	Schuler
Broujos	Hershey	Noye	Showers
Bunt	Honaman	O'Brien	Sirianni
Clymer	Jackson	Peterson	Smith, B.
Cornell	Kennedy	Phillips	Smith, L. E.
Coy	Levi	Piccola	Snyder, D. W.
DeVerter	Levin	Pitts	Snyder, G. M.
Dorr	McClatchy	Pott	Swift
Fargo	McVerry	Punt	Vroon
Flick	Mackowski	Robbins	Weston
Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Rudy	Wright, J. L.
Foster, Jr., A.			

NOT VOTING—5

DeWeese	Maiale	Reinard	Sweet
Hoeffel			

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, my switch malfunctioned. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on HR 184.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

MR. FRYER REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns over the gavel to his good friend, the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer, to preside temporarily.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(LESTER K. FRYER) IN THE CHAIR**

**BILLS ON THIRD
CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1636, PN 2586**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Steel Products Procurement Act," approved March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), further defining "steel products" to include machinery and equipment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. COLAFELLA offered the following amendments No. A0920:

Amend Title, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "act," further providing for compliance with the act; and

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

Section 1. Section 5 of the act of March 3, 1978 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act, repealed in part October 15, 1980 (P.L.693, No.142), is amended to read:

Section 5. No public agency shall authorize, provide for or make any payments to any person under any contract containing the provision required by section 4 unless [the public agency is satisfied] such person has provided documentation including, but not limited to, invoices and bills of lading, which establish that such person has fully complied with such provision. Any such payments made to any person by any public agency which should not have been made as a result of this section shall be recoverable directly from the contractor or subcontractor who did not comply with section 4 by either such public agency or the Attorney General of Pennsylvania.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 15, by striking out "1" and inserting

2

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 15, by striking out the comma after "6"

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 16 and 17, by striking out "of March 3, 1978 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act"

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 15, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 18, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Colafella.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, last week the Secretary of General Services, Walter Baran, had an impossible task of trying to obtain information from the Emco Mechanical Company, the contractor of the new building behind the Capitol, and the reason why he had a difficult time was,

according to the 1978 Procurement Act, it simply states that a contractor by a notarized statement shall provide this information that domestic steel is used in the project. What my amendment does is simply make the requirement a little more difficult for the contractor by requiring the contractor to provide documentation such as invoices and bills of lading to show that the steel was indeed made in America.

I would appreciate your vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Afflerbach	Durham	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fargo	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fattah	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fee	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Fischer	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Flick	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayas	Noye	Telek
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Cohen	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeLuca	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Dawida	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Foster, W. W.	Gladeck	Honaman	Maiale
---------------	---------	---------	--------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DOMBROWSKI offered the following amendments No. A0969:

Amend Title, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "act," providing for the imposition of a ban on bidding for violations of certain provisions of the act; and

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

Section 1. Section 5 of the act of March 3, 1978 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act, repealed in part October 5, 1980 (P.L.693, No.142), is amended to read:

Section 5. (a) No public agency shall authorize, provide for or make any payments to any person under any contract containing the provision required by section 4 unless the public agency is satisfied that such person has fully complied with such provision. Any such payments made to any person by any public agency which should not have been made as a result of this section shall be recoverable directly from the contractor or subcontractor who did not comply with section 4 by either such public agency or the Attorney General of Pennsylvania.

(b) In addition to the withholding of payments, any person who violates the provisions of section 4(a) shall be prohibited from submitting any bids to any public agency for any contract for a period of five years from the date that the violation is determined to have occurred.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 15, by striking out "1" and inserting

2

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 15, by striking out the comma after "6"

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 16 and 17, by striking out "of March 3, 1978 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act"

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 15, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 18, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Last week Representative Salvatore and I circulated a bill, which 70 members of this legislature had cosponsored, which is very similar to this amendment.

What this amendment would do would be that anybody who would be in violation of any provision of the Steel Procurement Act of 1978 would be prohibited from bidding on any State contract for a period of 5 years.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Mochlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Lashingier	Preston	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	Speaker
Durham	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Foster, W. W. Maiale

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. ALDERETTE offered the following amendment No. A0999:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 6), page 2, line 13, by removing the period after "PRODUCT" and inserting only if it has been manufactured in the United States substantially from articles, materials and supplies all mined, produced or manufactured, as the case may be, in the United States.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an addition to the sentence on line 13. Lines 11 through 13 read that the product, if it contains both foreign and United States steel, such product shall be determined to be a United States steel product. It is possible, I guess, by that statement that a product could have 1 percent U.S. steel and 99 percent foreign steel. So we are attempting to add to that sentence the following: "only if it has been manufactured in the United States substantially from articles, materials and supplies all mined, produced or manufactured, as the case may be, in the United States."

I would hope for your support on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (192 total). Includes names like Afflerbach, Alderette, Angstadt, Armstrong, Arty, Baldwin, Barber, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Beloff, Blaum, Book, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Broujos, Bunt, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Cawley, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, Colafella, Cole, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Coy, Deluca, Evans, Fargo, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, W. W., Freeman, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Godshall, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Haluska, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Herman, Hershey, Hoeffel, Honaman, Itkin, Jackson, Jarolin, Johnson, Kasunic, Kennedy, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Manderino, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Misceovich, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Brien, Olasz, Oliver, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Plevsky, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Salvatore, Saurman, Scheetz, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, L. E., Snyder, G. M., Spitz, Stairs, Steighner, Stewart, Stuban, Sweet, Swift, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, F. E., Telek, Tigue, Trello, Truman, Van Horne, Vroon, Wachob, Wambach, Wargo, Wass, Weston, Wiggins.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (1 total). Includes names like DeVerter, DeWeese, Daley, Davies, Dawida, Deal, Dietz, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Klingaman, Kosinski, Kowalyshyn, Kukovich, Lashingier, Laughlin, Lehr, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levi, Levin, Linton, Pistella, Pitts, Pott, Pratt, Preston, Punt, Reber, Reinard, Richardson, Rieger, Robbins, Irvis, Speaker, Williams, Wilson, Wogan, Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Wright, R. C., Zwikl.

NAYS—1

Snyder, D. W.

NOT VOTING—1

Maiale

EXCUSED—9

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (9 total). Includes names like Cordisco, Dininni, Freind, Hutchinson, Marmion, O'Donnell, Rappaport, Spencer, Stevens.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LESCOVITZ offered the following amendment No. A0998:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 6), page 2, line 9, by inserting after "equipment"

listed in United States Department of Commerce Standard Industrial Classification 25 (furniture and fixture), 35 (machinery, except electrical) and 37 (transportation equipment, and

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, what this does is clarify "equipment," which is equipment listed in the United States Department of Commerce Standard Industrial Classification.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (193 total). Includes names like Afflerbach, Alderette, Angstadt, Armstrong, Arty, Baldwin, Barber, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Beloff, Blaum, Book, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Evans, Fargo, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, W. W., Freeman, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Salvatore, Saurman, Scheetz, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Smith, B., Snyder, D. W., Snyder, G. M.

Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Telek
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Herman	Noye	Trello
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Truman
Cohen	Hoefel	Olasz	Van Horne
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
Defuca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton	Robbins	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Smith, L. E.

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, this is just to correct my vote on one of the amendments to HB 1636, amendment 0999. I inadvertently voted in the negative. I would like to be recorded in the positive.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1636 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Armstrong.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the prime sponsor, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The prime sponsor has consented to a period of interrogation. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let us say that my local township wants to buy a tractor for various purposes - cutting, hauling, et cetera - and it is a 25- or 30-horsepower engine or tractor that they need. They go to the various dealerships, and they cannot find one that is made in the United States. They have International Harvester, they have John Deere, there is Ford, but when you examine those, you will notice that every one of them is made in Japan or Yugoslavia or some other foreign nation. What would they do in a case like that? They do not need a big tractor; they just want a small tractor.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, the law specifically states that if the product is not produced in the United States, it can be bought overseas.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Let us say that there are two tractors, and one is made in Japan and there is one of much lesser grade that is made in the United States but does not have the same capabilities. Would they be off the hook in a case like that? It is a tractor by definition, but it does not have the capabilities of the other tractor.

Mr. COLAFELLA. If it is over \$25,000, you have got to comply with the law.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. So they would be forced to buy a tractor which would not have the capabilities of a foreign tractor even though it would be the same price and could do a much better job?

Mr. COLAFELLA. It has got to be made with United States steel, over \$25,000. I think we do have the capabilities.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. I agree.

Mr. COLAFELLA. What we are saying is that we want municipalities and so on who buy machinery and equipment over \$25,000 to start buying American-made products.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Thank you.

I agree that we do have the capability; however, in some cases it is just not available on the market as of yet. I feel that we are penalizing some of our local municipalities in perhaps making them buy a product that in some cases is inferior, so I would request that we vote against this bill.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, let me respond to that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, as I said earlier, if it is not available in this country, according to the law, you can buy a foreign-made vehicle overseas.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. I mean if it fits in the definition of, say, a tractor but there are two variations, and one is the top of the line and one is not and they are about the same price, you will be forced to buy the lesser product. I do not think that is fair to our municipalities. Thank you.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Clark	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
DeVertter	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	

NAYS—5

Armstrong	Godshall	Greenwood	Schuler
Clymer			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the presence of Mr. and Mrs. John Serian of Rossiter, Pennsylvania, who are the guests of Representative L. E. Smith.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1939, PN 2570**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Credit Union Act," approved September 20, 1961 (P. L. 1548, No. 658), further defining alternative sources of share insurance.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. TAYLOR offered the following amendment No. A1002:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 19), page 4, line 8, by inserting after "cards,"

The provisions of any other act notwithstanding, the authority permitted under this subsection shall only apply to the extension of credit by a credit union and shall not apply to the extension of credit by any other lender as a result of such lender having been granted most favored lender status.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment. Briefly, what it does is it prohibits other lending institutions from looking at any section of this bill for the most-favored-lender clause. What we say in that is that they cannot look to this credit union for increased rates if they want to prefer one under their most-favored-lender clause. It is an agreed-to amendment, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.

Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Suban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.

Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Suban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1634, PN 2063**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), providing for countywide transfers of licenses.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller, rise?

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Speaker, I was attempting to get the attention of the Chair in hopes of interrogating the prime sponsor of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair could not understand why no one chose to speak on this subject, and he hesitated for quite a while.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. After this, will the gentleman wave both arms instead of one?

Mr. MILLER. Certainly, Mr. Speaker.

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The clerk will strike the vote.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MILLER. I would like to interrogate the prime sponsor of the bill, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Grieco, indicates he will stand for a period of interrogation. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Under the traditional understanding of a hotel license, under Pennsylvania law we have obviously required that that hotel facility be built and existent before the individual owner of that facility may apply for the broader exemptions of a hotel license; i.e., there is a room requirement. I notice under the provisions of HB 1634, Mr. Speaker, that in the event that no other suitable building can be found in a municipality where the government has exercised its right of eminent domain, you may in fact create a hotel.

Now, is it the intent of the speaker that there actually be a physical hotel facility built or do you just want the opportunity to spin this hotel license into the general taproom license quota of that local municipality? Are you going to require them to build a hotel, in which case they would be eligible for a hotel license to begin with, or does this old hotel license become a new quota license in the municipality available to any taproom under our current licensing procedure?

Mr. GRIECO. Mr. Speaker, under the present law, a restaurant-tavern license can be moved within a municipality, like Lycoming County, Clinton County, from one town to another, providing it is a wet territory, due to the building taken by eminent domain, either by a flood or some sort of a catastrophe, redevelopment, and so forth. Pennsylvania, as you know and I know, has had quite a few floods, and we just had a flood scare here several weeks ago.

Some of the hotels in Lycoming County, as well as the other 67 counties, are "papa and mama" hotels. Some hotels are licensed back to the late 1930's, early 1940's where you would have a six-room hotel. Today you must have a 12-room hotel.

