

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 22, 1983

SESSION OF 1983

167TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 53

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Gracious Father, in the light of this morning hour, we pause before Thee as we invoke Thy blessing and presence upon the members of this House of Representatives. We thank Thee for the assurance of Thy love and care and the realization of Thy guiding hand and protective power. We beseech Thee to touch the life of each of us as workmen of Thine that we may be aware of Thy will and Thy way in the world about us. We ask Thee to bestow upon us the strength of Thy power and might that we may set forth Thy truth in the deeds we bring to maturity. And we pray Thee to enrich our lives with the blessedness of Thine everlasting peace. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

JOURNAL APPROVED

The SPEAKER. The Journal for Wednesday, June 8, 1983, is now in print. Unless the Speaker hears objection, the Journal will be approved by the House. The Chair hears no objection.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal of Tuesday, June 21, 1983, will be postponed until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1221 By Representatives PISTELLA, SEVENTY, MANDERINO, IRVIS, KUKOVICH, WACHOB, MICHLOVIC and MURPHY

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for the tax treatment of S corporations, for certain deductions for public utilities and for certain accelerated deductions for corporations; further providing for the valuation of capital stock for certain tax purposes; defining "unitary groups" for purposes of the corporate net income tax; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 22, 1983.

No. 1230 By Representatives PETRARCA, SALOOM, OLASZ, COLE, CLARK, TRELLO, STAIRS, MRKONIC, BOWSER, CESSAR, FRYER, LAUGHLIN, LIVENGOOD, LETTERMAN, DeLUCA, KASUNIC, PETRONE, MISCEVICH, VAN HORNE, GRUITZA, DALEY, WOGAN, MAYERNIK, GEIST, MARKOSEK, STEWART, F. E. TAYLOR, COLAFELLA, LESCOVITZ, STEIGHNER, LUCYK, DeWEESE, FEE, RICHARDSON, ALDERETTE, CIMINI, WOZNIAK, DUFFY, CAWLEY, LLOYD, PIEVSKY, TIGUE, JAROLIN, KUKOVICH, GAMBLE, DEAL, D. R. WRIGHT, GALLAGHER, IRVIS, DORR and SWIFT

An Act amending the "General Appropriation Act of 1982," approved May 11, 1982 (No. 2A), restricting allocation of a certain Federal augmentation appropriation relating to air pollution control.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1231 By Representatives ITKIN, McVERRY, AFFLERBACH, PISTELLA, RYBAK, BURD, SHOWERS, TRELLO, LETTERMAN, PRESTON and BROUJOS

An Act amending the "Bedding and Upholstery Law," approved May 27, 1937 (P. L. 926, No. 249), further regulating reports of certain licensees.

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, June 22, 1983.

No. 1232 By Representatives COHEN, BURNS, STEWART, OLIVER, WOZNIAK, BELFANTI, FREEMAN, WAMBACH, DEAL, McMONAGLE, McINTYRE, PISTELLA, DeLUCA, CORDISCO, KOSINSKI, CARN and FATTAH

An Act amending the "Public Employe Relations Act," approved July 23, 1970 (P. L. 563, No. 195), adding maintenance and agency shop provisions; requiring reports of employee organizations; and providing a penalty.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1233 By Representatives COHEN, BURNS, STEWART, OLIVER, WOZNIAK, BELFANTI, FREEMAN, WAMBACH, DEAL, DeWEESE, McMONAGLE, McINTYRE, DONATUCCI, PISTELLA, CORDISCO, KOSINSKI, CARN and FATTAH

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing for contributions by employee organizations to political action committees.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1234 By Representatives BALDWIN, IRVIS, LUCYK and KLINGAMAN

An Act making an appropriation to the Schuylkill County Council for the Arts.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1235 By Representatives DAVIES, GALLEN, FRYER, CALTAGIRONE, ANGSTADT and SEMMEL

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Secretary of Public Welfare, to convey a tract of land in South Heidelberg Township, Berks County, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1236 By Representatives COHEN, MANDERINO, ITKIN, J. L. WRIGHT, F. E. TAYLOR, BURNS, LETTERMAN, LASHINGER, FREEMAN, PISTELLA, MILLER, REBER, HOFFEL, COWELL, KUKOVICH, DeWEESE, RYBAK, WIGGINS, GALLAGHER, ALDERETTE, PETRONE, FATTAH, LINTON, TRELLO, STEIGHNER, KASUNIC, BATTISTO, DeLUCA, GLADECK, BUNT, HARPER, STEWART and WOZNIAK

An Act regulating toxic and hazardous substances; requiring the posting of the identity of these substances by employers and the labeling of toxic and hazardous substances; requiring material safety data on every toxic or hazardous substance to be given to the Department of Health and to employees; requiring employers to operate educational programs relating to toxic substances; providing for further duties of the Department of Health, for complaint procedures, for investigations, for compliance orders and the enforcement thereof; and providing penalties.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1237 By Representatives WILSON, LUCYK, CORDISCO, MRKONIC and CIMINI

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for special plates.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1238 By Representative WILSON

An Act providing for the borrowing and repayment of loans by a municipality.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1239 By Representative CIMINI

An Act amending "The Fourth to Eighth Class County Assessment Law," approved May 21, 1943 (P. L. 571, No. 254), further providing for temporary tax exemption for improvements or additions to residential property.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1240 By Representative CIMINI

An Act amending "The General County Assessment Law," approved May 22, 1933 (P. L. 853, No. 155), further providing for temporary tax exemption for improvements or additions to residential property.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1241 By Representatives LAUGHLIN, PRATT, McVERRY, KUKOVICH, F. E. TAYLOR, BURNS, DOMBROWSKI, ALDERETTE, SALOOM, CLARK, LIVENGOOD, DAWIDA, PRESTON, GAMBLE, DeLUCA, MAYERNIK, FEE and MURPHY

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the maintenance of service lines.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1242 By Representatives FRYER, STUBAN, SWEET, A. C. FOSTER, JR. and LEVI

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Farmland and Forest Land Assessment Act of 1974," approved December 19, 1974 (P. L. 973, No. 319), requiring breaches of preferential assessments to be recorded; and providing a fee for recordings.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1243 By Representatives SIRIANNI, WARGO, BELARDI, SERAFINI and CAWLEY

An Act making an appropriation to the Easter Seal Society of Northeastern Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1244 By Representatives DONATUCCI, McMONAGLE, RIEGER, McINTYRE, EVANS, KOSINSKI, OLIVER, TRUMAN, LESCOVITZ, ALDERETTE, MAIALE, O'DONNELL, CALTAGIRONE and CIVERA

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting certain sun screening devices on windshields and windows of motor vehicles.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1245 By Representatives McINTYRE, RIEGER, BELOFF, OLIVER, KOSINSKI, FEE, O'BRIEN, PERZEL, SALVATORE, WESTON, DONATUCCI, RICHARDSON, PIEVSKY, LETTERMAN, MANDERINO, GALLAGHER, McCALL, D. R. WRIGHT, DOMBROWSKI, O'DONNELL and McMONAGLE

An Act regulating the wholesale and retail sale of motor fuel; prohibiting certain operations; providing for uniform application of equipment rentals and uniform apportionment during shortage; and providing for marketing agreements and for legal remedies.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1983.

No. 1246 By Representatives KLINGAMAN, HAYES, J. L. WRIGHT, CIMINI, BELFANTI, MANMILLER, BOYES, HALUSKA, FARGO, BOWSER, AFFLERBACH, HERMAN, NOYE, MOEHLMANN, KOWALYSHYN, HAGARTY, BUNT, McCALL, BATTISTO, GODSHALL, COLAFELLA, GRIECO, DURHAM, McVERRY, F. E. TAYLOR, FREIND, ARTY, PETRONE, W. W. FOSTER, STEIGHNER, ARMSTRONG, SALOOM, PITTS, SHOWERS, DORR, PETERSON, MORRIS, FATTAH, FLICK, SEMMEL, BRANDT, KUKOVICH, LASHINGER, LEVI, JOHNSON, PETRARCA, CLYMER, BURD, E. Z. TAYLOR, HASAY, RUDY, GRUPPO, GREENWOOD, DAVIES, DeLUCA, D. W. SNYDER, G. M. SNYDER, KASUNIC, BALDWIN, B. SMITH, FISCHER, TELEK, WASS, BROUJOS and PRATT

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for exclusions from the tax for education.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 22, 1983.

No. 1247 By Representatives GANNON, WOGAN, BOYES, SEMMEL, GRUPPO, PERZEL, ARTY and DURHAM

An Act amending the "State Lottery Law," approved August 26, 1971 (P. L. 351, No. 91), further providing certain prescription drug payments for certain persons.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, June 22, 1983.

No. 1248 By Representatives FREIND, GLADECK, CLYMER, GREENWOOD, LASHINGER, MILLER, E. Z. TAYLOR, McCLATCHY, WILSON, HONAMAN and BUNT

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, providing for binding arbitration for all public employees.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, June 22, 1983.

No. 1249 By Representatives GLADECK, FREIND, BURD, FARGO, WILSON, McCLATCHY, HONAMAN, GEIST, MACKOWSKI, NAHILL, CORNELL, HAGARTY and FLICK

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), providing for minimum participation in a strike vote by school employees.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1250 By Representatives FREIND, GLADECK, BURD, HONAMAN and FLICK

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for bargaining procedures for school employees; and providing penalties.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1251 By Representatives FREIND, GLADECK, BURD, PITTS, SALVATORE, WILSON, HONAMAN, McCLATCHY, FLICK and CORNELL

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for the minimum number of days schools are required to be kept open, for compensation of professional employees during a strike and for reimbursement on account of school days lost during a strike.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1252 By Representatives GLADECK, FREIND, BURD, PITTS, SALVATORE, WILSON, HONAMAN and McCLATCHY

An Act amending the "Public Employee Relations Act," approved July 23, 1970 (P. L. 563, No. 195), further providing for persons found in contempt; and granting standing to certain persons to seek relief and bring certain actions.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1253 By Representatives FREIND, GLADECK, HONAMAN, McCLATCHY, PITTS and CORNELL

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for the minimum number of days.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

No. 1254 By Representatives GLADECK and FREIND

An Act amending the "Public Employee Relations Act," approved July 23, 1970 (P. L. 563, No. 195), further providing for the resolution of disputes; and conforming the provisions to existing law.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 22, 1983.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 109 By Representatives SALOOM, DALEY, SWEET, PETRARCA, CLARK, VAN HORNE, GRUITZA, OLIVER, MANDERINO, OLASZ, D. R. WRIGHT, FREIND, KUKOVICH, LETTERMAN, COHEN, COY, HUTCHINSON, LASHINGER, WESTON, BOOK, PRESTON, CORDISCO, LIVENGOOD, CAWLEY, DeWEESE, AFFLERBACH, STUBAN, KOWALYSHYN, RICHARDSON, HARPER, RAPPAPORT, BELOFF, DONATUCCI, McINTYRE, LAUGHLIN, HONAMAN, RYBAK, NOYE, DORR, KLINGAMAN, HERMAN, McHALE, MARKOSEK, JAROLIN, BLAUM, KOSINSKI, HAYES and EVANS

Providing for the appointment of a special committee to investigate surrogate mothering.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 22, 1983.

No. 110 By Representatives TELEK, HALUSKA and HAYES

Memorializing the Congress of the United States to establish a national energy policy on coal.

Referred to Committee on FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS, June 22, 1983.

SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

SB 306, PN 328

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 22, 1983.

SB 611, PN 983

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 22, 1983.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence.

Are there any leaves of absence, Mr. O'Donnell? The majority whip indicates he has no requests for leaves.

Any leaves, Mr. Hayes? The minority whip indicates he has no leaves of absence.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take up the master roll call for today. Members will proceed to vote on the master roll.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—202

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Godshall	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stevens
Carn	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Swift
Civiera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Colafella	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwilk
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0
NOT VOTING—1

Seventy

EXCUSED—0
LEAVES ADDED—2

Dietz Seventy

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair returns to leaves of absence. The Chair hears no objection.

The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who asks that the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. SEVENTY, be given leave for today's session. Without objection, the leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House Mr. Clifford Pauling, a biomedical engineer. He is the guest of Representative Alphonso Deal of Philadelphia. Mr Pauling, a native of New York City, is responsible for setting up clinics in countries around the world. He has just returned from a trip to Asia, where he visited Japan, Korea, Indonesia, Thailand, and Nepal.

And we have with us, as the guests of Representative Lucyk, Ms. Joan Schaeffer and Girl Scout Troop No. 107. They are in the gallery.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 132, PN 956**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting natural gas utilities from utilizing a sliding scale of rates to recover natural gas costs; and further providing for procedures and standards for regulating the rates of natural gas utilities.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 132, PN 956, be placed upon the table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 820, PN 917**, entitled:

An Act providing for the adoption of a capital project to be financed from current revenues of the Game Fund.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. L. E. SMITH offered the following amendments No. A1638:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 17, by striking out "\$5,000,000" and inserting

\$4,900,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 12, by striking out all of said line and inserting

County.....	\$3,920,000	\$980,000	\$4,900,000
-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, HB 820 is a capital budget for the Game Commission for the construction of a new building, which will also include a training school for game protectors. Presently that game school is located in Jefferson County. Over the years the people who were managing the Game Fund neglected that building to the extent that it would now take probably \$700,000 or \$800,000 to bring it back up to meet Labor and Industry standards. I think that this was done purposely in order to justify the expenditure for their new building. Every time they came to the legislature for increases in licenses, at least to my knowledge and to the knowledge of people who were closer to the Game Commission than I was, we were never informed that they had set aside \$5 million. They came here constantly telling us we need increases in license fees to propagate game and to do all the things we have to do under the law, and never at any time was there any mention that they were stashing away \$5 million. Time after time there have been approaches to the Game Commission to pay deer damage, crop damage by wildlife, and their answer to that was there was no way they could do it; they did not have the money, and now we find that they have \$5 million in their little slush fund.

Now, I want it absolutely clearly understood that I am not in any way referring to the present executive director of the Game Commission, Mr. Pete Duncan. Pete Duncan has only been there several months. I have the highest regard for him. I will do anything I can to help him, and I do not think under his leadership that this kind of devious management will take place. But through all those years that they were telling us they did not have any money to pay crop damage for game, they had plenty of money, but they were not telling the legislature about it.

Now, all we are asking for in this amendment, out of this \$5 million, is to set \$100,000 aside. This \$100,000 is the only crack you are going to have at getting any money out of this Game Fund, and what we want to do with the money will take

enabling legislation later, because we cannot put the enabling legislation into this capital budget bill, but what we want to do is set that money aside and use it as a subsidy for the Federal crop insurance program. In other words, after the enabling legislation is passed, this \$100,000 should last the Game Commission 3 or 4 years to pay their participation in a Federal crop insurance program, which the Federal crop insurance people have agreed to manage to assess the damage and do everything that they are doing now for the regular crop insurance program. They have done it in other States, and they are willing to do it in Pennsylvania.

Long range, what this means is that when you have farmers in your area who have suffered damage from game—and that includes all game—if they are a participant in the Federal crop insurance program, they will be covered to the extent that they have covered themselves with the program. We think this is a reasonable approach to a problem that has existed for many years in Pennsylvania, and as the availability of hunting land diminishes, it becomes a more serious problem, and we are asking for an affirmative vote to set aside this money, and the enabling legislation will follow. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the Smith amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly understand the way Mr. Smith must feel. I think that through the years of the last executive director we had been lied to, and I understand what he is talking about fully. I also understand that we have a new executive director who most of us feel is going to do a good job for us. I personally will try to confirm to the House of Representatives, as the majority chairman of the Game and Fisheries Committee, a new audit each year to show you what money is left, and I will have an audit done that will show you everything. It will never go on like it has for the last few years.

