

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, JUNE 8, 1982

SESSION OF 1982

166TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 42

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
IN THE CHAIR

MR. IRVIS REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time requests the Honorable Leroy Irvis to temporarily preside.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I thank the Speaker.

The prayer will be offered today by Father Thomas A. Horan of the Holy Rosary Church, Mahanoy Plane, Schuylkill County.

FATHER HORAN. In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. Amen.

Let us pray:

Almighty God, in a marvelous way You have created the universe out of nothing and made man to Your own image and likeness. You sent Your son, Jesus Christ, into our world, that we might have a taste of the divine life which is shared with us through his life and all the many priceless human values and principles. We pray for the legitimately designated public officials of this assembly.

Pointing to the image of Caesar on the coins of tribute, our personal savior declares in plain language that obedience to civil authority is a divine command. The reason is that all legitimate civil authority is from God and to be exercised for the common good. We pray for this assembly, for the guidance from Christ which is timely and needed in American society today.

As we go about our work today in this beautiful Capitol setting, we leave with you this beautiful Gaelic prayer: May the roads rise up to meet you, and the wind be always at your back; may the sun shine warm upon your face, and the rain fall soft upon your fields; until we all meet again, may God hold you in the palm of His hand. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

WELCOME

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to welcome to the hall of the House today as the guests of Father Horan, Mrs. Wilma Devanney and Mrs. Molly Rydesky. They are to the left of the Chair.

The Chair would like to put into the record that it is very grateful to the permanent Speaker for offering this honor, and thanks the Speaker and returns the gavel to him.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
IN THE CHAIR

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Monday, June 7, 1982, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip for the purpose of taking leaves of absence.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I do request a leave for today for the lady from Delaware, Mrs. DURHAM.

The SPEAKER. The Chair is advised that the minority has no requests for leaves of absence.

Without objection, the leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2537 By Representative IRVIS

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Academy of Science at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 7, 1982.

No. 2538 By Representatives RASCO, SNYDER, CIMINI, A. C. FOSTER, JR., PITTS, SALVATORE, NOYE, MORRIS, MAIALE, BOYES, FRAZIER, MICHLOVIC, JOHNSON, FISCHER, PHILLIPS, COHEN, CLYMER, FRYER, SWAIM and H. WILLIAMS

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting the display of certain sexual material on an outdoor motion picture screen.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 7, 1982.

No. 2539 By Representatives GALLEN, BLAUM, STEVENS, BELARDI, COHEN, TELEK, ZWIKL, MULLEN and BROWN

An Act providing for a community services block grant program and further providing for powers and duties of the Department of Community Affairs.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 7, 1982.

No. 2540 By Representative A. K. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 720, No. 262), referred to as the City State Highway Law, changing and deleting certain routes in the City of Greensburg.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 7, 1982.

No. 2541 By Representatives WILSON, DOMBROWSKI, BURNS and BELARDI

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), changing the definition of "document."

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 7, 1982.

No. 2542 By Representatives SEVENTY, DAVIES, OLASZ, GAMBLE, HORGOS, DUFFY, MICHLOVIC, VAN HORNE, TRELLO and PISTELLA

An Act amending the "Race Horse Industry Reform Act," approved December 17, 1981 (P. L. 435, No. 135), providing for monitoring of wagering on video screens.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 7, 1982.

No. 2543 By Representatives HAYES, LEVI, BOWSER, MERRY and COLE

An Act amending the "Retail Electric Supplier Unincorporated Area Certified Territory Act," approved July 30, 1975 (P. L. 113, No. 57), further providing for the boundaries of the certified territory of retail electric suppliers and further providing for the right and duty to provide retail electric service hereunder.

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, June 7, 1982.

No. 2544 By Representatives PETRARCA, IRVIS, DININNI, WILSON, KOLTER, POTT, RASCO, OLASZ and BELFANTI

An Act requiring all State owned vehicles to be converted to operate on natural gas.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 7, 1982.

No. 2545 By Representative McCLATCHY

An Act making an appropriation to the Little League Baseball Museum in Williamsport, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 7, 1982.

No. 2546 By Representatives BURD, CESSAR, F. E. TAYLOR, PRATT, FARGO, McVERRY, MORRIS, BRANDT, MERRY, MADIGAN, SALVATORE, PHILLIPS, HALUSKA, BOWSER, RASCO, HASAY and HEISER

An Act amending the "Motor Vehicle Manufacturer's, Dealer's, and Salesmen's License Act," approved September 9, 1965 (P. L. 499, No. 254), further defining "office or branch".

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, June 7, 1982.

No. 2547 By Representatives GANNON, ARTY, DURHAM, R. C. WRIGHT, MICOZZIE, CIVERA, SPITZ, WESTON, SALVATORE, PERZEL, WOGAN, GRUPPO, SIEMINSKI, LASHINGER, KLINGAMAN, BURD, CESSAR, RASCO, MARMION and HEISER

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Rebate and Assistance Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), providing for rebate prescription costs.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 7, 1982.

No. 2548 By Representatives WOGAN, WESTON, GRUPPO, SIEMINSKI, MERRY, MADIGAN, GLADECK, GANNON, MARMION, SWAIM, DONATUCCI and WOZNIAK

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for prohibited offensive weapons.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 7, 1982.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 1385, PN 2012

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 7, 1982.

STATEMENT BY MR. POTT

TERCENTENARY COMMITTEE ON THIS DAY IN HISTORY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pott.

Mr. POTT. Mr. Speaker, on this day in history, June 8, 1982, the question of pay raises for certain elected officials has been the topic of considerable discussion in recent weeks. Let the record show, Mr. Speaker, that an earlier generation of Pennsylvanians handled such matters with far more dispatch—and with a heavier hand—than we do today.