In certain places where we have had floods, the eminent domain feature took place and now we have licenses that cannot be sold because there is no building suitable in the jurisdictions where they are located. We are asking that the hotels come under the same as beer distributors; restaurants can be moved within the county basis.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, but I request the permission of the Chair to ask the question again.

Will one need to build in fact a hotel under the standing requirements to receive a hotel license?

Mr. GRIECO. No, he does not have to build. If he can find a suitable building, he can transfer his license into the suitable building.

Mr. MILLER. Will that building meet the requirements of the existing hotel license criteria wherein the appropriate number of rooms and facilities are available?

Mr. GRIECO. No. If this license is a license for a hotel for six rooms, all he or she, the owner, needs is six rooms. If it is 12 rooms, it will be 12 rooms; whatever their license quota is at that time.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, if I might make some remarks on final passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Miller, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MILLER. This bill offers the legislative chamber today a curious opportunity at best. If it is the intent of our policy with respect to hotel licensing and liquor laws in this Commonwealth, then I believe we ought to keep to the criteria that is currently established. If it is our secondary intent to allow grandfathered institutions of lower than current requirements to now again spin into our municipalities with the benefits of those lower requirements, we are indeed cutting the very teeth out of the hotel licensing criteria that is administered within our Liquor Control Board. There are different licensing criteria and different physical plant criteria, and I believe in this day and age of difficulty with the whole alcohol abuse problem, we ought to keep an eye on the very criteria by which these plants are initially established. I would encourage a "no" vote on this hotel licensing rearrangement. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lycoming, Mr. Grieco.

Mr. GRIECO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support HB 1634. It is needed to protect the people who have been hit by floods, earthquakes, and whatnot. We are not issuing new licenses. All we are doing is giving them the permission to move within the municipality. I ask for your support. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of this piece of legislation. We are only putting this piece of legislation in to take care of those people who have been flooded out of their area, their

building is completely destroyed, and they cannot rebuild in the same area. We are only asking that they be given the opportunity to move that business someplace else, and I think that this is only fair, and I would ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—104

Afflerbach	Dawida	Laughlin	Rieger
Alderette	Dombrowski	Lehr	Rybak
Angstadt	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Saloom
Baldwin	Durham	Letterman	Salvatore
Battisto	Evans	Levin	Seventy
Belardi	Fee	Lucyk	Spitz
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Stairs
Beloff	Gallagher	McHale	Steighner
Blaum	Gallen	McIntyre	Stewart
Book	Gamble	Maiale	Stuban
Bowser	Gladeck	Manderino	Sweet
Burns	Godshall	Markosek	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mayernik	Telek
Cappabianca	Grieco	Michlovic	Tigue
Carn	Gruitza	Morris	Trello
Cawley	Gruppo	O'Brien	Truman
Cessar	Haluska	Olasz	Van Horne
Cimini	Hasay	Oliver	Wachob
Clark	Hoeffel	Perzel	Wargo
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Weston
Cole	Jarolin	Petrone	Williams
Coslett	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wilson
Cowell	Klingaman	Pistella	Wogan
Deluca	Kosinski	Pratt	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Kukovich	Reinard	Zwikl

NAYS—88

Armstrong	Flick	McMonagle	Richardson
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Robbins
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Rudy
Boyes	Fryer	Madigan	Ryan
Brandt	Gannon	Manmiller	Saurman
Broujos	Geist	Merry	Scheetz
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Schuler
Burd	Hagarty	Miller	Semmel
Civera	Harper	Miscevich	Serafini
Clymer	Hayes	Moehlmann	Showers
Cohen	Herman	Mowery	Sirianni
Cornell	Hershey	Mrkoncic	Smith, B.
Coy	Honaman	Murphy	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	Jackson	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Davies	Johnson	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Deal	Kennedy	Peterson	Swift
Dietz	Lashingier	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
Dorr	Levi	Piccola	Vroon
Duffy	Linton	Pitts	Wambach
Fargo	Livengood	Pott	Wass
Fattah	Lloyd	Punt	Wiggins
Fischer	McClatchy	Reber	Wright, D. R.

NOT VOTING—2

Wright, R. C. Irvis,
Speaker

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1848, PN 2568**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Beauty Culture Law," approved May 3, 1933 (P. L. 242, No. 86), reestablishing the State Board of Cosmetology; providing for its composition, powers and duties; making editorial changes; and making a repeal.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. McMONAGLE offered the following amendments No. A0830:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 9, by inserting after "practical" and/or managerial

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 28, by inserting after "chairman" , vice chairman

Amend Sec. 25 (Sec. 18.1), page 22, line 3, by striking out "at" and inserting near

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment makes it clear that managerial experience can be counted as the experience which could qualify someone to be a board member, and it also gives the board the authority to appoint a vice chairman from among its own members. It also allows the sign which is now in the building to be near the door instead of at the door. I believe it is an agreed-to amendment, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner

Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitta	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Truman
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Honaman	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Piccola	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Lashinger

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. HARPER offered the following amendment No. A0997:

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 12), page 16, line 23, by striking out "metropolitan areas" and inserting cities

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to amendment. What the amendment does is require the State board to hold the examinations in the cities, such as Philadelphia and Pittsburgh. Some of the examinations are being held outside the cities, and it is very difficult for the students to get to these examination sites. That is why I would like for the examinations to be held where the majority of the students attend classes. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I would oppose the amendment. The reason the change was made in the law is that on a number of occasions the board, the Cosmetology Board, has had difficulty in getting bids for the place of testing from persons within the city of Philadelphia. The bill as it stands will allow the tests to be taken in that general area, and I believe that it is fair to say that, where possible, the board will schedule the tests in the cities, because that is where a large number of the people come from. But this language that is presently in the bill will allow them the flexibility of not having to postpone tests or deal with a difficult situation if they cannot get bids within the city itself. They will, under the law, under the bill the way it is, still have to keep the test within the general metropolitan area where public transportation is available and the people can get to it. So I would have to oppose the lady's amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, I have to disagree with the previous speaker. The examinations are being held outside Philadelphia, and it is very difficult for the students to get there, and there is no reason. We have many places to hold these examinations in Philadelphia, and they should be held there so that all of the students can attend these examinations. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. May I interrogate Mrs. Harper, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady, Mrs. Harper, indicates she will stand for a period of interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Letterman, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, in your amendment, would that mean, in your words, that all the examinations would be held in the city?

Mrs. HARPER. In various cities, Mr. Speaker. Say, for instance, Pittsburgh. The examinations would be held in Pittsburgh, not out in some far place that the students cannot get to. This is for the convenience of the students. The majority of the beauty culture students come from the large cities, and that is where the examinations should be held.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a comment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Letterman, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LETTERMAN. I can certainly understand the lady's dilemma, but if I would vote for that or any of us rural legislators would vote for that, we would be saying to the people out in our areas that you are going to have to travel into the city to be mugged and not be able to get any parking, all kinds of things like this, you know. I think we had better keep it where it is, because there are just as many who have to travel many more miles from my district to get to the examination, and many, many more miles. I do not think a few miles to travel is going to hurt those people if they really want to take that examination, but I do see this bill as putting a real hardship on

the people out in my district, and I certainly cannot agree with it. I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman considering safe-conduct passes?

Mr. LETTERMAN. I would suggest we have them, if this passes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time recognizes the lady, Mrs. Harper, to reply.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, in the cities you have transportation for the students, but out in the rural districts, it is very difficult for students to get out there, and the majority of these students are young and they do not have cars, and they do not have public transportation. That is why they should be held in the cities so that everyone can get there. It is convenient for the students in the cities because of public transportation.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, could I make a parliamentary inquiry again, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order. What is the parliamentary inquiry?

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, many times on the floor and again today, we have referred to "this is an agreed-to amendment." What is the definition of "an agreed-to amendment"?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Generally, when a sponsor of an amendment goes to a cosponsor on the other side of the aisle and they reach agreement that this is a good amendment, the statement is made that the amendment is agreed to. The only amendment that is agreed to in the eyes of the Chair is one that has passed the roll-call test.

Mr. WASS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the distinguished gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the amendment on two grounds. First, what we ought to be doing is to try to hold down the costs of giving these exams, and if we can get a lower cost exam by going out into the suburbs, that is going to benefit all of the people who have to take the exam, because then the exam fee is not going to be as high. It seems to me that we ought to be trying to further that kind of policy.

Secondly, Mrs. Harper has indicated that it is inconvenient for people in the city to go out into the suburbs to take the exam. I would suggest that it is equally as inconvenient, if not more so, for my constituents to have to drive in even to the metropolitan area to take the exam. Now, I am not insisting that they give the exam in Somerset, but if they can give the exam in suburban Pittsburgh, that could be an advantage to my constituents. It seems to me that I have very few people who are going to take this exam, and it becomes hard for them to carpool. If there are as many constituents in Philadelphia who want to take the exam, they are much more likely to be able to get together and share a ride.

So it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we should not be persuaded by that argument that is made that it has to be in the city. We should vote against this amendment, both to make it as convenient as possible for everybody and also to hold the price down, which is going to benefit everybody regardless of where he lives. I ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as the bill now stands, this does not actually stop the board from holding the meetings in the cities. Even though I am not from the suburbs, if we knock the suburbs out, we are getting into the same problem. I believe that if we talk to the board, the board will hold meetings in the cities, but this bill now as it stands, I think, is good language, in metropolitan areas, and it keeps the costs down. If we only limit it to the cities, then we are going to get into the problem with the costs going up, and I have to oppose the amendment on this thing. I promise Mrs. Harper I will go to the board and ask them to hold meetings in the cities.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. I thought I probably would not speak, but I would like to call the attention of the multitude here to a simple fact, that there are a good many boroughs with larger populations than many of the cities in this State. In fact, there is at least one second-class township—I believe in Delaware County—that has a larger population than almost any city, barring a couple. I think using the word "cities" is a mistake for that reason alone.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is very reluctant to point out to the charming lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, that she has already spoken three times on this particular issue.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to withdraw my amendment temporarily to add an addition to it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady is in order, and the Harper amendment A0997 is withdrawn at this time. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendments No. A0975:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 1), page 2, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

"Cosmetician" means an individual who engages only in the practice of massaging the face, applying cosmetic preparations, antiseptics, tonics, lotions or creams to the face, removing superfluous hair by tweezers, depilatories or waxes and the dyeing of eyelashes and eyebrows.

Amend Sec. 4 (Sec. 2), page 5, line 19, by striking out "or manicurist" and inserting
manicurist or cosmetician

Amend Sec. 10 (Sec. 5), page 9, line 17, by inserting brackets before and after "Manicuring" and inserting immediately thereafter

Limited licenses

Amend Sec. 10 (Sec. 5), page 9, line 18, by inserting after "only"

and cosmetician only

Amend Sec. 10 (Sec. 5), page 9, line 23, by inserting after "manicuring."

A cosmetician may operate a shop limited to that license.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, the amendment creates a category within the cosmetology licensing law which will be called cosmetician. Basically it will create a situation where a person does not have to become a fully licensed cosmetologist in order to be involved with the treating of skin situations. It is basically for cosmetics, the application of cosmetics, and that can be done without the full cosmetology license under this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I agree with the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman agrees with the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Afflerbach	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Alderette	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Angstadt	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Armstrong	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schuler
Barber	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Battisto	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Belardi	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Belfanti	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Herman	Noye	Telek
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Clymer	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Truman

Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Irvis,
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	Speaker
Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Beloff	Cohen	Harper	Levin
Burd			

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendment No. A0819:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 5, line 1, by striking out "necessary" and inserting
reasonable

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is designed to conform this bill to several other bills that have dealt with licensing laws in the sunset process. We are changing the word "necessary" to the word "reasonable" in terms of the board members' expenses incurred in attending meetings and when they are on other board business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, it is agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler

Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Murphy	Telek
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingier	Pott	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Evans	Livengood	Rudy	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Beloff	Harper	Richardson	Stuban
Cohen			

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendment No. A0839:

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 9.2), page 14, line 9, by inserting after "shop."