I agree that the building has now deteriorated to a point where it would cost possibly too much to even try to repair it and that the new building which is being asked for would certainly benefit all sportsmen in the State of Pennsylvania to a tune and a savings of \$27 million over a 40-year period, and I think that is significant.

I agree with the amendment put forth by Mr. Smith. I think this is the first move that we will be making to try to appease the crop damage problem that the farmers in Pennsylvania have. I would ask for an affirmative vote and hope that the Game Commissioners themselves will realize we are no longer just going to be their patsies around here. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Foster.

Mr. W. W. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment stand for interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Smith, indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Foster, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. W. W. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, in your amendment is there any provision as these premiums are paid that this land is not posted?

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, we addressed that problem in the consideration of the amendment. That language will have to be put in the enabling legislation.

Mr. W. W. FOSTER. But it is your intention to have it inserted.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Yes. It is my intent, and hopefully it will be the will of the legislature, that anyone who participates in the Federal crop insurance program for game damage will not post their land.

Mr. W. W. FOSTER. All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to make a remark about the amendment, if I may.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. W. W. FOSTER. I also would like to lend my support and encourage the members on both sides of the aisle to support this amendment. I certainly think that it is a step in the right direction. As many of you know, we have had a lot of problems in the agricultural community with crop damage, and in my opinion, this is certainly a step in the right direction to alleviate some of those problems. I would encourage support on both sides of the aisle. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Robbins
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Showers
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	O'Donnell	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irkin	Oliver	Vroon

Cornell	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
DeVertter	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Daley	Kowalyshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	Irvis,
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Speaker
Duffy	Linton		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Gamble	Pitts	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
Gladeck	Rudy		

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Rudy. For what purpose does the lady rise?

Mrs. RUDY. Mr. Speaker, on the Smith amendment A1638 to HB 820, I was not recorded as voting. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 820 CONTINUED

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Evans	Linton	Richardson
Alderette	Fargo	Livengood	Rieger
Angstadt	Fattah	Lloyd	Robbins
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Rudy
Arty	Fischer	McCall	Ryan
Baldwin	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Salvatore
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Saurman
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Scheetz
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Schuler
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Semmel
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Serafini
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni

Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, B.
Broujos	George	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stevens
Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Trello
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Olasz	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston
DeVertter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pistella	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rappaport	
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Irvis,
Duffy	Levi	Reinard	Speaker
Durham	Levin		

NAYS—3

Dombrowski	Smith, L. E.	Wachob
------------	--------------	--------

NOT VOTING—3

Pitts	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
-------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

STATEMENTS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DAVIES. For unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, unanimous consent is granted. The Chair hears none. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, my comments of the last non-voting day on the decorum and dress of the House evidently fell on deaf ears. This week I noticed again a shirt of the mallet-and-horse game variety and several gentlemen without proper neckwear. I would ask the Speaker to so note and would ask the Speaker to extend to several of those presumptuous individuals and gentlemen permission to remove their coats. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Davies.

The Speaker at the beginning of the session granted the members the right to remove jackets if they find that the temperature is too high in the hall of the House.

But the Speaker does fully agree with the gentleman, Mr. Davies, and the Chair would urge members—and they are only in a very small minority, I know that—not to come on the floor of the House in sweat shirts as if you were going out jogging. There are children who come to the hall of this House and who watch us, and you and I cannot really know how much their adult opinion will be formed by their observations as children. I think we ought to be aware of that when we appear on the floor of the House. The Chair would suggest that behavior patterns on the floor of the House may confuse some of the younger observers who believe that people who gather together as adults do so quietly.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Fischer, rise? Unanimous consent, Mr. Fischer? Unanimous consent is granted.

Mr. FISCHER. Mr. Speaker, I have known the Speaker for many, many years, and I am sure that the Speaker in his last remarks did not mean anything derogatory toward joggers. As a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, with better than half of the Nation suffering from cardiovascular disease, it might be a good idea if all of us wore our sweat suits in here and our jogging shoes and jogged into this particular session. So I hope that you will certainly upgrade the comments that you make about the physical fitness of our members. I can think of several of us who need to do a little more of it.

Mr. MANDERINO. That is right, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Mr. Speaker, I, too, am an advocate of physical fitness, and every day I jog to the table.

The SPEAKER. I am afraid that is the Speaker's problem, too.

For what purpose does the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, rise?

Mrs. HARPER. Unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker, to speak.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, unanimous consent is granted. The Chair hears none.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, join Representative Fischer in supporting jogging and all sorts of recreation. But there is a time and place for everything and there is a certain dress code for everything. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED

The House proceeded to **HB 1021, PN 1406**, on third consideration postponed, entitled:

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983; and to appropriate the Federal Augmentation to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth; establishing restricted receipts accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. McMONAGLE offered the following amendment No. A1690:

Amend Sec. 212, page 14, line 12, by striking out "17,332,000" and inserting 10,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, this is a very fiscally conservative amendment. What I am doing here is taking \$7 million off the Governor's Office of the Budget. Apparently they have not been doing the job, so I thought maybe with less money they will give us more information.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy, on the amendment.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I wish it was a fiscally conservative amendment. Unfortunately, the largest part of the Office of the Budget's job is maintaining comptrollers who in fact audit the departments to assure us that they do not overspend. When you take \$7 million out of their budget, why, you are certainly doing away with a great number of those comptrollers and leaning toward, I think, fiscal irresponsibility by the departments. I oppose the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Afflerbach	Duffy	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Durham	Lueyk	Rybak
Barber	Evans	McCall	Saloom
Battisto	Fattah	McHale	Showers
Belfanti	Fee	McIntyre	Sirianni
Beloff	Freeman	McMonagle	Steighner
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Stewart
Broujos	Gallagher	Manderino	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gamble	Markosek	Sweet
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mayernik	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Haluska	Michlovic	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Harper	Miscevich	Tigue
Clark	Hoeffel	Morris	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson	Mrkonjic	Truman
Colafella	Itkin	Murphy	Van Horne

Cole	Jarolin	Olasz	Wachob
Cordisco	Kasunic	Oliver	Wargo
Cowell	Kosinski	Petrarca	Wass
Coy	Kowalyszyn	Petrone	Wiggins
Deluca	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pistella	Wozniak
Daley	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Letterman	Preston	Zwilk
Deal	Levin	Rappaport	
Dombrowski	Linton	Richardson	Iris,
Donatucci	Livengood	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—96

Angstadt	Fischer	Lashinger	Reinard
Armstrong	Flick	Lehr	Robbins
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Levi	Ryan
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Salvatore
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Saurman
Book	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheetz
Bowser	Gannon	Madigan	Schuler
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Semmel
Brandt	George	Marmion	Serafini
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Smith, B.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Grieco	Moehlmann	Snyder, G. M.
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Spencer
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Stairs
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Stevens
Cornell	Hayes	O'Brien	Swift
Coslett	Herman	Perzel	Telek
DeVerter	Hershey	Peterson	Vroon
Davies	Honaman	Phillips	Wambach
Dietz	Jackson	Piccola	Weston
Dininni	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Dorr	Kennedy	Punt	Wogan
Fargo	Klingaman	Reber	Wright, J. L.

NOT VOTING—4

O'Donnell	Pitts	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
-----------	-------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MURPHY offered the following amendment No. A1667:

Amend Sec. 220, page 48, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	17,500,000
For the establishment and operation of a community development investment fund.	
State appropriation.....	2,500,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The first point I want to make is that this amendment does not increase the appropriation. It takes \$2 1/2 million out of the already \$20 million appropriated to the Department of Community Affairs for housing and economic development

assistance and places it in a fund that will be known as the community development investment fund.

This is recognition that if you look at the cities around the State, not only Pittsburgh and Philadelphia but Harrisburg and York and Easton and Bethlehem and McKeesport, you will see that much of the revitalization that has taken place in the large and small areas of the Commonwealth has taken place because of private initiative, of private community organizations that have shown leadership and a lot of innovation in attracting private funds to revitalize housing and commercial strips in small industrial sectors.

One of the continuing problems those groups have is the inability to get some necessary front-end equity financing, and this fund and implementing legislation that would go along with this fund would give the ability of the Commonwealth to make loans to these groups to use funds to initiate development projects. Rather than making the grants directly to municipalities as this money traditionally does with no strings attached, this small amount of funds, the \$2 1/2 million out of the \$20 million, would be used in a very specific kind of urban development action grant effort that would leverage private capital for small neighborhood revitalization efforts in the areas of housing, community commercial revitalization, and small industrial efforts.

I urge your support of this. This is not new in the country. Other States have used this kind of effort. Massachusetts, New Jersey, Florida, and Wisconsin are but a few that have used this effort very successfully to leverage private interest and private initiative to encourage small revitalization. I urge your support. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Would the gentleman stand for just one question of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Davies, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, before I would vote on such an item, could you explain to me what guarantee or what type of method there would be of any guarantee of some kind of equity in the distribution of the same that I am assured that probably my hayseed area would qualify to the larger urban areas that may be able to wield greater political influence and so forth than our humble efforts?

Mr. MURPHY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think there are two guarantees in the bill. First of all, this appropriation is amended into the housing and economic development assistance program in the Department of Community Affairs, which specifies very clearly that a maximum of only 20 percent of the money can go to any municipality. So if Pittsburgh or Philadelphia or Harrisburg were particularly aggressive in attempting to pull down this money, they could only receive a maximum of 20 percent of it.

Secondly, this appropriation assumes that there will be implementing legislation, and the implementing legislation will, at least as I envision it, establish a board to oversee the use of this money, and 51 percent of the board will be composed of private individuals who will be looking at the kinds of proposals, and the types of things they would be looking at would be the amount of private funds leveraged, the amount of jobs created, and the impact it would have on a specific geographic area. So there would be two checks. One would be the traditional one that stops the Department of Community Affairs from giving more than 20 percent to any one municipality in the Commonwealth. The second check would be that the proposal have significant private investment in it and also have significant potential for creating jobs.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, that encourages one other question or one other matter of interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. I feel more comfortable with the second comment, but, sir, again I would have trouble. All you would have to name is two other urban areas, and by my calculation, sir, then 5 times 20 percent and the money is gone, and I still have problems with the mathematics of that provision.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, while you are correct in what you said, I am not interested in seeing the money divided up without regard to the quality of the project. I think the most important thing, if you have an interest in seeing your community participate in this kind of effort, is that they have a quality project that has a high private investment and a high job creation potential. The interest is to encourage community groups who have been on the fore and have shown the leadership to revitalized areas to become more aggressive in going out and making things happen, making deals that would bring jobs and private investment into areas of the State. I am not interested in seeing the money divided up in various categories, regardless of the quality of the projects. I think the burden should be on these individual groups to come and make proposals and come with good ideas to get the funding.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, may I comment on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. I share the gentleman's enthusiasm. However, knowing past records and so forth and so on, I still must express the same concern, because if there is no input as to some type of ratio to population or some other equitable guarantee, I would have concerns about the amendment and therefore would be opposed to it without those protections. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to know if the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, would stand for brief interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, indicates that he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Pistella, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, following up on some of the questions that Representative Davies had raised.

As I understand the amendment that you are offering today and the language contained therein that is not amended, my questions are twofold. Am I to understand that under the language contained within that subsection dealing with the housing and redevelopment assistance funds, this \$2.5 million will be divided among the municipalities, a maximum of 20 percent going to any one that would be, as you said, the most aggressive?

Mr. MURPHY. Traditionally, in the Department of Community Affairs in these housing and redevelopment funds, it has been divided that no municipality can get a maximum of more than 20 percent. Traditionally Pittsburgh has received 20 percent; Philadelphia has received 20 percent; and the remaining 60 percent has gone statewide.

Mr. PISTELLA. The basic question is, no municipality, regardless of whether it is the city of Pittsburgh, Erie, Scranton, no municipality will qualify for more than 20 percent of the money. Is that correct?

Mr. MURPHY. That is correct.

Mr. PISTELLA. Therefore, no municipality will qualify for more than 20 percent of \$2.5 million. Is that correct?

Mr. MURPHY. The municipalities would not qualify. The qualifying entity would not be the municipality but a community organization within that municipality. That is correct. For example, the city of Pittsburgh, totally within that municipality, could only receive approximately \$400,000.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, that brings me to my next question. Under the language contained within the legislation dealing with that section of housing and urban redevelopment, there is language that says, "No funds from this appropriation shall be distributed to any organization or agency other than a municipal government or a certified redevelopment authority created by a municipal government...." I do not see anything contained within your amendment, sir, that would indicate that this would in fact be in accordance with the previous language contained in that section.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I guess I can use the analogy of the enterprise zone development projects that DCA is now reviewing for bids. While the formal applicant is the city of Pittsburgh, the real applicants are community development agencies with organizations within the municipality. For example, in the city of Pittsburgh, the East Liberty Development Corporation and the North Side Civic Development Corporation have made applications. The formal applicant is the city; the real applicants in effect are these organizations, and they will receive the money directly from the State if they are chosen by DCA for the enterprise zone programs.

Mr. PISTELLA. My point, Mr. Speaker, though, is, is not the language or the legislative intent of setting aside this money for a community development fund in direct conflict with the language contained within the section of the redevelopment authority money? That is the question, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MURPHY. I do not think it is in direct conflict at all. The example I just pointed out to you would demonstrate practically how it works. Rather than giving the municipality the choice of spending the money however they want, this would require them to work closely with community development organizations to encourage certain specific revitalization projects in specific neighborhoods. It would be much more specific use of the funds than it is presently. It is done every day that while the municipality is the formal applicant, the real use of the funds is done by community development organizations.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have concluded my interrogation. Would I be in order to make a comment on the amendment, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is so recognized and may proceed.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

With all due respect to my colleague from Allegheny County, I have serious problems with the amendment being offered, and I would like to outline those problems very briefly.

During the course of the Appropriations hearings that took place, two things came into play. One was the overall request by organizations and housing and redevelopment authorities across the State indicating that they have had problems with the Department of Community Affairs and the General Assembly in receiving adequate funding to provide the need of low-cost, low-income housing. But more importantly and to the point is the fact that the Secretary of Community Affairs has taken it upon herself to implement various programs that completely water down the intent of the housing and redevelopment authorities.

I am very much intrigued and in fact applaud the efforts of Representative Murphy to institute a new and innovative program. I do not feel, however, that he is going about it in the correct way, simply by use of the vehicle of the housing and redevelopment authority funds. My personal opinion would be that the money would be most appropriately arrived at from the community development block grant program. During the course of interrogation of the Secretary of DCA, it was outlined and highlighted that those were some of the programs that fall into the same vein that Representative Murphy is in fact trying to gear into. My suggestion to him and recommendation to the members of the House would be that he withdraw his amendment dealing with this particular portion of the Department of Community Affairs and in fact tie it into the community development block grant money to achieve the same effect.