On this day in history, June 8, 1680, the four justices of a court in Delaware County came to the conclusion that their own salaries should be raised. Accordingly, they decreed that every resident of the county should pay the court a fee of five gilders, or an equivalent amount of wheat.

The justices also decreed that anyone who failed to pay the fee would be required to appear in court. As for those headstrong individuals who failed to pay and failed to appear, the justices ordered that they should—and I quote—“be fetched by the Constable by way of restraint.”

Happily, Mr. Speaker, we are now more restrained than our forefathers were.

The SPEAKER. Not in Delaware County, though.

CALENDAR

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 706, PN 1826; SB 1427, PN 1895; SB 1389, PN 2054; SB 1185, PN 1424; and HB 2404, PN 3411.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 171, PN 2044**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for a plea or finding of guilty but mentally ill and providing for the disposition of persons found guilty but mentally ill.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 171 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 739, PN 2053; and SB 1335, PN 1777.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay, rise?

Mr. HASAY. For an announcement of a meeting.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. HASAY. There will be a brief meeting of the House Federal-State Relations Committee in the back of the House at the call of the recess today.

CITATION PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time, for the purpose of presenting a citation, recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It gives me an honor to be able to present a citation today to a person who I feel is an inspiration not only to other handicapped persons but to persons within his community.

I would like to present a citation today to Mr. Martin James Coyne, who, as a result of an industrial accident over a year ago, lost his arm and yet has fought back to go on for even higher goals. As a result of his accomplishments, Mr. Coyne has become a successful skier in his first year of competition in international ski competition. At this point, Mr. Speaker, I would like to read the citation for Mr. Coyne.

WHEREAS, Martin James Coyne, Jr., the thirty-three-year-old son of Martin James Coyne, president judge of Lehigh County, and Mrs. Coyne, won a pair of gold medals in the slalom and giant slalom events on February 27, 1982 at the Eastern Regional Handicap Ski Races in Sunapee, New Hampshire. He later won a silver medal in slalom and a bronze medal in downhill at the National Handicap Championships held in April, 1982 in Winter Park, Colorado; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Coyne, employed by Kraemer Textiles in Nazareth, became involved in the “52 Association,” an organization which benefits handicapped people and has as its motto: “Confidence through sports.” An outstanding athlete and remarkable skier who lost his arm, Mr. Coyne has served as a ski instructor for the “52 Association.”

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania extends hearty congratulations to Martin James Coyne, Jr., on his outstanding accomplishments and extends best wishes for continued success in his future endeavors.

Mr. Coyne is here today with his mother, Mrs. Coyne, and his sister, Nancy Coyne, who is a member of the House staff.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take today's master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—191

Anderson	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik

Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	George	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Heiser	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Zwinkl
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Fischer			

ADDITIONS—4

Cohen	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Mullen
-------	------------	-----------	--------

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—2

Borski	Durham
--------	--------

LEAVE CANCELED—1

Durham

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today Bradley Moore, who in a mock election was elected by the Hempfield High School to the 97th Legislative District seat, the seat presently occupied by June Honaman. He is here today as the guest of June Honaman and the Lancaster County delegation.

MEMBERS' PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Moehlmann, rise?

Mr. MOEHLMANN. Mr. Speaker, while the master roll was being taken, I was engrossed in other business and failed to push my button. I would like my name to appear on the master roll.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's name will be added to the master roll call.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Greenfield, who asks that his name be added to the master roll call.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1110, PN 1715**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 31, 1971 (P. L. 398, No. 96), entitled "County Pension Law," authorizing registered investment advisers to act as deposit administrators.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. A. C. FOSTER offered the following amendments No. A6769:

Amend Title, page 1, line 4, by inserting after "authorizing" savings and loan associations and

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec.29), page 1, line 15, by inserting after "bank"
, savings and loan association

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the same amendment that we offered to SB 1108 yesterday, merely adding savings and loans to the list of financial institutions. I ask for your support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Belfanti	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	George	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Gray	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Gruitza	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Haluska	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.

Clark	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Heiser	Pendleton	Tigue
Colaella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Piccola	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Pistella	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pitts	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pott	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pratt	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Ritter	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Rocks	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lewis	Rybak	
Evans	Livengood	Salvatore	Ryan, Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd	Saurman	
Fee	Lucyk		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—9

Alden	Frazier	Levin	Mullen
Cohen	Harper	McIntyre	Petrone
Emerson			

EXCUSED—2

Borski	Durham
--------	--------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—185

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miscovich	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Murphy	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Noye	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.

Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cochran	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colaella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Ryan, Speaker
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	
Fee	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—3

Fryer	Lloyd	Sweet
-------	-------	-------

NOT VOTING—9

Alden	Emerson	McIntyre	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Harper	Mullen	Wright, R. C.
Cordisco			

EXCUSED—2

Borski	Durham
--------	--------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2147, PN 2716**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Marriage Law," approved August 22, 1953 (P. L. 1344, No. 383), further providing for persons qualified to solemnize marriages.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fleck	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.

Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	George	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Rocks	
Fargo	Lewis	Rybak	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Salvatore	Speaker
Fischer			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Emerson	Mullen	Petrone
Cohen	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—2

Borski	Durham
--------	--------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED

The House proceeded to **HB 2280, PN 3061**, on third consideration postponed, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Bituminous Coal Mine Act," approved July 17, 1961 (P. L. 659, No. 339), making a repeal and prohibiting the use of internal combustion engines in underground coal mines.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. L. E. SMITH offered the following amendments No. A8187:

Amend Title, page 1, line 10, by removing the period after "mines" and inserting
in certain cases.

