

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1984

SESSION OF 1984

168TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 15

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.s.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Almighty and Everlasting God, we move in the arena of the busy activities of life, and we know that Thou art by our side; we face the tensions, anxieties, and difficulties which confront us, and we are confident that Thou art very near with Thy strength, Thy power, and Thy might; and we conclude the many opportunities of service in Thy name with the sense of satisfaction and commendation, and we rest in the assurance of the tranquility and serenity of Thy blessed peace.

O God, make us confident of Thy uplifting power; fill us completely with Thy outpouring love; and empty us devotedly by Thy extended outreach. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

CALENDAR

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 664, PN 741, entitled:

An Act authorizing cities of the first and second class to defer the payment of certain tax increases on real property.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 664 be removed from the active calendar and recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 868, PN 978, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for maintenance-of-way tax credits.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 868 be removed from the active calendar and recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1604, PN 1998, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), excluding transfers between brothers and sisters or their spouses.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1604 be removed from the active calendar and recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED

The House proceeded to HB 1476, PN 2443, on final passage postponed, entitled:

An Act amending "The Local Tax Enabling Act," approved December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257, No. 511), prohibiting the levying of tax on amusement devices.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1476 be removed from the active calendar and recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal of Tuesday, February 21, 1984, will be postponed until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no objection.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence. Are there any requests from the Democratic Party? The indications are there are no requests at this time. Are there any requests from the Republican Party? The indications are there are no requests at this time. The Chair, without objection, will return to leaves of absence at a later time. The Chair hears no objection.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for this morning. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—201

- | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|
| Afflerbach | Evans | Lloyd | Ryan |
| Alderette | Fargo | Lucyk | Rybak |
| Angstadt | Fattah | McCall | Saloom |
| Armstrong | Fee | McClatchy | Salvatore |
| Arty | Fischer | McHale | Saurman |
| Baldwin | Flick | McIntyre | Scheetz |
| Barber | Foster, W. W. | McMonagle | Schuler |
| Battisto | Foster, Jr., A. | McVerry | Semmel |
| Belardi | Freeman | Mackowski | Serafini |
| Belfanti | Freind | Madigan | Seventy |
| Beloff | Fryer | Maiale | Showers |
| Blaum | Gallagher | Manderino | Sirianni |
| Book | Gallen | Manmiller | Smith, B. |
| Bowser | Gamble | Markosek | Smith, L. E. |
| Boyes | Gannon | Mayernik | Snyder, D. W. |
| Brandt | Geist | Merry | Snyder, G. M. |
| Broujos | George | Michlovic | Spencer |
| Bunt | Gladeck | Micozzie | Spitz |
| Burd | Godshall | Miller | Stairs |
| Burns | Greenwood | Miscevich | Steighner |
| Caltagirone | Grieco | Moehlmann | Stevens |
| Cappabianca | Gruitza | Morris | Stewart |
| Carn | Gruppo | Mowery | Stuban |
| Cawley | Hagarty | Mrkonic | Sweet |
| Cessar | Haluska | Murphy | Swift |

- | | | | |
|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Cimini | Harper | Nahill | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Civera | Hasay | Noye | Taylor, F. E. |
| Clark | Hayas | O'Brien | Telek |
| Clymer | Herman | O'Donnell | Tigue |
| Cohen | Hershey | Olasz | Trello |
| Colafella | Hoeffel | Oliver | Truman |
| Cole | Hutchinson | Perzel | Van Horne |
| Cordisco | Itkin | Peterson | Vroon |
| Cornell | Jackson | Petrarca | Wachob |
| Coslett | Jarolin | Petrone | Wambach |
| Cowell | Johnson | Phillips | Wargo |
| Coy | Kasunic | Piccola | Wass |
| Deluca | Kennedy | Pievsky | Weston |
| DeVerter | Klingaman | Pistella | Wiggins |
| DeWeese | Kosinski | Pitts | Williams |
| Daley | Kowalshyn | Pott | Wilson |
| Davies | Kukovich | Pratt | Wogan |
| Dawida | Lashinger | Preston | Wozniak |
| Deal | Laughlin | Punt | Wright, D. R. |
| Dietz | Lehr | Rappaport | Wright, J. L. |
| Dininni | Lescovitz | Reber | Wright, R. C. |
| Dombrowski | Letterman | Reinard | Zwinkl |
| Donatucci | Levi | Richardson | |
| Dorr | Levin | Rieger | Irvis, |
| Duffy | Linton | Robbins | Speaker |
| Durham | Livengood | Rudy | |

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Honaman

EXCUSED—1

Marmion

LEAVES ADDED—2

Miscevich

Honaman

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 1634, PN 2063

By Rep. FEE

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), providing for countywide transfers of licenses.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

HB 1730, PN 2216

By Rep. FEE

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the suspension of drivers' licenses or the right to apply for driver's licenses of persons under 21 years of age who are convicted or plead to certain crimes relating to intoxicating beverages.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 452, PN 513

By Rep. FEE

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), increasing certain penalties.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1948 By Representatives OLIVER, PIEVSKY,
TRUMAN and EVANS

An Act amending the act of July 22, 1983 (P. L. 687, No. 22A), entitled "An act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania," increasing the appropriation for the operation and maintenance of Berean.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, February 22, 1984.

No. 1949 By Representative DeWEESE

An Act amending the "Dog Law," approved December 7, 1982 (P. L. 784, No. 225), authorizing actions for damages in certain cases; and imposing penalties.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, February 22, 1984.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is glad to welcome to the hall of the House from the city of Beaver Falls, as the guests of Representative Barry Alderette, Chief Harry Pease and Officers Don Burdine, Rich Pegg, and Lloyd "Skip" Haswell.

The Chair also welcomes from Delaware County Lois Halfly and Carl Pfeiffenberger, who are the guests of Mary Ann Arty.

STATEMENT BY MR. DAVIES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the distinguished gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, under unanimous consent. Today he is very distinguished. He will tell you why.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to forego what is unto Caesar or what is to the lawgiver unto the lawgiver.

This is a special occasion - sweets in honor of granddaughters, 6 pounds 10 ounces and 7 pounds 6 ounces. I am now a granddad.

The SPEAKER. Twin granddaughters. Congratulations. Wonderful.

CALENDAR CONTINUED BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 122, PN 136**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Public Welfare Code," approved June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), providing for attendant care services for certain persons.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 122, PN 136, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Would you please return to leaves of absence?

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair so returns, and the minority whip is recognized. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave for the lady from Lancaster, Mrs. HONAMAN, for the day.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave is granted. The Chair hears none.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 455, PN 1738**, entitled:

An Act regulating continuing care facilities; imposing duties upon the Insurance Commissioner; requiring certificate of authority; revocation of certificates; regulating disclosure statements; advertisement; regulating financial reserves; requiring escrows; regulating residents' agreements; establishing an advisory council; granting right of organization; regulating liquidation and rehabilitation; imposing civil liability; providing for the right to investigate and subpoena, liens, cross-collateralization, cease and desist orders and audits; imposing fees and regulations; and making criminal penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 455, PN 1738, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1856, PN 2558; HB 1848, PN 2568; and HB 1851, PN 2487.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell, rise?

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, if you could return to leaves of absence?

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair so returns and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. I request leave for the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. MISCEVICH, for the day.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1919, PN 2556, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Public Utility Commission.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for HB 1919, including Afflerbach, Alderette, Angstadt, etc.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' for HB 1919, including Colafella, Cole, Cordisco, etc.

NAYS—1

Mrkonic

NOT VOTING—8

Table listing names of members who did not vote for HB 1919, including Freind, Gruitza, Hoeffel, etc.

EXCUSED—3

Table listing names of members who were excused for HB 1919, including Honaman, Marmion, Miscevich.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1832, PN 2383, entitled:

An Act providing for an appropriation to the High Speed Intercity Rail Passenger Commission.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Mr. HUTCHINSON offered the following amendment No. A0567:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 9, by inserting after "Act." Upon completion of the studies conducted pursuant to this act, no additional Commonwealth funds shall be authorized for implementation of the commission recommendations without approval of the electors of the Commonwealth through a State-wide referendum.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just roll the amendment. Both sides said okay. We will roll the amendment without getting into a bunch of gully water.

The SPEAKER. Apparently the Chair has been getting contradictory signals up here.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Durham	Linton	Rudy
Alderette	Evans	Livengood	Ryan
Angstadt	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Arty	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Baldwin	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Seventy
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Book	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	George	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Carn	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	O'Donnell	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	Olasz	Truman
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lashingier	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reinard	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Pitts Spitz

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevich

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Angstadt	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Arty	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Battisto	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belardi	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Beloff	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Book	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson	Perzel	Truman
Cordisco	Itkin	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingier	Preston	Wogan
Deal	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd	Ryan	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Brandt Colafella Freind

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Colafella, rise?

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, I was not in my seat when HB 1832 was voted. If I had been in my seat, I would have voted "yes."

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Brandt.

Mr. BRANDT. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1832 on final passage, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1920, PN 2509**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	George	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Herman	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Peterson	Truman

Cordisco	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kowalshyn	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	
Dorr	Levi	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rudy	Speaker
Durham	Linton		

NAYS—2

Noye	Vroon
------	-------

NOT VOTING—2

Book	Perzel
------	--------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 312, PN 1344**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 22, 1978 (P. L. 1166, No. 274), referred to as the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency Law, authorizing a crime prevention program; providing for technical and financial assistance to law enforcement agencies; and making an appropriation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.

Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Herman	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hoefel	Perzel	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pott	Williams
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Preston	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	
Duffy	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—2

Boyes Merry

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Misceovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1839, PN 2398**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Borough Code," approved February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), further providing for advertising relating to contracts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Aiderette	Evans	Lloyd	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Scheetz
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Schuler
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Semmel
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, B.
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Gannon	Mayernik	Spencer
Broujos	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Bunt	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Godshall	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Harper	O'Brien	Telek
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Tigue
Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Trello
Cohen	Herman	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Hershey	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hoefel	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Johnson	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Kasunic	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pott	Williams
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilson
Daley	Kosinski	Preston	Wogan
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lashinger	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Laughlin	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lehr	Richardson	Zwikl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rieger	
Donatucci	Letterman	Robbins	Irvis,
Dorr	Levi	Rudy	Speaker
Duffy	Levin	Ryan	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

EXCUSED—3

Linton Morris Pitts
Honaman Marmion Misceovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1840, PN 2399**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Borough Code," approved February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), authorizing appropriations for neighborhood crime watch programs.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Suban
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson	Perzel	Truman
Cole	Itkin	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeVertter	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Gruitza

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Mitsceovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1841, PN 2400**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), authorizing appropriations for neighborhood crime watch programs.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Bunt	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Godshall	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Suban
Carn	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Clymer	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Cohen	Hershey	Oliver	Truman
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVertter	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Preston	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashingier	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reinard	Zwikl
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	

Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	Irvis,
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Speaker
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Gruitza	O'Brien	Spitz
---------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1842, PN 2555**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), further providing for advertisements relating to contracts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Durham	Linton	Robbins
Alderette	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Angstadt	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Geist	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mochlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Colafiglia	Hershey	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo

Cowell	Jarolin	Phillips	Wass
Coy	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Deluca	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kosinski	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reinard	
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Irvis,
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Speaker
Duffy	Levin		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Lucyk	Spitz	Telek	Truman
-------	-------	-------	--------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. TELEK. On the last bill, HB 1842, I did not vote for the legislation. If I was voting, I would vote in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 159, PN 2545**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Township of Independence, Washington County, to convey a certain tract of land acquired pursuant to the Project 70 Land Acquisition and Borrowing Act.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore

Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Buni	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Perzel	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Deluca	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Preston	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwilk
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Clymer Wright, J. L.

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevic

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1340, PN 2553**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the release of Project 70 restrictions imposed on certain lands owned by the Township of Sewickley, Westmoreland County.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Faitah	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Sweet	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson	Perzel	Truman
Cole	Itkin	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Haluska

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevic

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I failed to vote on HB 1340, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1333, PN 1590**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 27, 1939 (P. L. 1199, No. 404), entitled "An act relating to the assessment of real and personal property and other subjects of taxation in counties of the first class;***; fixing the salaries of members of the board, assessors and assistant assessors, and providing for the payment of salaries and expenses from the county treasury; prescribing the powers and duties of the board and of the assessors, the time and manner of making assessments, of the revision and notice of assessments and of appeals therefrom; prescribing the records of assessments; and repealing existing laws," further providing for ratio establishment and determination.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Duffy	Levin	Robbins
Alderette	Durham	Linton	Rudy
Angstadt	Evans	Livengood	Ryan
Armstrong	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Baldwin	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Fischer	McClatchy	Scheetz
Battisto	Flick	McHale	Schuler
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Semmel
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Serafini
Beloff	Freeman	McVerry	Seventy
Blaum	Freind	Mackowski	Showers
Book	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Geist	Mayernik	Spencer
Burd	George	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steighner
Caitagirone	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Carn	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Harper	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Trello
Colafella	Herman	Oliver	Truman

Cole	Hershey	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Johnson	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kasunic	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pott	Wilson
Daley	Klingaman	Pratt	Wozniak
Davies	Kosinski	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Deal	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dietz	Lashingner	Reber	Zwinkl
Dininni	Laughlin	Reinard	
Dombrowski	Lehr	Richardson	Irvis,
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rieger	Speaker
Dorr	Letterman		

NAYS—7

Levi	O'Brien	Salvatore	Wogan
Mrkonic	Perzel	Weston	

NOT VOTING—1

Spitz

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1241, PN 2502**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the ownership and maintenance of gas service lines and for gas or electric utility advertising expenses and regulation.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the House do concur in the amendment offered in the Senate.

Very briefly, what the amendment does, Mr. Speaker, is that the Senate added to the bill a section dealing with the use of advertising within the operations of the gas companies; that is, that they cannot use for political purposes their capability of advertising or mailing within the structure of their operation and then charge it off to the ratepayers of this State.

It is not an amendment that has any complication dealing with the vote in the House, so I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Afferbach	Durham	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Fryer	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gamble	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Godshall	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Herman	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingier	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Harper Mackowski

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Misceovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

* * *

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1445, PN 2530**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending "The C. P. A. Law," approved May 26, 1947 (P. L. 318, No. 140), creating the State Board of Accountancy and granting it powers and duties; further providing for education and experience requirements and permits to practice; and providing for injunctions and penalties.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What the Senate has done is to make some technical changes in the bill, including changing the effective date and clarifying some language. They added a provision to make it crystal clear that those people who are practicing now in bookkeeping and tax preparation who do not need to be licensed would continue to be exempt from licensing. So, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Wass	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	McVerry	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Showers
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Herman	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
	Kasunic	Pievsky	Wass

Deluca	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingner	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Maiale

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, rise?

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

When HB 1241 was passed, I was out of my seat. Had I been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative on concurrence. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McKean, Mr. Mackowski.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, had I been in my seat during the vote on concurrence in HB 1241, I would have voted in the affirmative, too. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS CONTINUED

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1565, PN 2531**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the "Landscape Architects' Registration Law," approved January 24, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1527, No. 535), reestablishing and continuing the State Board of Landscape Architects; increasing per diem reimbursement for board members; providing for removal from the board for nonattendance at meetings; removing the requirement that the board keep a list of all licensed landscape architects; requiring the board to furnish the General Assembly with status reports of pending formal complaints; reducing the experience requirement of applicants; further providing for exemptions from licensing; and making editorial changes.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, on that question, the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We recommend concurrence with the Senate. There has been one small change, and that is that some employees of the State will have to be licensed. From what I understand, it is only a small group of people, so concur in the bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Afflerbach	Evans	Linton	Ryan
Alderette	Fargo	Livengood	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	Oliver	Truman
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kowalshyn	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lashingner	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikel
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	
Dorr	Levi	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—4

Cawley Lloyd Pratt Tigie

NOT VOTING—1

Maiale

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

RESOLUTIONS

Mrs. DURHAM called up **HR 178, PN 2522**, entitled:

Directing the House Committee on Transportation to study the feasibility of installing seat belts in all school buses.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—173

Afflerbach	Duffy	Livengood	Saloom
Alderette	Durham	Lucy	Saurman
Angstadt	Evans	McCall	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	McClatchy	Semmel
Arty	Fattah	McHale	Serafini
Baldwin	Fee	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Fischer	McMonagle	Showers
Battisto	Flick	McVerry	Sirianni
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Beloff	Freind	Manderino	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Gallagher	Manmiller	Snyder, G. M.
Book	Gallen	Markosek	Spencer
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Spitz
Broujos	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	George	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Gladeck	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Carn	Gruitza	Murphy	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Haluska	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Harper	Olasz	Telek
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Tigie
Clymer	Hershey	Petzel	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Peterson	Truman
Colafella	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cole	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Jarolin	Piccola	Wargo
Cornell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Coslett	Kasunic	Pistella	Weston
Cowell	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
Coy	Kosinski	Pott	Williams
Deluca	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
DeWeese	Kukovich	Preston	Wogan
Daley	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dawida	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Deal	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikl
Dininni	Letterman	Rieger	
Dombrowski	Levi	Rudy	Irvis,
Donatucci	Levin	Ryan	Speaker
Dorr	Linton	Rybak	

NAYS—25

Bowser	Gamble	Lloyd	Punt
Brandt	Godshall	Merry	Robbins
Bunt	Hasay	Moehlmann	Scheetz
DeVerter	Hayes	Mrkonic	Van Horne
Dietz	Jackson	Noye	Vroon
Foster, Jr., A.	Klingaman	Phillips	Wozniak
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Maiale Salvatore

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscevich

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. SALVATORE called up **HR 179, PN 2523**, entitled:

Memorializing Congress to take action to prevent Federal civil service operations personnel from being replaced by private contractors.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—158

Afflerbach	Dininni	Linton	Rieger
Alderette	Dombrowski	Livengood	Robbins
Angstadt	Donatucci	Lloyd	Rudy
Armstrong	Duffy	Lucy	Rybak
Arty	Durham	McCall	Saloom
Baldwin	Evans	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Fargo	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Fattah	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Fee	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Fischer	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Freeman	Maiale	Spitz
Blaum	Freind	Manderino	Stairs
Book	Gallagher	Manmiller	Steighner
Boyes	Gallen	Markosek	Stevens
Burd	Gamble	Michlovic	Stewart
Burns	Gannon	Micozzie	Stuban
Caltagirone	George	Miller	Sweet
Cappabianca	Gladeck	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Grieco	Mowery	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Telek
Cessar	Gruppo	Murphy	Tigie
Cimini	Hagarty	Nahill	Trello
Civera	Haluska	O'Brien	Truman
Clark	Harper	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Wachob
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Wambach
Colafella	Hutchinson	Petzel	Wargo
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wass
Cordisco	Johnson	Petrone	Weston
Cornell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wiggins
Coslett	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
Cowell	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Coy	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wogan
Deluca	Kukovich	Pott	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Lehr	Punt	Zwikl
Dawida	Lescovitz	Rappaport	
Deal	Letterman	Reber	Irvis,
Dietz	Levin	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—39

Bowser	Geist	Madigan	Sirianni
Brandt	Godshall	Merry	Smith, B.
Broujos	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Hasay	Noye	Snyder, D. W.
DeVerter	Hayes	Peterson	Snyder, G. M.
Dorr	Herman	Phillips	Spencer
Flick	Jackson	Ryan	Swift
Foster, W. W.	Kennedy	Scheetz	Vroon
Foster, Jr., A.	Levi	Semmel	Wozniak
Fryer	McClatchy	Showers	

NOT VOTING—3

Itkin	Mayernik	Reinard
-------	----------	---------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. SALOOM called up **HR 180, PN 2524**, entitled:

Directing the Game and Fisheries Committee to conduct a study of the policies, practices, programs and conduct of the Game Commission and its personnel to insure continued availability of wild game and the financial viability of the Game Fund.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Afflerbach	Duffy	Linton	Rieger
Alderette	Durham	Livengood	Robbins
Angstadt	Evans	Lloyd	Rudy
Armstrong	Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan
Arty	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Baldwin	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Barber	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Battisto	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Schuler
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Semmel
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Geist	Markosek	Spitz
Bunt	George	Merry	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steighner
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Telek
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Herman	O'Donnell	Truman
Cohen	Hershey	Olasz	Van Horne
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wachob
Cordisco	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Weston
Coy	Kasunic	Piccola	Wiggins
Deluca	Kennedy	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Kosinski	Pitts	Wogan

Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lashingier	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Irvis,
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—11

Brandt	Foster, Jr., A.	Scheetz	Snyder, G. M.
DeVerter	Levi	Sirianni	Spencer
Foster, W. W.	Noye	Smith, L. E.	