What we see right now is a pressing need in the housing community with our efforts for economic recovery to try to bolster up the production of new housing and meet the needs of providing low-cost housing to low-income families in the State. I very much approve of what Representative Murphy wants to do in theory, but I think in fact we are really taking away from the maximum effort of achieving that goal to meet our ends in housing. I would suggest that the gentleman take

into consideration what I have suggested, that he withdraw his amendment and redraft it to the community development block grant. I would be more than happy to support it if it were drafted to that portion of the bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach, on the Murphy amendment.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to concur with the gentleman, Mr. Pistella. I am not opposed to setting up innovative programs provided they are well thought out, provided they are well restricted, and provided there is sufficient money to do the job and at least have a 50-50 chance of success. I do not see any of those provisions contained in this amendment. What I do see is a \$2 1/2-million debilitation of an already thought-out program contained in this bill. I urge defeat of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a two-time past president and current board member of the Neighborhood Housing Program in the city of Altoona and being familiar with the neighborhood concept throughout the State, I would like to lend my support to the Murphy amendment. This is a very good idea; it is a workable idea; and it has proven to be very successful in the small boroughs as well as the large cities. Both Philadelphia and Pittsburgh have lots of groups that are very active and very successful.

The programs do one thing that has not been brought out on the floor of this House: they maximize the use of the government dollars in the neighborhoods. They attract private investment and also lend the fact that people also will invest their own moneys in rehabilitating the properties once they see that the blighted properties are taken care of. I urge each and every member to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I just rise in support of this amendment. I think it is about time that this idea has come forth, and I would like to commend Mr. Murphy for thinking of that. Give him an affirmative vote, please. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—149

Angstadt	Fee	McHale	Rieger
Arty	Fischer	McIntyre	Rudy
Baldwin	Freeman	McMonagle	Ryan
Barber	Freind	McVerry	Rybak
Belardi	Gallagher	Majale	Saloom
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Saurman
Blaum	Geist	Manmiller	Semmel
Book	George	Markosek	Serafini
Broujos	Godshall	Marmion	Showers

Bunt	Gruppo	Mayernik	Sirianni
Burd	Hagarty	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Harper	Micozzie	Snyder, G. M.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Miller	Spencer
Cappabianca	Hayes	Miscevich	Stevens
Carn	Herman	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Hershey	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Hoeffel	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Hutchinson	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Jarolin	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Kasunic	Noye	Telek
Colafella	Kennedy	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Klingaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Kosinski	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Kowalyshyn	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Kukovich	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Lashingier	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Laughlin	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Lehr	Piccola	Wass
Daley	Lescovitz	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Letterman	Pitts	Williams
Deal	Levi	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Levin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Linton	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Livengood	Punt	Zwikel
Dorr	Lloyd	Rappaport	
Duffy	Lucyk	Reber	Irvis,
Evans	McCall	Reinard	Speaker
Fattah	McClatchy	Richardson	

NAYS—41

Afflerbach	Deluca	Gladeck	Robbins
Alderette	Davies	Greenwood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Dininni	Grieco	Scheetz
Battisto	Durham	Haluska	Schuler
Belfanti	Fargo	Honaman	Smith, B.
Bowser	Flick	Itkin	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Foster, Jr., A.	Jackson	Stairs
Brandt	Fryer	Merry	Steighner
Cimini	Gallen	Moehlmann	Vroon
Cohen	Gannon	Pistella	Wogan
Coy			

NOT VOTING—12

Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Perzel	Weston
Gruitza	Madigan	Spitz	Wozniak
Johnson	O'Brien	Stewart	Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

W. WILSON GOODE PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes to the left of the Speaker's podium a gentleman whom the Chair is proud to call his friend and a gentleman I think all of us are going to be hearing a great deal more from in the very near future. The Chair relinquishes the privilege of introducing him to the House to a very bright young leader from Philadelphia, Mr. Dwight Evans.

Mr. EVANS. I have the pleasure of introducing an individual whom I think most of you are aware of. Formerly he sat on the PUC (Public Utility Commission), eventually became chairman of the PUC, then left the position of chairman of the PUC to come back to the city of Philadelphia as its managing director. After being its managing director for 3 years,

he desired to resign from that position as managing director of the city of Philadelphia to run for mayor. He is now up here to visit and to get to meet some of the members on the Democratic as well as the Republican side, and that is the next mayor of the city of Philadelphia, W. Wilson Goode.

Mr. GOODE. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Evans, I am delighted to have this chance to come here and to just be a part today of the Harrisburg scene. I am here to learn from you and to work with you, and after November of 1983 I intend to be very much a part of the Harrisburg scene in terms of working with you.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the invitation. Thank you for your kindness. May God bless all of you. Thank you all very much.

The SPEAKER. Yes, if Mr. Eagen comes, I will give him a chance to speak, too, before somebody asks me.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1021 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CAWLEY offered the following amendment No. A1635:

Amend Sec. 221, page 55, line 19, by striking out "2,414,000" and inserting
2,608,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Cawley.

Mr. CAWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment represents an appropriation of \$194,000 to the Pennsylvania State School for the Deaf, located in Scranton, Pennsylvania. Representatives Belardi, Carmel Sirianni, and Wargo have worked very, very diligently with some other Representatives from the northeast regarding this budget.

The school is the only State-owned and State-operated school for the deaf in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. They have been given the role of a regional resource center on deafness serving the entire State as needed for technical assistance and child study and assessment in the area of deafness.

Even with this increase the school will be receiving \$168,000 less than they asked for in their budget request, but with the increase the school will be able to at least stay status quo regarding their school programs. I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—177

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Reinard
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Richardson
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rieger
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Robbins
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Rudy
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Ryan
Barber	Freeman	McMonagle	Rybak
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Saloom
Belfanti	Gallagher	Mackowski	Salvatore
Beloff	Gallen	Madigan	Saurman
Blaum	Gamble	Maiale	Semmel
Book	Gannon	Manderino	Serafini
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Showers
Broujos	George	Markosek	Sirianni
Bunt	Godshall	Marmion	Smith, B.
Burd	Grieco	Mayermik	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Gruitza	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Micozzie	Snyder, G. M.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Miller	Spencer
Carn	Haluska	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Harper	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Hayes	Mowery	Suban
Civera	Herman	Mrkonic	Swift
Clark	Hershey	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hutchinson	O'Brien	Telek
Cole	Itkin	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Jackson	Olasz	Trello
Coslett	Jarolin	Oliver	Truman
Cowell	Johnson	Perzel	Van Horne
Coy	Kasunic	Peterson	Wambach
Deluca	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wargo
DeWeese	Klingaman	Petrone	Wass
Daley	Kosinski	Phillips	Weston
Davies	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams
Deal	Lashinger	Pistella	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Pitts	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Pratt	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Preston	
Dorr	Levin	Punt	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rappaport	Speaker
Durham	Livengood	Reber	

NAYS—19

Bowser	Flick	Merry	Sweet
Brandt	Fryer	Nahill	Wachob
Cornell	Gladeck	Scheetz	Wozniak
DeVerter	Greenwood	Schuler	
Fargo	Honaman	Stairs	

NOT VOTING—6

Battisto	Lescovitz	Wright, J. L.	Wright, R. C.
Colafella	Spitz		

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TIGUE offered the following amendments No. A1631:

Amend Sec. 222, page 68, line 29, by striking out "12,277,000" and inserting 11,777,000

Amend Sec. 222, page 79, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

For stream improvement projects.

State appropriation..... 2,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Tigue.

Mr. TIGUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment does is it replaces a line item in the budget for stream improvement projects throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It reduces the budget of the Office of Resources Management by a half a million dollars, puts that in the line item for stream improvements, and adds an additional \$1.5 million. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—133

Afflerbach	Dombrowski	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Donatucci	Lucyk	Rudy
Angstadt	Dorr	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Duffy	McHale	Saloom
Arty	Durham	McIntyre	Salvatore
Baldwin	Evans	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Fattah	Mackowski	Showers
Battisto	Fee	Maiale	Sirianni
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Manderino	Steighner
Belfanti	Freeman	Markosek	Stevens
Beloff	Gallagher	Mayermik	Stewart
Blaum	Gamble	Michlovic	Suban
Book	Gannon	Miller	Taylor, E. Z.
Boyes	George	Miscevich	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Telek
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mrkonic	Tigue
Carn	Haluska	Murphy	Trello
Cawley	Harper	O'Brien	Truman
Cessar	Hasay	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cimini	Hutchinson	Olasz	Wachob
Clark	Itkin	Oliver	Wambach
Cohen	Jarolin	Perzel	Wargo
Colafella	Kasunic	Peterson	Wass
Cole	Kosinski	Petrarca	Weston
Cordisco	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wiggins
Coslett	Kukovich	Phillips	Williams
Cowell	Lashinger	Pievsky	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Pistella	Wozniak
DeLuca	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Preston	Zwinkl
Daley	Letterman	Punt	
Davies	Levi	Rappaport	Irvis,
Dawida	Levin	Reber	Speaker
Deal	Linton	Richardson	

NAYS—67

Bowser	Fryer	Lloyd	Ryan
Brandt	Gallen	McClatchy	Saurman
Broujos	Geist	McVerry	Scheetz
Bunt	Gladeck	Madigan	Schuler
Burd	Godshall	Manmiller	Semmel
Burns	Gruitza	Marmion	Smith, B.
Civera	Gruppo	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Clymer	Hagarty	Micozzie	Smith, D. W.
Cornell	Hayes	Moehlmann	Snyder, G. M.
DeVerter	Herman	Mowery	Spencer
Dietz	Hershey	Nahill	Stairs
Dininni	Hoeffel	Noye	Sweet
Fargo	Honaman	Piccola	Swift
Fischer	Jackson	Pitts	Vroon

Flick	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Foster, Jr., A.	Kennedy	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Klingaman	Robbins	

NOT VOTING—2

Spitz Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COLAFELLA offered the following amendment No. A1639:

Amend Sec. 224, page 91, line 3, by striking out "371,000" and inserting
490,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Colafella.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, my amendment addresses the ventilator-dependent children program. This program assists children who cannot breathe unless they have the aid of a life support system known as a ventilator. Previously these ventilator children were hospitalized. Now, because of this program, they are able to be taken care of at home, and it saves the State thousands of dollars.

This past year the program was short \$100,000, and this amendment would rectify that problem. I would appreciate very much your support of the program.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Oliver.

Mr. OLIVER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Colafella amendment. I think the biggest mistake that I have made personally in my years in this House was just a couple of years ago when I argued the point as far as reduction of funds for this program. I had the pleasure after that to go to Children's Hospital and look at this situation, and I am telling you, what I saw there, I was really disgusted with myself for fighting such a program.

I say to all of you today, if you have been to Children's Hospital and have actually witnessed what I have witnessed, you would certainly want to support this amendment.

I ask all of you in all sincerity to please support this amendment. The funds are certainly needed. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Afflerbach	Evans	McCall	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	McClatchy	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McHale	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McIntyre	Saloom
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Saurman
Barber	Freeman	Mackowski	Scheetz
Battisto	Freind	Madigan	Schuler
Belardi	Fryer	Maiale	Semmel
Bellanti	Gallagher	Manderino	Serafini
Beloff	Gallen	Manmiller	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Markosek	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Greenwood	Micozzie	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Gruitza	Miscevich	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Steighner
Carn	Haluska	Mowery	Stevens
Cawley	Harper	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Sweet
Civera	Hershey	Noye	Swift
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Itkin	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Jackson	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Jarolin	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Johnson	Peterson	Truman
Cornell	Kasunic	Petrarca	Van Horne
Coslett	Kennedy	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Klingaman	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Kosinski	Piccola	Wargo
Deluca	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pistella	Weston
Daley	Lashingier	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Laughlin	Pott	Williams
Dawida	Lehr	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lescovitz	Preston	Wogan
Dietz	Letterman	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Levi	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Linton	Reinard	Zwilk
Dorr	Livengood	Richardson	
Duffy	Lloyd	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Lucyk	Robbins	Speaker

NAYS—11

Brandt	Fargo	Godshall	Merry
Bunt	Flick	Herman	Vroon
DeVerter	Gladeck	Honaman	

NOT VOTING—1

Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WAMBACH offered the following amendment No. A1636:

Amend Sec. 228, page 109, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

For the Pennsylvania Association of Radio Reading Services for the blind.
 State appropriation..... 100,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am offering an amendment for \$100,000 for the Pennsylvania Association of Radio Reading Services for the blind. Pennsylvania has nine such services operating currently in Philadelphia, Altoona, Bethlehem, Pittsburgh, Erie, Lancaster, Scranton, Sharon, and York, and additional services are in the planning stages in Harrisburg.

Basically, the purpose of the radio reading service is to provide current material otherwise not available to the intended population. The nucleus of such a service is usually the reading of daily newspapers in the coverage area. Many sighted people take newspapers for granted; however, blind, visually impaired, and other print-handicapped people do not have access to newspapers as well as other current periodicals.

An important factor of this program is to keep the service local enough to be meaningful to its listeners and at the same time to have it be large enough to be cost effective. Too many small services operating in a given geographical area where one quality service would suffice is extravagant, duplicative, and unnecessary. So I would ask that the House, through their kindness, please approve the \$100,000 appropriation to PARRS. I am joined in this amendment by Representative Rick Cessar. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Afflerbach	Durham	Linton	Rieger
Alderette	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	Lloyd	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Ryan
Arty	Fischer	McCall	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Salvatore
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Semmel
Beloff	Gallen	Manderino	Serafini
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Sirianni
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, B.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlman	Stevens
Carn	Gruppo	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hershey	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello

Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
DeLuca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Irvis,
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	Speaker
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	

NAYS—13

Brandt	Fryer	McMonagle	Snyder, D. W.
Clymer	Herman	Merry	Wozniak
Fargo	McClatchy	Saurman	Wright, J. L.
Flick			

NOT VOTING—4

Blaum	Madigan	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
-------	---------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DALEY offered the following amendment No. A1722:

Amend Sec. 221, page 65, by inserting between lines 15 and 16 For grants to the community colleges and institutions which are members of the State System of Higher Education to develop retraining programs.

State appropriation..... 15,000,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment. What it will do is provide grants to the community colleges and the institutions which are members of the State System of Higher Education to develop retraining programs.

There are 14 State colleges and universities that belong to the State System of Higher Education and 14 community colleges. They also are geographically located so that the 600,000 to 700,000 unemployed individuals in our State will have the opportunity to participate in a retraining program, Mr. Speaker.

I urge support and passage of this amendment.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—111

Afflerbach	Deal	Livengood	Rybak
Alderette	Dombrowski	Lucyk	Saloom
Angstadt	Donatucci	McCall	Semmel
Arty	Evans	McHale	Serafini
Baldwin	Fattah	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Fee	McMonagle	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fischer	Maiale	Steighner
Belardi	Freeman	Manderino	Stevens
Beloff	Gallagher	Markosek	Stewart
Blaum	George	Marmion	Stuban
Burd	Grieco	Mayermik	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Miscevich	Taylor, F. E.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Telek
Carn	Haluska	Mrkonik	Tigue
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Clark	Hutchinson	Olasz	Wambach
Cohen	Itkin	Oliver	Wargo
Colafella	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wass
Cole	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston
Cordisco	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
Coslett	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Lescovitz	Preston	Zwilk
DeWeese	Letterman	Rappaport	
Daley	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Davies	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Dawida			

NAYS—87

Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Levi	Reber
Belfanti	Freind	Lloyd	Reinard
Book	Fryer	McClatchy	Robbins
Bowser	Gallen	McVerry	Rudy
Boyes	Gamble	Mackowski	Ryan
Brandt	Gannon	Madigan	Salvatore
Broujos	Geist	Manmiller	Saurman
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Scheetz
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Schuler
Cessar	Gruitza	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Civera	Hayes	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Clymer	Herman	Moehlmann	Snyder, G. M.
Cornell	Hershey	Mowery	Spencer
DeVerter	Hoeffel	Nahill	Stairs
Dietz	Honaman	Noye	Swift
Dininni	Jackson	O'Brien	Van Horne
Dorr	Johnson	Perzel	Vroon
Duffy	Kennedy	Peterson	Wachob
Durham	Klingaman	Phillips	Wilson
Fargo	Lashinger	Piccola	Wozniak
Flick	Lehr	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Foster, W. W.		Punt	

NOT VOTING—4

Sirianni	Spitz	Sweet	Wright, R. C.
----------	-------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SALOOM offered the following amendment No. A1702:

Amend Sec. 259, page 180, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

For an investigation of the environmental and public health consequences of the land application of sewage sludge.