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 286.1), page 2, line 5, by removing the period after "EXPIRE" and inserting
, but in any case an internal combustion engine used for experimental purposes and approved by the Commissioner of Deep Mine Safety shall be permitted.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, last week the question came up about the drafting of the amendment. I hope that it is corrected now the way it was intended. The amendment would read now, "but in any case an internal combustion engine used for experimental purposes and approved by the Commissioner of Deep Mine Safety shall be permitted."

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky, seek recognition?

Mr. PIEVSKY. What number are we on, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. We are on HB 2280.

For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Smith, rise?

Mr. L. E. SMITH. To speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, last week we had a lengthy discussion about the adoption of this amendment. Practically everything I heard came off a letter that was circulated by a United Mine Worker official. The information—

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, point of order, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. SWEET. I believe the gentleman is speaking to an amendment that has not been distributed and that no member has a copy of, or at least no one on this side seems to have a copy of. I would ask that we could at least look at the amendment before we listen to the purported explanation.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Without objection, HB 2280 will be passed over temporarily. The Chair hears no objection.

MEMBERS' PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen, and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen, who ask that their names be added to the master roll call.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco, rise?

Mr. CORDISCO. On SB 1110 my switch malfunctioned. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2344, PN 3372**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Pennsylvania Milrite Council and the Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation to solicit proposals and make grants to certain organizations to create jobs in applied technologies.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEIST offered the following amendments No. A8154:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 through 4, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), entitled "An act providing for and reorganizing the conduct of the executive and administrative work of the Commonwealth by the Executive Department thereof and the administrative departments, boards, commissions, and officers thereof, including the boards of trustees of State Normal Schools, or Teachers Colleges; abolishing, creating, reorganizing or authorizing the reorganization of certain administrative departments, boards, and commissions; defining the powers and duties of the Governor and other executive and administrative officers, and of the several administrative departments, boards, commissions, and officers; fixing the salaries of the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and certain other executive and administrative officers; providing for the appointment of certain administrative officers, and of all deputies and other assistants and employees in certain departments, boards, and commissions; and prescribing the manner in which the number and compensation of the deputies and all other assistants and employees of certain departments, boards and commissions shall be determined," changing the name of the Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation and further providing for membership on and duties of the board.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 7 through 18; page 2, lines 1 through 30; page 3, lines 1 through 30; page 4, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. As much as applies to the Department of Commerce of section 203, act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," amended December 3, 1970 (P.L.834, No.275), is amended to read:

Section 203. Advisory Boards and Commissions.—The following advisory boards and commissions are placed in and made parts of the respective administrative departments, as follows:

In the Department of Commerce,

Board of the [Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation] Ben Franklin Partnership Fund.

Section 2. Clause (n) of section 448 of the act, added January 3, 1968 (1967 P.L.922, No.416), is amended to read:

Section 448. Advisory Boards and Commissions.—The advisory boards and commissions, within the several administrative departments, shall be constituted as follows:

(n) There is hereby created in the Department of Commerce a Board of the [Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation] Ben Franklin Partnership Fund which shall consist of the [Secretary of Commerce, the Governor's Science Advisor and nine] Secretaries of Commerce, Environmental Resources and Agriculture, the Director of the Governor's Office of Policy and

Planning and the Executive Director of the Governor's Energy Council or their designees, the chairman of the Milrite Council, four members of the General Assembly appointed by the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives with no more than one member of each House from each party being appointed, and five additional members to be appointed by the Governor [by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, four of whom shall be from the Governor's Science Advisory Committee.

From the membership of this board, the Governor shall appoint the chairman and vice-chairman.] at least one of whom shall represent organized labor and at least one of whom shall be an owner of a small business. The chairman of this board shall be the Secretary of Commerce.

All members shall be appointed for terms of four years, such terms to run concurrent with that of the Governor. Any member appointed to fill a vacancy created otherwise than by expiration of term shall be appointed for the unexpired term of the member whom he is to succeed.

The board members shall receive no compensation for their services but shall be reimbursed for their expenses actually incurred by them in the performance of their duties under this act.

The Department of Commerce shall provide an executive director and all other staff services to the board, including liaison between the board and science and engineering research, business, labor, development and education agencies and related organizations, and between the foundation and other agencies of the Commonwealth. The board may adopt bylaws dealing with the organization, meetings, activities and other such considerations as it may deem appropriate and consistent with its powers and duties.

Section 3. Section 2503-B of the act, added January 3, 1968 (1967 P.L.922, No.416), is amended to read:

Section 2503-B. Duties and Powers of the Board of [Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation] the Ben Franklin Partnership Fund.—(a) The Board of the [Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation] Ben Franklin Partnership Fund is authorized to promote, stimulate and encourage (i) basic and applied scientific research and development in Pennsylvania and (ii) scientific and technological education in Pennsylvania, which may reasonably be expected to advance the Commonwealth's economic growth and welfare. In addition, the board shall, upon request, provide advice to the Governor and the executive agencies concerning scientific, technological and engineering matters, which relate to the economic growth and the health, safety and welfare of the Commonwealth and its citizens; and may establish advanced technology centers which shall serve as university-based consortiums between business, universities and government to provide advanced technology research and development, training, education and related activities which show significant potential in diversification of Pennsylvania's economy and the State's economic growth.

(b) With the approval of the Governor, the board is authorized to enter into mutually satisfactory contracts [or], agreements, or grants with educational institutions, nonprofit institutions and organizations, business enterprises and other persons concerned with scientific and technological research, and development in the Commonwealth, as well as any State or Federal agency, to foster and support scientific and technological research, development [and], education, and promotion of applied advanced technology activities. The board may, subject to the approval of the Governor, make grants to educational institutions, nonprofit institutions and organizations, and other persons for the same purposes. The board may sponsor and conduct conferences and studies, collect and disseminate information, and issue periodic reports relating to scientific and technological research, development, and education in the Commonwealth, including grants for Governor's chairs to Pennsylvania

colleges and universities in the field of new materials; maintain [a register] registers and inventories of scientific and technological personnel and facilities, including but not limited to scientific libraries and data centers. It may receive gifts, grants, bequests or devises from any source, including funds from the Federal government.