NOT VOTING—3

Gruppo	Mayernik	Taylor, F. E.
--------	----------	---------------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 704, PN 786**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Bituminous Coal Mine Act," approved July 17, 1961 (P. L. 659, No. 339), removing a provision relating to steam locomotives; and prohibiting the use of internal combustion engines in underground coal mines.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. SAURMAN offered the following amendments No. A0623:

Amend Title, page 1, line 10, by striking out "and prohibiting" and inserting

declaring a moratorium on

Amend Title, page 1, line 10, by inserting after "of" certain

Amend Title, page 1, line 11, by removing the period after "mines" and inserting

; and providing for the appointment of a special committee.

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 18, by inserting after "286.1."

Moratorium on the Use of Certain

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 18, by striking out "Prohibited"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 18 by striking out "The" and inserting

(a) A moratorium on the

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 19, by striking out "an"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 19, by striking out "engine shall not be permitted" and inserting

engines

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 20, by striking out "any"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 1, line 20, by striking out "mine" and inserting

mines shall be in effect until the committee established in subsection (b) has issued its report

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 2, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

(b) A special committee, consisting of the Director of the Bureau of Deep Mine Safety in the Department of Environmental Resources, the president of an employee organization representing mine workers to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the president of an association representing mine owners to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, shall be established. Any member may appoint a designee to serve in his place. The duty of the committee shall be to study the safety of internal combustion engine use in deep mines. The committee shall issue a report to the Mines and Energy Management Committee of the House of Representatives within one year after all its formation.

Section 3. The Speaker of the House of Representatives shall make the appointments provided for in this act within 30 days of its effective date.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 6, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I apologize for introducing this amendment at the last moment. Frankly, I have been concerned, since our committee meeting, with HB 704 and HB 705, and a thought occurred to me that seemed to make the thing more realistic and more workable.

The bill itself would ban the use of internal combustion machines in deep mines. My amendment would replace the banning with a moratorium and would establish a committee to study the safety of these machines in the deep mines. That committee would consist, according to the amendment, of a member of the Bureau of Deep Mine Safety of DER (Department of Environmental Resources), a member of the negotiating representatives of the miners themselves, and a representative of the mine owners.

In our committee deliberations, there was a great deal of discussion and, in my opinion, no real conclusion as to the safety of this equipment in the mines. I just feel that by extending this time and doing this research we have an opportunity to determine, first of all, the safety of the miners, which is most important; and secondly, if there is no real safety concern, to not prohibit the people who make this equipment from offering it for use in the mines.

I think this is, in a sense, a reasonable compromise which should accomplish the objective of the bill and keeps in mind, certainly, the safety of the miners and holds open the option based upon more information. I just do not feel that we have the final results of any detailed study in our hands in order to just completely ban this, and I would ask for your support of this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese, on the amendment.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I oppose unequivocally, unwaveringly, and unalterably this maneuver to gut the bill.

The Mines and Energy Management Committee, of which Mr. Saurman is a member, met for long hours of debate and

discussion and dialogue in November 1983 in the heart of the coalfields, and Mr. Saurman chose not to attend. Again, in the early days of the new year, in January 1984, men from the United Mine Workers and their counterparts in the industry met again in Fayette County, Pennsylvania, and discussed the merits of these measures. We discussed—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, yield?

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

I think the gentleman's remarks with regard to Mr. Saurman's nonattendance at that meeting are unwarranted.

The SPEAKER. The Chair disagrees with you.

The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DeWEESE. This amendment, Mr. Speaker, attempts to eviscerate an effort that has been ongoing in the Pennsylvania General Assembly for quite some time. My colleague on the Republican side, Mr. Telek, introduced these exact measures not that long ago, and they swept through the Assembly unmolested. There has been in recent months an attempt by the operatives of big coal to thwart the advance of these measures.

Over and over again in the committee forum we have discussed the merits of this legislation. We have had men come from CONSOL and we have had our brothers come from the United Mine Workers. We have had people who are well versed in epidemiology come and asseverate that there are health hazards long range that these bills could countervail. I would contend that the adoption of these measures, the adoption of these amendments that Mr. Saurman proposes, would leave our bills emasculated and simultaneously leave a long-range health impairment in the coal mines of Pennsylvania. We have met in the committee forum over and over again. The United Mine Workers of this State are adamant in their opposition to these amendments, and I ask that they be defeated. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the Saurman amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. G. M. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise today to urge your support of the amendment now being considered. I do this because I think it is the prudent course of action to take at this point in time. There is a lot of discussion and a lot of disagreement at the present time as to whether or not diesel machinery can be safely used in any of the underground coal mines. I do not believe it should be used until it is determined that indeed it is safe. I do not think a determination one way or the other has been made as of this point in time.

I also rise as the representative of an area that employs in excess of 2,000 people at a plant that does indeed manufacture diesel machinery. If I have to tell these people that they are no longer going to be employed manufacturing diesel machinery,

I also want to be able to tell them that the reason they cannot do that is because indeed that machinery has been shown and proven and there is evidence that it is unsafe.

Again, I think this is a good, prudent amendment. Let us get to the bottom of the problem. Let us have it determined once and for all just what the effect of diesel machinery in underground coal mines is. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, on the amendment.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the amendment for several reasons.

In the first place, the amendment as it is drafted is technically deficient. While we might like to pass a law that says that only the House of Representatives gets to decide these kinds of things, I am quite sure that that is never going to become the law. But Mr. Saurman's amendment would basically say that this issue is to be studied only in the House.

Secondly and more importantly, under the amendment, once the report has been filed, the moratorium disappears, so there is no protection. There is no requirement that we have to come back here and consider that report and make a decision. What happens is, if the committee makes a report, regardless of what that report might be, regardless of what that recommendation might be, and a mine wants to go out and put in a diesel engine the day after that report is filed, under Mr. Saurman's amendment that would be possible.

In addition, the statement has been made by the gentleman, Mr. Snyder, that there are going to be all these jobs lost. We are all very sensitive to that, but the fact of the matter is that those people cannot possibly lose any jobs which they have had manufacturing diesels for use in Pennsylvania mines, because based on what we have been told, with the exception of one up in the anthracite area, there are not any in Pennsylvania mines right now, so they cannot be making them. So therefore, while they may lose jobs that they would have gained in the future, they are not losing any jobs that they have now if this bill passes. If they are, it is for completely different reasons.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, we had last week a very serious tragedy and the loss of three lives in a coal mine in the western part of this State. This is the first opportunity that the House of Representatives has had to speak on the issue of mine safety since that disaster. I do not think that this House ought to send the signal that we are going to take the risk that some kind of a report, which might even agree that diesels should not go into mines, that we are going to take the risk with the Saurman amendment that, notwithstanding that report, we are going to let people put the diesels in anyway. I think that is the wrong signal to send to coal miners who right now are very frustrated that the laws on safety are not being enforced. So for all of those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I would urge a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the Saurman amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, consent to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Foster, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will try to keep my questions to the minimum.

Mr. Speaker, am I correct in assuming that in the absence of diesel engines in the mines, the types of engines used would be electrical engines?

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, am I also correct that the second highest cause of death in the mines is from electrical malfunctions of such engines, the first cause being cave-ins?

Mr. DeWEESE. I do not know, but I would speculate that your observation is accurate.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, that being the case, why would you offer this particular bill outlawing diesel engines when in fact nationwide the second highest cause of death is through malfunction of electrical engines?

Mr. DeWEESE. The answer to that question, Mr. Speaker, is comparatively simple, and that is that the long-range health factor supersedes the immediate safety factor. I will concede to you that both of these circumstances are worthy of great concern, but the long-range factor of inhalation of diesel fumes over the working lifetime of a coal miner supersedes the immediate safety factor on a day-to-day basis in the coal mines. Now, it does not necessarily supersede it to the laymen here in this room, but all of the studies done by organized coal miners in this country have been offered to us as a Mines and Energy Committee, and we have deliberated in committee session and felt that that long-range health factor was more significant than the immediate day-to-day safety factor.

I do concede that you bring out a good point. We just weigh one more heavily than the other. I hope that answers your question.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, to expand on that point just a bit, am I further correct that only two States in the U.S. forbid the use of diesel engines in mines?

Mr. DeWEESE. I believe that is correct.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. And am I further correct that one is in the process of repealing that prohibition, namely Virginia?

Mr. DeWEESE. That initiative has commenced. I do not know where it is on its path toward becoming law.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That concludes my interrogation. I would like to make a brief statement.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, I feel that the Saurman amendment is eminently correct in that it will make a study of the relative safety hazards involved in the two types of engines used in mines.