State appropriation..... 50,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, after I passed the amendment out, there were others who wanted to cosponsor it, such as Mr. Lloyd, Mr. Haluska, Mr. Telek, Mr. Alderette, and Mr. Wachob.

What the amendment does is it provides \$50,000 to the State Legislative Air and Water Pollution Control Commission for the study and investigation of the environmental and public health consequences of land application of sewage sludge. I read an article last week, Mr. Speaker, where the sewage sludge that we are using on our fields may cause diseases in humans through the food that is the product of the farmer's field.

Now, I am a farmer part time—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment.

It is the Chair's recollection that the House handled a similar amendment last evening, but the Chair cannot recall whether it passed or failed. Does the gentleman recall that incident?

Mr. SALOOM. The amendment that was handled was just a slight bit different and it failed.

The SPEAKER. Very well then. The gentleman may proceed. His amendment is not contradictory to what the House has already done. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. SALOOM. This amendment, of course, differs from the other one that was taken up yesterday in that it asks for half the amount of money for this study.

Mr. Speaker, last week I read an article in a newspaper that even the new dreaded disease AIDS (acquired immune deficiency syndrome) could be transmitted through this sewage sludge. So I am asking for an affirmative vote on this. The life you save may be your own. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Does the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Alderette, wish to speak on the amendment?

Mr. ALDERETTE. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is so recognized and may proceed.

Mr. ALDERETTE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the Saloom amendment, and I would like to qualify my support by sharing with you a small experience I had regarding the land application of sewage sludge.

In my district we had an application made by a party for this type of application. Now, DER (Department of Environmental Resources) does grant permits after certain reviews. However, in their own regulations they state that studies are inconclusive as to whether this is a safe method of disposal. Therefore, I hope you will join with us in supporting this small \$50,000 study, which perhaps, again, will help the safety and health of all of us. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—123

Afflerbach	Evans	McMonagle	Rybak
Alderette	Fee	McVerry	Saloom
Arty	Freeman	Maiale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Gallagher	Manderino	Scheetz
Barber	George	Markosek	Serafini
Battisto	Gruppo	Marmion	Showers
Belardi	Haluska	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Beloff	Harper	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Hasay	Miscevich	Stairs
Broujos	Hershey	Moehlmann	Steighner
Burd	Hoeffel	Morris	Stevens
Caltagirone	Hutchinson	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cappabianca	Itkin	Murphy	Stuban
Carn	Jarolin	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Kasunic	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Klingaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Kosinski	Perzel	Tigue
Colafella	Kowalshyn	Petrarca	Trello
Cole	Kukovich	Petrone	Van Horne
Cordisco	Lashinger	Pievsky	Wachob
Coslett	Laughlin	Pistella	Wambach
Coy	Lehr	Pitts	Wargo
Deluca	Lescovitz	Pott	Wass
DeWeese	Letterman	Pratt	Weston
Daley	Levi	Preston	Wiggins
Dawida	Livengood	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lloyd	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lucyk	Reber	Zwikl
Donatucci	McCall	Richardson	
Dorr	McHale	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	McIntyre	Rudy	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—77

Angstadt	Fattah	Honaman	Piccola
Armstrong	Fischer	Jackson	Reinard
Belfanti	Flick	Johnson	Robbins
Book	Foster, W. W.	Kennedy	Ryan
Bowser	Foster, Jr., A.	Levin	Saurman
Boyes	Freind	Linton	Schuler
Brandt	Fryer	McClatchy	Semmel
Bunt	Gallen	Mackowski	Sirianni
Burns	Gamble	Madigan	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder, G. M.
Cimini	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Civera	Gladeck	Micozzie	Sweet
Clymer	Godshall	Miller	Swift
Cornell	Greenwood	Mowery	Truman
Cowell	Grieco	Nahill	Vroon
DeVerter	Gruitza	Noye	Williams
Davies	Hagarty	O'Donnell	Wilson
Dietz	Hayes	Peterson	Wogan
Dininni	Herman	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Fargo			

NOT VOTING—2

Spitz	Wright, R. C.
-------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COLAFELLA offered the following amendment No. A1617:

Amend Sec. 221, page 58, line 13, by striking out "161,175,000" and inserting 187,301,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Colafella.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, this amendment adds \$26,126,000 to the appropriation for student transportation. Our school districts throughout the State are in an economic crisis, and this additional funding is designed to cover a shortfall of \$21 million for the current fiscal year and \$5 million for 1981-82 which was owed by the Commonwealth. The allocations to the school districts in the current fiscal year amount to 86 percent, and they are due 100 percent. For that reason I ask for your support of this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—113

Afflerbach	Deal	McCall	Rudy
Alderette	Dombrowski	McHale	Rybak
Angstadt	Donatucci	McIntyre	Saloom
Arty	Dorr	McMonagle	Salvatore
Baldwin	Duffy	McVerry	Serafini
Barber	Evans	Maiale	Showers
Battisto	Fargo	Manderino	Steighner
Belardi	Fattah	Markosek	Stevens
Belfanti	Fee	Marmion	Stewart
Beloff	Fischer	Mayernik	Stuban
Blaum	Freeman	Miscevich	Taylor, E. Z.
Broujos	Gallagher	Morris	Taylor, F. E.
Burd	George	Mrkonic	Telek
Burns	Haluska	O'Brien	Tigue
Caltagirone	Harper	O'Donnell	Trello
Cappabianca	Hasay	Olasz	Truman
Carn	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wambach
Cawley	Itkin	Perzel	Wargo
Clark	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wass
Cohen	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston
Colafella	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cole	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams
Cordisco	Laughlin	Pratt	Wogan
Coslett	Lescovitz	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Letterman	Punt	Zwikl
Deluca	Levin	Rappaport	
DeWeese	Linton	Richardson	Irvis,
Daley	Livengood	Rieger	Speaker
Davies	Lucyk	Robbins	

NAYS—87

Armstrong	Gallen	Lehr	Reinard
Book	Gamble	Levi	Ryan
Bowser	Gannon	Lloyd	Saurman
Boyes	Geist	McClatchy	Scheetz
Brandt	Gladeck	Mackowski	Schuler
Bunt	Godshall	Madigan	Semmel
Cessar	Greenwood	Manmiller	Sirianni
Cimini	Grieco	Merry	Smith, B.
Civera	Gruitza	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.

Clymer	Gruppo	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Cornell	Hagarty	Miller	Snyder, G. M.
Cowell	Hayes	Moehlmann	Spencer
DeVerter	Herman	Mowery	Stairs
Dawida	Hershey	Murphy	Sweet
Dietz	Hoeffel	Nahill	Swift
Dininni	Honaman	Noye	Van Horne
Durham	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Flick	Johnson	Phillips	Wachob
Foster, W. W.	Kennedy	Piccola	Wilson
Foster, Jr., A.	Klingaman	Pitts	Wozniak
Freind	Kukovich	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Fryer	Lashingier	Reber	

NOT VOTING—2

Spitz Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Flick, rise?

Mr. FLICK. Mr. Speaker, on amendment 1639 I inadvertently cast my vote in the negative. I wish that to be shown in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. FLICK. Thank you.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1021 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A1694:

Amend Sec. 234, page 140, line 25, by striking out "100,000,000" and inserting
70,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have circulated four amendments. I at this time intend to offer only amendments No. 1 and No. 3.

The amendment before us, 1694, deals with that line item in the budget, and in what has been known as the PennPRIDE (Pennsylvania Program for Recovery, Investment, Development, and Education) proposal, dealing with grants for job retraining programs. The number that is in the proposed budget is \$100 million. I propose to reduce that by \$30 million, to a \$70-million figure.

One might argue that you could go back and forth in terms of what is the most appropriate number, whether it should be \$70 million, \$60 million, \$50 million, or some lesser figure. I

think it is important that we discuss this amendment for two major reasons, though. One, I think it is important that the concept that is being proposed be aired—and this is really the first chance to air it on the floor of this House—and I have some particular concerns about the concept. The concept, as it has been explained to date, would basically provide for minimum wages for approximately 10,000 workers per year, unemployed persons, who would be provided training programs or apprenticeship-type programs by businesses around the State.

I have concerns about the practicality of that approach. One, I am concerned about putting people into a minimum wage job—and only a minimum wage job—for a year, where there is little likelihood of a job waiting at the end of that 1-year program. Secondly, I am concerned about giving to the business community effectively grants of \$10,000 per employee for positions that may well have existed otherwise anyhow and may well have been available to workers at something greater than minimum wages. So I think that we need to fully air the particular concept as it has been proposed.

Personally, I am much more an advocate of something that was reflected in the Daley amendment just a few moments ago where he proposed and this House agreed to provide \$15 million for community colleges and our State institutions to provide training programs for these folks. I think that is much more on target, although he did not specify exactly the nature of the programs in his proposal. I am much more an advocate of, and I think that would be inclined toward, what is called customized job training programs where we try to take some State money, you give it to an institution or a program, and you try to link, using that money, the unemployed person with a job that is going to be available in the private sector. I think that makes a lot more sense. It would be more cost effective, and it would have a better likelihood of insuring a job being available to that unemployed person once this training program has actually been completed.

The second major purpose for airing the amendment, though, is basically to try to determine, for the purpose of the conferees—if that is who actually is going to determine this budget in the end—what kind of support, in a dollar sense, may or may not exist for these programs. My personal opinion is there is not 100 million dollars' worth of support for this particular program on the floor of this House or even over in the Senate. I think there may be interest in job training programs. I think that that interest may perhaps be better directed toward the approach suggested by the Daley amendment, but that is really to be decided on another day. I think we need to air it, and I am, through this amendment, trying to signal those conferees that we ought not to be spending \$100 million on this program and that in fact it ought to be a lesser amount; whether it is ultimately \$70 million or some lesser figure will be determined on another day. But I would urge, for those two particular purposes that I have cited, support for the amendment as it has been presented. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—104

Angstadt	Fischer	McClatchy	Rudy
Armstrong	Flick	McVerry	Ryan
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Salvatore
Baldwir	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Saurman
Belardi	Freind	Manmiller	Scheetz
Book	Gallen	Marmion	Schuler
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Semmel
Brandt	Geist	Micozzie	Serafini
Bunt	Gladeck	Miller	Sirianni
Burd	Godshall	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Burns	Greenwood	Morris	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Snyder, G. M.
Civiera	Hagarty	Nahill	Spencer
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Spitz
Cornell	Hayes	O'Brien	Stairs
Coslett	Herman	Perzel	Stevens
Cowell	Hershey	Peterson	Swift
Coy	Honaman	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Telek
Davies	Johnson	Pitts	Vroon
Dietz	Kennedy	Pott	Wass
Dininni	Klingaman	Punt	Weston
Dorr	Lashingner	Reber	Wilson
Durham	Lehr	Reinard	Wogan
Fargo	Levi	Robbins	Wright, J. I.

NAYS—97

Afflerbach	Duffy	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Fattah	Lueyk	Saloom
Bartisto	Fee	McCall	Showers
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Steighner
Beloff	Fryer	McIntyre	Stewart
Blaum	Gallagher	McMonagle	Stuban
Boyes	Gamble	Maiale	Sweet
Broujos	George	Manderino	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Markosek	Tigue
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mayermik	Trello
Carn	Harper	Michlovic	Truman
Cawley	Hoeffel	Miscevich	Van Horne
Clark	Hutchinson	Murphy	Wachob
Cohen	Irkin	O'Donnell	Wambach
Colafella	Jarolin	Olasz	Wargo
Cole	Kasunic	Oliver	Wiggins
Cordisco	Kosinski	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pievsy	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Laughlin	Pistella	Zwikl
Dawida	Lescovitz	Pratt	
Deal	Letterman	Preston	Iris,
Dombrowski	Levin	Rappaport	Speaker
Donatucci	Linton	Richardson	

NOT VOTING—1

Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A1695:

Amend Sec. 229, page 131, line 22, by striking out "100,000,000" and inserting 80,000,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment deals with that line item in the budget that has been labeled "distressed municipalities." Once again, I have two particular reasons for raising the issue. One, conceptually, as it has been proposed to date, in my opinion, we are not really talking about a distressed municipality program. In fact, we are talking about a revenue-sharing program where each and every municipality in this State, rather than only those distressed communities, would share in the \$100 million that has been proposed. If we want to get into a revenue-sharing program, one that is going to be costly and one that politically, realistically, is going to be permanent, not temporary, then we ought to call it revenue sharing and we ought to go ahead and spend \$100 million or \$200 million or whatever we choose to spend with the full knowledge that it is costly and that in reality it will be permanent, not just during these times of economic distress. If we are going to in fact talk about a distressed municipality program, though, I think we ought to focus on those communities that in fact have extraordinary problems as a result of the economic conditions that exist today rather than existed a year ago or 2 years ago or will exist 3, 4, or 5 years from now. I think we need to refine the concept a good bit more than it has been refined to date.

Secondly, as I said on the prior amendment, I think we have to really test whether there is support on the floor of this House to spend and to raise the revenues to finance \$100 million for this program. Folks who think that we ought to spend \$100 million and are willing to vote for the \$100 million, to raise the \$100 million, I think in good conscience ought to oppose my amendment. But those who feel that we ought not to get into revenue sharing at this point or ought not to spend \$100 million for this program or ought not to ask the taxpayers of the Commonwealth for an extra \$100 million ought to support the amendment at this point. I would ask for support. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the Speaker.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Cawley.

Mr. CAWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think of all of the items in PennPRIDE, this, in my opinion, is one of the most important items for our local municipalities, because as all of us know, we take, take, take, take. We give absolutely nothing back to the municipalities, or very little, and I know this, that if all of us check what is going back to our local municipalities—for instance, in Scranton this means a reduction of \$131,000—I think we

would be crazy to vote for this amendment. I ask everyone to vote "no," because this is one of the very important amendments, that we can at least go back to our local municipalities and tell them they are getting something back from the State.

One other item: The moneys that would go back to the local municipalities could also help in the reduction of such important taxes to our local people as a reduction in real estate taxes, and it could also mean some jobs that all of us need back home. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Cowell amendment. As a former mayor of a community, I truly know the effects that the local units of government are now facing. There is not one member in this House who does not have a community that is distressed, that is not meeting the basic essential needs of those constituents of that municipality. People are not being protected because police forces have to be laid off; fire departments are not being funded because fire departments cannot receive that funding from the units of local government because the taxpayers can no longer be strapped with additional millage at the local level.