(c) The board may enter into a matching grant program, involving consortiums of college or university and private sector organizations for the purposes of establishing, operating and promoting advanced technology centers throughout the Commonwealth. Such centers shall receive no more than fifty per centum (50%) of their financial support from this board. Applicants for funds provided under this section shall secure financial commitments from profit and nonprofit groups and organizations, including the Federal government or local political subdivisions and shall be required to submit applications in accordance with policies and criteria issued by the board. Such centers shall utilize to the extent possible, existing or new private businesses operating or willing to operate in the fields of expertise needed to accomplish the purposes of the center. Eligible purposes which the board may fund under this matching grant program include, but are not limited to:

(1) Development and strengthening of joint research and development efforts including facilities for advanced technologies activities, equipment, personnel, land and related activities, which will lead to new technologies which will create or preserve jobs.

(2) Providing training and curriculum development related to advanced technology in order to provide a skilled work force to secure employment in advanced technology industries.

(3) Technical assistance and technology transfer activities on an areawide or Statewide basis to transfer research and development activities into the marketplace.

(4) Assistance through small business incubators, including, but not limited to space, services and technical assistance.

(5) Market development, feasibility studies and other activities related to increasing jobs in advanced technology industries.

(6) Staff support for advanced technology councils or other mechanisms to encourage labor, business, university and governmental linkages in promoting advanced technology industrial diversification.

(7) Facilitate establishment of technology parks, which will serve as locations for facilities devoted to research and development and technology-intensive light manufacturing.

(d) The Ben Franklin Partnership Fund, together with its statutory functions and duties, shall terminate and go out of existence on December 31, 1988, unless reestablished or continued by the General Assembly. Evaluation and review, termination, reestablishment and continuation of the agency shall be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the act of December 22, 1981 (P.L. 508, No. 142), known as the "Sunset Act."

Section 4. (a) All appropriations, personnel and other resources of the Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation shall be transferred to the Board of the Ben Franklin Partnership Fund.

(b) This act shall not apply to any outstanding contracts or commitments entered into by the Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation, and any procedures, bylaws or regulations of the Pennsylvania Science and Engineering Foundation shall remain in effect and be applicable to the Board of the Ben Franklin Partnership Fund until expressly repealed or modified.

Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 1982.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

It is the understanding of the Chair that the gentleman, Mr. Geist, is offering the amendment on behalf of Mr. Murphy and himself. Is that correct?

Mr. GEIST. That is correct.

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the first legislative effort of the Milrite Council, on which Representative Murphy and I are the House members. It sets up the Ben Franklin Partnership and deletes the appropriation for the original bill which was passed in the Governor's budget. The amendment is technical; it sets about the board membership and how the moneys are to be distributed statewide. I would ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Swaam
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	
Evans	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—0
NOT VOTING—7

Alden Emerson Gruitza McIntyre Moehlmann Oliver Williams, H.

EXCUSED—2

Borski Durham

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Suban
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Heiser	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Horgos	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Jackson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	Zwifl
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	
Evans	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Livengood	Salvatore	

NAYS—0
NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson McIntyre Oliver

EXCUSED—2

Borski Durham

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2281, PN 3062**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Anthracite Coal Mine Act," approved November 10, 1965 (P. L. 721, No. 346), making a repeal and prohibiting the use of internal combustion engines in underground coal mines.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. L. E. SMITH offered the following amendments No. A8188:

Amend Title, page 1, line 10, by removing the period after "mines" and inserting
in certain cases.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 416), page 2, line 2, by removing the period after "EXPIRE" and inserting
, but in any case an internal combustion engine used for experimental purposes and approved by the Commissioner of Deep Mine Safety shall be permitted.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, last week we got into a lengthy discussion about this amendment and the use of internal combustion engines in deep mines. Practically everything that I heard in opposition to this came from a letter that was circulated by a United Mine Worker official. Some of the information is correct; some of it is incorrect, because they do not know anything about the safety features of the type of engine that is now developed, simply because they are not used in deep mines.

I heard the brilliant young orator from Greene County say that we were going to gut this bill with this amendment. We are not gutting the bill; we are keeping the law where it is presently, and by the oppositions' own admission, under present law, which we are trying to retain here, there is only one engine in operation, one internal combustion engine in operation in Pennsylvania presently. The technology that has been developed has cost these manufacturers millions of dollars. The jobs that we spoke of last week that are going to be lost in Pennsylvania will probably go to Kentucky or Tennessee or West Virginia or other places where they permit the use of internal combustion engines.

Now, Representative Kolter described the working conditions down in a coal mine that he visited during his congressional campaign. We are not advocating that this engine should be used down where the men are working. What we are asking for is the opportunity to use these engines on the haul routes, where they are traveling several miles after the coal is loaded, and let these people experiment with these engines hauling the coal out. They spoke about safety; let us talk about the number of men who are electrocuted and injured in coal mines every year from electric-related accidents. That cable that is used to haul coal all those miles out of the mine is very, very expensive—it goes from \$30 to \$60 a foot—and it has to be replaced quite often.

Now, everything that I hear from the companies is that their productivity is going down. Here is an opportunity to say to the coal company, through the Commissioner of Deep Mine Safety, with his approval, yes, you can take one of these newly developed internal combustion engines and use it on several miles of your haul route out of a mine. We can cut his cost of operation and aid in the development of further technology which would be very helpful in this industry.