Since we have strong evidence that the use of electrical engines causes the second highest loss of life in mines, I think a study should be made of both types. Since all but two of our States permit the use of diesel engines and one is in the process of repealing that prohibition, I think it is foolhardy for Pennsylvania to plunge ahead blindly and outlaw the use of such an engine which might prove to be of even greater safety than the electrical engines currently used in mines. That being the case, I think the Saurman amendment is certainly the cerebral approach to this issue, and I strongly support its adoption.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Levi.

Mr. LEVI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the Saurman amendment.

The largest manufacturer of underground mining equipment in the world is in Pennsylvania, Joy Manufacturing Company. They presently make nothing but electric engines and electric equipment for in the mines, but they are doing a considerable amount of research for alternative methods, not only for safety but for the economics and the protection of the workmen. They are doing it on a research basis.

If we would take HB 704 and HB 705 in their present form, all this research would be moved out of Pennsylvania and all the new studies would be done elsewhere, and we could possibly lose some research people out of our Commonwealth. With all those factors involved and the research being done by this large company, I think we should support the Saurman amendment so we can continue on a course of study on this. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think there is one important matter that we should all consider this morning, and if you would just give me 2 minutes, I will try to define this in a hurry and I will try to tell it to you simply as it is.

There are many times that all of us, as either individuals or as a body or as a party, address a problem with little or no knowledge as to what that matter entertains. I can assure you, if you listen just 1 minute, I will tell you what my concern is and you can believe it is true.

I do not know how many of you ever worked in a mine, but I can assure you that I did, and I can also assure you that it is a tough job, and I can assure you that it is a dangerous job, and I can assure you that no matter how good a performance we provide for the safety of these men and women in these mines, we always must consider that there is only one thing that is more important than a job, and that is the safety and well-being of that individual whom we want to fill that job.

I know that Mr. Saurman or anybody else would never stand up and try to put anything in that would hurt these people; I know that. I also insist that for what they want to do, we can do anytime. I know there are many bills that come before us and there seems to be a great deal of haste. I can assure you, this is one of those that we should address today.

Those three men who were killed just last week, if it is not important to you, well, then just go on and do what you are doing, because I think it is important, not just because they were from my district, but because one of them left a wife and two children; the other one left a wife and three children, and the oldest was only 34 years old. It was thought to be that there was no danger in that mine, there was no gas in that mine, and as far as the company is concerned, those men should not have died, but they did.

So I am saying to you, in all decency, and regardless of whether the issue is new jobs or whatever it is, we can address this as an intelligent, compassionate body where we want to do the best. I think we should defeat the Saurman amendment and get about this business that is so important to protect the lives of these miners. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor of the bill answer a few questions, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, says he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Serafini is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, would this legislation affect anthracite coal mines in northeastern Pennsylvania?

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, there are two bills - HB 704 and HB 705. HB 704 would be relative to bituminous mining, and HB 705 would be relative to anthracite mining. Mr. Saurman has introduced amendments to both bills.

Mr. SERAFINI. Are you aware of any alternative equipment for the deep mining of coal relative to the diesel equipment that is currently used?

Mr. DeWEESE. Electrical equipment.

Mr. SERAFINI. Is there any electrical equipment comparable to that equipment that is currently diesel powered that could be used as an alternative immediately after the passing of this legislation?

Mr. DeWEESE. I am under the impression that if the passage of this legislation is realized, the status quo will remain in Pennsylvania mining. There is only one example of a diesel engine being operated right now in Pennsylvania underground mines, and it is located in the anthracite fields. So if this legislation does pass, what we will realize is a continuation of the status quo and no injection of diesel equipment in coal mines in Pennsylvania.

Mr. SERAFINI. In the bituminous coal mines. Correct?

Mr. DeWEESE. Bituminous and anthracite.

Mr. SERAFINI. And anthracite.

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, sir.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I am not aware of any equipment that is currently available to be used as an alternative to that diesel equipment which is currently used in anthracite coal mines. I guess my questioning relates primarily to HB 705, but I do believe that it is important to note that if this legislation passed and that equipment was outlawed, it would not be a replaceable piece of equipment.

Mr. DeWEESE. I would think that that is an inaccurate observation.

Mr. SERAFINI. Thank you.

Mr. DeWEESE. I stress "inaccurate."

Mr. SERAFINI. Yes. Well, the current piece of equipment that is being used is not substitutable by any equipment that is currently on the market, I understand, at least that equipment that is used in the anthracite coal mine, which is probably the only deep mine, anthracite mine, operating today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

For the second time on the Saurman amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In response to the gentleman, Mr. Foster, several points. First, I have a copy of the fatality reports compiled by the United States Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration for 1983 dealing with electrocution, and although I am far from an expert on coal mining, it is my understanding that none of these electrocutions in 1983 would have been prevented had there been a diesel, rather than electric, engine; that all of the electrocutions were related to some other kind of electrical problem, in many cases outside the mine, which would have to be there even if there were diesel engines.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker, the argument has been made that the cerebral way to deal with this problem is to pass the Saurman amendment. I would suggest that is not the case and, in fact, the Saurman amendment is the sneaky way to deal with this problem. If we pass the Saurman amendment, once this report is filed, it is, Katie, bar the door. The coal companies can do whatever they want regardless of what this legislature might think is the proper thing to do. The more up-front way to handle this problem would be to have the moratorium continue indefinitely until this legislature acts to change the law.

Now, we have an opportunity, even if this bill passes, for the gentlemen, Mr. Saurman, Mr. Foster, and Mr. Snyder, to study all they want and to look at the effects in other States, and if they can present the case, they can bring it back to this legislature and we can change the law. But we ought not to put ourselves into a position of saying that some committee, dominated 2 to 1 by the Department of Environmental Resources, which opposes this legislation, and by the coal operators, who oppose this legislation, we ought not to be fooled into thinking that that 2 out of 3, that they are going to go out there and have a good-faith study, and at the end of that moratorium period, when they file their report, they are going to wait until this legislature addresses the problem. That simply is not going to happen.

If you want this issue to be addressed in an honest way, pass the DeWeese bill without the Saurman amendment, and then let them study and come back to us and prove that what they are proposing to do can be done safely. I would ask once again, Mr. Speaker, for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

For the second time on the Saurman amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think quite possibly one factor in the minds of those of us who are considering this amendment, we have the feeling that the diesel engines used in mines are quite similar to those used in Greyhound buses, tractor-trailers, et cetera, and I think many people have the vision of just clouds of ugly, black smoke being poured out into the confined spaces of a mine. That is absolutely not the case. The types of engines used below ground, the types of diesel engines used in mines, are quite different, quite different from anything we are thinking of in terms of buses or trucks. They are engines that are clean operating and built specifically for underground use.

The one case that we heard of where a mine disaster occurred because of a diesel engine was one out in Utah, and the particular engine in question was a nonapproved type. It occurred because a type of engine was used there that was not approved by regulations.

Now, as we consider the alternative source of power in mines, electrical engines, can you think of anything worse than sparks, sparks in a mine that might be filling up with explosive gases? Certainly, if we are going to have danger in the mine, it is going to be greater from electrical malfunctions than from diesel, in my opinion. But I am quite willing to submit all of this to a study by an appropriate committee of this House, and that is why I think it is imperative that we adopt the Saurman amendment rather than plunge ahead blindly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Markosek, on the Saurman amendment.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, may proceed.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Mr. Speaker, there was a statement made that after the report that this Saurman amendment would provide runs out, the coal mine operators would be allowed to interject diesel equipment into the mines at that time. I believe this HB 704 is an amendment to the Pennsylvania Bituminous Coal Act, and I would like to ask the question, does that act currently disallow diesel equipment in the mines?

Mr. LLOYD. It does not. To the best of my knowledge, it certainly does not contain an absolute prohibition. I am not clear—and perhaps Mr. DeWeese can amplify on this point—whether or not the Secretary of Environmental Resources has to approve. I think that if one were to be put into the mine now, it would require the approval of that particular unit by the Secretary of Environmental Resources, but I am not absolutely positive of that. I am positive that there is no flatout prohibition in the existing law.

Mr. MARKOSEK. I was under the impression that currently there is a prohibition and that that prohibition would be continued even after the Saurman report was completed.

Mr. LLOYD. No. That is not correct, to the best of my understanding. Mr. DeWeese indicates also that that is not correct.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks both gentlemen.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Saurman, for the second time on his own amendment.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A couple of things that I would like to indicate. First of all, since Representative DeWeese referred to the fact that I was not at a meeting in western Pennsylvania, he neglected to mention that I have been at several other meetings, the other meetings, in fact, that he has been discussing, the most recent of which was in the early part of this year. At that time we were hearing a report on a study of a particular mine fire where there was a diesel engine involved, and the problem was that the diesel fuel had ignited, and when the miners reached for the fire extinguisher, the fire extinguisher failed.

Now, we are talking about the safety of members in the mine. I have a nephew who works in a mine, so I guess I have a vested interest, although I have never worked in a mine personally. I certainly have a vested interest in the safety of every member of this Commonwealth and of every other State, as well as that, I guess, of every nation.

We have heard that the statistics indicate that perhaps electric engines are the second cause, the second perpetrator, of mine accidents, and then we heard from the report that there were electrocutions involved, and when you talk about an electrocution, you are talking about someone touching a hot wire or putting their hand into an exposed electrical circuit. The time that I went down in the mines, I was amazed because of the many bare wires. I was kind of surprised.

The gases that have been referred to as being very dangerous—and we ought to be sending not a message that we are not concerned about this—are not diesel fumes, because, as Representative DeWeese has indicated, there is really only one diesel engine presently in operation in deep mines, and there is no experience of any difficulties or any accidents that have been involved with that particular piece of equipment. So the gas that we are talking about is the methane gas that is free in the mines and will explode if a spark is present. So I think we have a real problem with electrical engines, which can create sparks, and I think we need to look at this further.