This truly is one of the best parts of PennPRIDE. If we do one thing and do one thing only, that is to send the word to the units of local government that we do care about their distressed condition and that we are trying to do something about that distressed condition. I urge you to vote against the Cowell amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Urban Affairs Committee, I have had an opportunity to travel through many of not only the first-class and second-class cities, such as Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, but also the third-class cities. One of the things that many of those mayors have testified to—not just Democratic mayors but also Republican mayors—has been the need for some financial support from the Commonwealth. It is clear, as my colleague stated, that many of those very small cities need some aid in terms of the distress that they are now feeling. I encourage my colleagues to vote in opposition to the Cowell amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would simply like to clarify two points. One, this does not eliminate the appropriation; it reduces it from \$100 million to \$80 million. As I said earlier, if there is in fact support on the floor of this House for a distressed community program, then we ought to be willing to provide some sufficient sum of money to finance the distressed community program.

My concern has been that we are talking too much along the lines of an overly expensive revenue-sharing program that does not focus intensely enough or narrowly enough on those

truly distressed communities that are experiencing extraordinary poverty or extraordinary unemployment as a result of the current economic depression. I think that that kind of program does have merit. It could be of assistance to our urban communities, and it would cost a lot less than \$100 million.

Secondly, I have been advised that there has been further refinement in terms of some of the numbers that we have seen floated around, and this relates to a comment I made earlier about every community getting a little piece of the action. Apparently there are now numbers available that would permit this legislature or this State Government to take a look at unemployment figures even in our small communities, rather than only those that have a population in excess of 25,000. That in fact will allow us to focus a bit more narrowly. It still does not completely satisfy my concerns, but I want to correct something that I suggested earlier. Going under old information, it was our impression—in fact, it was the communication—that every community would get a little piece of the action. Apparently now, with the ability to focus on the unemployment rate in each and every community of Pennsylvania, if that information in fact is available and in fact is accurate, then there would be some communities that would get nothing out of the current \$100 million or even the \$80 million which I propose. I do not have a problem with that. I think that is a step in the right direction. Unless we want to talk about revenue sharing, then everybody ought to get something. But with distressed communities, the focus ought to be more narrow; it ought to be where the problem really is. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate Mr. Cowell, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, says he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Letterman, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, are you telling me that at the present time this does not deal with communities under 25,000?

Mr. COWELL. No. That is not what I meant to say.

In the printout that was distributed—and I might mention this for everybody's information, in case they did not read the cover sheet carefully enough—in the printout for the distressed communities money that was originally distributed, there were two major variables - poverty level, based on the 1980 census, and unemployment figures. The Department of Labor had unemployment figures only for communities with a population in excess of 25,000. If your communities had a population of less than 25,000, the variable for unemployment that was used was a countywide figure rather than just their municipal figure. For instance, in Allegheny County our wealthiest communities were treated the same way as some of our poorest mill towns, and I thought that was very unfair.

Through the efforts of Representative Michlovic as much as anybody else's, we have apparently been able to identify some more specific information to feed into that formula so that in each and every one of your towns, regardless of population, they would have an unemployment factor for that town. I think that would give a more true reading of where unemployment really is in this State.

Mr. LETTERMAN. If you are taking \$20 million away from it— For example, I have a town with 95 percent unemployment. What I am looking at is, by working the formula out, I am going to give them less by going with your amendment than what I would if I go with the \$100 million.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I think it is important to emphasize that with this program, as with many other programs, the only thing that we have before us and the only thing that is before this legislature is a budget with about two or three lines of explanation and a big number at the end of it. The formula and the printout that was distributed earlier is a concept, but we have not seen it in the form of legislation before this House of Representatives. I am sure that ultimately it will come before us if we choose to spend the money, but the important thing to recognize is that we are providing a big lump sum of money for just an idea today.

I think when we finally get to that legislation, Mr. Speaker, and for everybody, we will have a chance to argue about an appropriate distribution of that money. In my opinion, there ought to be greater emphasis on those communities such as yours where you talk about 95 percent unemployment. I think we ought to be shifting more of the focus on those kinds of towns and shifting it away from a town that may have always had 5 percent unemployment and, because of the nature of the town or the business in the town, will have 5 percent next year, has it now, and had it last year, and is not experiencing any particularly unique problems such as some of your communities are experiencing.

Mr. LETTERMAN. It still does not make sense to me, Mr. Speaker, why we would reduce that appropriation then for people who live in communities of my type that are really hard hit and have 95 to 60 percent unemployment. And if I do that, if I go along with you, then if they do work out a formula on poverty and on unemployment, then I am going to be giving them less than what I would if I would keep it at the \$100 million.

Mr. COWELL. Not necessarily. Depending on the kind of legislation that is considered and the formula distribution that is ultimately agreed to, the bottom line may well be simply taking money away from those kinds of communities that do not have unusual unemployment or unusual poverty today and really putting the same amount of money as otherwise would be provided into those severely depressed towns. I think that is the kind of approach that we ought to be using, whether we talk about \$100 million, \$70 million, or some lesser figure.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman, for the purpose of making a comment on the amendment.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I still cannot see the concept that Mr. Cowell is speaking about. I believe that we should keep this at \$100 million, because in communities like I am speaking to you about, like Renovo in Clinton County, which has 95 percent unemployment, if we do work out a formula, certainly they would get more if we kept it at \$100 million than what they would at \$70 million. Now, I do not anticipate this staying in at the full \$100 million probably when it comes out of the conference committee, but I would sure like to see it being sent to the conference committee at \$100 million and let them work on it from there. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I hope I misunderstood what Mr. Cowell said in the presentation of his amendment. But if I recall correctly, he said words to the effect that those of us who wish to support the \$100-million appropriation should also be willing to support a sufficient raise in revenue to fund it, suggesting in my mind that to support his amendment would not necessitate an increase in revenue.

I think the gentleman has been here long enough to know that the difference of \$20 million between the \$100 million in the bill and the \$80 million he now offers is not going to have any significant impact whatsoever on whether or not this Assembly will have to approve a tax increase to fund whatever budget we pass. I think it is a specious argument to imply that this \$20-million difference is going to have that kind of an impact.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Ryan
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Salvatore
Book	Fryer	Madigan	Saurman
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Scheetz
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Schuler
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Semmel
Broujos	Gladeck	Micozzie	Showers
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Smith, B.
Burd	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Grieco	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Snyder, G. M.
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Spencer
Civcra	Hayes	O'Brien	Spitz
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Stairs
Cornell	Hershey	Peterson	Swift
Cowell	Hoefel	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
Coy	Honaman	Piccola	Telek
DeVerter	Jackson	Pitts	Vroon
Davies	Johnson	Pott	Wachob
Dietz	Kennedy	Punt	Wass
Dininni	Klingaman	Reber	Weston
Dorr	Lashinger	Reinard	Wilson
Durham	Lehr	Robbins	Wogan
Fargo	Levi	Rudy	Wright, J. L.
Flick	McClatchy		

NAYS—103

Afflerbach	Duffy	Livengood	Richardson
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rieger
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Baldwin	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Barber	Fischer	McHale	Serafini
Battisto	Freeman	McIntyre	Sirianni
Belardi	Freind	McMonagle	Steighner
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Stevens
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Stewart
Blaum	George	Markosek	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mayernik	Sweet
Cappabianca	Haluska	Michlovic	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Harper	Miscevich	Tigue
Cawley	Hasay	Morris	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Mrkoncic	Truman
Cohen	Itkin	Murphy	Van Horne
Colafella	Jarolin	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cole	Kasunic	Olasz	Wargo
Cordisco	Kosinski	Oliver	Wiggins
Coslett	Kowalshyn	Petrarca	Williams
Deluca	Kukovich	Petrone	Wozniak
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pievsky	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Lescovitz	Pistella	Zwilk
Dawida	Letterman	Pratt	
Deal	Levin	Preston	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Linton	Rappaport	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING—1

Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. We are pleased to welcome to the hall of the House Pennsylvania State Grange royalty - Barb Mason from Bedford County, Jeff and Susan Graham from Tioga County, and Mike Hower from Northampton County. They are brought here as the guests of Representative Dietz.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1021 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PISTELLA offered the following amendment No. A1687:

Amend Sec. 211, page 13, line 19, by striking out "2,403,000" and inserting
2,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment, A1687, slices \$403,000 from the Governor's Office for the purpose of expense and entertainment of official guests, et cetera, et cetera. I have never

been invited to the Governor's Mansion, other than one time when I was first sworn in.

Mr. McCLATCHY. You keep this up, you will not.

Mr. PISTELLA. And after today I may never be invited again.

But, nonetheless, I would encourage the support of the members for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—106

Afflerbach	Dorr	Lescovitz	Pratt
Alderette	Duffy	Letterman	Preston
Baldwin	Durham	Levin	Rappaport
Barber	Evans	Linton	Richardson
Battisto	Fattah	Livengood	Rieger
Belfanti	Fee	Lloyd	Rudy
Beloff	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rybak
Blaum	Freeman	McCall	Saloom
Boyes	Fryer	McHale	Showers
Broujos	Gallagher	McIntyre	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gamble	McMonagle	Stewart
Cappabianca	George	Maiale	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Gladeck	Manderino	Telek
Clark	Godshall	Markosek	Tigue
Cohen	Gruitza	Mayernik	Trello
Colafella	Haluska	Michlovic	Truman
Cole	Harper	Miscevich	Wargo
Cordisco	Hasay	Morris	Weston
Cowell	Hoefel	Mrkoncic	Wiggins
Coy	Hutchinson	Murphy	Williams
Deluca	Itkin	O'Donnell	Wilson
DeWeese	Jarolin	Olasz	Wozniak
Daley	Kasunic	Oliver	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kosinski	Petrarca	Zwilk
Deal	Kowalshyn	Petrone	
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pievsky	Irvis,
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pistella	Speaker

NAYS—94

Angstadt	Foster, W. W.	Manmiller	Scheetz
Armstrong	Freind	Marmion	Schuler
Arty	Gallen	Merry	Semmel
Belardi	Gannon	Micozzie	Serafini
Book	Geist	Miller	Sirianni
Bowser	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Brandt	Grieco	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Gruppo	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Hagarty	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Hayes	O'Brien	Spencer
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	Spitz
Cessar	Hershey	Peterson	Stairs
Cimini	Honaman	Phillips	Stevens
Civera	Jackson	Piccola	Stuban
Clymer	Johnson	Pitts	Sweet
Cornell	Kennedy	Pott	Swift
Coslett	Klingaman	Punt	Taylor, E. Z.
DeVerter	Lashinger	Reber	Vroon
Davies	Lehr	Reinard	Wachob
Dietz	Levi	Robbins	Wambach
Diminni	McClatchy	Ryan	Wass
Fargo	McVerry	Salvatore	Wogan
Fischer	Mackowski	Saurman	Wright, J. L.
Flick	Madigan		

NOT VOTING—2

Van Horne Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BELFANTI offered the following amendment No. A1686:

Amend Sec. 211, page 14, line 3, by striking out “350,000” and inserting
175,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti, on the amendment.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment cuts in half the public relations appropriation for the Governor’s Office, in his main public relations office. He also has additional public relations appropriations totaling \$133,000 in his other offices. I think that that is too much money, and I think we can save the Commonwealth a little bit right there.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—108

Afflerbach	Donatucci	Linton	Preston
Alderette	Duffy	Livengood	Rappaport
Angstadt	Durham	Lloyd	Richardson
Baldwin	Evans	Lucyk	Rieger
Barber	Fattah	McCall	Rudy
Battisto	Fee	McHale	Rybak
Belfanti	Fischer	McIntyre	Saloom
Beloff	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Blaum	Freeman	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Fryer	Manderino	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gallagher	Manmiller	Taylor, F. E.
Cappabianca	Gamble	Markosek	Telek
Carn	George	Mayernik	Tigue
Cawley	Gruitza	Michlovic	Trello
Clark	Haluska	Miller	Truman
Cohen	Harper	Miscevich	Van Horne
Colafella	Hoeffel	Morris	Wargo
Cole	Hutchinson	Mrkonic	Wass
Cordisco	Itkin	Murphy	Wiggins
Cowell	Jarolin	O’Donnell	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Olasz	Wogan
Deluca	Kosinski	Oliver	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Petrarca	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kukovich	Petrone	Zwilk
Dawida	Laughlin	Pievsky	
Deal	Lescovitz	Pistella	
Dininni	Letterman	Pratt	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Levin		Speaker

NAYS—93

Armstrong	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheetz
Arty	Gannon	Madigan	Schuler
Belardi	Geist	Marmion	Semmel
Boof	Gladeck	Merry	Serafini
Bowser	Godshall	Micozzie	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Brandt	Grieco	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Buni	Gruppo	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Hagarty	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Hasay	O’Brien	Spencer
Cessar	Hayes	Perzel	Spitz
Cimini	Herman	Peterson	Stairs
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	Stevens
Clymer	Honaman	Piccola	Stuban
Cornell	Jackson	Pitts	Sweet
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Swift
DeVerter	Kennedy	Punt	Taylor, E. Z.
Davies	Klingaman	Reber	Vroon
Diez	Lashingner	Reinard	Wachob
Dorr	Lehr	Robbins	Wambach
Fargo	Levi	Ryan	Weston
Flick	McClatchy	Salvatore	Wilson
Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Saurman	Wright, J. L.
Freind			

NOT VOTING—1

Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SALOOM offered the following amendment No. A1711:

Amend Sec. 221, page 58, line 6, by striking out “1,568,632,000” and inserting
1,868,632,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is very easily conceived. Mr. Speaker, this amendment raises the appropriation to basic education and gives increased subsidies to our school districts throughout the State of Pennsylvania. Most of the school districts or all of them are coming up now, with their budgets, and by the end of the month most all of the school districts throughout Pennsylvania are either going to raise their taxes to the property owners, who can no longer afford to pay any additional taxes on their properties, or they are going to be cutting their teaching staffs, either by attrition or by just cutting them, raising the classroom sizes. The people who are hurting the most will be the students, our young people in the schools.

I am therefore asking the members of the House to increase the budget for basic education by \$300 million. This increase, with the amount that is already in the budget, will bring the subsidies to our school districts just about up to 50 percent funding.

I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the adoption of the Saloom amendment, the Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman, Mr. Saloom, consent to a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Saloom, indicates he will so stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Does the gentleman have any suggestion as to how we distribute this additional \$300 million?

Mr. SALOOM. Well, through the formula that is already in the Department of Education that has passed through the House of Representatives. If there are any changes in the subsidies, I would be happy to entertain any suggestions by legislation through the subsidies formula, and, of course, I want my school district the same as I want your school district to have their fair share and get the approximate 50 percent funding.

Mr. HAYES. Does the gentleman have a printout which carries forward his recommendation of 300 million additional dollars?

Mr. SALOOM. No, I do not, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. HAYES. You have no printout to present to us here this morning?

Mr. SALOOM. You mean on the—

Mr. HAYES. The additional \$300 million.

Mr. SALOOM. To where it would go?

Mr. HAYES. Yes.

Mr. SALOOM. No, I do not.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thank the gentleman.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip for a comment on the amendment.

Mr. HAYES. I thank the gentleman for his concern with regard to education. He has brought to us here today an amendment that would increase spending by \$300 million. There are 501 school districts. He stated that he does not have a printout as to how he would distribute that money.