The productivity factor comes into play in our competition for export coal. You people in Philadelphia got all excited a few years ago and we went down there and took a look at pier 124, came back up here and gave you the money to upgrade that pier because you were all concerned about the port of Philadelphia. Well, I am not saying that this amendment is going to necessarily hurt the port of Philadelphia, but I am telling you that there is a good possibility it can help the port of Philadelphia just by keeping our coal companies competitive and keeping their productivity up.

Now, the union leaders who are advocating the passage of this legislation are not really concerned about the productivity in those mines, and one of these days they are going to find themselves exactly where the steelworkers and the automobile workers have found themselves - they exported their jobs. They listened to those union leaders who said, look what I did for you today; elect me again and I will do more for you. And they woke up one morning and found out that their jobs were in Germany and their jobs were in Japan, and that is exactly what is going to happen to the coal companies if we do not let them employ all of the technology that is available in Pennsylvania. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, this is a mine safety issue, pure and simple. And while Mr. Smith goes on and on about the problems of the coal industry and a very insightful analysis of our economy, I think pure and simple, when you vote on this issue, you ought to be concerned about the safety of the people who work in the coal mines.

Mr. Smith is an honorable man, but if I have got to make a decision on safety in deep-ground; underground mining, I am going to vote on the side of the people who work there and of their elected representatives who have studied this issue. The gentleman contends that he is not going to gut the bill; he says he is only going to return to current law. Well, my under-

standing of gutting a bill is when you take out all of the operative language and return to what the current law is. So I think we are gutting the bill.

The gentleman talks about the coal industry and its need for productivity. Let me assure you that the leadership of the United Mine Workers, who have thousands of people unemployed today, are very concerned about productivity and are very concerned about keeping their people working, but they do not want them to work in situations that are unsafe.

Finally, I must point out that you have not heard any opposition to this bill from the very coal companies and coal industries that the gentleman from Jefferson purports to say need this kind of legislation to promote productivity.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman yield?

MR. SPENCER REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time appoints the gentleman from Tioga, Mr. Spencer, to preside temporarily.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (WARREN H. SPENCER) IN THE CHAIR CONSIDERATION OF HB 2281 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Sweet, may continue.

Mr. SWEET. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask for a "no" vote on the Smith amendment. This is a mine safety issue, and we ought to believe the people who work in the mines and the people who are elected to represent those workers and the safety staff that they have employed. Therefore, we need a "no" vote on this amendment if HB 2281 is to mean anything at all to the mine workers of this State.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I have three things to say about the Smith amendment. It guts the bill; it guts the bill; it guts the bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Kolter.

Mr. KOLTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just would like to remind my colleagues here on the floor of the House that we are talking about men and women who are working in cavities of this earth that may just be perhaps 30 to 42 inches. Can you imagine working in a cavity 30 to 42 inches with all kinds of coal dust that blackens your face shortly in no time at all? Then if we are going to use the combustible engines there with all the smoke, that will make breathing just impossible.

Now, Mr. Smith mentioned the fact that the union leaders are not concerned about productivity. I totally disagree with that. They are concerned about productivity, and they are concerned about jobs, but they also are concerned about human safety. I have talked with many of the miners the last 2 or 3 months and they are all concerned about this one case. They oppose this; the rank-and-file working person opposes this. I, too, oppose this amendment, and I ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek.

Mr. TELEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, Representative Sweet talked about safety. I want to talk about health. I want to talk about some expert testimony in relation to diesel engines, diesel engine fumes, mixed with coal dust.

We must be concerned if we have any feeling for the coal miner. Past history has indicated and evidence has been provided that coal mining is a hazardous and dangerous occupation. If we are concerned, then we must take into consideration the health factor in coal mining. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, under the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease Control, wrote a report to Robert Barrett, at that time the administrator of the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration. I will just quote some sections: "...we remain concerned about the possible enhanced health effects of long-term exposure to a combination of coal dust, a known pneumoconiotic agent, and the gases and vapors of diesel exhaust which are also known to be pulmonary irritants... We cannot, with any confidence, state that adherence to these standards individually are protective when mixed exposures occur." That is, the diesel fumes and coal dust. "The possibility that such synergism exists between respirable coal dust particles and the known respiratory irritants found in diesel exhaust must be seriously considered. Until further studies prove or disprove this possibility, we cannot, in our professional judgment, offer any assurances that long-term exposure to coal dust and diesel exhaust at levels maintained within existing standards will protect a coal miner from adverse health effects."

Based on these reports and other reports, I ask the members of this House to oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to urge the House to oppose the Smith amendment. I am very much concerned that the Smith amendment will allow for experimentation of these kinds of engines in Pennsylvania underground mines with the ultimate test being whether or not coal miners suffer severe occupational diseases or not. I do not think that this is what we should allow to happen. We should not allow it under the guise of experimentation. We know that these machines are physically functional; what we do not know are the health risks associated with the machinery. For us to give consent to see whether or not coal miners shall get additional respiratory diseases due to the pollution effects of diesel engines, and possibly more important, the effect of coal particulates or coal dust in the mine atmosphere when coupled with the components of diesel exhaust fumes has been believed to be, taken together, a potentially potent noxious environment. Consequently, Mr. Speaker, I would urge the House to oppose the Smith amendment and to vote for HB 2281 unamended. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, the pollution effects that these people are talking about are not provable today because these engines are not being used. The engine is developed. It is not one of those diesel engines that you would visualize as you drive down the highway spewing black smoke all over the landscape. This is a water bath scrubber exhaust system that you can let it blow in your face and it does not even burn your eyes, and all we are asking for is to give these people an opportunity to take this engine down in there and see how it operates under working conditions, and then come back with the facts and figures about the health hazards and the pollution and so forth. But let us do it on an experimental basis under the guidance of the Commissioner of Deep Mine Safety, not down where the men are working but for the miles that that engine is going to travel just hauling coal out where nobody has worked for years.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Misceovich.