The report that we were looking at as recently as the early part of this year had not been completed, yet we proceeded to move on with moving the bill out without getting that information. The Mines and Energy Management Committee had also said they would visit a mine where a diesel engine was in operation. We were unable to do that before this piece of legislation was moved out. I think that what I am saying is, I am not necessarily opposed to banning diesel engines, but I am not convinced at this time, with the information that we have at hand, that this is the course of action that we ought sensi-

bly, reasonably, to take, and so I am saying that we have this moratorium so that no new diesels can be put in until the study has been completed.

Mr. Speaker, I think that is a reasonable approach. I think that reasonable persons applying their common sense can support this amendment, and I would ask them to do so. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek.

Mr. TELEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the Saurman amendment. In my opinion, I feel this is just another attempt to gain time for certain interests who oppose this legislation. I personally feel there is sufficient information and research presented to warrant passage of this bill. I feel that the amendment jeopardizes the health and safety of our coal miners and the environment in which the coal miners work. Therefore, I ask each member to search his conscience and reject the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the Saurman amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. Mr. Speaker, Representative Saurman said that at a meeting we were supposed to visit a coal mine. The coal mine in question, on the 29th of December, because of a diesel engine, the tank somehow ruptured and diesel oil went through the mine and the mine caught fire, and it ignited the two sister mines. That is the reason we did not visit the mine, because it is still burning today.

Since that meeting, I talked to an elderly gentleman, who is 82 years old. He came to my office and said, Representative Petrarca, diesel engines will work in the mines only if the conditions are perfect, and underground you have the worst conditions in the world; they do not work. He said, I have the expertise; I have worked in the mine 50-some years. So I feel it is just a delay. I agree with Mr. Telek that we should defeat the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—81

Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rieger
Book	Freind	McVerry	Robbins
Bowser	Gallen	Mackowski	Ryan
Boyes	Gannon	Madigan	Saurman
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Scheetz
Broujos	Gladeck	Markosek	Schuler
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Semmel
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Cimini	Grieco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Civera	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Snyder, D. W.
Clymer	Hayes	Mowery	Snyder, G. M.
Cornell	Herman	Nahill	Spencer
DeVerter	Hershey	Noye	Spitz
Davies	Jackson	Peterson	Stairs
Dininni	Johnson	Phillips	Swift
Dorr	Kennedy	Pitts	Taylor, E. Z.
Durham	Klingaman	Pott	Vroon
Fargo	Lashinger	Punt	Wass
Fischer	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.

Flick	Levi	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—119

Afflerbach	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Salvatore
Alderette	Donatucci	Lucyk	Serafini
Angstadt	Duffy	McCall	Seventy
Arty	Evans	McHale	Showers
Baldwin	Fattah	McIntyre	Sirianni
Barber	Fee	McMonagle	Steighner
Battisto	Freeman	Maiale	Stevens
Belardi	Fryer	Manderino	Stewart
Belfanti	Gallagher	Mayernik	Stuban
Beloff	Gamble	Michlovic	Sweet
Blaum	George	Morris	Taylor, F. E.
Burns	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Telek
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Murphy	Tigue
Cappabianca	Haluska	O'Brien	Trello
Carn	Harper	O'Donnell	Truman
Cawley	Hasay	Olasz	Van Horne
Cessar	Hoeffel	Oliver	Wachob
Clark	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wambach
Cohen	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Colafella	Jarolin	Petrone	Weston
Cole	Kasunic	Piccola	Wiggins
Cordisco	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Coslett	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Cowell	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deluca	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Daley	Levin	Rudy	
Dawida	Linton	Rybak	Irvis,
Deal	Livengood	Saloom	Speaker
Dietz			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Susquehanna, Miss Sirianni.

Miss SIRIANNI. Mr. Speaker, I pushed the wrong switch. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on the Saurman amendment.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 704 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes, on final passage, the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, there are two issues basically surrounding this legislation, and I think there is some lack of

understanding about the safety factors that are involved. We cannot operate in a vacuum here. I think that overall, first of all, there is no evidence that the use of diesel machinery over a long period of time will in fact cause health problems, as long as the safety standards are met. Secondly, as has been pointed out here already, the alternative is a much more hazardous situation than the use of the diesel machinery.

Mr. Speaker, there are a number of Federal requirements and standards that have to be met before this machinery can be used. The emissions are measured; there are strict ventilation requirements that must be met, and in fact, the miners are not exposed to the potential hazards which might be experienced if you were standing behind a diesel truck. That is not the kind of machinery that is used, and those are not the kinds of safety standards that we are operating with here.

The second issue that we have to deal with, Mr. Speaker, is the issue of jobs. In fact, the use of diesel machinery is more economical, and if we were using more of it in Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania coal would be more economical, we would sell more coal, and more miners would be employed. In addition to that, the manufacturer of diesel machinery and the potential future manufacturer of diesel machinery, as was pointed out by Mr. Levi, is an important job factor in Pennsylvania at this time.

We should not be passing legislation because there are ghosts and goblins flying around in the House indicating there are potential problems. We should do so only if the evidence is there to prove it, particularly where the job picture in Pennsylvania is so critical and where the legislation will be detrimental to that job picture. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to oppose the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes, on final passage, the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I fully realize the futility of standing before this group and asking for a "no" vote on this legislation. I know how important it is to Representative DeWeese's reelection.

The accident in Indiana County last week has been brought up here twice. I do not think that accident should have been mentioned in this debate, because the report has not been submitted. There is probably an even chance that the accident was caused by an electrical spark.

I just want to say that for economic reasons I think we should go very slowly with this. Four States that banned diesel engines are now down to two, and Virginia is moving on legislation to open to diesel engines. We are going to be in a position where our productivity will not lend itself to the competitive nature of the coal business, and I ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think the most telling point made against the bill is contained in the letter sent to us by the United Mine Workers. You know, if there were any authoritative studies which could

be quoted, if there were any telling points that could be made from these studies, there would be a voluminous appendage attached to this letter. Instead, I would like to read the one sentence: "First, no studies to date prove that the use of diesel engines will not adversely affect the health and safety of underground coal miners." In such a clear case of trying to prove a negative, they are saying, well, gee, there are not any studies that indicate that diesel engines are not harmful. To me, that, Mr. Speaker, is like the situation before an old justice of the peace in which a gentleman was charged with stealing a pig and there were five witnesses who attested to that fact, whereupon the defense said, Your Honor, I have a hundred witnesses who did not see him steal the pig. The same type of proving of a negative.

If there were any strong, compelling evidence that diesel engines were harmful in mines, do you not think it would be contained in this letter from the United Mine Workers? Instead of that, a very weak and watery statement that there is no evidence to the effect that diesel engines do not adversely affect miners' safety and health. I think that speaks for itself. If even the United Mine Workers cannot present definitive evidence on the point, we should reject the bill, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Burd.

Mr. BURD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think it really is ironic that we would be debating this particular bill in the wake of the disastrous mine accident that occurred this past week in Indiana County.

I rise in opposition to the bill, and in doing so, I want to talk to the membership of this House about the safety aspects that were brought out in the committee arguments when the Mines and Energy Management Committee debated this bill for quite some time. It was very evident to me that we did not know all the answers, because the committee was not 100 percent in agreement when it came time to remove the bill from committee and to present it before this full House.

There was mention made of a diesel fire in one of the mines earlier in the debate when we were debating the amendment. Yes, there was a diesel fire in a mine, and as the investigation proved, it was caused by human error, number one, and the failure of one of the mine workers to hook up or couple up a nozzle properly, which allowed diesel to spill onto the floor of the mine. A fire occurred—I do not know what ignited the fire, but a fire did occur—and it was because of, once again, the mine workers and their inability or their failure to know where all of the firefighting apparatus was at that particular time that that fire was allowed to continue and cause a disaster in the mine. I just wanted to clear that one point up.

When we were having debate in committee, we had people representing Caterpillar Diesel Corporation there, and I remember distinctly the gentleman saying—and it is in testimony, so there is no reason to dispute the man's word. He made the statement that the way the diesel engine is equipped to run inside a coal mine is with a certain type of scrubber equipment that is being used on those particular engines - a

scrubber similar to those used in our institutions and at our powerplants to take the elements out of coal-fired furnaces that produce the steam which runs our turbos and makes electricity - to take out of the ambient air those things that would be harmful for human life. Then in addition to that, the exhaust is also run through water so that there is no chance that any spark would occur as far as the actual exhaust of that engine is concerned.

And for those of you who are not familiar with diesel engines, you should know that a diesel engine does not run on a spark; it runs on compression. It does not even have a spark plug in it. Everything is internal, and I sometimes question the language in the bill that says we should not use internal combustion engines. I think we should specifically say it should be either gasoline engines or diesel engines, because there is a difference in the emissions.

In questioning the gentleman from Caterpillar just a little bit further, he made the statement that in that little room that we were sitting in downstairs when we had this particular hearing, that that diesel engine, if it was in proportion to that room and the amount of people sitting in there, would not emit as much harmful additives to the air at that particular time as one of us would add to it if we lit a mere cigarette. Now, I do not know whether that can be proven or not, but he is an engineer for the company and he made the statement, and I would say that that, to my way of thinking, presented a very good argument as to the safety of running a diesel engine in a mine.

Another point I would like to make is the fact that technology in mining has been changing rapidly, and we refer to and have heard reference made to what they refer to as longwall mining. As I understand longwall mining, it is comprised of a machine that actually goes back and forth across the entire face of coal within the mine, and in doing so, it drags behind it a huge electric cable - a cable that is carrying, to my understanding, 440 volts of electricity, which is enough to kill on contact anybody who might be subject to being electrocuted by that. If that is the case—and it has been explained to me that that is the case—should that wire which is being dragged back and forth on an uneven floor in a mine, in the dark, become bared for any reason or other—and it seems to me likely that it could become bared—and someone would come in contact with that wire—

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman yield for a moment? They are getting restless.