I would also suggest that in addition to these 300 millions of dollars, there is another line item in the budget which also adds a sizable sum of additional dollars to be sent to our school districts. I think that before we vote blindly on how we spend all of this money, we should have the benefit of a printout as to how our school districts are going to fare, to see whether there is an equitable distribution of those moneys or not.

Without any doubt, the finance of our public school system is one of the serious problems facing us not only this year but in these times. I just believe it would be wrong indeed to say yes to an amendment that just puts out \$300 million more without there being a rational basis for the distribution of those moneys. And do not forget that elsewhere in this budget

there are also additional moneys going to the public schools. If you would take the gentleman's amendment and add it to all those other items, you are talking about much more than just \$300 million. You are starting to approach \$500 million, and I believe that before this House of Representatives votes "yes" on this amendment, we should take pause and at least look at one run of a computer printout for the 501 school districts. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—64

Alderette	Fattah	Letterman	Saloom
Angstadt	Fee	Levin	Steighner
Barber	Fischer	Linton	Stewart
Battisto	Freeman	Livengood	Taylor, F. E.
Belfanti	Gallagher	McCall	Telek
Blaum	George	McHale	Tigue
Caltagirone	Haluska	McIntyre	Trello
Cappabianca	Harper	Maiale	Truman
Clark	Hasay	Manderino	Wambach
Cohen	Hutchinson	Mrkonic	Wargo
Colafella	Itkin	O'Donnell	Wiggins
Cole	Jarolin	Oliver	Williams
Cordisco	Kasunic	Petrarca	Zwikl
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pievsky	
Daley	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Irvis,
Deal	Laughlin	Rybak	Speaker
Dombrowski	Lescovitz		

NAYS—128

Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Rieger
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Robbins
Baldwin	Freind	Madigan	Rudy
Belardi	Fryer	Manmiller	Ryan
Book	Gallen	Markosek	Salvatore
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Saurman
Boyes	Gannon	Mayernik	Scheetz
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Schuler
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Semmel
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Serafini
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Showers
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Sirianni
Cawley	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Cessar	Gruppo	Morris	Smith, L. E.
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Snyder, G. M.
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Spencer
Cornell	Hershey	Noye	Stairs
Coslett	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Stevens
Cowell	Honaman	Olasz	Stuban
Coy	Jackson	Perzel	Sweet
Deluca	Johnson	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Petrone	Van Horne
Davies	Klingaman	Phillips	Vroon
Dawida	Kukovich	Piccola	Wachob
Dietz	Lashingier	Pistella	Wass
Dininni	Lehr	Pitts	Weston
Dorr	Levi	Pott	Wilson
Duffy	Lloyd	Preston	Wogan
Durham	Lucyk	Punt	Wozniak
Fargo	McClatchy	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Flick	McMonagle	Reinard	Wright, J. L.

NOT VOTING—10

Afflerbach	Donatucci	Richardson	Swift
Beloff	Evans	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
Carn	Pratt		

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A1382 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Nahill, and the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Hagarty, who move that the vote by which amendment A1382 was passed on the 21st day of June 1983 be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	Mackowski	Schuler
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Semmel
Blaum	Fryer	Maiale	Serafini
Book	Gallagher	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Swift
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
Coy	Jarolin	Petrone	Wargo
Deluca	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kasunic	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daley	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams
Davies	Kosinski	Pott	Wogan
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wozniak
Deal	Kukovich	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lashingner	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reinard	Irvis,
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Speaker

Duffy

Levi

Rieger

NAYS—1

Levin

NOT VOTING—8

Beloff
Evans

Linton
McVerry

Pitts
Spitz

Wilson
Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. Amendment A1382 will be the first amendment called after the noon recess. Note that down. The first amendment to be called after the noon recess will be amendment A1382.

AMENDMENT A1606 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair also has in its possession a motion for reconsideration by the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Freeman, and the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. McHale, who move that the vote by which amendment A1606 was defeated on Tuesday, June 21, 1983, be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Evans	Linton	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Livengood	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lloyd	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Arty	Flick	McCall	Saloom
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McHale	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McIntyre	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	McMonagle	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	McVerry	Semmel
Beloff	Gallagher	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Showers
Book	Gamble	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Marmion	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Mayernik	Spencer
Burd	Greenwood	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Mrkoncic	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Telek
Clark	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins

DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams
Davies	Kosinski	Pistella	Wilson
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwilk
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	
Dorr	Letterman	Reinard	Irvis,
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Speaker
Durham	Levin	Rieger	

NAYS—2

Fischer Miller

NOT VOTING—7

Cordisco Nahill Spitz Wright, R. C.
Daley Pitts Taylor, E. Z.

EXCUSED—1

Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. Amendment A1606 will be the second amendment to be considered after the noon recess.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You said the magic words and bodies started moving toward the exits, and before that goes any further, I would like to make an announcement, if I may.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. NOYE. I do not know what your time plans are on the noon break.

The SPEAKER. One thirty.

Mr. NOYE. Come back at 1:30?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. NOYE. Okay. Mr. O'Donnell has spoken to me about some urgency about HB 740, which is on the calendar. We have not caucused on that bill, and we will do so, and I will call a caucus for 10 minutes after 1 in the minority caucus room to cover HB 740 so that it can be disposed of this afternoon. I would ask all members to return to the caucus room at about 10 after 1 for that discussion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Mr. Speaker, I have just noticed that, for whatever reason, my vote did not record on amendment A1711 to HB 1021. Had it recorded, it would have been in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. His remarks will be spread upon the record.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. We are favored by the presence of the mother and father of Representative Gregory Snyder. Mr. and Mrs. Robert Snyder are to the left of the Chair.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House stands in recess until 1:30.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

SB 206;
SB 471;
SB 710; and
SB 795.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If you would, please, I would ask to return to leaves of absence.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would request a leave for the gentleman from Bedford, Mr. DIETZ, for this afternoon's session because of the flooding conditions in that county.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave of absence is granted. The Chair hears no objection.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1021 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A1382:

Amend Sec. 228, page 121, line 6, by inserting after "facilities."

Individual ceilings for allowable net operating per diem costs established for the various intermediate care facilities for the fiscal year 1983-1984 shall be increased at a minimum by 10%. The nursing staff allowance recognized for Medical Assistance reimbursement shall be 3.5

nursing hours for skilled nursing facility services and 3.0 nursing hours for intermediate care. It is the intent of the General Assembly that the above is necessary to maintain appropriate care for patients whose services have been redefined by the regulations of January 8, 1983 pertaining to levels of care.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Because this is an amendment which had passed the House and this is a reconsideration of it and there may be members who wish to change their votes on this amendment, the House will stand at ease. The Chair does not wish to have the same mistake made twice. The Chair would urge the members to come out of their offices and come on the floor. If you expect to get out of here today, come down and report on the floor.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I think what is customary among the members is that once an amendment has been voted upon, we usually throw them away. I am just wondering if you would have somebody explain again what this is all about before we vote on it again.

The SPEAKER. Yes, certainly. You are quite correct.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski. Mr. Dombrowski, we are about to take up your amendment A1382. Would you please explain— No; desist on the explanation until we have a sufficiently large House to hear you, and then we will give the explanation. The House will stand at ease awaiting the return of the members.

The House will come to order. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dombrowski, to explain amendment A1382. The members should take note this is an amendment on which all of you have voted before. The amendment had passed the House. Apparently there were a number of members who wished to change their vote, so to accommodate them, Mr. Dombrowski has agreed to have the amendment run again. We are on amendment A1382. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dombrowski.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment was the amendment that passed quite easily. It dealt with reimbursements for medical assistance recipients who require nursing home care.

The reason we reconsidered this amendment is that several members had intended to vote for Representative Pievsky's amendment that was to be offered at a later time, but he withdrew his amendment because of ours that had passed. That is the only reason it is being reconsidered.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom

Arty	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	Mackowski	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Madigan	Semmel
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Spencer
Bunt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Carn	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Herman	Noye	Telek
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Clymer	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Colafella	Hutchinson	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cordisco	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeVerte	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Zwilk
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—4

Flick	Fryer	McClatchy	McVerry
-------	-------	-----------	---------

NOT VOTING—2

Rudy	Steighner
------	-----------

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A1606:

Amend Sec. 231, page 135, line 14, by inserting after "program" including \$550,000, or as much thereof as necessary, in backup funding for interstate train service from Allentown, Bethlehem and Easton to New Jersey

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On this question, the Chair advises the members again, this is an amendment previously offered and defeated, and the gentleman, Mr. Freeman, has asked us to reconsider, and the House has said it will. So we are now voting on a reconsidered amendment which was once defeated.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Freeman, to explain the amendment.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To clarify once again what the amendment does, it earmarks \$550,000 that is already part of the budget appropriation in HB 1021. These funds would be utilized as backup funding. Should the fare-box portion generated from running the train from Newark to the Lehigh Valley not meet the projections that have been planned, in all likelihood very little if any of these moneys will be used. But this level of \$550,000 is a necessary commitment in order to get New Jersey Transit to bring rail service to the Lehigh Valley.

Once again, rail service to the Lehigh Valley will be a benefit not only for the valley in connecting its citizens to a major metropolitan area but also in attracting new taxpayers to Pennsylvania to live in a bedroom community atmosphere and to bring their tax dollars to our Commonwealth. I urge the members to vote "yes" on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Rybak, wish to be recognized on the question?

Mr. RYBAK. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman for that purpose.

Mr. RYBAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Lehigh Valley is a metropolitan area that ranks third in number throughout the Commonwealth. It had one link—it was the last link—to the Philadelphia area and the New York area when it was taken away. It serves a vital purpose to this area in order for people to commute to Philadelphia and New York. It is important because Bethlehem is a historic center where much tourism comes and goes and because the Lehigh Valley has access to the tourism in that part of the area. I would appreciate support. It is much needed. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—109

Afflerbach	Duffy	McCall	Saloom
Alderette	Evans	McHale	Salvatore
Angstadt	Fattah	McIntyre	Semmel
Baldwin	Fee	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Freeman	McVerry	Showers
Battisto	Gallagher	Maiale	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	George	Manderino	Steighner
Belfanti	Godshall	Markosek	Stewart
Beloff	Gruppo	Mayernik	Struban
Blaum	Haluska	Michlovic	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Harper	Miscevich	Telek
Cappabianca	Hasay	Morris	Tigue
Carn	Hoefel	Murphy	Trello

Cawley	Hutchinson	O'Brien	Truman
Clark	Itkin	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cohen	Jarolin	Olasz	Wachob
Colafella	Kasunic	Oliver	Wambach
Cole	Kosinski	Perzel	Wargo
Cordisco	Kowalyshyn	Petrarca	Wiggins
Cowell	Kukovich	Petrone	Williams
Coy	Laughlin	Pievsky	Wilson
Deluca	Lescovitz	Pistella	Wozniak
DeWeese	Letterman	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Levin	Rappaport	Zwilk
Dawida	Linton	Richardson	
Deal	Livengood	Rieger	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Lloyd	Rudy	Speaker
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rybak	

NAYS—82

Armstrong	Flick	Levi	Reinard
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Robbins
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Ryan
Bowser	Freind	Madigan	Saurman
Boyes	Fryer	Manmiller	Scheetz
Brandt	Gallen	Marmion	Schuler
Bunt	Gannon	Merry	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Burns	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Grieco	Moehlmann	Snyder, G. M.
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Spencer
Civera	Hayes	Mrkonic	Spitz
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Stair,
Cornell	Hershey	Noye	Stevens
Coslett	Honaman	Peterson	Swift
DeVertter	Jackson	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
Dininni	Johnson	Piccola	Vroon
Dorr	Kennedy	Pitts	Wass
Durham	Klingaman	Pott	Wogan
Fargo	Lashingner	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Fischer	Lehr		

NOT VOTING—10

Broujos	Greenwood	Punt	Weston
Daley	Gruitza	Sweet	Wright, R. C.
Gamble	Pratt		

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FREEMAN offered the following amendment No. A1518:

Amend Sec. 231, page 137, by inserting between lines 6 and 7
 To upgrade and refurbish railroad stations in
 Easton, Bethlehem and Allentown.
 State appropriation..... 200,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Freeman. We are now on A1518.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment appropriates \$200,000 for upgrading and refurbishing of the railroad stations in Easton, Bethlehem, and Allentown. These stations have not been used for passenger rail service in quite some years, and they are in need of

repair in order to make the rail service that would come into the valley from New Jersey an effective rail service and attractive rail service. By appropriating these moneys we upgrade the stations, and it has always been found, too, that unless you have an adequate station facility, you have a hard time getting people to use the train, to utilize it and its services. So I would urge the members to vote "yes" on this and to make the train service run in a much more thorough fashion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Afflerbach	Duffy	McCall	Saloom
Alderette	Evans	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Fattah	McIntyre	Semmel
Barber	Fee	McMonagle	Showers
Battisto	Freeman	Maiale	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gallagher	Manderino	Steighner
Beloff	Gamble	Markosek	Stewart
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Sweet
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Harper	Murphy	Telek
Cawley	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Tigue
Clark	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Trello
Cohen	Itkin	Olasz	Truman
Colafiglia	Jarolin	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Kasunic	Perzel	Wachob
Cordisco	Kosinski	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Kowalyszyn	Petrone	Wargo
Coy	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wiggins
Deluca	Laughlin	Pistella	Williams
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Letterman	Preston	Zwikel
Dawida	Levin	Rappaport	
Deal	Linton	Richardson	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Livengood	Rieger	Speaker
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rybak	

NAYS—99

Angstadt	Foster, W. W.	Lloyd	Rudy
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McVerry	Saurman
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Scheetz
Book	Gallen	Madigan	Schuler
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Serafini
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Sirianni
Brandt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Spencer
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Spitz
Cimini	Haluska	Morris	Stairs
Civera	Hasay	Mowery	Stevens
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Swift
Cornell	Herman	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Hershey	Peterson	Vroon
DeVertter	Honaman	Phillips	Wass
Davies	Jackson	Piccola	Weston
Dininni	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
Dorr	Kennedy	Pott	Wogan
Durham	Klingaman	Punt	Wozniak
Fargo	Lashinger	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Fischer	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Flick	Levi	Robbins	

NOT VOTING—1

Broujos

EXCUSED—2

Dietz Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Steighner, rise?

Mr. STEIGHNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on the Dombrowski amendment, amendment A1382, my switch was inoperative. Had it been working, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Flick, rise?

Mr. FLICK. On the Dombrowski reconsidered amendment, A1382, I inadvertently voted "no." I wish to cast my vote in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to announce that Bob Michika and Karen Westphal are here from the Hughes Aircraft company of California, and they are the guests of Representative Dawida.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1021 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CLARK offered the following amendment No. A1723:

Amend Sec. 231, page 137, by inserting between lines 2 and 3
For reimbursement of the Port Authority of Allegheny County for commuter rail services from Pittsburgh through Harrison Township.
State appropriation..... 1,500,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Clark.

Mr. CLARK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is similar to an amendment I offered yesterday relative to commuter rail service in the Allegheny Valley north of Pittsburgh. It affects several legislative districts, including my own, Representative Cessar's, Representative Burd's, Representative Petrarca's, Representative Van Horne's, Representative Livengood's, and Representative Duffy's.