For the purpose of this section only, when a licensee under this act and a licensee under the act of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law, are subject to formal proceedings for violations of this act or the Barbers' License Law, the board and the State Board of Barber Examiners shall consolidate all formal actions against such licensees for the purpose of prosecution and hearing provided that the board shall retain to itself exclusively the power to revoke or suspend licenses after such prosecution and hearing.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, under certain provisions of this bill the barbers and cosmetologists will be allowed to practice in the same shop. This amendment is designed to make the situations in which charges made against someone operating within a shared shop are allegedly in violation of the law so that the cosmetology board and the barber board, for purposes of a formal hearing on such charges, may sit together; that is, evidence can be presented and arguments can be made to both boards so as to negate the necessity of holding two formal hearings on what are essentially the same charges. The boards will still have to meet separately to make adjudications in their separate cases.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, I also agree with this one.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashingier	Pratt	Wright, D. R.

Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Sirianni Wilson

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco Hutchinson O'Donnell Spencer
Dininni Marmion Rappaport Stevens
Freind

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendments No. A0630:

Amend Bill, page 25, by inserting between lines 2 and 3

Section 28. Nothing contained in this act, or the act to which this is an amendment, shall be construed as prohibiting any municipality or any county department of health or joint-county department of health from adopting appropriate ordinances or regulations, not inconsistent with the provisions of this act or the rules and regulations adopted thereunder, as may be deemed necessary to promote the public health and safety and regulate the conduct of cosmetology shops and schools. Any municipality shall have power, by proper ordinances, to fix the days and hours during which cosmetology shops in the said municipality may be open for business. All municipalities with proper ordinances shall have power to enforce the provisions of this section.

Amend Sec. 28, page 25, line 3, by striking out "28" and inserting

29

Amend Sec. 29, page 25, line 8, by striking out "29" and inserting

30

Amend Sec. 30, page 25, line 12, by striking out "30" and inserting

31

Amend Sec. 31, page 25, line 18, by striking out "31" and inserting

32

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, the amendment inserts into the bill language which is similar to that in the barber law allowing local municipalities to set rules and regulations regarding the health and safety of the citizens within their municipality and still not be in violation of the State's cosmetology licensing law.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I agree with this one, too, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingner	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco Hutchinson O'Donnell Spencer
Dininni Marmion Rappaport Stevens
Freind

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendment No. A0938:

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec.9.2), page 14, lines 2 through 9, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 9.2. Shared Shops.—(a) A licensed barber and a licensed cosmetologist shall be permitted to work in the same shop if the requirements of this section are met. Any licensed shop which employes a licensed barber and a licensed cosmetologist shall not be required to erect or install any physical barriers which separate the barber and the cosmetologist. All licensed shops shall conform with the provisions of section 4.4 of this act.

(b) A cosmetology shop licensee who shall employ a licensed barber shall also be a licensed cosmetologist manager and a barber or employ a cosmetologist manager who is licensed as a barber.

(c) All shops licensed prior to or on the effective date of this section shall not be required to comply with subsection (b), but shall comply with all other provisions of this act.

(d) All owners or managers of shops licensed after the effective date of this section who shall employ licensed barbers shall themselves or their cosmetologist managers be eligible for the barber license examination if they have a current cosmetologist manager's license. No additional curriculum hours shall be required. If the owner or the manager fails the examination they shall be required to obtain an additional six hundred ninety-five curriculum hours as prescribed in section 3.2 of the act of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the members for their patience.

This last amendment is dealing with the shared-shop situation which I just mentioned. In certain circumstances, barbers and cosmetologists are presently permitted to practice in the same shop. This amendment, as agreed to, I think, by most of the members of the committee if not all, would allow a slight liberalization of that process, so that contrary to previous law, there will no longer have to be a wall separating the practice of a cosmetologist and a barber. There are some other provisions in the law making it possible so that present licensees can continue and can start such shared-shop practices without going through a lot of extra education and licensing processes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the "Joshua" amendment. The walls are coming down in the shops, and I agree to this.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Afflerbach	Fargo	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Schuler

Belfanti	Fryer	McVerry	Semmel
Beloff	Gallagher	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Mandirino	Sirianni
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	George	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Greenwood	Michilovic	Spitz
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Mrconic	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Deal	Lashingier	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Reinard	Zwilk
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Evans	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—1

Battisto

NOT VOTING—3

Cohen Pott Vroon
EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LASHINGER offered the following amendments No. A0835:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 4), page 7, line 12, by inserting brackets before and after "five" and inserting immediately thereafter at least two years experience as a cosmetologist or four

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 4), page 7, line 22, by inserting brackets before and after "three" and inserting immediately thereafter two

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 6), page 10, line 28, by inserting brackets before and after "five" where it appears the second time and inserting immediately thereafter four

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 6), page 11, line 7, by inserting brackets before and after "three" and inserting immediately thereafter

TWO

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an amendment that I believe was agreed to by a majority of the members of the committee. It provides for a reduction in hours for those individuals who are being licensed as a cosmetologist teacher. Currently in the statute there is a requirement that in addition to the 1,250 hours for licensing as a cosmetologist, one would need an additional 500 hours in addition to taking the examination to be a cosmetologist teacher.

We as a committee reviewed the qualifications and also the curriculum requirements that are included. We found a lot of the curriculum requirements to be duplicative of those that are required for a cosmetologist in that 1,250-hour requirement. This amendment would allow for a reduction in hours or, in lieu of those hours, a specific period, 2 years of experience, but it would still require the individual who wishes to become a teacher in the cosmetology field to take the examination. I would appreciate the support of the House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, this is not actually an agreed-to amendment, but I am going to support it wholeheartedly. I believe the hours these teachers and the owners and the students have to go through is far too much for the license they are getting. The only reason I can see for the 500 hours and all is just to keep them in school longer so the school owners can make more money. It does not improve their technique; it does not improve their qualifications; all it does is keep them in school longer. So I agree with the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate Mr. Lashinger.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Lashinger, indicates he will stand for a period of interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Seventy, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Speaker, how much does your amendment cut down the school hours?

Mr. LASHINGER. It reduces it 100 hours.

Mr. SEVENTY. How many?

Mr. LASHINGER. One hundred hours, from 500 to 400.

Mr. SEVENTY. Now that may sound good, Mr. Speaker, but— May I make a statement?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. SEVENTY. I feel that the schools are the number one influence on the progress this industry has made in the past 10 years, and here we are cutting their board members; we are cutting their hours. It just does not make sense. We are arguing with success. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Any further comment, Mr. Seventy?

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you.

I would recommend a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—62

Afflerbach	Deal	Jarolin	Rieger
Baldwin	Dietz	Johnson	Scheetz
Barber	Donatucci	Kasunic	Serafini
Battisto	Dorr	Kosinski	Snyder, G. M.
Belardi	Evans	Lashinger	Taylor, F. E.
Blaum	Fattah	Lehr	Truman
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Lescovitz	Vroon
Bowser	Freeman	Letterman	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Linton	Wiggins
Caltagirone	Gallagher	Lloyd	Williams
Carr	Greenwood	McHale	Wilson
Clymer	Harper	McIntyre	Wright, D. R.
Cohen	Hasay	McMonagle	Wright, J. L.
Cole	Hayes	Noye	
Coslett	Herman	Punt	Irvis,
Daley	Hoefel	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—132

Alderette	Foster, W. W.	Manmiller	Ryan
Angstadt	Gallen	Markosek	Rybak
Armstrong	Gamble	Mayernik	Saloom
Arty	Gannon	Merry	Salvatore
Belfanti	Geist	Michlovic	Saurman
Beloff	George	Micozzie	Schuler
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Semmel
Brandt	Godshall	Miscevich	Seventy
Broujos	Grieco	Moehlmann	Showers
Bunt	Gruitza	Morris	Sirianni
Burd	Gruppo	Mowery	Smith, B.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Smith, L. E.
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Hershey	Nahill	Spitz
Cimini	Honaman	O'Brien	Stairs
Civera	Itkin	Olasz	Steighner
Clark	Jackson	Oliver	Stewart
Colafella	Kennedy	Perzel	Stuban
Cornell	Klingaman	Peterson	Sweet
Cowell	Kowalshyn	Petrarca	Swift
Coy	Kukovich	Petrone	Taylor, E. Z.
Deluca	Laughlin	Phillips	Telek
DeVerter	Levi	Piccola	Tigue
DeWeese	Levin	Pievsky	Trello
Davies	Livengood	Pistella	Van Horne
Dawida	Lucyk	Pitts	Wachob
Dombrowski	McCall	Pott	Wambach
Duffy	McClatchy	Pratt	Wass
Durham	McVerry	Preston	Weston
Fargo	Mackowski	Reber	Wogan
Fee	Madigan	Reinard	Wozniak
Fischer	Majale	Robbins	Wright, R. C.
Flick	Manderino	Rudy	Zwikel

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LINTON offered the following amendments No. A1017:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 1), page 2, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

“Affiliation” for purposes of section 1.1, means any person who is an owner, a stockholder, a member of the board of trustees or board of governors, any professional employe, or consultant or any nonprofessional employe and any part-time personnel employed by a school of cosmetology.

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 9, by inserting after “practical”

and/or managerial

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 12, by striking out “directly or indirectly”

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 13, by striking out “directly or indirectly

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment deals with affiliation and defines the term “affiliation” that is used in the bill. Currently in the bill, there is listing of board membership of those who do not have any direct or indirect affiliation with schools. We felt “direct” or “indirect” was confusing, and we attempted to provide some clarity. In doing that, we defined the word “affiliation” and spelled out word by word what “affiliation” means.

We are asking for an affirmative vote on this amendment. This is also an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Agreed to, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I think the amendment is a good one, too, and I would urge the members to vote in favor of it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	George	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayermik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Godshall	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Greenwood	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Haluska	Morris	Steban
Cawley	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Pratt	Wozniak
Deaf	Lehr	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Broujos	Gannon	Reber
---------	--------	-------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CIMINI offered the following amendments No. A0891:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 7, by striking out “six” and inserting

eight

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 10, by inserting after "cosmetology."

No more than one professional member shall be currently employed as a vocational education teacher of cosmetology in the public schools.

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 12, by inserting after "a"

private

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 13, by inserting after "a"

private

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lycoming, Mr. Cimini.

Mr. CIMINI. Mr. Speaker, this amendment changes the makeup of the board by adding a vocational education teacher of cosmetology in the public schools. It is an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I believe this is a very good amendment, Mr. Speaker, and I am for it.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (187 total). Includes names like Afflerbach, Angstadt, Armstrong, Arty, Baldwin, Barber, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Beloff, Blaum, Book, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Broujos, Bunt, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Cawley, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, Colafella, Cole, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Coy, Deluca, DeVerter, DeWeese, Daley, Davies, Evans, Fargo, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, W. W., Foster, Jr., A., Freeman, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Godshall, Grieco, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Haluska, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Herman, Hershey, Hoeffel, Honaman, Jackson, Jarolin, Johnson, Kasunic, Kennedy, Klingaman, Kosinski, Kowalyszyn, Kukovich, Lashing, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Manderino, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Brien, Olasz, Oliver, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pistella, Pitts, Pott, Pratt, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Salvatore, Saurman, Scheetz, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Gannon, Smith, B., Smith, L. E., Snyder, D. W., Snyder, G. M., Spitz, Stairs, Steighner, Stewart, Stuban, Sweet, Swift, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, F. E., Telek, Tigue, Trello, Truman, Vroon, Wachob, Wambach, Wargo, Wass, Weston, Wiggins, Williams, Wilson, Wogan.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (3 total). Includes names like Dawida, Deal, Dietz, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Laughlin, Lehr, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levi, Levin, Linton, Preston, Punt, Reber, Reinard, Richardson, Rieger, Robbins, Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Zwikl, Irvis, Speaker.