Mr. BURD. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. It is a very serious subject with us.

The SPEAKER. I agree with the gentleman. Will the gentleman yield? You have every right to the floor, but they are getting a bit restless. We will see if we can get them calmed down.

The gentleman, Mr. Burd, may continue.

Mr. BURD. I merely pointed out that last point because the argument here seems to be safety, and I am saying that a lot of the accidents and most of the accidents, as I am told, have been caused really by the electrical motors that are being used

in mines and by unsafe wiring that has to occur as the mine wall, or the work area of a mine, continues to move back. Additional cable has to be laid back to that surface or to that work area, and it involves huge amounts of electricity.

There is speculation, and one of the main concerns that I have, coming from western Pennsylvania, where a lot of deep mining is going on—and, of course, surface mining, but in this case deep mining—we are concerned about our ability to get coal to the market at a competitive—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield for a moment. Let us both wait until they decide to be reasonably polite; until all decide to be reasonably polite.

The gentleman may now proceed, and I apologize for interrupting you twice.

Mr. BURD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would say to the Speaker, I have about 10 more points I would like to make, and I am going to dispense from making them because I realize you are having trouble keeping the attention and my dialogue is rather long.

The SPEAKER. You have a right to the floor. You may continue, and the Speaker will insist on your right to the floor. *You may continue until you have completed your remarks.*

Mr. BURD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The last point I will make—and I will dispense with the other points—is the cost of getting coal to the consumer. I am told that if the mine management has the ability to get equipment into a mine where it does not have to rely on additional track, where it does not have to rely on getting electrical wires run to specialized equipment, if they can take in a diesel engine to do this longwall mining operation, it will actually help them get the coal to the market, to the consumer, if you will—be it to the power company or to those of you who actually burn coal to heat your homes with—at a cheaper price. And basically, if we can cheapen the operation of coal and improve the market for coal, I see it as a way of creating more jobs, rather than acting as a deterrent to create less jobs.

So, therefore, in summary, Mr. Speaker, I would only ask you to be very careful in your consideration of this particular bill, because it does affect an industry that is one of the most important industries, I believe, to the State of Pennsylvania. It is one of the backbones of this State, and I pointed out a number of things that I consider to be safety reasons why we should not be supporting this particular bill in lieu of new technology and in lieu of the fact that we have to get our coal to the market in a competitive manner. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman, Mr. Foster, said that there is no conclusive test, there has been no conclusive study, and if there were, we would see more evidence of that, and I will not disagree with him about the absence of something that we might call conclusive. However, I am looking at the transcript of the hearings

of the House Mines and Energy Management Committee, and there are four or five pages in that transcript which relate what are perceived to be the health and safety problems associated with diesel engines in coal mines. That testimony, or that transcript, also indicates that the National Academy of Sciences, the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the U.S. Bureau of Mines, and the Mine Safety and Health Administration, *the allegation at least is that they have all looked at this and have failed to come up with a conclusive answer and have all advised that further research is necessary. So we can look at that evidence and decide for ourselves what we really think the scientific evidence is, but to suggest that there have been no national groups which have looked at this issue, I think, is not really correct.*

Secondly, the argument was made that we could make coal cheaper and, therefore, sell more of it. I would certainly like to sell more of it, because I have an awful lot of unemployed coal miners in my county, but I do not think that it is ever appropriate to try to make the product safer by taking serious risks with the health of the workers. *It seems to me that there are other things that we can and should be doing to make coal more marketable, and that is not one of them.*

In addition, Mr. Speaker, the argument has been made that we have this terrific problem with electric engines in mines, and I would reiterate the point which I made earlier, which is that according to the statistics from accidents caused by electrocution in 1983, none of those accidents could have been prevented had there been diesels instead of electric engines, and I think it is important for the members to bear that in mind.

Finally, passage of this bill will under no circumstances foreclose the possibility of a future legislature reevaluating this question if the facts so warrant. What will happen if this legislation is defeated is that one or two coal companies in this State will go to the Secretary of Environmental Resources and will say, we want to put a diesel engine in a mine, and I submit, Mr. Speaker, that the skids are greased, and the Secretary of Environmental Resources will grant that permission and there will not be any of this additional research which *many of us on both sides of the aisle think is necessary. I suggest that that is not the way to proceed, that the way to proceed is to pass this bill and to force those who want to introduce some new element of possible risk to prove their case and not to turn the burden of proof around and put the burden of proof on the working people of Pennsylvania. So I would ask for a "yes" vote on final passage.*

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I may, Mr. Speaker, allow me 1 minute to insist that we maybe are clouding an issue here that intentionally we would not do. The gentleman just a while ago mentioned diesel engines, and I want to remind you that whether it is a diesel

engine or a gas engine, there is one important thing we ought to remember, and that is, it happens to be an internal combustion engine, which simply means, as everyone in this body knows, that it is a device, a piece of machinery, that must take in good air and then there is an explosion, and the explosion and the byproduct of the explosion is then the exhaust, and that exhaust in itself will kill miners if we allow these engines to be placed into Pennsylvania mines. So the effect is, without guessing, that it would be fair to say, and ashamedly I must admit, that there would be more people who would die in the mines of Pennsylvania if we allow internal combustion engines than there will if we do not allow them. I ask that you support this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska, on final passage.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, listening to the debate here this morning makes me somewhat concerned as to whether or not we know what we are doing here, because just within the last few years we all as a nation have been concerned with trying to make ourselves self-dependent from the OPEC (Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries) nations for using a source of energy that we must import.

The coal mines generally today are using a source of energy that is generated from coal, and I think that we have a factor here that by eliminating the electricity for the coal mining machines does not necessarily mean that you are going to eliminate the electricity throughout the mines. We have pumping stations, we have hauling stations, and they all must be supplied with electricity, and miners who are working with DC (direct current) current certainly are not fearful of DC current at high voltage. They are more concerned about the indirect current at 120 volts.

So I think it is a problem here of economics. We are using a source of energy that is producing jobs in Pennsylvania. Using coal to generate electricity and in turn manufacturing coal serves a twofold purpose, and taking the electric cutting machines out of the mine and substituting with fuel oil machines certainly is not in the best interest of the Nation as far as our economy is concerned. I ask for an affirmative vote on this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek.

Mr. TELEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to make a few comments in support of the bill.

Mr. Foster mentioned the pig story. You can excuse pigs; they cannot read, they cannot write, they cannot speak. But I happen to know that there are many, many coal miners who can do all those three things. Many of them are college graduates who work in the coal mines, who understand the conditions of the mines. I believe their input, their testimony; they are the ones who have to work in the various crevices and openings down underground. I believe they are more sensitive to the conditions and the health conditions of the mines than the manufacturers.

I only want to say this: Let us not turn back the clock; let us get on with the bill. I ask everybody to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster, for the second time.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to conclude my remarks by asking the questions once again, where are the facts; where are the reports? I have invited them through interrogation and through debate, and the best that the proponents of the bill can say is that there is nothing conclusive on the point that diesel engines are damaging in mines, but they could be; they may be. Possibly they might be. We had our chance to determine that through the Saurman amendment, and we have rejected it.

I think at this point there is only one course left. In the absence of any facts and statistics, we should vote "no" on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman, on final passage.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Foster read to you the first sentence of a letter that was sent to all members of the House of Representatives from the Pennsylvania COMPAC staff coordinator of the United Mine Workers of America. I would like to read to you further in that same paragraph.

Mr. Foster read this to you: "First, no studies to date prove that the use of diesel engines will not adversely affect the health and safety of underground coal miners." This is a continuation: "Studies do, however, prove that the exhaust emitted by these engines does contain oxides of nitrogen, soot particles, and a class of chemical compounds that are known causes of cancer. Even with the utilization of various emissions controls that underground diesel engines would have, these carcinogenic particles stick to the surface of the soot emitted by the operating engines. These soot particles in turn would permeate the air in the confined spaces underground and thus enter the miners' lungs.

"In a letter from John Finklea, Director of N.I.O.S.H.... 'We feel it is prudent to inform all concerned in the coal mining industry that further introduction of diesel equipment into underground coal mines, pending completion of these studies, might result in future economic disruption should their use pose an unacceptable health risk.' That statement remains N.I.O.S.H.'s position to date."

I ask for an affirmative vote on the bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you. My remarks will be brief.

One blazing fact remains. When Bill Telek's bills sailed through this chamber without any cries of alarm, shouts of admonition, cries of dubiety, declarations of perplexity, and statements of alarm, where, where was the voice of Mr. Dorr? Where were the shouts of Mr. Foster? Where were the queries

of Mr. Saurman? Where were the esoteric dialogues of Mr. Smith and Mr. Burd? They were thundering in their silence, Mr. Speaker. They were thundering in their silence.

These measures have passed this chamber with unanimity. These measures have passed this chamber with the solid confidence of the men and women who work in the coalfields of Pennsylvania. Let my statements refer to the fact that there has been an encyclopedic base of data compiled.

Let my final comment be to state, in verbatim, quote, the words of United Mine Workers President Richard Trumka: "Air is a precious commodity in a coal mine, and it belongs to men before it belongs to machines." I call for the adoption of these measures. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

For the second time on final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Burd.

Mr. BURD. Two points I would like to make, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I did not serve on the Mines and Energy Management Committee 2 years ago. It is my first time on that committee. Secondly, in my dialogue before the General Assembly, I made mention of the fact that technology has been changing rapidly in the production of coal, and so therefore, we know a little bit more now about what is going on out there than we did maybe 2 years ago or even 1 year ago.