I would appreciate your support for this. We have done some feasibility studies and have learned that we need support from the State in order to implement the service. This would be a one-time appropriation to see if the service is feasible, as we have deemed that we have to do a demonstration to see if it is feasible. If it were not feasible, we would not offer the funding for next term.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This proposal, in the way of a rail line, goes up through one of my districts, up into the upper Natrona Heights area and above there. I think it is worth the investment to go ahead and put this \$1 1/2 million into it and see if it is feasible to cut costs of our residents who travel into the city of Pittsburgh and out to the residences in areas 20 and 30 and 40 miles away. I would like your support on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This rail line, during the war when I worked for the railroad down in Pittsburgh, I had a chance to ride the railroad, and I will tell you, this is something we need now.

When we passed the lottery, we went on and used some of the funds for areas where there was an established bus route or rail line, and in the rural areas where we come from, we got dealt out. Yesterday when this came up, I did not know it came from Kiski Junction, which takes in southern Armstrong County, Mr. Burd's area, Mr. Livengood's area, and goes through my area. So I would appreciate support for the amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—107

Afflerbach	Donatucci	McHale	Rybak
Alderette	Duffy	McIntyre	Saloom
Barber	Evans	McMonagle	Salvatore
Battisto	Fattah	McVerry	Serafini
Belardi	Fee	Maiale	Showers
Belfanti	Freeman	Manderino	Stairs
Beloff	Gallagher	Markosek	Steighner
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Stewart
Bowser	George	Mayernik	Stuban
Burd	Grieco	Michlovic	Taylor, E. Z.
Burns	Haluska	Miscevich	Taylor, F. E.
Callagirono	Harper	Murphy	Telek
Cappabianca	Hutchinson	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Itkin	O'Donnell	Trello
Cessar	Jarolin	Olasz	Truman
Cimini	Kasunic	Oliver	Van Horne
Clark	Kosinski	Perzel	Wambach
Colafrilla	Kowalshyn	Petrarca	Wargo
Cole	Kukovich	Petrone	Weston
Cordisco	Laughlin	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cowell	Lescovitz	Pistella	Williams
Coy	Letterman	Pott	Wogan
Deluca	Levin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Linton	Punt	Zwick

Davies	Livengood	Rappaport	
Dawida	Lucyk	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	McCall	Rieger	Speaker
Dombrowski			

NAYS—90

Angstadt	Freind	Levi	Ryan
Armstrong	Fryer	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Gallen	McClatchy	Scheetz
Baldwin	Gannon	Mackowski	Schuler
Book	Geist	Madigan	Semmel
Boyes	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Godshall	Merry	Smith, B.
Broujos	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Gruitza	Miller	Snyder, D. W.
Civera	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Snyder, G. M.
Clymer	Hagarty	Morris	Spencer
Cornell	Hasay	Mowery	Spitz
Coslett	Hayes	Nahill	Stevens
DeVerter	Herman	Noye	Sweet
Daley	Hershey	Peterson	Swift
Dininni	Hoefel	Phillips	Vroon
Dorr	Honaman	Piccola	Wachob
Durham	Jackson	Pitts	Wass
Fargo	Johnson	Reber	Wilson
Fischer	Kennedy	Reinard	Wozniak
Flick	Klingaman	Robbins	Wright, J. L.
Foster, W. W.	Lashinger	Rudy	Wright, R. C.
Foster, Jr., A.	Lehr		

NOT VOTING—4

Carn	Cohen	Mrkoncic	Pratt
------	-------	----------	-------

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeWEESE offered the following amendment No. A1721:

Amend Sec. 262, page 181, line 24, by inserting after "Commission."

No regulation accepted or passed by the commission shall remain in force if objection is made thereto by the designated standing committee of the Senate or the House of Representatives.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission at this stage of the game is allowed to review regulations within the bureaucracy and make recommendations which will hold sway over State Government. If the Department of Environmental Resources wants to modify the way they do business, if that modification will not involve a statutory change, then this entity, IRRRC, will be the entity to do that.

What I am asking today is that the General Assembly of Pennsylvania make a statement, and that statement would be that if regulations went into effect in DER or any other State

agency, a standing committee of the House or the Senate - those of us who are elected - could reverse any regulatory initiative taken by IRRRC. That in essence is what I am trying to do.

The SPEAKER. On the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, indicates he would be delighted to stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Wambach, is in order and may now proceed.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, are you familiar with Act 181 of 1982 setting up the Independent Regulatory Review Commission?

Mr. DeWEESE. Only from the brief discussion that you and I had, sir. I am aware of the fact that it passed with enthusiasm not too long ago.

Mr. WAMBACH. I am talking about the provision that really addresses your amendment, Mr. Speaker, within the act.

Mr. DeWEESE. No; I am not. I would need enlightened by you.

Mr. WAMBACH. All right. Thank you.

I would like to inform the members of the House, under subsection (c) under section 7 of the act it says, "Whenever a designated standing committee has received the notice required under section 6(c), the designated standing committee may immediately report to the House of Representatives or Senate a concurrent resolution and immediately notify the affected agency which shall be the official notice to the agency....If both the House of Representatives and the Senate agree to the concurrent resolution within the allotted time period, the agency shall not adopt the regulation."

What you are doing, Mr. Speaker, I think, is giving an either-or aspect to it. What I would like to say is, when you said "at this stage of the game," at this stage of the game with the Independent Regulatory Review Commission we are at the top of the first inning, and I think it is about time we let them act under the purview of the act which set them up last year and really got into operation in January of this year.

So I would appreciate if the membership would vote against the DeWeese amendment to give a chance to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission, which also covers, if you will, both the House and Senate acting on a concurrent resolution of a standing committee. If in fact that is contrary to what the agency has adopted as far as the regulation itself, then the regulation does not go into effect.

What we fail to see and what we fail to recognize in and of ourselves is that the Independent Regulatory Review Commission is an arm of the legislature, and I think we should let them do their job; let them work on their performance. They have a report that is due in April of next year. The legislation in Act 181—

Mr. DeWEESE. A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, ask for a point of order?

Mr. DeWEESE. Technically, I am under the impression that I am still under interrogation.

Mr. WAMBACH. I have finished with the interrogation.

The SPEAKER. Technically, the gentleman is absolutely right, but the gentleman, Mr. Wambach, had obviously finished his interrogation.

The gentleman has asked, I assume, for permission to address the amendment, and that permission is now granted.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I apologize to the gentleman.

In the act that was set up last year of 181, we do make a report requirement of the Independent Regulatory Review Commission due in April of next year, and we also provided a sunset provision of December 31 of 1985. So I think all of the safeguards are current as far as the act is concerned, and I think, like I said, to reiterate, Mr. DeWeese said "at this stage of the game," and I still think we are at the top of the first inning on the Independent Regulatory Review Commission. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, we should not tinker, we should not tinker, with the regulatory review process which we just set up in the last session of this General Assembly. This language has no place in a GA (general appropriations) bill, and we should not tinker with statute law as this amendment would have us do. I urge all members of this House to oppose the DeWeese amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the adoption of the DeWeese amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, would Mr. DeWeese consent to interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I understand the language which you propose to add to the bill and I understand the current law as it was read by Representative Wambach. What I am interested in knowing is, is there a particular crisis or a particular problem that you are aware of today where the commission has permitted a rule or regulation to go into effect despite action by the legislature as a whole or despite action by a legislative committee that may have precipitated that joint resolution that the law—

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman yield?

Would the gentleman who just stood up and lit a cigarette take it outside the hall of the House. The gentleman shall remain heroically unnamed.

Does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, care to answer the question?

Mr. DeWEESE. Last year the State legislature here in this chamber decided not to promulgate statute change relative to mine subsidence. We decided not to do that. Nick DeBenedictis and his cohorts in the Department of Environmental Resources yesterday advanced regulatory change that is almost the same as what we were trying to prohibit statutorily. So in my opinion, Mr. Speaker, we have been at least partially circumvented.

What I am trying to do, Mr. Cowell, what I am trying to do, Mr. Hayes, is I am trying to do what rural legislators, small-town legislators, even Ronald Reagan would like to do, and that is make sure that little people have a say. Are we going to have another branch of government, another bureaucracy, come in here and tell us what to do?

The gentleman, Mr. Wambach, said it is an arm of the legislature. I would say we already have too many tentacles. We are like an octopus. I am saying we need to refine this legislation. I am not trying to abolish; I am trying to refine.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, yesterday I gave a couple of speeches in response to questions, too, so I will be tolerant.

What I asked, however, was, has any regulation taken effect that was contrary to the express wishes of a legislative committee or this legislature as a whole? And what I am asking now, more specifically, since you have noted the DER problem, you indicated that they proposed regulations yesterday. Obviously the law provides a procedure for the legislative committee and this legislature as a whole to effectively veto those regulations. Has that process begun?

Mr. DeWEESE. To my knowledge, the effort has never been turned around completely. The process, as Mr. Wambach correctly noted, is in its initial stage. But as any kind of event that goes in stages, I think the initial stage should be as finely tuned, as completely percolated as possible. I do not think that we have any crisis yet. What we are trying to do is prevent a crisis or prevent a regulatory entity, even if we did create it—and I will get into that in my next amendment—we want to keep that regulatory entity from superseding the legislature. A bill was introduced last year; the bill was held in committee for a while; it went on the floor, but the process was never completed, and big coal, in my opinion, made an arrangement with people in the department, and mine subsidence regulations are now being modified.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I just want to make sure I clearly understand the implications of your amendment. My understanding of the current law is that in response to the regulations that may have been proposed by DER within the last day or so, there is a procedure in the law for this legislature, beginning with action by the appropriate legislative committee, to initiate a veto resolution, and this entire legislature could speak on that issue and, if it is the will of the majority in the House and Senate, could veto that regulation. On the other hand, you are proposing a process whereby a single committee could effectively veto that regulation rather than have the entire legislature do that. Is that correct?

Mr. DeWEESE. I believe in the committee system. I believe in committee chairmen. This bill will give committee chairmen in the House more power. This bill will give committee members more power. This bill will emasculate regulatory bureaucrats.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, are you saying that you want the will of the chairman or the will of those committee members on that particular committee to supersede the will of the majority in the House and Senate?

Mr. DeWEESE. No; I do not mean to say that, Chairman Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Try again.

Mr. DeWEESE. I am content, if the committee system decides that a regulation is not appropriate, for that committee system to thwart it, to halt it. Now, if the legislature wants to do something above and beyond that, naturally it can take the aggressive or the positive maneuver to obviate anything that a committee does, but yes, what I am doing is saying the committee should be powerful. Bud George and his committee, Petrarca and his committee - these are the men whom I trust and believe in; these are the men whom I want to have regulatory review.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair now recognizes, for the second time, the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I do not pretend to be Alexander conquering the world like Mr. DeWeese, but I would like to read to Mr. DeWeese and to the members of the House who care to listen the legislative intent of Act 181, and I think it is important, because what you heard from Mr. DeWeese this afternoon seems to not run consistently with the legislative intent.

The General Assembly has enacted a large number of statutes conferring on boards, commissions, departments and other agencies of the executive branch of government the authority to adopt rules and regulations to supplement and implement those statutes. The General Assembly has found that this *delegation of its authority has resulted in regulations being promulgated without effective review concerning cost benefits, duplication, inflationary impact and conformity to legislative intent.* The General Assembly finds that it must provide a procedure for oversight and review of regulations adopted pursuant to this delegation of legislative power to curtail excessive regulation and to establish a system of accountability so that the bureaucracy must justify its use of the regulatory authority before imposing hidden costs upon the economy of Pennsylvania. It is the intent of this act to establish a method for continuing and effective review, accountability and oversight. It is the further intent of this act to provide for primary review by a commission with sufficient authority, expertise, independence and time to perform that responsibility. It is the further intent of this act to provide ultimate review by the General Assembly of those regulations which may be contrary to the public interest. This act is intended to provide a method of oversight and review of regulations issued by executive agencies to assist the Governor and the General Assembly in their supervisory and oversight functions and it is not

intended to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the Commonwealth, its agencies, officers or any person.

I think it is clear in the intent of Act 181 that the Independent Regulatory Review Commission is set up to oversee the overregulation, the intent of legislative statute from becoming obscure in regulation, and I think at this stage of the game that we give the Independent Regulatory Review Commission the authority we vested in it in Act 181, and at review time, in 1984 when that report comes before the General Assembly, I think we should look at it critically. And at the time of the sunset in December of 1985, if in fact the Independent Regulatory Review Commission is not doing its job in my eyes, in Representative DeWeese's eyes, I will join him at that time, but I think it is imperative that we give the Independent Regulatory Review Commission time to prove its worth. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Does Alexander ask for the second time to speak?

Mr. DeWEESE. Sir, my final pronouncement is this: It is us or them.

The SPEAKER. So be it.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Susquehanna, Miss Sirianni.

Miss SIRIANNI. Mr. Speaker, it should be us.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—85

Alderette	Duffy	Linton	Rappaport
Battisto	Evans	Livengood	Richardson
Belfanti	Fattah	Lucyk	Rieger
Beloff	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Blaum	Freeman	McIntyre	Saloom
Broujos	Fryer	McMonagle	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gallagher	Maiale	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gamble	Manderino	Stuban
Carn	George	Michlovic	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Godshall	Miscevich	Trello
Clark	Gruitza	Morris	Truman
Cohen	Haluska	Mrkonic	Van Horne
Colafella	Harper	Murphy	Wachob
Cole	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cordisco	Jarolin	Olasz	Wiggins
Deluca	Kasunic	Oliver	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Petrarca	Wozniak
Daley	Kukovich	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Laughlin	Pievsky	
Deal	Lescovitz	Pistella	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Letterman	Pratt	Speaker
Donatucci	Levin	Preston	

NAYS—113

Afflerbach	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Angstadt	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Scheetz
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Schuler
Arty	Freind	Madigan	Semmel
Baldwin	Gannon	Manmiller	Serafini
Barber	Geist	Markosek	Showers
Belardi	Gladeck	Marmion	Sirianni
Book	Greenwood	Mayermik	Smith, B.
Bowser	Grieco	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gruppo	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Hagarty	Miller	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Hasay	Moehlmann	Spencer

Burd	Hayes	Mowery	Stairs
Burns	Herman	Nahill	Stevens
Cawley	Hershey	Noye	Sweet
Cessar	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Swift
Civera	Honaman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Itkin	Peterson	Telek
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Tigue
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Vroon
Cowell	Kennedy	Pott	Wambach
Coy	Klingaman	Punt	Wass
DeVerter	Kowalyshyn	Reber	Weston
Davies	Lashinger	Reinard	Wilson
Dininni	Lehr	Robbins	Wogan
Dorr	Levi	Rudy	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Lloyd	Ryan	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	McClatchy	Salvatore	Zwikl
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—3

Gallen	Pitts	Spitz
--------	-------	-------

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeWEESE offered the following amendment No. A1709:

Amend Sec. 262, page 181, line 26, by striking out "907,000" and inserting
400,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. This is the DeWeese-Sirianni amendment.

Again, Mr. Speaker, we are trying to bring more responsibility to an entity that has just been created. When IRRC came before us, it was budgeted at approximately \$400,000. They have not really gotten off the ground completely—at least they had not gotten off until March or April—and then all of a sudden in an Appropriations meeting, when things were flying at vertiginous speed, most of us decided—and I was culpable among my cohorts—for going along for some extra money. Well, what I am doing is trying to take that money out. I made a mistake, Mr. Speaker, and I believe some of my friends in the Appropriations Committee made a mistake. I want to bring the figure back to what it was in the original budget document. I want to make certain that IRRC does not waste money. I am trying to make \$907,000 \$400,000. I am trying to take money out of the budget. I am trying to do more with less.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Just one point, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. He has not spoken on this amendment before. He spoke on the prior amendment. He has a right to rise and debate it. It is not a question of right; it is a question of wisdom.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman's right to debate the amendment.