NAYS—3

Table listing names of members who did not vote (4 total). Includes Alderette, Itkin, Miscovich, NOT VOTING—4.

Table listing names of members who were excused (9 total). Includes Greenwood, Maiale, Van Horne, Wright, R. C., EXCUSED—9.

Table listing names of members who were excused (9 total). Includes Cordisco, Dininni, Freind, Hutchinson, Marmion, O'Donnell, Rappaport, Spencer, Stevens.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SEVENTY offered the following amendments No. A0918:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 10, by striking out "one" and inserting

two

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 11, by striking out "member" and inserting

members

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to say that this is a partially agreed-to amendment. Partially.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman care to go into detail?

Mr. SEVENTY. Not really. I would also like to add it has nothing to do with crossfiling, anticrossfiling, or even double-crossfiling.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is most pleased.

Mr. SEVENTY. All I want to do is add one more school affiliate on the board. In the past, we have had three or four members who were part of the board who were affiliated with the schools. This is a very successful industry, and we in our infinite wisdom are trying to screw it up. I would appreciate a "yes" vote on this.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I can agree a little bit with what Representative Seventy said about this being a great industry. I cannot say that about the board though. We went through many hours of hearings, and we found out that four of the five professionals on the board are school owners and they have been dominating the school board for years.

One of the arguments to put more school owners on the board that I hear is that they are more knowledgeable than anybody else. But I have a friend of mine in the city of Philadelphia who is the head of the Philadelphia building trades, and he is a carpenter by trade, and he is a school owner, and I do not believe he can build hairdos; I do believe he can build fences.

But this is the only board I know of that has that many school owners on their board and affiliated with the schools. The osteopaths, the dentists, the optometrists, pharmacists, podiatrists, C.P.A.'s (certified public accountants), chiropractors - none of them have more than one school; they do not have any. A couple of the boards are affiliated with the faculties of different schools, like your medical professions. But as this board stands now, it is going to be made up with one school owner, and we just added the vo-tech teachers, and the rest will be cosmetologists, people who have been dealing in their field for more than 5 years. I do not feel we need the school owners or those who are affiliated with schools to dominate this board, and my feelings on that are because every time they come up with new regulations, it is always to make the license harder and get you back into school. So I am very seriously and diametrically opposed to this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does anyone else seek to be recognized on this question?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I also oppose the amendment. Unfortunately, the board's activities in many cases have become self-serving, and as indicated by the gentleman from Philadelphia, the board has essentially been dominated by school owners for many years. This is an effort by the committee, which studied the process very thoroughly, to get the control of this system more into the hands of the people who are out there in your and my communities and less into the hands of a self-serving board. I must oppose the gentleman's amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise to oppose the amendment. The preceding speakers have pointed out the conflicts of interest which exist with the board trying to force upon the cosmetologists in my community and your community the requirement for more hours. If we allow this board to continue to be dominated by school owners and by school teachers in the cosmetology schools, what you are going to see next is a requirement for continuing education. For those of you who represent areas where there are large cosmetology schools, that might be a good thing, but those of you who represent areas where there are just poor hard-working cosmetologists who are having a hard time making ends meet are going to find they are not going to be in favor of that.

Furthermore, we sat through many hearings on various licensing boards. The Cosmetology Board was the only board that came before us on which the chairman of the board, who is an affiliate, an employee of one of the schools, sat there and

testified side by side with a lobbyist. Now, keep in mind this is someone who is serving as a member of a State board, who came into that hearing and was being advised and told what to say by a private lobbyist who represents the schools. I think we ought to put an end to that, and one way to do it is to defeat the Seventy amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to my good friend, Mr. Seventy's amendment. As the previous speakers have said, we have sat through numerous hours of sunset hearings in evaluating these particular boards. The report that we received indicates that we have approximately 107,000 licensees under the Cosmetology Board, and of that 107,000 licensees, only 176 are school-affiliated licensees. So there we have the vast majority. We are talking about over 90,000 licensees who are not affiliated with the schools. We have over 90,000 licensees who are operating their cosmetology shops in your districts and my district. I feel, in the interest of equity and fairness—in the interest of equity and fairness—that we indeed should not increase the number of school owners on that board, and I ask my colleagues to vote in opposition to the Seventy amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes, for the second time on this issue, the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have heard all these arguments before - self-serving and whatever. I say they mean nothing. This is a business that is doing well. We have very few of those. Formerly we had four members on the board who were school affiliates. Now they are cutting it down to one, and partially the one we voted, Mr. Cimini's vo-tech teacher. I say, let us let this business go. Let them roll. They have been rolling. Keep it going that way. Are we trying to show our muscles or something? Vote "yes" on my amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, I believe that two out of seven members will not dominate the board; in fact, I believe the input of those members will enhance the board, and I ask you all to support the Seventy amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—81

Afflerbach	Foster, Jr., A.	Markosek	Salvatore
Alderette	Gamble	Merry	Semmel
Angstadt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Seventy
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Sirianni
Bunt	Grieco	Miscevich	Smith, L. E.
Callagirono	Gruppo	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	O'Brien	Stairs
Cessar	Haluska	Olasz	Steighner

Cimini	Hasay	Perzel	Stewart
Clark	Itkin	Petrarca	Sweet
Cole	Jarolin	Petrone	Taylor, F. E.
Cornell	Kasunic	Piccola	Telek
Cowell	Klingaman	Pistella	Trello
Deluca	Lehr	Pitts	Van Horne
Daley	Lescovitz	Pott	Wachob
Dawida	Letterman	Pratt	Wambach
Dombrowski	McVerry	Reber	Wargo
Duffy	Madigan	Robbins	Weston
Fargo	Maiale	Ryan	Wilson
Fee	Manmiller	Saloom	Wogan
Fischer			

NAYS—111

Armstrong	Dorr	Laughlin	Richardson
Arty	Durham	Levi	Rieger
Baldwin	Evans	Levin	Rudy
Barber	Fattah	Linton	Rybak
Battisto	Flick	Livengood	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Lloyd	Scheetz
Belfanti	Freeman	Lucyk	Schuler
Beloff	Fryer	McCall	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	McClatchy	Showers
Book	Gallen	McHale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	McIntyre	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Geist	McMonagle	Spitz
Broujos	George	Mackowski	Stuban
Burd	Greenwood	Manderino	Swift
Burns	Gruitza	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harper	Moehlmann	Tigue
Cawley	Hayes	Morris	Truman
Civera	Herman	Mowery	Vroon
Clymer	Hershey	Mrkonic	Wass
Cohen	Hoeffel	Murphy	Williams
Colafella	Honaman	Noye	Wozniak
Coslett	Jackson	Oliver	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Johnson	Peterson	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wright, R. C.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pievsky	Zwikel
Davies	Kowalshyn	Preston	
Deal	Kukovich	Punt	Irvis,
Dietz	Lashingner	Reinard	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING—2

Mayernik	Wiggins
----------	---------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0835 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has before it a motion for reconsideration.

It is moved by the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, and seconded by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, that the vote by which amendment A0835 to HB 1848 was defeated on March 20, 1984, be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. Point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GALLEN. Are we supposed to have a roll-call vote on a reconsideration motion or not?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. According to the sheet that I have here, it calls for a voice vote. However—

Mr. GALLEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No; there is no need then.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. No. We will go to a roll-call vote.

Mr. GALLEN. No; I did not request one.

The Speaker pro tempore. The gentleman raised the point. We will have a roll-call vote on the reconsideration motion. Those in favor of the motion will vote "aye"; those opposed will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—181

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Alderette	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Angstadt	Flick	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Baldwin	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Barber	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Battisto	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Belardi	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Belfanti	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Beloff	Gannon	Markosek	Sirianni
Blaum	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Book	George	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Godshall	Micozzie	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Broujos	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Bunt	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Burd	Gruppo	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Hagarty	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cappabianca	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cessar	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Cimini	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Clark	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Clymer	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams

DeWeese	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Lashingner	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dawida	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd	Ryan	

NAYS—2

Fischer Preston

NOT VOTING—11

Cohen	Durham	Linton	Sweet
Colafella	Fattah	McIntyre	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Herman	Maiale	

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The clerk read the following amendments No. A0835:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 4), page 7, line 12, by inserting brackets before and after "five" and inserting immediately thereafter at least two years experience as a cosmetologist or four

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 4), page 7, line 22, by inserting brackets before and after "three" and inserting immediately thereafter two

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 6), page 10, line 28, by inserting brackets before and after "five" where it appears the second time and inserting immediately thereafter four

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 6), page 11, line 7, by inserting brackets before and after "three" and inserting immediately thereafter two

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, is the question before the House again the amendment of Mr. Lashingner's that was defeated earlier?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Yes, Mr. Ryan. The number is A0835, and we will call upon Mr. Lashingner to present that amendment again.

Mr. RYAN. I thought that was a good vote the last time. I would like to see it come out about the same this time, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman for his guidance.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashingner, on amendment A0835.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is so infrequent that I disagree with the minority leader, but this amendment, to refresh the memory

of the members, would involve the reduction of hours, or in lieu of those hours some practical experience, for individuals who are attempting to become licensed as cosmetologist teachers.

I talked about the committee that examined the Cosmetology Board reviewing the curriculum requirements and the courses that were offered to those individuals. Without belaboring the point in reviewing word for word some of those curriculum requirements or some of those courses that were required of the person pursuing a teacher-cosmetologist license, I can only say that some of them were absurd. Some of them were practical. Some of them were life experiences that anyone, whether they wanted to be a teacher-cosmetologist or not, would have picked up through just normal life experiences, and one, it was the estimation of the committee that the board, via the school domination of the board that was just debated during the Seventy amendment, was imposing its natural prejudice on the curriculum requirements for those teacher-cosmetologists in requiring people who had picked up a lot of experience in the chemistry area, in the anatomy area, through the courses that they took to become licensed as cosmetologists, to now take similar, in some cases the same, courses in an additional 500-hour curriculum to be licensed as a teacher-cosmetologist. I can only tell you that elsewhere in the bill there is a waiver for this.

Mr. Speaker, seeing the way the vote went last time, based on a brief comment by my friend, Mr. Seventy, I think it is important to let the members know exactly where the battle-lines are on this amendment, and it is purely the schools that continue to insist that these additional hours are important in terms of the quality of instruction that is going to be offered by one of these teacher-cosmetologists. Well, I can only tell the members that the evaluation by the committee proves that that just is not the case, and in spite of that, the person who has these reduced hours will still have to take the examination that all of the teacher-cosmetologists have to take, whether they have the 500 hours, the 400 hours, or the 2 years of practical experience.

I myself like the addition of some practical experience. The committee had the opportunity to see individuals who went through the curriculum straight from cosmetologist to manager-cosmetologist to teacher-cosmetologist, had literally no practical experience in any shop anywhere in the Commonwealth, and yet were qualified to teach cosmetology. I think that the addition of some practical experience is important in terms of the quality of instruction that will be offered by these cosmetologists.

Elsewhere in the bill, the vocational cosmetology teachers—there are a lot of phrases being thrown around; I know it is confusing—who are teaching in our tech schools in the Commonwealth do not, in the existing statute, have the curriculum requirement of 500 hours. If they are vocational teachers and they are licensed cosmetologists—that means they have a vocational certificate and they have the 1,250 hours as a licensed cosmetologist—then they can teach. They are the individuals whom we are allowing to teach our technical

school students across the Commonwealth. As far as I know, *everyone in this chamber seems to be satisfied with the type of cosmetologists that we are churning out of our technical schools across the Commonwealth. So there is a lot of inconsistency through the bill.*

We have an apprenticeship program; we provide for an apprenticeship program in the bill. Well, for all intents and purposes we do not have an apprenticeship program in the Commonwealth, because only teacher-cosmetologists can teach those apprentices and there are so few teacher-cosmetologists, except the ones who are in these schools who are promoting the continuation of 500 hours plus the examination.