One statement by the prime sponsor of this bill, though, has been bothering me since it has been made, and it was made when Representative Foster was interrogating the prime sponsor. He made mention of the fact that long-range health safety supersedes the immediate safety factor. I can only say to that, Mr. Speaker, that where there is life, there is hope. I cannot believe that the prime sponsor would have made a statement like that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—134

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Arty	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Baldwin	Freeman	McHale	Serafini
Barber	Fryer	McIntyre	Seventy
Battisto	Gallagher	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Gamble	Maiale	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	Manderino	Steighner
Beloff	George	Manmiller	Stevens
Blaum	Gladeck	Markosek	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Mayernik	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sweet
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Miller	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Haluska	Morris	Telek
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Tigue
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Treilo
Cohen	Hayes	O'Brien	Truman
Colafella	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson	Olasz	Wachob
Cordisco	Itkin	Oliver	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Perzel	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston

DeLuca	Klingaman	Phillips	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kosinski	Piccola	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pievsky	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pistella	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingier	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dininni	Letterman	Reber	
Dombrowski	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Donatucci	Linton	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—62

Armstrong	Fargo	Levi	Robbins
Book	Fischer	McClatchy	Ryan
Bowser	Flick	McVerry	Saurman
Boyes	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Scheetz
Brandt	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Schuler
Broujos	Freind	Merry	Semmel
Bunt	Gallen	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Burd	Geist	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Godshall	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Cimini	Greenwood	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Civera	Grieco	Peterson	Spencer
Clymer	Herman	Pitts	Swift
Cornell	Hershey	Pott	Taylor, E. Z.
Davies	Jackson	Punt	Vroon
Dorr	Kennedy	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lehr		

NOT VOTING—4

Mrkonic	Sirianni	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
---------	----------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—3

Honaman	Marmion	Miscevich
---------	---------	-----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Freind, rise?

Mr. FREIND. Mr. Speaker, I just looked at the roll call of HB 1832. I am not recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 705, PN 787**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Anthracite Coal Mine Act," approved November 10, 1965 (P. L. 721, No. 346), prohibiting the use of internal combustion engines in underground coal mines.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Saurman, wish to offer his amendment?

The Chair thanks the gentleman. He says he is not offering the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—136

Afferbach	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Angstadt	Fee	McHale	Salvatore
Arty	Freeman	McIntyre	Serafini
Baldwin	Fryer	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Battisto	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Belardi	Gannon	Manmiller	Stairs
Belfanti	Geist	Markosek	Steighner
Beloff	George	Mayernik	Stevens
Blaum	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Miller	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Haluska	Murphy	Telek
Cawley	Harper	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Truman
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wachob
Cordisco	Itkin	Perzel	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
Deluca	Kosinski	Piccola	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalyshyn	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pistella	Wilson
Daley	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wozniak
Deal	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Dininni	Levin	Richardson	
Dombrowski	Linton	Rieger	Irvis,
Donatucci	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd		

NAYS—62

Armstrong	Fargo	Levi	Robbins
Book	Fischer	McClatchy	Ryan
Bowser	Flick	McVerry	Saurman
Boyes	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Scheetz
Brandt	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Schuler
Broujos	Freind	Merry	Semmel
Bunt	Gallen	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Burd	Godshall	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Greenwood	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Cimini	Grieco	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Civera	Herman	Peterson	Spencer
Clymer	Hershey	Pitts	Spitz
Cornell	Jackson	Pott	Swift
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Taylor, E. Z.
Dorr	Klingaman	Reinard	Vroon
Duffy	Lehr		

NOT VOTING—2

Wright, J. L. Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 292, PN 2582 (Amended)

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending the act of November 20, 1968 (P. L. 1075, No. 329), referred to as the "Public Television Network System Law," changing the name of the commission; broadening its powers and duties; providing for its termination; and making an editorial change.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HB 293, PN 2583 (Amended)

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act creating the Pennsylvania Community Development Finance Corporation.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

HB 365, PN 2584 (Amended)

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act providing for the Pennsylvania Development Corporation; and making an appropriation.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

HB 637, PN 705 By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act requiring a detailed analysis and review of State rules and regulations delineating their impact on small businesses, small organizations and individuals; providing for exemptions; and imposing additional duties on various State agencies.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

HB 1535, PN 2585 (Amended)

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of February 2, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1860, No. 586), entitled "An act encouraging landowners to make land and water areas available to the public for recreational purposes by limiting liability in connection therewith, and repealing certain acts," further providing for the definitions of "owner" and "recreational purpose."

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

HB 1636, PN 2586 (Amended)

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act amending the "Steel Products Procurement Act," approved March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), further defining "steel products" to include machinery and equipment.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

HB 1939, PN 2570 By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act amending "The Credit Union Act," approved September 20, 1961 (P. L. 1548, No. 658), further defining alternative sources of share insurance.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

SB 408, PN 1747 (Amended)

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1972 (P. L. 1280, No. 284), entitled "Pennsylvania Securities Act of 1972," reducing the waiting period prior to offering certain investments; exempting certain registered promoters; limiting the forfeit of certain mutual fund fees; reducing the time period for certain registration statements to be on file with the commission; further providing for the effectiveness of certain registration statements and amendments thereto; eliminating certain withdrawals for mutual funds; and further providing for fees to be assessed by the Pennsylvania Securities Commission.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.

SB 437, PN 1746 (Amended)

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act requiring certain public agencies in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to purchase or lease motor vehicles which are manufactured or assembled in the United States and imposing a penalty.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

SB 639, PN 1154

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, changing a definition; and further providing for creditable nonstate service.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts, rise?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I was out of my seat and missed the vote on HB 1919. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

ADDITIONS AND DELETION OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The clerk will file the following additions and deletion for sponsorships of bills submitted by the majority leader.

ADDITIONS:

HB 1297, Rudy; HB 1300, Boyes; HB 1852, Olasz; HB 1883, Mowery; HB 1909, Rudy; HB 1918, Kasunic; HB 1937, Colafella; HB 1942, Wozniak, Wogan, Semmel, Flick.

DELETION:

HB 1188, Herman.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to change my vote on HB 705 to the negative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Reinard, rise?

Mr. REINARD. Mr. Speaker, on HR 179 my switch did not work. I would like the record to show I would have voted in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

STATEMENT BY MR. LAUGHLIN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a brief moment to make remarks under unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER. Go ahead.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, very briefly.

Over the past 6 months, the members of the House Consumer Affairs Committee, the members of the Senate Consumer Committee, Senator Bell, Senator Fisher, have worked collectively to bring about a contract carriage provision under the Public Utility Commission for gas in this State. What it will enable us to do is hold down the price at its present level or below to our consumers while at the same time providing our industries with an opportunity to go out of the State or to purchase in-State self-help gas.

We have suffered a great deal of loss of jobs in this State, Mr. Speaker, and energy costs are one of the main ingredients in which our industries are competing today. For this reason, Mr. Speaker, I am going to place this particular piece of legislation as a concurrent resolution on the table up front for those members who wish to cosponsor it before it is signed and turned in. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 1241, PN 2502

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the ownership and maintenance of gas service lines and for gas or electric utility advertising expenses and regulation.

HB 1445, PN 2530

An Act amending "The C. P. A. Law," approved May 26, 1947 (P. L. 318, No. 140), creating the State Board of Accountancy and granting it powers and duties; further providing for education and experience requirements and permits to practice; and providing for injunctions and penalties.

HB 1565, PN 2531

An Act amending the "Landscape Architects' Registration Law," approved January 24, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1527, No. 535), reestablishing and continuing the State Board of Landscape Architects; increasing per diem reimbursement for board

members; providing for removal from the board for nonattendance at meetings; removing the requirement that the board keep a list of all licensed landscape architects; requiring the board to furnish the General Assembly with status reports of pending formal complaints; reducing the experience requirement of applicants; further providing for exemptions from licensing; and making editorial changes.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair returns to reports of committees and submits for the record a Committee on Committees Supplemental Report to the House of Representatives of February 1984.

The following report was read:

Committee on Committees
Supplemental Report

In the House of Representatives, February, 1984

Representative Jeffrey W. Coy, Franklin County, is elected a member of the Conservation Committee vice Representative Russell Kowalyszyn resigned.

Joseph G. Wargo,
Chairman
Committee on Committees

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Herman, rise?

Mr. HERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I operated my switch inadvertently on HB 704 and HB 705. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative on both of those bills.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of this House be temporarily suspended so that a resolution offered by myself, another resolution offered by the gentleman, Mr. Saurman, and a third resolution offered by the gentleman, Mr. Madigan, may be immediately considered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVery	Semmel
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers

Book	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mochlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonjc	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Peterson	Vron
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashingner	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Foster, W. W. Trello Williams

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscovich

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy, who offers the following resolution which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 185

A RESOLUTION

Urging the State Fire Marshal, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General to assist in the investigation of recent fires in the south side of the City of Pittsburgh.

WHEREAS, There have been 28 fires of suspicious origin since October 1983 in the south side of the City of Pittsburgh; and

WHEREAS, These fires have been termed suspicious in origin by the police and firemen in the City of Pittsburgh; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Attorney General, the State Fire Marshal and the Pennsylvania State Police to assist in the investigation of these fires of suspicious origin; and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this document be transmitted to the Attorney General, the State Fire Marshal and the Pennsylvania State Police.