Mr. MISCEVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to the attention of the House that the diesel engine was invented by Dr. Rudolf Diesel in 1932. The original concept of that engine burned coal dust. This coal dust was a very explosive mixture and it kept blowing these engines apart, and finally, when they improved upon it, they went to a diesel fuel and the engine did come into its own recognition.

Now, today, we do realize that they have spark arresters and they have scrubbers on the exhaust system, but the scrubbers and these spark arresters on these engines are only as good as the people who maintain them, and I am sure, and I am positive, because I have the expertise in this field, that these things are not maintained properly and they do not work as adequately as people claim that they work. I would therefore oppose Mr. Smith's amendment as being a very dangerous situation in a coal mine. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clarion, Mr. Wright.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Sweet has indicated that he is interested in the safety of miners. Mr. Telek has indicated that he is interested in the health. I represent a constituent in my legislative district who is interested in both. He is sufficiently interested in health and safety that he spent \$3 million to develop a diesel locomotive that would make substantial corrections in the conditions of the miners.

Mr. Speaker, it is possible for us to be cute and clever about this, but the essential fact is that last week we stood on this floor and simply attempted to get a delay so my constituent could appear before the committee and make the case that his locomotive diesel was safe. If he had come before that committee and they had said we do not agree with the information that you have presented, we would have come back here and we would have voted for this bill and not against it. Now, we were denied that. My constituent does not have that opportunity, and so we are simply saying now, because he could not present his case to you, then let him take it in a mine and prove that it is indeed safe.

I think it is a mistake to indicate that Mr. Smith and I are not for safety or we are not for the health of miners simply because we want a constituent to have an opportunity to prove his case. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Coslett.

Mr. COSLETT. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

I rise to oppose Mr. Smith's amendment and support HB 2281. I was raised in the company houses. My father worked around the mines and all my relations. In fact, I worked around the mines when I went to college. I think we should be doing something to help the miners with their health problems, not hinder it. So I ask my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to defeat this amendment and support HB 2281. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Smith, stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith, indicates that he will. The gentleman from Northumberland may proceed.

Mr. BELFANTI. Mr. Speaker, in your last comment you mentioned that the experimentation of this diesel engine would be used in unoccupied mines. Is that what you said, something to that effect?

Mr. L. E. SMITH. If that is what you understood, I did not mean it that way. What I was talking about was the area in the mine where no men have worked for a number of years because they have worked far beyond that area. The 2 or 3 miles that I am speaking of are where they just haul the coal out of the mine and where it is not near a working area.

Mr. BELFANTI. Mr. Speaker, the amendment that is before us does not differentiate where or where not the experimental diesel engine can be used. Would the gentleman, Mr. Smith, be agreeable to holding the bill over until an amendment can be drafted to read that way?

Mr. L. E. SMITH. I would agree to hold it over until November.

Mr. BELFANTI. I do not mean the bill, Mr. Speaker. I mean to place it on the table for a few minutes so that a better amendment could be drafted.

Mr. HAYES. Let us vote this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. BELFANTI. Okay. If we are going to vote the amendment, Mr. Speaker, I have mine workers in my district—I am sure many people here have mine workers in their district—and they are very adamantly opposed to this experimentation. We are talking about human lives, and I do not feel that we should be using our mine workers as guinea pigs. I urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, if I may just have a couple moments of your time, you can be very certain that I am going to speak against this amendment, but please just for one moment. Those of

you who live in the coal areas such as I, those of you who have widows coming to your home offices asking you what you can do to help them get their black lung compensation, those of you who have worked in the mines as I have know very well that it was your legislature in Pennsylvania not more than 30 years ago that all at once decided that something had to be done. Even though we constantly hear from the big coal operators that if you do not let us do this and you do not let us do that we are going somewhere else, well, you know, they found that Pennsylvania continues to be a haven and that people continue to be expendable. Mr. Speaker, that just is not moral and it is not right.

Now the fact remains, all of you who have not worked in a mine, you know that the mining industry is one of the most hazardous industries of all, and you know that coal dust is abrasive, and you know that the dust conditions prevail no matter how well the mines are being inspected.

Now, one additional point, Mr. Speaker. There is only one internal combustion engine in a mine today. It just went in that mine a couple of years ago when Secretary Jones said, yes, we are going to allow you to do this. Now, we read an amendment that says we are going to use this, as in this amendment, as an experiment. Then the sponsor of the amendment, Mr. Speaker, I do not know what he is trying to pull, but then he says, we are only going to use it in the hallways. Well, the truth of the matter is that those fumes from that internal combustion engine with the improper mixture will mix with that dust condition and it will indeed take us back 30 years, because we will again have a situation in which these men and women—and we say to them that we are constantly aware of your problems; we are going to protect your life—are going to have these lung problems.

Mr. Speaker, one final note. If you could have been around this legislature 35 or 40 years ago, you would very well have known there was a time in the history of Pennsylvania with the fine industry of coal mining that when a man picked up his bucket and went to work, his wife never knew whether he was coming back with the bucket under his arm or whether he was going to be on a litter. Let us not lose what the legislature has advanced to us in the last 30 years. Let us defeat this amendment and let us get to the action, please.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to rise to oppose this amendment on the grounds that I think we are duplicating something that happened back in the seventies when we forced the electric generating stations to convert from coal to oil. The mining industry is a chief consumer of electricity. In turn, the electric generating stations are a prime consumer of coal. Why should we take an initiative here to try to aid the OPEC (Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries) nations once again? I think it is very important that we maintain the electricity in the mines. It has proven to be safe.