Mr. Speaker, I know that is a long explanation of what is a pretty simple subject, and it boils down to what Mr. Seventy characterized as *being whether you support the continuation of 500 hours, which, if the membership is willing to rely on the information that the committee has garnered, is not important because of how duplicative it is of what those individuals have already taken in other courses. So we are not reducing it. If you say you are voting against this amendment because you do not want to reduce the quality of the education, then you are being misled, Mr. Speaker. Only look at the curriculum requirements, and some of the curriculum requirements, again, without belaboring the point, are, how to make a profit; do not forget about beauty after the consumer leaves your shop.*

I do not think it is important for us to be teaching, in a 500-hour curriculum, those courses. We do not teach people how to make a profit in any of the other instructional frameworks that we have for any of the other licensure boards in the Commonwealth, and that is why I think it is important to be realistic, to be practical, and give individuals an opportunity to become licensed as teacher-cosmetologists in Pennsylvania, and that is what this amendment will do. It will also bolster the apprenticeship program that we are trying to create in this bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the amendment. I think it is important that the members understand that if this amendment passes, there will be no reduction in the number of hours that a person has to put in in order to become a cosmetologist. So the technical kinds of things that we might be worried about protecting our consumers, there is no need to worry because you are still going to have to go through the regular requirements to become a cosmetologist. The issue is, *how much additional training do you have to have in order to be able to teach somebody else? And I think that what many of us in this body have said on many occasions is that experience is the best teacher. That is what the Lashinger amendment seeks to take advantage of. It is saying that we do not need all this extra training to teach somebody to be a teacher; that if he knows how to be a cosmetologist and he or she has experience, that is the major factor that is necessary.*

In addition, if this amendment passes, it will be more attractive for people in your community and mine who want to get into cosmetology to go into an apprentice program, to go into a local shop and work.

In addition to that, Mr. Speaker, it will lower the expenses of the cosmetology schools because they will not have to pay as much for teachers, because the teachers do not have to pay as much to get trained. So there is a potential here that we can make this field more competitive and by doing that save the consumers some money in the long run.

For all of those reasons, Mr. Speaker, and underscoring the fact that this does not in any way reduce the qualifications of people who want to be cosmetologists, I would urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the maker of the amendment stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates he will stand for a period of interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Preston, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PRESTON. Mr. Speaker, perhaps you can help me understand. Are you saying that a person can have 2 years of experience or 400 hours?

Mr. LASHINGER. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I am saying that a person can do exactly what they do now - continue their training directly from cosmetology, take an additional 400 hours, and then take the examination to be a teacher, instead of taking the current 500 hours - or get their 1,250 hours as a cosmetologist and take the cosmetology license, go out and work in a shop 2 full years, get that practical experience that Mr. Lloyd just alluded to, and then still take this standardized examination and attempt to qualify as a teacher-cosmetologist.

Mr. PRESTON. Can you give me your explanation of what 2 full years is? It only says 2 years of experience. In other words, what I am trying to get at is, is it possible for a person to be working even 1 hour a week for 2 years and be qualified for this?

Mr. LASHINGER. I think that is important, should the amendment be adopted, Mr. Speaker, that that be a part of the legislative intent. I am not sure how many people, though, ever look at the legislative intent, but that is surely not my intent; it is that one be employed on a full-time basis for a full 2-year period.

Mr. PRESTON. But does your amendment address "full time"? It just says 2 years of experience, and my question is, is it not possible for a person, under this amendment, to say that if they only spend 1 hour a week, that that could be qualified under this as far as 2 years of experience?

Mr. LASHINGER. Two points on that, Mr. Speaker. I think it is possible to circumvent the language in the bill. I think by creating legislative intent, which I just attempted to do in my dialogue with you, we closed that loophole.

Secondly, it is my belief, knowing how the Board of Cosmetology feels so strongly about this now, that they would adopt regulations and surely further define that to mean full time, as I just defined for you on the House floor.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I speak on the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PRESTON. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to vote "no" on this amendment. I do not think that it clearly defines what 2 years of experience is, and I do not think that we are really doing anything good here, because we are opening a gate for an awful lot of people to get through without really going through the intention of the legislation. So I would just ask the members to vote "no" on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the Lashinger amendment. As I listened to the argument, I think that we have overlooked the matter of practical experience and seem to be relying unnecessarily on the length of hours and the number of hours, and I am reminded of the story of the farmer, when he was purchasing a bull for his dairy herd, and he remarked in a similar vein that I am not interested in how long it takes the animal; I am interested in what he accomplishes. I think the same thing obtains here, where we are more interested in accomplishments rather than some number of hours. I urge passage of the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, the first point I want to take up, and I want to let Mr. Preston know, is right now in the bill and in the regulations it is only 18 months of experience, and we took it up to 2 years of experience. Even though we lowered the hours by 100, we made it another 6 months. It is no different from what the bill says, except we are adding 6 months to it. And as we went back before, everybody is worried about teachers and everything, the schools, less hours, but we are coming into less hours because the teachers already had half of these courses, and like Mr. Lloyd brought up, it will give us the opportunity for the owners to hire teachers for their apprentice program. In the apprentice program right now, the only ones who can teach them in the shop are owner-teachers, and this allows the owners now to go out and hire a teacher also.

I am in favor of the Lashinger amendment, and I would appreciate your "yes" votes. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the amendment. At a time when we are increasing training for accountants, when we are increasing training for real estate agents, I do not believe that we should be reducing the hours to become a teacher in this field. I am an insurance agent. Because I sell insurance for 3 or 4 years,

does that mean I am qualified to go out and teach the subject? Not necessarily. I would ask you to continue to oppose the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I hate to use scare tactics, but there are an awful lot of chemicals that these people in these schools deal with, and unless they are properly addressed and taught, you are going to have some health problems. Please, the rest of the House, consider that part of my argument. Vote "no" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes, for the second time, the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To respond to a couple of the arguments which have been made - first, Mr. Preston's argument about when is a year a year. I suggest that the licensing statutes are filled with places where references are made to a year's experience or 2 years' experience or 3 years' experience, and all of the licensing boards have managed by regulation to figure out what those ought to mean. I think to put the hypothetical that, well, that could be 1 hour a week for 52 weeks, the licensing board simply is not going to do that. We have the power, through our regulatory review process, to shoot down any such regulation if in fact that is what the board tries to do.

In response to Mr. Alderette's comment about increasing the hours requirements for other professions, in fact we did not increase the hours requirements for the C.P.A.'s; we kept them the same. I do not think we increased any hours requirements for realtors in that bill. In addition, we are not changing the hours to be a cosmetologist, the person who deals directly with the public; we are changing the hours of the person who does the teaching. And finally, that person, in order to be a teacher, still must pass a test, and the gentleman, Mr. Seventy's point about chemicals and so forth, that can be part of the test. In addition, if that person has to be a cosmetologist, he or she already knows how to use those chemicals, and that is taught in order to be a cosmetologist and is not affected by the teacher's license at all.

So for all of those reasons, I think the arguments against this amendment boil down to whether you want to be on the side of the shopowner or you want to be on the side of the schools. I urge a "yes" vote, and I urge us to vote for the small businessman.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I will try to be brief, but I think it is important that the members understand exactly what they are doing here, and I would ask that many of the members who voted against this amendment previously now support it.

As was pointed out by the gentleman, Mr. Lashinger, we are not reducing the critical things that a teacher and a manager of a barbershop ought to know. Let me read to you some of the things that are in the curriculum presently and can be cut out easily. This is right from the regulations: figuring out beauty shop income; figuring out beauty shop expenses.

I will not belabor that point, but the committee looked carefully at the regulations and the curriculum and decided that at least 100 hours of that curriculum is basically designed to keep people in school longer so that they pay more fees to the school owners before they can get their upgrading of their license. I suggest to the members that if you favor your constituents back home who are cosmetologists today and who might wish to improve themselves so that they could manage a shop or teach school in the future, that you ought to be supporting this amendment so as to reduce the requirements which are now ridiculous for them to upgrade themselves in their profession. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER *pro tempore*. The Chair recognizes, for the second time on this question, the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, let us not forget that times change; chemicals are coming along that perhaps are new to the field that might be interesting for teachers to know. We are on the brink of, perhaps, curing baldness, and maybe the teachers might be learning of those things in the future.

I thank Mr. Lloyd for bringing to my attention that we in fact did not increase any hours, but I might point out that we did not lessen the hours on the other boards. So, Mr. Speaker, I hope you would again oppose this amendment. There is no sense in reducing something when it has been a good thing. Thank you.

The SPEAKER *pro tempore*. The Chair recognizes, for the second time, the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, I do not think Mr. Alderette was picking on all the fellows with a little bit of hair. But one of the school owners I know—

The SPEAKER *pro tempore*. The gentleman will please steer clear of that subject. The Chair is rather sensitive.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I am getting there.

But I want to bring up about these dangerous chemicals and all, and I brought this up earlier. I know a school owner who is a carpenter by trade, and I know he does not know about chemicals. So I think that is a fallacy on Mr. Seventy's part. The cosmetologists, the beauticians and all, they are not going to work with chemicals when working on people's hair that they do not know anything about. Once they lose one customer, I guarantee they will lose their shop, because that customer will go out and tell everybody in the neighborhood that their hair turned green or turned red or that they went bald on account of the chemicals that cosmetologist was using. So that is not really a valid point.

The valid point is that we are going to make it easier for these people who are qualified, not harder, and that is what the board has been doing for the last several years - making it harder to get a license. I think it is wrong to keep people out of the field because they have to have 500 hours, and 100 hours which mean nothing to the cosmetologists at all. It has nothing to do with hairdressing; it has nothing to do with hair care; it has nothing to do with anything in the beauty parlor. Again I will ask for a "yes" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—52

Afflerbach	DeWeese	Hasay	Pievsy
Baldwin	Daley	Hayes	Richardson
Barber	Deal	Herman	Scheetz
Battisto	Dietz	Kasunic	Sirianni
Belardi	Dorr	Kukovich	Snyder, G. M.
Bowser	Evans	Lashingner	Swift
Burns	Fattah	Lehr	Taylor, F. E.
Caitagirone	Foster, Jr., A.	Linton	Telek
Carn	Freeman	Lloyd	Tigue
Cawley	Fryer	McCall	Wargo
Clymer	Gallagher	McHale	Wiggins
Cohen	Greenwood	Merry	Wilson
Cole	Harper	Noye	Wright, D. R.

NAYS—139

Alderette	Gamble	Maiale	Ryan
Angstadt	Gannon	Manderino	Rybak
Armstrong	Geist	Manmiller	Saloom
Arty	George	Markosek	Salvatore
Belfanti	Gladeck	Mayernik	Saurman
Beloff	Godshall	Michlovic	Schuler
Blaum	Grieco	Micozzie	Semmel
Book	Gruitza	Miller	Serafini
Boyes	Gruppo	Miscevich	Seventy
Brandt	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Showers
Broujos	Haluska	Morris	Smith, B.
Bunt	Hershey	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Hoeffel	Mrkonic	Snyder, D. W.
Cappabianca	Honaman	Murphy	Spitz
Cessar	Itkin	Nahill	Stairs
Cimini	Jackson	O'Brien	Steighner
Civera	Jarolin	Olasz	Stewart
Clark	Johnson	Oliver	Stuban
Colafella	Kennedy	Perzel	Sweet
Cornell	Klingaman	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Kosinski	Petrarca	Trello
Cowell	Kowalyszyn	Petrone	Van Horne
Coy	Laughlin	Phillips	Vroon
Deluca	Lescovitz	Piccola	Wachob
DeVerter	Letterman	Pistella	Wambach
Davies	Levi	Pitts	Wass
Dawida	Levin	Pott	Weston
Dombrowski	Livengood	Pratt	Wogan
Duffy	Lucyk	Preston	Wozniak
Durham	McClatchy	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	McIntyre	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Fee	McMonagle	Reinard	Zwikl
Fischer	McVerry	Rieger	
Flick	Mackowski	Robbins	Irvis,
Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Rudy	Speaker
Gallen			

NOT VOTING—3

Donatucci	Truman	Williams
-----------	--------	----------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER *pro tempore*. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashingner.