Mr. WAMBACH. Very tentatively, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to point out to the members of the House that the Regulatory Review Commission received an appropriation of \$400,000, the exact appropriation figure that Mr. DeWeese would like to see, the \$907,000 reduced to \$400,000, for the period of time from January to June of this year. I think it is only fair that we allow the Regulatory Review Commission to operate in the next fiscal year at a level that was approved by both the House and the Senate Appropriations Committees at \$907,000. I do not think it is fair to permit the Regulatory Review Commission to be in existence for only less than half the money that has been already approved, even though Mr. DeWeese says he made a mistake; he wants to rectify it. But I think we should not give them only half the tools to operate by. And again, you do have next year the opportunity to read the report of the commission, and if you do not think their value is there in 1985, you can sunset them. But allow them to at least operate until they disprove to you that they are worth their weight. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, wish to be recognized for the second time?

Mr. DeWEESE. Momentarily, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is so recognized, momentarily.

Mr. DeWEESE. Max Pievsky's expert staff has informed me—and this is not the first time I have been informed—that \$400,000 is enough. For the people who are there, it is a little bit extra. I do not see why we have to spend more money. I think that Henry Hager and the other people who have concocted this thing have something to prove. Let them prove it with \$400,000. We do not need to give them \$1 million.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy, on the DeWeese amendment.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the DeWeese amendment. Most of the money that was in this present year's budget will be lapsed. I think this is calling for a 100-percent increase, and I think that last year's level is a little more realistic. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alderette	Evans	Linton	Reber
Angstadt	Fargo	Livengood	Reinard
Armstrong	Fattah	Lloyd	Richardson
Arty	Fee	Lucyk	Rieger
Baldwin	Fischer	McCall	Robbins
Barber	Flick	McClatchy	Ryan
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Rybak
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saloom
Belfanti	Freeman	McMonagle	Saurman
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Scheetz
Blaum	Fryer	Mackowski	Schulcr

Book	Gallagher	Madigan	Semmel
Bowser	Gallen	Maiale	Serafini
Boyes	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Brandt	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Broujos	Geist	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bunt	George	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Godshall	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Michlovic	Spencer
Cappabianca	Grieco	Micozzie	Spitz
Carn	Gruitza	Miller	Stairs
Cawley	Gruppo	Miscevich	Steighner
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cimini	Haluska	Morris	Stewart
Civera	Harper	Mowery	Stuban
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clymer	Hayes	Murphy	Swift
Cohen	Herman	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hershey	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Telek
Cordisco	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cornell	Jackson	Olasz	Trello
Coslett	Jarolin	Oliver	Truman
Cowell	Johnson	Perzel	Van Horne
Coy	Kasunic	Peterson	Vroon
DeLuca	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
DeVerter	Klingaman	Petrone	Wargo
DeWeese	Kosinski	Phillips	Wass
Daley	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Lashingier	Pistella	Williams
Deal	Laughlin	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Lehr	Poit	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Letterman	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Levi	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Levin	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.

NAYS—8

Afflerbach	Itkin	Zwilk
Dorr	Salvatore	
Hutchinson	Wambach	Irvis, Speaker

NOT VOTING—1

Rudy

EXCUSED—2

Dietz Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeWEESE offered the following amendment No. A1685:

Amend Sec. 212, page 22, line 26, by striking out "1,065,000" and inserting
500,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, during the appropriations process, Frank Pistella, myself, and many of our colleagues queried Mr. Waldman regarding the expenditure of State

funds to outside law firms. We also read on our own, after hours, how much money big State law firms had contributed to certain political campaigns within the Commonwealth. We also learned that there were probably a lot of State attorneys who did not have a full day's work to do because we were bringing in guys and gals from outside.

Very simply, what this amendment does is something we did a couple of years ago, with the help of Mr. Gladeck and other colleagues on the Republican side. We just tried to do a little bit more with less funding. We are trying to make Mr. Waldman's budget more realistic. We still are going to give that group of attorneys who surround His Excellency \$500,000. We want to instill in these men and women who work for the General Counsel some of the same spirit that Legal Services attorneys have. We want to instill within them the same spirit of attorneys back on the block in Potter County and Greene County. There is no reason why we have to pay \$55,000 or \$45,000 or \$49,000. There are a lot of young men and women graduating from law school, a lot of young idealistic men and women, who would do anything to serve in government.

This is a vote to protest the exorbitant salaries of Mr. Waldman and his operation, and this is a vote to make certain that State attorneys do State business, not high-class, big law firms from the major cities. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—120

Afflerbach	Donatucci	Linton	Richardson
Alderette	Duffy	Livengood	Rieger
Armstrong	Evans	Lloyd	Rudy
Baldwin	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Barber	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Battisto	Fischer	McHale	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Stairs
Belfanti	Freeman	McMonagle	Steighner
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Stevens
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Stewart
Bowser	Gamble	Markosek	Stuban
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Swift
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Telek
Cappabianca	Haluska	Miscevich	Tigue
Carn	Harper	Morris	Trello
Cawley	Hasay	Mrkonic	Truman
Clark	Herman	Murphy	Van Horne
Cohen	Hoeffel	Noye	Wachob
Colafella	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cole	Hutchinson	Olasz	Wargo
Cordisco	Itkin	Oliver	Wiggins
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrone	Wozniak
Coy	Kosinski	Pievsy	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Zwikl
Daley	Laughlin	Preston	
Dawida	Lescovitz	Punt	Irvis,
Deal	Letterman	Rappaport	Speaker
Dombrowski	Levin		

NAYS—73

Angstadt	Freind	McVerry	Saurman
Arty	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheetz
Book	Gannon	Madigan	Schuler
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Semmel
Bunt	Gladeck	Marmion	Serafini
Burd	Greenwood	Merry	Sirianni
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Smith, L. E.
Cimini	Hagarty	O'Brien	Snyder, D. W.
Civera	Hayes	Perzel	Snyder, G. M.
Clymer	Hershey	Phillips	Spencer
Cornell	Jackson	Piccola	Spitz
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Taylor, E. Z.
Davies	Klingaman	Reber	Vroon
Dorr	Lashinger	Reinard	Wass
Durham	Lehr	Robbins	Wilson
Fargo	Levi	Ryan	Wogan
Flick	McClatchy	Salvatore	Wright, R. C.
Foster, W. W.			

NOT VOTING—8

Dininni	Moehlmann	Peterson	Sweet
Johnson	Mowery	Pott	Weston

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. To the member of the press who is violating the rules of the House, you may not be aware of it, but the rule says you may not smoke.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GREENWOOD offered the following amendments No. A1710:

Amend Sec. 228, page 124, line 21, by striking out "60,146,000" and inserting 60,111,000

Amend Sec. 228, page 124, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

For funding citizen advocacy services for institutionalized and noninstitutionalized mentally retarded citizens.

State appropriation..... 35,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Greenwood, to explain amendment A1710.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment neither increases nor decreases the total budget but rather takes from the community services line for MR (mental retardation) the sum of \$35,000 and earmarks that for the provision of citizen advocacy services. What citizen advocacy services do with this money is recruit volunteers who will form lifelong relationships with mentally retarded individuals and serve both as their friend and as their advocate to look out for their legal interests, as well as to provide some companionship. I urge support of the members. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.
Does the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco, wish to be recognized? The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cordisco.

Mr. CORDISCO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just rise in support of Mr. Greenwood's amendment. I think the money will be well used, and I think it is a worthwhile program. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Alderette	Fischer	McCall	Saloom
Angstadt	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Barber	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Battisto	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belardi	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Beloff	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Blaum	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Book	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Carn	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hershey	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hoefel	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Itkin	Perzel	Truman
Cordisco	Jackson	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Kasunic	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Kennedy	Piccola	Wargo
Deluca	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerte	Kosinski	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Daley	Kukovich	Pott	Williams
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Preston	Wogan
Deal	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Linton	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Robbins	Irvis,
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	Speaker
Fattah			

NAYS—10

Armstrong	Fargo	McClatchy	Merry
Boyes	Fryer	Madigan	Ryan
Brandt	Honaman		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Dietz Seventy

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LEVI offered the following amendment No. A1724:

Amend Sec. 255, page 178, by inserting between lines 26 and 27

For the purpose of conducting a study and review into the equity of the current methods of financing the construction and maintenance of the roadways of Pennsylvania.

State appropriation..... 100,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Levi.

Mr. LEVI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Pratt and I are presenting this amendment.

As you will recall, about a week ago we circulated a letter for people to join with us in a resolution to study the methods of financing the construction and maintenance of our highways. With the response that we have received from the members of this body who wanted to cosponsor this with us, we felt that this was an appropriate time to ask for the \$100,000 to complete this study on the methods of financing our highways. So I ask all the members who are interested in this to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—151

Afflerbach	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Alderette	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Angstadt	Fischer	McIntyre	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Scheetz
Barber	Freeman	Mackowski	Semmel
Battisto	Freind	Madigan	Serafini
Belardi	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Belfanti	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Beloff	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	George	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Book	Godshall	Miller	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Grieco	Miscevich	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burd	Hagarty	Morris	Stairs
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Harper	Murphy	Stevens
Carn	Hasay	Noye	Stewart
Cawley	Hayes	O'Brien	Stuban
Cessar	Hershey	O'Donnell	Swift
Cimini	Itkin	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Jackson	Oliver	Telek
Clymer	Jarolin	Perzel	Tigue
Colafella	Johnson	Peterson	Trello

Cole	Kasunic	Petrarca	Truman
Cordisco	Kennedy	Petrone	Van Horne
Coslett	Klingaman	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Kosinski	Piccola	Wargo
Coy	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Weston
Deluca	Kukovich	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pott	Williams
Davies	Lehr	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lescovitz	Preston	Wogan
Dininni	Levi	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levin	Rappaport	Zwilk
Donatucci	Linton	Reber	
Dorr	Livengood	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Lloyd	Rieger	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—45

Armstrong	Flick	Lashinger	Rudy
Boyes	Fryer	McClatchy	Ryan
Broujos	Gallen	McHale	Schuler
Bunt	Geist	Markosek	Sweet
Burns	Gladeck	Merry	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Greenwood	Michlovic	Vroon
Cornell	Gruitza	Micozzie	Wachob
DeVerter	Herman	Mrkonic	Wass
Daley	Hoefel	Nahill	Wozniak
Dawida	Honaman	Reinard	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Hutchinson	Robbins	Wright, R. C.
Fattah			

NOT VOTING—5

Cohen	Mayernik	Pitts	Spitz
Letterman			

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FATTAH offered the following amendment No. A1689:

Amend Sec. 212, page 14, line 10, by striking out "3,261,000" and inserting
2,500,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Fattah.

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, I would urge that the members vote to support this amendment. This is a modest reduction in the Governor's budget and the Secretary of Administration's office. Due to our fiscal worries and constraints here in the State, I would hope that we would get some support on this amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—106

Afflerbach	Duffy	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Baldwin	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McHale	Showers
Battisto	Freeman	McIntyre	Steighner
Belfanti	Fryer	McMonagle	Stewart
Beloff	Gamble	McVerry	Stuban
Blaum	George	Maiale	Sweet
Broujos	Godshall	Markosek	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mayernik	Telek
Cappabianca	Haluska	Michlovic	Tigue
Carn	Harper	Miscevich	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Morris	Truman
Clark	Hoefel	Mrkonic	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson	Murphy	Wachob
Cole	Itkin	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cordisco	Jarolin	Olasz	Wargo
Cowell	Kasunic	Oliver	Wiggins
Coy	Kosinski	Petrarca	Williams
Deluca	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Laughlin	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Lescovitz	Pratt	Zwilk
Deal	Letterman	Preston	
Dombrowski	Levin	Rappaport	Irvis,
Donatucci	Linton	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—92

Armstrong	Flick	Mackowski	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Saurman
Belardi	Freind	Manmiller	Scheetz
Book	Gallen	Marmion	Schuler
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Semmel
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Serafini
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Sirianni
Bunt	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Burd	Grieco	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Gruppo	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Cimini	Hasay	O'Brien	Spencer
Civera	Hayes	Perzel	Spitz
Clymer	Hershey	Peterson	Stairs
Cornell	Honaman	Phillips	Stevens
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Swift
DeVerter	Johnson	Pitts	Taylor, E. Z.
Davies	Kennedy	Pott	Vroon
Dininni	Klingaman	Punt	Wass
Dorr	Lashinger	Reber	Weston
Durham	Lehr	Reinard	Wilson
Fargo	Levi	Robbins	Wogan
Fischer	McClatchy	Ryan	Wright, J. L.

NOT VOTING—3

Cohen	Gallagher	Manderino
-------	-----------	-----------

EXCUSED—2

Dietz	Seventy
-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

**BILL PLACED ON FINAL
PASSAGE POSTPONED CALENDAR**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1021, PN 1406, be placed on the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House two guests from Northampton County, James O'Mara and Frank Yandrisevits. They are here as the guests of Northampton County Representatives Russell Kowalyshyn, William Rybak, and Robert Freeman.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 132, PN 956, be removed from the table and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.
Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, with respect to HB 1021 that has just been put on the postponed calendar, is it the Chair's thought that that bill will be reprinted with the amendments that have been adopted over the past 2 days?

The SPEAKER. That is correct.
Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. That is correct, and the clerk is so instructed. I mean, that is certainly the Chair's intention. Thank you for reminding the Chair.

**BILLS ON THIRD
CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 740, PN 981**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 13 (Commercial Code) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for financing statements; and making a repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Fargo	Livengood	Ryan
Alderette	Fattah	Lloyd	Rybak
Angstadt	Fee	Lucy	Saloom
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Saurman
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Scheetz
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Schuler
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Showers
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	George	Marmion	Spencer
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Spitz
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Morris	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Telek
Clark	Herman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	Oliver	Trello
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Truman
Colafella	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Coslett	Jarolin	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Coy	Kasunic	Pistella	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	Williams
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pratt	Wilson
Daley	Kowalyshyn	Preston	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwilk
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	
Duffy	Levi	Robbins	Irvis,
Durham	Levin	Rudy	Speaker
Evans	Linton		

NAYS—2

Dawida Murphy

NOT VOTING—3

Mrkonic Nahill Olasz

EXCUSED—2

Dietz Seventy

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, on amendment A1711 to HB 1021, I inadvertently voted in the affirmative. I want the record to reflect that I would have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. His remarks will be spread upon the record.

**GAME AND FISHERIES
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. LETTERMAN. I need to make an announcement, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized to make the announcement.

Mr. LETTERMAN. I want to remind the Game and Fisheries Committee of the meeting tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock. It is a very important meeting. There are three important bills. I do not think anyone wants to miss it. Do not miss it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I expected that perhaps Mr. Manderino would make a statement, and it may very well be that he intends to make a statement with respect to next week's schedule. I am just guessing that there is a strong possibility that the week could extend beyond Wednesday, and before our members left, I thought they should be forewarned that the session could extend beyond the usual 3-day session. I would appreciate any comments from the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I think all members of the Assembly ought to prepare for at least a 5-day week next week.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Carn.

Mr. CARN. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, June 27, 1983, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 2:30 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.