Secondly, they consume a great deal of coal, which creates many jobs, and by eliminating this source of energy from the mines, we would increase unemployment in the coalfields. I ask for a negative vote on this amendment. I thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—31

Anderson	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Arty	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Bittle	Geist	Miller	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Boyes	Hutchinson, A.	Mowery	Wright, D. R.
Burd	Johnson	Pott	
DeVerter	Lehr	Punt	Ryan,
Daikeler	Mackowski	Smith, B.	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.			

NAYS—162

Armstrong	Fischer	Lucy	Salvatore
Barber	Fleck	McIntyre	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	Maiale	Showers
Berson	Fryer	Manderino	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	Manmiller	Sieminski
Brandt	Gamble	Marmion	Sirianni
Brown	George	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Morris	Steighner
Cawley	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Stevens
Cessar	Grieco	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Gruitza	Murphy	Stuban
Civera	Gruppo	Nahill	Swaim
Clark	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Clymer	Haluska	O'Donnell	Swift
Cochran	Harper	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	Pendleton	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Perzel	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Peterson	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Petrone	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Phillips	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Pievsky	Wargo
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Wass
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Weston
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wiggins
Dietz	Kukovich	Rappaport	Williams, H.
Dininni	Lashinger	Rasco	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Reber	Wilson
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wogan
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	Wozniak
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Evans	Levin	Rocks	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Zwikl
Fee	Lloyd		

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Lewis	McClatchy
-------	---------	-------	-----------

EXCUSED—2

Borski	Durham
--------	--------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER pro tempore. At this time the Chair would like to introduce to the members fourth grade students of the Shoemaker School in Macungie, accompanied by their

teacher, Gloria Haines, as guests of the Lehigh County delegation.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Durham.

Mrs. DURHAM. Mr. Speaker, please add me to the master roll and take me off the leave list, please.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2281 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Showers
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Gamble	Maiale	Sieminski
Blaum	Gannon	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	George	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Irviss	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Fargo	Levin	Rieger	
	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,

Fee	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
NAYS—12			
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Merry	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	Gallen	Pott	Spencer
Dorr	Lehr	Smith, B.	Taddonio
NOT VOTING—4			
Alden	Cohen	Emerson	McClatchy
EXCUSED—1			
Borski			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE INSISTS ON AMENDMENTS NONCONCURRED IN BY HOUSE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has insisted upon its amendments nonconcurred in by the House of Representatives to **HB 2101, PN 3231**.

MOTION INSISTING UPON NONCONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

Mr. WILSON moved that the House insist upon its non-concurrence in Senate amendments to HB 2101, PN 3231, and that a committee of conference on the part of the House be appointed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair appoints as a committee of conference on the part of the House on HB 2101, PN 3231:

Messrs. WILSON, STAIRS and PETRARCA.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now return the gavel to the Speaker, Mr. Ryan.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek, rise?

Mr. TELEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Speaker to bring out HB 2280 since it is a companion bill of HB 2281, if possible. The amendment has been distributed.

The SPEAKER. It is the intention of the Chair to take up the bill.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Lewis.

Mrs. LEWIS. Mr. Speaker, I was out of my chair on the vote for amendment A8188 to HB 2281 and would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. On Mr. Smith's amendment A8188 to HB 2281, I was incorrectly recorded in the negative, and I would like to be recorded as being in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Fleck.

Mr. FLECK. Mr. Speaker, I noted that I was not recorded on final passage of SB 439 yesterday. I wish the record to reflect that had I been recorded, I would have been recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.
Mr. DORR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the Smith amendment A8188 to HB 2281, I am recorded incorrectly. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, the same on my vote on amendment A8188 to HB 2281. I was recorded erroneously.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

STATEMENT ON LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bittle.

Mr. BITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call to the attention of the members that I have legislation I am introducing which received considerable publicity last week, and I know that there were other members who wanted to join in sponsoring the legislation for energy credits for the elderly.

I have that bill here, and I am going to be introducing the bill today. I will put it on the table in the anteroom out here for those persons who had contacted individuals and wanted to join in the legislation as additional sponsors.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2280 RESUMED

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my amendments.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Saurman
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Showers
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Shupnik
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Sieminski
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Heiser	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Irviss	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Richardson	
Evans	Livengood	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Lloyd	Ritter	Speaker
Fee	Lucyk	Rocks	

NAYS—11

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Pott	Spencer
DeVerter	Lehr	Smith, B.	Taddonio
Dorr	Lewis	Smith, L. E.	

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Emerson	Gray	Williams, J. D.
Cohen	Gallen		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

CITATION PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Gruppo, for the purpose of reading a citation. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. GRUPPO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have with me today Horace and Mona Strunk, who formerly resided in the Slate Belt area in Northampton County and now reside in Mansfield in Representative Spencer's district.

The Strunks were recently honored back home in the Bangor area this past Sunday before Memorial Day for a celebration that I think was a great honor for me to be present at, and I thought it would be nice to bring them here today to be honored at the House of Representatives, and later they will be meeting with the Governor.

The purpose: Mona and Horace Strunk, during World War II, published Homefront Magazine, a magazine which was distributed to servicemen around the world to keep them informed of the home activities and also to keep each other informed of their various movements around the world during the war. It was an important link for the servicemen to their families and vice versa.