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, I am going to take some time to figure out that vote. There must be a moral to that though, that that was reconsidered by Representative McMonagle and Representative Lloyd and that there were fewer votes the second time around after the lengthy discussion. There must be a moral to that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We eagerly await your findings.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks his good friend, the gentleman from Boyertown, Mr. Fryer, for presiding.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1848 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0918 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair has before it a motion for reconsideration, filed by Representative Seventy and seconded by Representative Boyes, for the vote by which amendment 0918 to HB 1848 was defeated on this day of March 20, 1984.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Table listing names of members who voted YEAS, including Afflerbach, Alderette, Angstadt, Armstrong, Arty, Baldwin, Barber, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Beloff, Blaum, Book, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Broujos, Bunt, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Cawley, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, Colafella, Cole, Evans, Fargo, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, W. W., Foster, Jr., A., Freeman, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Godshall, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Haluska, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Herman, Hershey, Hoeffel, Honaman, Itkin, Levin, Linton, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Misceviech, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Brien, Olasz, Oliver, Perzel, Peterson, Robbins, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Salvatore, Saurman, Scheetz, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, L. E., Snyder, D. W., Snyder, G. M., Spitz, Stairs, Steighner, Stewart, Struban, Sweet, Swift, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, F. E., Telek, Tigue, Trello, Van Horne, Wachob.

Table listing names of members who voted NAYS, including Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Coy, Deluca, DeVerter, DeWeese, Daley, Davies, Dawida, Deal, Dietz, Dombrowski, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Jackson, Jarolin, Johnson, Kasunic, Kennedy, Klingaman, Kosinski, Kowalyszyn, Kukovich, Lashinger, Laughlin, Lehr, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levi, Maiale, Manderino, Truman, Vroon, Williams, Wambach, Wargo, Wass, Weston, Wiggins, Wilson, Wogan, Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Wright, R. C., Zwick, Irvis, Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Table listing names of members who did not vote, including Donatucci, McIntyre, Maiale, Manderino, Truman, Vroon, Williams.

EXCUSED—9

Table listing names of members who were excused, including Cordisco, Dininni, Freind, Hutchinson, Marmion, O'Donnell, Rappaport, Spencer, Stevens.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The clerk read the following amendments No. A0918:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 10, by striking out "one" and inserting

two

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 11, by striking out "member" and inserting members

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would just like to reiterate. In the past 10 years we have had three and four affiliates of schools on this present board that we are changing so drastically. All I am asking for is one additional affiliate with the schools to be on the board. I think it would be a total of two school affiliates out of 11. Please vote for my amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle, rise?

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, I just want to oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The amendment is on the board. There is nothing before us but the vote to be taken by the House.

Mr. McMONAGLE. I am voted wrong, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, is to be voted in the negative.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—122

Afflerbach	Fee	Maiale	Serafini
Alderette	Fischer	Manderino	Seventy
Angstadt	Foster, Jr., A.	Manmiller	Showers
Arty	Gamble	Markosek	Siriami
Belardi	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Belfanti	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Book	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Godshall	Miscevich	Steighner
Bunt	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Burd	Grieco	Nahill	Stuban
Burns	Gruitza	O'Brien	Swift
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Haluska	Perzel	Telek
Cimini	Hasay	Petrarca	Tigue
Clark	Hoeffel	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Itkin	Piccola	Van Horne
Cohen	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wachob
Colafella	Kasunic	Pistella	Wambach
Cole	Klingaman	Pott	Wargo
Cornell	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Weston
Cowell	Kukovich	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
DeVerter	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Livengood	Ryan	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Lucyk	Rybak	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	McHale	Saloom	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	McVerry	Salvatore	
Duffy	Mackowski	Schuler	Irvis,
Fargo	Madigan	Semmel	Speaker

NAYS—69

Armstrong	Evans	Kosinski	Peterson
Baldwin	Fattah	Lashingier	Phillips
Barber	Flick	Lehr	Pitts
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	Levi	Richardson
Beloff	Freeman	Levin	Rieger
Blaum	Fryer	Linton	Robbins
Bowser	Gallagher	Lloyd	Rudy
Brandt	Gallen	McCall	Scheetz
Broujos	Geist	McClatchy	Smith, B.
Carn	Harper	McMonagle	Snyder, G. M.
Cessar	Hayes	Micozzie	Spitz
Civera	Herman	Miller	Sweet
Coslett	Hershey	Moehlmann	Truman
Coy	Honaman	Mowery	Vroon
Deal	Jackson	Mrkonic	Wass
Dietz	Johnson	Murphy	Wiggins
Dorr	Kennedy	Noye	Williams
Durham			

NOT VOTING—3

Donatucci	McIntyre	Saurman
-----------	----------	---------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dinianni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. HARPER offered the following amendment No. A1020:

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 12), page 16, line 23, by striking out "metropolitan areas" and inserting metropolitan areas and cities of the first and second class

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment just adds the first- and second-class cities. I left in the metropolitan areas, and the reason I added the first- and second-class cities is because in Philadelphia the examination is being held only outside the city, in Sharon Hill, which makes it very difficult for the students from Philadelphia to reach. I do not want to make it hard for anyone; therefore, I left in the metropolitan areas but just added the two cities where we need the examinations to be held. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes to the gentleman, Mr. Linton, for not recognizing him when he wanted to speak on the last amendment. If the gentleman wishes to file a reconsideration motion, the Chair will accept it. It was the Chair's error, not the House's error; the Chair did not see the gentleman.

On the Harper amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I thought the lady had withdrawn this amendment. Is it essentially the same thing as she submitted before?

Mrs. HARPER. No, Mr. Speaker. Before, I eliminated the metropolitan areas and had the amendment drawn just to have the examinations in the cities, but I have left the metropolitan areas in and just added the first-class cities and second-class cities, which are Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, because the majority of our students come from Philadelphia and Pittsburgh. Therefore, we should also have examinations in those two cities, which we are not having now, and it is very difficult for the students from Philadelphia to get out to Sharon Hill for the examinations. They cannot even find the place. A lot of students cannot get their license. I have heard a lot of complaints from students that they cannot even find the place out in Sharon Hill to get their license, and I do recall when I graduated from beauty culture school that we had examinations in South Philadelphia and students from all over the city could find this examination site. And the site is owned by the State; therefore, there is no cost to have the examination there, as well as outside the city.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr, is in order and may debate the amendment.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, here is the way the bill would read if the amendment is adopted: In effect, "Examinations shall be held at least four times a year in the metropolitan areas and cities of the first and second class of Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Wilkes-Barre, Harrisburg, and Erie at such hours...."

Mr. Speaker, it is grammatically incorrect; it is technically incorrect; and the policy, in my judgment, for reasons explained when we discussed the amendment earlier, is bad. The board has had difficulty, again, in getting test sites in the cities of Philadelphia, in particular, and also Pittsburgh, and we are simply allowing them a little bit of flexibility. I am sure that they will try their best to accommodate the desires of the lady and to place the tests, if possible, in the cities themselves, but where they cannot, I think we as a legislature ought to allow them some flexibility to go ahead and hold the examination where they can find a site.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, for the second time on the amendment.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I totally disagree on the site. I know from personal experience that I took the examination in Philadelphia, and there is no reason in the world that we should have the examinations only in Sharon Hill when the legislation calls for Philadelphia, and we are not having examinations in Philadelphia. That is the purpose of this amendment, to make the State board live up to the Constitution, to live up to what the bill states, and the State board is not living up to what the bill states. They are not having examinations in Philadelphia.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle. And again, was the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, seeking before to debate the amendment? Well, the Chair apologizes to you and to Mr. Linton. The Chair just came back up here and did not understand what you wanted to debate, and if you wish to file a reconsideration motion, the Chair will accept it.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the amendment, Mrs. Harper's amendment, I believe it is technically incorrect, and as I said earlier on Mrs. Harper's earlier amendment, I will personally go to the board to see that these hearings and tests are held in different parts of the cities, second class, first class, third class, fourth class, wherever it is feasible. But if we are going to limit the board to only these areas, the cost is going to go up phenomenally again. I just cannot agree with this amendment, and I will personally go to the board for Mrs. Harper to get those things corrected for her, but right now I am opposed to the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, come to the desk, please?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in agreement with those who have protested the redundancy and the incorrectness of the draftsmanship of the amendment. The Chair has instructed the lady, Mrs. Harper, to withdraw the amendment and have another one drawn up. We will pass over the bill temporarily.

We will not ignore the reconsideration motion when we come back to the bill; we will pick up the reconsideration motion when we return to the bill.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Reber, wishes to correct a vote?

Mr. REBER. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. On HB 1848, amendment 1017, I notice that my vote did not record because I did not push my switch. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1851, PN 2487**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Barbers' License Law," approved June 19, 1931 (P. L. 589, No. 202), reestablishing the State Board of Barber Examiners; and further providing for provisions relating to barbering.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LINTON offered the following amendment No. A0531:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.2), page 4, line 19, by inserting after "terms."

No member may serve more than two consecutive terms.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment places the barber law in compliance with the standard sunset amendments to the other bills which have passed the House earlier. All the amendment says is that no member may serve more than two consecutive terms. I ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes, on the amendment, the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I agree with the amendment and urge the passage of the amendment by the members.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Oiasz	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Irvis,
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—8

Beloff	Donatucci	Itkin	Moehlmann
Cohen	Harper	Levin	Telek

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendments No. A0610:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.2), page 3, line 12, by striking out "next" and inserting

immediately

Amend Sec. 8 (Sec. 5), page 12, lines 13 through 16, by striking out "and send to the board upon the" in line 13, all of lines 14 and 15, and "that the course work has been completed" in line 16

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 17, by striking out the bracket before "of"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 17, by inserting a bracket before "not"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 22, by removing the period after "days" and inserting

, or both.

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 24, by inserting a bracket before "Any"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 24, by striking out "or her"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 25, by striking out the bracket before "four"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 25, by striking out "five"

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 14, line 26, by striking out the bracket before "One"

Amend Sec. 12 (Sec. 9), page 15, line 30, by striking out "or" where it appears the first time and inserting

of

Amend Sec. 12 (Sec. 9), page 16, line 5, by inserting a bracket before "which"

Amend Sec. 12 (Sec. 9), page 16, line 6, by inserting a bracket after "thereof,"

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 12), page 20, lines 24 through 26, by striking out "shall provide the board with a notarized statement" in line 24, all of line 25 and "period required in section 3;" in line 26

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, by inserting between lines 22 and 23

(b.1) Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this act, or any rules or regulations of the board promulgated under the provisions of this act, except as herein otherwise provided, shall, upon summary conviction thereof, be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding three hundred dollars for the first offense or to undergo an imprisonment not exceeding ninety days, or both, at the discretion of the court.

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 23, by striking out "(B.1)" and inserting

(b.2)

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 27, by striking out "(B.2)" and inserting

(b.3)

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.4), page 28, lines 23 through 30; page 29, line 1, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, this amendment consists of grammatical and technical changes that need to be made in the bill to correct errors that have been made in the drafting.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton, wish to be recognized? The Chair recognizes him on the Dorr amendment.

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVertter	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Lashingner	Preston	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	Speaker
Durham	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Harper	Michlovic
--------	-----------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendment No. A0837:

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.3), page 28, line 1, by inserting after "manager,"

For the purpose of this section only, when a licensee under this act and a licensee under the act of May 3, 1933 (P.L.242, No.86), referred to as the Beauty Culture Law are subject to formal proceedings for violations of this act or the Beauty Culture Law, the board and the State Board of Cosmetology shall consolidate all formal actions against such licensees for the purpose of prosecution and hearing provided that the board shall retain to itself exclusively the power to revoke or suspend licenses after such prosecution and hearing.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, this is similar to the provision we put into the cosmetology law allowing the boards of barbering and cosmetology to meet together on formal hearing cases.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton, on the question.