Steve Seventy
 Michael M. Dawida
 Thomas J. Murphy, Jr.
 David J. Mayernik
 Ronald R. Cowell
 Ivan Itkin
 Roger F. Duffy
 Richard D. Olasz
 Thomas C. Petrone
 Frank J. Pistella
 K. Leroy Irvis
 Anthony M. DeLuca
 Joseph Preston, Jr.
 Fred A. Trello

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to explain the reason for the resolution. In the area of approximately 2 square miles on the south side of Pittsburgh, we have had probably close to 30 to 35 fires in the last 4 months, unexplained fires, and all we are doing is asking the State to help the Pittsburgh Fire Department and the police department to resolve this issue. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Durham	Lloyd	Ryan
Alderette	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Fargo	McCall	Saloom
Armstrong	Fattah	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fee	McHale	Saurman
Baldwin	Fischer	McIntyre	Scheetz
Barber	Flick	McMonagle	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Godshall	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Seighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Herman	Olasz	Tigue

Cohen	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Lashingner	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Letterman	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	Linton	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Foster, W. W. Jarolin

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Miscovich

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

The SPEAKER. The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman, who offers the following resolution which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 186

A RESOLUTION

Urging the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission to monitor Federal Communications Commission proceedings on elimination of certain forms of sexual exploitation.

WHEREAS, The Commonwealth's interest in safeguarding the physical and psychological well-being of its minor citizens is a compelling one; and

WHEREAS, The physical and psychological well-being of its minor citizens is being irreparably and adversely affected by the sexual exploitation and abuse arising out of the uncontrolled and uncontrollable availability to them of interstate and intrastate obscene and pornographic commercial telephone messages; and

WHEREAS, The prevention of such sexual exploitation and abuse of its children constitutes a governmental objective of unsurpassed importance; and

WHEREAS, The Federal Government, through recently enacted legislation and a pending expedited Federal Communications Commission proceeding, has initiated procedures which will attempt to effect the elimination of such sexual exploitation and abuse at the interstate level; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission to closely monitor the Federal Communications Commission proceeding, and, if deemed appropriate, to participate actively in such proceeding; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Public Utility Commission be urged to report to the General Assembly the progress and results of the Federal Communications Commission proceeding; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

- George E. Saurman
Matthew J. Ryan
Samuel E. Hayes, Jr.
Peter R. Vroon
Joseph R. Pitts
Elinor Z. Taylor
Raymond Bunt, Jr.
Thomas J. Murphy, Jr.
Roger F. Duffy
Dwight Evans
Ronald R. Cowell
Arthur D. Hershey
Richard J. Cessar
Gibson E. Armstrong
Richard A. McClatchy, Jr.
Richard A. Geist
Paul I. Clymer

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, on that question, the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This resolution asks the Public Utility Commission to monitor what the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) is doing relative to the use of telephones for recorded obscene messages. In the city of Philadelphia, there is a phone number that you can call and there is a recorded obscene message. Additionally, there is another one where a live model responds. The difficulty has been that this is being abused by our youth, and we are asking that the PUC take the proper action to prohibit this. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

- Afflerbach Evans Lloyd Ryan
Alderette Fargo Lucy Rybak
Angstadt Fattah McCall Saloom
Armstrong Fee McClatchy Salvatore
Arty Fischer McHale Saurman
Baldwin Flick McIntyre Scheetz
Barber Foster, W. W. McMonagle Schuler
Battisto Foster, Jr., A. McVerry Semmel
Belardi Freeman Mackowski Serafini
Belfanti Freind Madigan Seventy
Beloff Fryer Maiale Showers
Blaum Gallagher Manderino Sirianni
Book Gallen Manmiller Smith, B.
Bowser Gamble Markosek Smith, L. E.
Boyes Gannon Mayernik Snyder, D. W.
Brandt Geist Merry Snyder, G. M.
Broujos George Michlovic Spencer
Bunt Gladeck Micozzie Spitz
Burd Godshall Miller Stairs
Burns Greenwood Moehlmann Steighner
Caltagirone Grieco Morris Stevens
Cappabianca Gruitza Mowery Stewart
Carn Gruppo Mrkonic Stuban
Cawley Hagarty Murphy Sweet
Cessar Haluska Nahill Swift
Cimini Harper Noye Taylor, E. Z.
Civera Hasay O'Brien Taylor, F. E.

- Clark Hayes O'Donnell Telek
Clymer Herman Olasz Tigue
Cohen Hershey Oliver Trello
Colafella Hoeffel Perzel Truman
Cole Hutchinson Peterson Van Horne
Cordisco Jackson Petrarca Vroon
Cornell Jarolin Petrone Wachob
Coslett Johnson Phillips Wambach
Cowell Kasunic Piccola Wargo
Coy Kennedy Pievsky Wass
Deluca Klingaman Pistella Weston
DeVerter Kosinski Pitts Wiggins
DeWeese Kowalshyn Pott Williams
Daley Kukovich Pratt Wilson
Davies Lashinger Preston Wogan
Dawida Laughlin Punt Wozniak
Deal Lehr Rappaport Wright, D. R.
Dietz Lescovitz Reber Wright, J. L.
Dininni Letterman Reinard Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski Levi Richardson Zwinkl
Donatucci Levin Rieger
Dorr Linton Robbins Irvis,
Duffy Livengood Rudy Speaker
Durham

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Itkin

EXCUSED—3

- Honaman Marmion Miscovich

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

The SPEAKER. The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Bradford, Mr. Madigan, who submits the following resolution which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 187

A RESOLUTION

Urging the Governor to proclaim the week of March 9 through 16, 1984 as Pennsylvania Veal Week.

WHEREAS, The second largest industry in Bradford County is veal producing; and

WHEREAS, Bradford County is the number one nationwide county producer of veal; and

WHEREAS, The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania recognizes that this Bradford County industry be honored; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Governor to proclaim the week of March 9 through 16, 1984 as Pennsylvania Veal Week in conjunction with National Veal Week, and urge residents of the Commonwealth to use veal in their menu planning to support the veal industry and producers.

- Roger A. Madigan
Joseph V. Grieco
Samuel W. Morris
Walter F. DeVerter
Carmel Sirianni
Paul Wass
Harry E. Bowser
William W. Foster
Merle H. Phillips
John Showers
John H. Broujos
William R. Lloyd, Jr.

William E. Baldwin

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bradford, Mr. Madigan.

Mr. MADIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This resolution urges the Governor to proclaim the week of March 9 through 16 as Pennsylvania Veal Week in conjunction with the National Veal Week.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Saloom
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Showers
Blaum	Fryer	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gamble	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Godshall	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonjic	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Colaifella	Hershey	Perzel	Truman
Cole	Hoeffel	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pott	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kowalshyn	Preston	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Robbins	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Letterman Maiale

EXCUSED—3

Honaman Marmion Misevich

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

HB 1850, PN 2413

By Rep. BARBER

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Cancer Control, Prevention and Research Act," approved December 18, 1980 (P. L. 1241, No. 224), extending the expiration date of the act.

HEALTH AND WELFARE.

STATEMENT BY MR. BURNS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, I would just like permission to have unanimous consent to address the House for just a moment or two.

The SPEAKER. Under unanimous consent, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to urge my colleagues on this side of the aisle to take advantage and sign the concurrent resolution that Mr. Laughlin spoke about a few minutes ago.

We think this is a historic breakthrough in the gas industry in Pennsylvania, and we are hoping that with the PUC regulations as they comply with the concurrent resolutions, that it will do a great deal for industry and the private consumer in Pennsylvania. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, may I ask for the suspension of the rules for the consideration of a resolution? I know that it is an unusual request in the fact that in my, I guess, excitement of all the good news this morning, I was remiss in presenting it to the Chair before this time.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman have the resolution in print?

Mr. DAVIES. Yes. The resolution is here, and I would be willing to explain it immediately.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman will send the resolution, we will attempt it.

There is one further resolution which must be voted on. Wait just a few minutes, and we shall be through.

It is moved by the gentleman, Mr. Davies, who traces his ancestry to Wales, that the rules of the House be temporarily suspended so that the House may immediately take up a resolution.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, who submits the following resolution which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 189

A RESOLUTION

Proclaiming March 1 as Saint David's Day to be observed throughout the Commonwealth.

WHEREAS, During the 19th Century, large numbers of people from Wales settled in Pennsylvania seeking employment in every field of industry and commerce; and

WHEREAS, Today millions of Americans proudly proclaim their Welsh descent; and

WHEREAS, The traditions and heritage of the Welsh have enriched the culture and fabric of our society; and

WHEREAS, Thomas Jefferson, Robert Morris, John Marshall, James Monroe, Abraham Lincoln and Calvin Coolidge, among other men of note, are all of Welsh descent; and

WHEREAS, March 1 is traditionally observed by Welshmen as Saint David's Day to mark the life of Saint David, the patron Saint of Wales; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives proclaim March 1 as Saint David's Day to be observed throughout the Commonwealth.

John S. Davies

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, on the question, the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That is the essence of it - the proclamation of March 1 as Saint David's Day to be observed throughout the Commonwealth.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?
Resolution was adopted.

STATEMENT BY MR. LETTERMAN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise for permission to read a letter.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentleman may speak. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Wild Resource Conservation Act became law in Pennsylvania last January and is now entering its second year. This program to protect and manage Pennsylvania's wild plants and nongame wildlife needs our continued support each year during the tax season.

Pennsylvania's "Tax Check-off" received 53,000 voluntary contributions last year that are now being used to assist the Department of Environmental Resources, the Fish Commission, and the Game Commission in their efforts to conserve our valuable wild resources. The \$225,000 collected by the Wild Resource Conservation Fund in 1983 was used to fund 11 different projects, which included the publication of an in-depth study of Pennsylvania's rare and endangered plants and animals, distribution of environmental education materials, cosponsorship of a wildflower propagation workshop and a wildlife rehabilitators' symposium, and the development of a comprehensive wild plant management program.

Two of our members represent this House on the Wild Resource Conservation Board, Camille George and George Hasay, who is the current chairman. They and the Wild Resource Conservation Fund have one successful year behind them, but they need our continued support. Please help by doing what you can in your home districts to promote this important conservation program. The board has a supply of materials available which will be distributed to your offices. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILLS AND RESOLUTION PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and the resolution on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, March 12, 1984, at 1 p.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to, and at 1:13 p.m., e.s.t., the House adjourned.