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to acknowledge that once again this is an agreed-to amendment similar to the one that was in the cosmetology bill. I would like the members to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Seventy
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo

Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeLuca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—8

Beloff	Fargo	Jarolin	Michlovic
Cehen	Harper	Manderino	Salvatore

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DORR offered the following amendment No. A0939:

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec.15-A.3), page 27, lines 23 through 30; and page 28, line 1, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 15-A.3. (a) A licensed barber and a licensed cosmetologist shall be permitted to work in the same shop if the requirements of this section are met. Any licensed shop which employs a licensed barber and a licensed cosmetologist shall not be required to erect or install any physical barriers which separate the barber and the cosmetologist. All licensed shops shall conform with the provisions of section 12(a) of this act.

(b) A barber shop licensee who shall employ a licensed cosmetologist shall also be a licensed barber manager and a cosmetologist or employ a barber manager who is licensed as a cosmetologist.

(c) All shops licensed prior to or on the effective date of this section shall not be required to comply with subsection (b), but shall comply with all other provisions of this act.

(d) All owners or managers of shops licensed after the effective date of this section who shall employ licensed cosmetologists shall themselves or their barber managers be eligible for the cosmetologist license examination if they have a current barber manager's license. No additional curriculum hours shall be required. If the owner or the manager fails the examination they shall be required to obtain an additional six hundred ninety-five (695) curriculum hours as prescribed in section 9.2 of the act of May 3, 1933 (P.L.242, No.86), referred to as the Beauty Culture Law.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, this again is similar to a provision we put into the cosmetology law. It deals with the shared-shop situation. As the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, said, the

walls will be taken down in the shared shops and some of the requirements will be slightly decreased.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Once again, this is an agreed-to amendment, and I would ask the members to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Herman	Noye	Telek
Civera	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hoefel	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeLuca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Cohen	Harper	Michlovic
-------	--------	-----------

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HERMAN offered the following amendments No. A0710:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

Section 1. The title of the act of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law, amended December 2, 1976 (P.L.1267, No.282), is amended to read:

AN ACT

To promote the public health and safety, by providing for the examination and licensure of those who desire to engage in the [occupation] profession of barbering; regulating barber shops and barber schools, and students therein; regulating compensation for service rendered; conferring certain powers and duties on the Department of State; and providing penalties.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 13, by striking out "1" and inserting

2

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 13 and 14, by striking out "of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law,"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1), page 1, line 17, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 3, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 4, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.2), page 3, line 10, by striking out "occupation" and inserting

profession

Amend Sec. 4, page 4, line 29, by striking out "4" and inserting

5

Amend Sec. 5, page 10, line 15, by striking out "5" and inserting

6

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 16, by striking out "6" and inserting

7

Amend Sec. 7, page 11, line 2, by striking out "7" and inserting

8

Amend Sec. 8, page 11, line 22, by striking out "8" and inserting

9

Amend Sec. 8 (Sec. 5), page 11, line 25, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 8 (Sec. 5), page 11, line 27, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 8 (Sec. 5), page 11, line 29, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 9, page 13, line 6, by striking out "9" and inserting

10

Amend Sec. 10, page 13, line 22, by striking out "10" and inserting

11

Amend Sec. 10 (Sec. 7), page 13, line 27, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 11, page 14, line 3, by striking out "11" and inserting

12

Amend Sec. 12, page 15, line 15, by striking out "12" and inserting

13

Amend Sec. 13, page 16, line 28, by striking out "13" and inserting

14

Amend Sec. 14, page 18, line 20, by striking out "14" and inserting

15

Amend Sec. 15, page 19, line 2, by striking out "15" and inserting

16

Amend Sec. 16, page 22, line 1, by striking out "16" and inserting

17

Amend Sec. 17, page 23, line 6, by striking out "17" and inserting

18

Amend Sec. 18, page 23, line 30, by striking out "18" and inserting

19

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 5, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 16, by inserting brackets before and after "occupation" and inserting immediately thereafter

profession

Amend Sec. 19, page 26, line 28, by striking out "19" and inserting

20

Amend Sec. 20, page 29, line 2, by striking out "20" and inserting

21

Amend Sec. 21, page 29, line 6, by striking out "21" and inserting

22

Amend Sec. 22, page 29, line 11, by striking out "22" and inserting

23

Amend Sec. 23, page 29, line 17, by striking out "23" and inserting

24

Amend Sec. 24, page 29, line 22, by striking out "24" and inserting

25

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this bill does is it recognizes that the barbers will be recognized as a profession rather than an occupation. The language in the amendment is similar to that which is already contained in the cosmetology law, which we hope to pass also today.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, this was not an agreed-to amendment. However, I encourage my colleagues to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallen	Majale	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Herman	Noye	Telek
Civera	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker

Evans

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Cohen Harper Michlovic
EXCUSED—9

Cordisco Hutchinson O'Donnell Spencer
Dininni Marmion Rappaport Stevens
Freind

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LINTON offered the following amendments No. A0522:

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6), page 13, line 10, by inserting brackets before and after "cities" and inserting immediately thereafter metropolitan areas

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 15, line 11, by striking out "OR REMAINS ON INACTIVE STATUS"

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 12), page 20, lines 5 and 6, by inserting brackets before and after "and in case of non-payment of the fine and costs of prosecution" and inserting immediately thereafter or

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 12), page 20, line 7, by inserting after "days"

, or both

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 11, by striking out the bracket before "thirty"

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 11, by striking out "ninety"

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 26, by inserting after "MONTHS"

, or both

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.4), page 28, line 27, by striking out "less than ten dollars nor"

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.4), page 28, lines 28 and 29, by striking out "less than ten days nor"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is also an agreed-to amendment, and it is also an amendment that includes a number of technical changes, but they have all been agreed to. One, it gives the board a wider selection of test sites, and it places the statute in compliance with current board practices. There is a grammatical correction amending section 11, page 15, line 11.

There are a number of technical amendments, Mr. Speaker, and they all have been agreed to by the members of the committee. I encourage my colleagues to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

What is the number of the next amendment to be offered by the gentleman, Mr. Linton?

The SPEAKER. The Chair does not know. We will have to look at the amendment.

We are on amendment A0522. The next one will be A1018.

Mr. DORR. A1018?

The SPEAKER. A1018. Yes.

Mr. DORR. Could we pass over this one, Mr. Speaker? We do not have a copy of it, and we would like to take a look at it and go to A1018, which we do have a copy of. Sorry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. It is all right.

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentleman, Mr. Linton, temporarily withdraws amendment A0522. The Chair hears no objection.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LINTON offered the following amendments No. A1018:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.1), page 2, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

“Affiliation” For purposes of section 2.2, means any person who is an owner, a stockholder, a member of the board of trustees or board of governors, any professional employe, or consultant, or any nonprofessional employe and any part-time personnel employed by a school of barbering.

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.2), page 3, line 19, by striking out “directly or indirectly,”

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 2.2), page 3, lines 21 and 22, by striking out “, either directly or indirectly,”

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton, on amendment A1018.

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, similar to the amendment that was proposed to the cosmetology law, what this amendment attempts to do is provide clarity to the words that were formerly in the law which stated, “either directly or indirectly.” What we are doing in this amendment, Mr. Speaker, is just defining the word “affiliation” and elaborating on what affiliation means in the law.

I ask my colleagues to vote in the affirmative on this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I concur with the gentleman and urge a “yes” vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Beloff	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cessar	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Cimini	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Civera	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Clymer	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	Zwilk
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Cohen	Harper	McClatchy	Sweet
Cornell	Hayes	Ryan	

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We do not yet have copies to distribute of the second Linton amendment, so we will have to pass over HB 1851 temporarily.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.
 Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, neither the minority leader, Mr. Ryan, nor myself were recorded on that last amendment, A1018 to HB 1851. We would both like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes to the gentlemen for not having their votes recorded. The Chair directs the clerk to record the votes in the affirmative for Mr. Hayes and Mr. Ryan. You mean the whole leadership got left out?

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, please do not put in the record the fact that we were distracted by Miss Sirianni.

The SPEAKER. Oh, I would not think of that at all.

The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record that Mr. Hayes and Mr. Ryan would like to be recorded in the affirmative on the last amendment, A1018 to HB 1851.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1851 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is advised by the gentleman, Mr. Dorr, that in his opinion the Linton amendment A0522 is merely a technical amendment and he is willing to go ahead.

Does the Chair hear objection to doing that? Very well. With the absence of objection, the Chair will go ahead.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LINTON offered the following amendments No. A0522:

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6), page 13, line 10, by inserting brackets before and after "cities" and inserting immediately thereafter metropolitan areas

Amend Sec. 11 (Sec. 8), page 15, line 11, by striking out "OR REMAINS ON INACTIVE STATUS"

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 12), page 20, lines 5 and 6, by inserting brackets before and after "and in case of non-payment of the fine and costs of prosecution" and inserting immediately thereafter or

Amend Sec. 15 (Sec. 12), page 20, line 7, by inserting after "days"

, or both
 Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 11, by striking out the bracket before "thirty"

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 11, by striking out "ninety"

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 15), page 24, line 26, by inserting after "MONTHS"

, or both
 Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.4), page 28, line 27, by striking out "less than ten dollars nor"

Amend Sec. 19 (Sec. 15-A.4), page 28, lines 28 and 29, by striking out "less than ten days nor"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I also would like to thank the gentleman, Mr. Dorr, for agreeing to allow us to proceed with this amendment.

As stated earlier, this is indeed a technical amendment, and I encourage my colleagues to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Alderette	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Angstadt	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Armstrong	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Barber	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Battisto	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Belardi	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Geist	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	George	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Godshall	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Bunt	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Gruitza	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Hasay	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Herman	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hershey	Noye	Telek
Civera	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Itkin	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Jackson	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Jarolin	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Johnson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Kennedy	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Klingaman	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kukovich	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Lashingier	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Lehr	Preston	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Irvis,
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

EXCUSED—9

Cohen	Cornell	Harper	
Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafrilla	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Hagarty

EXCUSED—9

Cordisico	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1711, PN 2554**, entitled:

An Act designating the entire portion of Interstate Route 81 in Pennsylvania as the American Legion Memorial Highway; requiring the Department of Transportation to erect signs designating Fort Indiantown Gap National Cemetery; and making an appropriation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LUCYK offered the following amendments No. A1016:

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "Highway;" designating the entire portion of Keystone Highway Interstate Route 80 in Pennsylvania as the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States/Z.H. Confair Memorial Highway;

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

Section 3. The entire portion of Keystone Highway Interstate Route 80 situate in Pennsylvania shall hereafter be known as the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States/Z.H. Confair Memorial Highway.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 14, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 15, by striking out "this highway designation" and inserting these highway designations

Amend Sec. 4, page 1, line 17, by striking out "4" and inserting

5

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 2, by striking out "5" and inserting

6

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk.

Mr. LUCYK. Mr. Speaker, this amendment designates Route 80 running through northern Pennsylvania as the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States/Z. H. Confair Memorial Highway.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy

Beloff	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Foster, W. W.

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel

Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikel
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A
RESOLUTION**

Mr. COLAFELLA called up **HR 198, PN 2678**, entitled:

Establishing a select committee to investigate compliance and the effectiveness of procedures used to determine compliance with the act of March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), known as the Steel Products Procurement Act.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, from time to time during the course of this term of the General Assembly, I have objected to resolutions that contained subpoena powers in them. This is an exception, because I have been given assurances by the sponsor of the resolution and the majority leader that in this particular case there has been a statement made by the attorneys for the contractors that absent a subpoena they have no intention of cooperating, and under those circumstances, I think that it is not inappropriate that subpoenas be inserted in the resolution.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoefel	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsy	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1848 RESUMED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0918 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. Because the Chair was precipitous and not as alert as the Chair should have been, the Chair called the vote on Mr. Seventy's reconsidered amendment too rapidly.

For that reason, the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, and the gentleman, Mr. Linton, have filed a reconsideration motion whereby they move to reconsider the vote by which the House passed the Seventy amendment, A0918, to HB 1848 on March 20, 1984.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Angstadt	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Armstrong	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Arty	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Baldwin	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Barber	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belfanti	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Blaum	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Book	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo

Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
DeLuca	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashingner	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl •
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton	Robbins	

NAYS—2

Godshall Sirianni

NOT VOTING—7

Alderette	Gannon	Peterson	Williams
Beloff	Maiale	Truman	

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The clerk read the following amendments No. A0918:

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 10, by striking out “one” and inserting

Amend ^{two} Sec. 3 (Sec. 1.1), page 4, line 11, by striking out “member” and inserting members

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank the members of the House for their patience on this, but I think that there is some clarity needed on the Seventy amendment, and I just want to make sure that everyone is clear on what they are voting on.

Once again, we have spent a number of hours meeting on sunset legislation and numerous hours of hearings, all of which my good colleague and friend, Mr. Seventy, was privileged to be a member of. During those hearings and during those investigations, our committee felt that there should be some equity and fairness on the membership of the board. We recognized the fact that on the current board, on which there are six professional members, three of those members are school affiliated, but we also recognize that close to 80 to 90 percent of those practitioners for which this board is responsible are licensed as either operators, manicurists, managers, or shopowners. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that in terms of equity and fairness, the membership on that board, the majority of those members, should not be from the schools. They represent a very small percentage of those who are indeed controlled by that board.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Seventy amendment, and I encourage my colleagues to support the legislative process that we have gone through where we sat with all those members of the special interests, where we sat through many, many hours of hearings, and we tried to come up with compromises which would, one, insure the fair and equitable operation of this board, and also insure that consumers and those practitioners are represented fairly on the board. Because of that, Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to vote against the Seventy amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle, on the Seventy amendment.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, again I am in opposition to this amendment. I do not care what people think or say. The school owners, when they are on the board, or those who are affiliated with the board, will dominate that board and will make the regulations harder. Their main job, I believe, while sitting on that board, is to increase hours and make people go back to school more and more and more.

Like I said before, the cosmetologists are very good cosmetologists. They know the products they are using. If just being a school owner makes them someone who is important who knows all things, like I said before, it is a fallacy, because most school owners do not know what they are doing, I tend to believe. They told us the apprentice program is no good because the apprentices come out of the shops not knowing what they are doing. But I have many cosmetologists in my area who complain about the students coming out of schools, that the schools are not training them properly, but yet the school board and school owners and the Cosmetology Board, all they want to do is make more and more regulations, make it harder on the children of our schools who are coming out and getting into the profession, and like Mr. Seventy said, a very good profession, a very honorable profession, and a profession that is doing well in this State. But the more they oppose us, the worse this program is going to get, and we are going to have less and less of our own children get into that program. If it was up to the school owners, I believe they all would have to have a college education before they could become a cosmetologist. For that reason, I still oppose this amendment, and I urge my colleagues to vote against the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to reiterate what I had said before. In the past 10 years the board makeup was seven members, three of whom, possibly four at times, were school affiliated. Now there will be 11 members, and it is just such a drastic change that I recommend that you vote for my amendment. All we are asking for is an additional member that will be school affiliated.

As I said, this is a thriving industry. Please, let us not mess it up. Vote for my amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Boyes, on the Seventy amendment.

Mr. BOYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe it to be a reasonable amendment and a reasonable compromise in this situation, and I would urge an affirmative vote on the Seventy amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr, on the Seventy amendment.

I must have missed something while I was away.

Mr. DORR. Well, Mr. Speaker, I was looking at Mr. Linton and thought he was going to speak, and so I did not get up at the time, but I would like to make just a couple of remarks, if I may.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I realize it has been a long process and I can see how you would miss it because of the length of the process, but we earlier did compromise this issue, Mr. Speaker. The Cimini amendment, passed earlier this afternoon, Mr. Speaker, was an effort to compromise this issue. Apparently, after that was agreed to, other members of the school affiliations asked Mr. Seventy to go one step further and have asked him to submit this amendment. Mr. Speaker, our cosmetologist friends out in our districts and the students who have been put through the wringer for years by these schools do not need to have the continued domination of those schools on this board.

The committee process, the sunset process that we have been through, is, I think, being tested severely here this afternoon. It is a process which the members of this House voted into law with an expectation that reduced regulation and reduced influence on the laws regulating the professions of this State by those who had special interests in regard thereto would result, and here we are being tested to determine whether or not that will be the case. I believe that we need to reduce the influence of the schools, who have a definite interest in the regulations of this board, to reduce their influence on that board, and I urge the members to oppose the Seventy amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Afflerbach	Fischer	McVerry	Rybak
Alderette	Flick	Mackowski	Saloom
Angstadt	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Salvatore
Arty	Gamble	Maiale	Saurman
Belardi	Gannon	Manmiller	Schuler
Belfanti	George	Markosek	Semmel
Book	Gladeck	Mayernik	Seventy
Boyes	Godshall	Merry	Showers
Bunt	Greenwood	Michlovic	Sirianni
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Steighner
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Stewart

Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Itkin	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Jarolin	Perzel	Tigue
Cole	Kasunic	Petrarca	Trello
Cornell	Kennedy	Petrone	Van Horne
Coslett	Klingaman	Piccola	Wachob
Cowell	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wambach
Coy	Laughlin	Pott	Weston
Deluca	Lehr	Pratt	Wilson
Daley	Lescovitz	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Letterman	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lucyk	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	McClatchy	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Fee	McHale	Ryan	Zwikl

NAYS—78

Armstrong	Durham	Levi	Robbins
Baldwin	Evans	Levin	Rudy
Barber	Fargo	Linton	Scheetz
Battisto	Fattah	Livengood	Serafini
Beloff	Foster, W. W.	Lloyd	Smith, B.
Blaum	Freeman	McCall	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Fryer	McIntyre	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Gallagher	McMonagle	Spitz
Broujos	Gallen	Manderino	Stuban
Caltagirone	Geist	Micozzie	Swift
Carn	Harper	Miller	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Moehlmann	Vroon
Cohen	Herman	Noye	Wargo
DeVerte	Hoeffel	Oliver	Wass
DeWeese	Honaman	Peterson	Wiggins
Davies	Jackson	Phillips	Williams
Deal	Johnson	Pievsky	Wright, R. C.
Dietz	Kosinski	Pitts	
Donatucci	Kukovich	Richardson	Irvis,
Dorr	Lashingier	Rieger	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. HARPER offered the following amendments No. A1026:

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 12), page 16, line 23, by inserting after "in"

the city limits of Philadelphia and in

Amend Sec. 18 (Sec. 12), page 16, line 24, by inserting brackets before and after "Philadelphia"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am only trying to change one word in the bill, section 18 on page 16, where the bill states that the examinations should be held in the metropolitan areas of Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Wilkes-Barre, Harrisburg, and

Erie. The examinations are not being held in Philadelphia. That is why I would like to include the word "city" of Philadelphia, to make certain that the examination is held in Philadelphia like it used to be held in Philadelphia. The examination is now being held in Sharon Hill, but legislation states "Philadelphia metropolitan area." The majority of the students come from Philadelphia, and that is where the examination should be held. I know from personal experience, because I graduated from beauty culture school, and the examination was held in Philadelphia. It should be held there again, and I ask you to support my amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, as much as I would like to agree with Mrs. Harper, I believe we are still going to run into the same problems, and I have to be opposed to the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I, too, oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, for the second time on the amendment.

Mrs. HARPER. I would just like to ask Mr. McMonagle, what does he oppose about the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. McMonagle, agree to stand for interrogation?

Mrs. HARPER. I would like to know, what part of the amendment do you oppose?

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, I just believe the cost is going to be phenomenal and, I do not know, with "the city limits of Philadelphia," we are knocking out the other cities, really.

I can agree with you, there are a lot of beauty parlors and schools in the city of Philadelphia and it might be a hardship on people going outside the city of Philadelphia to take the test, but I know the rest of the State, and they have the same problem as Philadelphia has in that they have cosmetologists who travel 30, 40, 50, even up to 100 miles for a test. And the board already told me that we will have tests taken in the city of Philadelphia, but if we are going to demand it, I think we are going to limit ourselves to it, and the proctors and the third party who do the testing are going to know that it is going to have to be done in Philadelphia, so they are going to bid high and they are not going to have a low bid. We are going to have all high bids, and it is going to cost us money, and the ones in the suburbs are going to have to come into the city of Philadelphia, too. I believe we should have more areas where they should take the test but not just only in the city of Philadelphia and the big cities of this State. I believe the small towns and suburbs also need testing sites at the same time.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may speak for the second time on the amendment.

Mrs. HARPER. If you will read my amendment, I have not changed anything other than Philadelphia. Pittsburgh, Wilkes-Barre, and all of the other places remain the same. I only ask— In fact, I asked 2 years ago to have tests in Philadelphia. They refused. They have continued to have tests only in Sharon Hill. That is why I ask for this amendment, to make the board have some of the examinations in Philadelphia, which is where all the students are in that area, and the board is not living up to the legislation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—50

Barber	Cohen	Levin	Serafini
Battisto	Cowell	Linton	Seventy
Belardi	Dawida	McIntyre	Trello
Beloff	Deal	Miscevich	Truman
Blaum	Donatucci	Murphy	Van Horne
Bowser	Evans	Oliver	Wargo
Burd	Fattah	Petrarca	Wass
Burns	Gallagher	Petrone	Wiggins
Caltagirone	Harper	Pott	Williams
Carn	Hoeffel	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Cawley	Itkin	Richardson	
Cessar	Jarolin	Rieger	Irvis,
Clark	Kosinski	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—140

Afflerbach	Freeman	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fryer	McCail	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallen	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Gamble	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Gannon	McMonagle	Saurman
Belfanti	Geist	McVerry	Scheetz
Book	George	Mackowski	Schuler
Boyes	Gladeck	Madigan	Semmel
Brandt	Godshall	Manderino	Showers
Bunt	Greenwood	Manmiller	Sirianni
Cappabianca	Grieco	Markosek	Smith, B.
Cimini	Gruitza	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Civiera	Gruppo	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Clymer	Hagarty	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Colafella	Haluska	Micozzie	Spitz
Cole	Hasay	Miller	Stairs
Cornell	Hayes	Moehlmann	Steighner
Coslett	Herman	Morris	Stewart
Coy	Hershey	Mowery	Stuban
Deluca	Honaman	Mrkonic	Sweet
DeVerter	Jackson	Nahill	Swift
DeWeese	Johnson	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Daley	Kasunic	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Davies	Kennedy	Oiasz	Telek
Dietz	Klingaman	Perzel	Tigue
Dombrowski	Kowalshyn	Peterson	Vroon
Dorr	Kukovich	Phillips	Wachob
Duffy	Lashinger	Piccola	Wambach
Durham	Laughlin	Pievsky	Weston
Fargo	Lehr	Pistella	Wilson
Fee	Lescovitz	Pitts	Wogan
Fischer	Letterman	Punt	Wozniak
Flick	Levi	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Foster, Jr., A.	Lloyd	Rudy	Zwikel

NOT VOTING—4

Alderette	Broujos	Maiale	Pratt
EXCUSED—9			
Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Bunt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Cohen	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafiglia	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Kasunic	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Dawida	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—1

Harper

NOT VOTING—2

Geist Phillips

EXCUSED—9

Cordisco	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Spencer
Dininni	Marmion	Rappaport	Stevens
Freind			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My vote failed to record on that last vote. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on HB 1848.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. There being no further business to be brought before this day's session, the gentleman from York, Mr. Snyder, is recognized.

Mr. G. M. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, March 21, 1984, at 11 a.m., e.s.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 4:50 p.m., e.s.t., the House adjourned.