Just a few more brief remarks, Mr. Speaker, just to give the members a little bit of background about the Strunks. Mr. Strunk was a Sunday school teacher, and his class had been drafted into the war. He was so determined to keep in touch with them that he thought perhaps a newsletter might do it. Then out of that idea the area churches agreed to work with the Strunks in supporting the Homefront Magazine publication, and through the efforts of the entire community, they were able to keep in touch with the servicemen around the world.

I think it is indeed an honor and a privilege for me to bring them here today to be recognized by the House of Representatives. I have a citation which I would like to present to them, and if you would bear with me one more second, I would like to read it. The citation reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Homefront Magazine is commemorating its fortieth anniversary with special celebrations during Memorial Day Weekend; and

WHEREAS, In the World War II years, Horace and Mona Strunk, founders, editors and publishers of the popular monthly hometown news media for Slate Belt servicepeople, enlisted volunteer committees from every Slate Belt church to provide the who, where, and how of its reaching avid recipients all over the world. Now the Strunks are asking the same churches to provide volunteer committees to seek out and inform those "Homefronters" of the big event on the Memorial Day weekend; and

WHEREAS, Homefront Magazine provided its readers with news from home including pictures and photo stories to "keep the home fires burning" for those so far from home.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pays tribute to Horace and Mona Strunk on the fortieth anniversary reunion of Homefront Magazine; commends them for their patriotism and concern for their fellow citizens; expresses its gratitude for their worthy efforts; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Horace and Mona Strunk.

If I may have them step forward, I will present it to them now, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, for your consideration.

REQUEST FOR RECESS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I suggest that we break at this time and recess until the hour of 2:30 this afternoon for the purpose of caucusing on those bills which must be voted today and are on our voting schedule and also for the purpose of taking lunch. Let us recess until the hour of 2:30 this afternoon, and we will begin promptly at that hour. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Transportation Committee in the room to the rear of the hall of the House. Members of that committee should proceed to the meeting immediately.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Lewis.

Mrs. LEWIS. Mr. Speaker, I was recorded in the affirmative on HB 2281, and I would like to be recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader. Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Democrats will be in caucus at 1 o'clock. We will start promptly at 1 and be back on the floor at 2:30.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority caucus chairman, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Republicans will caucus promptly at 1:30. We will begin the calendar at 1:30.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call a meeting of the Appropriations Committee off the floor of the House for 1 p.m.—1 p.m.—in the Appropriations Committee hearing room. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. There will be a meeting of the Appropriations Committee at 1 p.m.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a meeting of the Federal-State Relations Committee in the back of the brass rail of this chamber immediately upon the recess. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The members of the Federal-State Relations Committee will meet immediately in the rear of the House.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILLS CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 2257, PN 2931**; and **HB 2293, PN 2970**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1244, PN 3377**; **HB 1268, PN 3389**; and **HB 1512, PN 3376**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. The bills will appear on the calendar.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE RESOLUTION

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in **HR 194, PN 3336**.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE-AMENDED SENATE BILL CONCURRED IN

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 851, PN 2004**.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 2257, PN 2931

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for expenses for attendance at meetings.

HB 2293, PN 2970

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), providing for retention of records as photographed, microphotographed or micro-filmed copies.

SB 851, PN 2004

An Act establishing the fees to be charged and collected by the clerk of courts in second, second class A, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth class counties and home rule counties.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The members are reminded that there will be a Republican caucus promptly at 1:30; a Democratic caucus promptly at 1 p.m.

This House will stand in recess, without objection, until 2:30 p.m. The Chair hears no objection.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 205 By Representatives MISCEVICH, TRELLO, COWELL, SEVENTY, PENDLETON, HORGOS, PISTELLA, PETRONE and OLASZ

House memorialize Congress to enact legislation to promote modernization of steel plants.

Referred to Committee on FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS, June 8, 1982.

**BILLS REPORTED AND REREFERRED
TO COMMITTEE ON FINANCE****HB 1124, PN 1247** By Rep. DININNI

An Act amending the "Fuel Use Tax Act," approved January 14, 1952 (1951 P. L. 1965, No. 550), excluding school buses operated under contract with a school district from the tax.

TRANSPORTATION.**HB 1180, PN 1309** By Rep. DININNI

An Act amending the "Fuel Use Tax Act," approved January 14, 1952 (1951 P. L. 1965, No. 550), excluding public transportation vehicles operated under contract with a transit authority from the tax.

TRANSPORTATION.**HB 1181, PN 1310** By Rep. DININNI

An Act amending "The Liquid Fuels Tax Act," approved May 21, 1931 (P. L. 149, No. 105), excluding public transportation vehicles operated under contract with a transit authority from the tax.

TRANSPORTATION.**HB 1270, PN 1409** By Rep. DININNI

An Act amending "The Liquid Fuels Tax Act," approved May 21, 1931 (P. L. 149, No. 105), excluding school buses operated under contract with a school district from the tax.

TRANSPORTATION.**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a very brief meeting of the House Federal-State Relations Committee in the back conference room immediately. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****SB 1384, PN 1994** By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for membership on the Public School Employees' Retirement Board, further providing for professional personnel, expenses, independent audits and the management of the fund and accounts.

APPROPRIATIONS.**SB 1385, PN 2012** By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions to allow early retirement under certain conditions.

APPROPRIATIONS.**SB 1482, PN 1961** By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act making an appropriation to Children's Heart Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

APPROPRIATIONS.**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES****HB 2534, PN 3404** By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1956 (1955 P. L. 1959, No. 657), referred to as the Public Official Compensation Law, further providing for salaries and expenses of members of the General Assembly.

APPROPRIATIONS.

CALENDAR RESUMED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1396, PN 1838**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Department of General Services out of various funds for payment of rental charges to The General State Authority.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Brown	George	Merry	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cessat	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Hoefel	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Irviss	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dietz	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwikl
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—14

Alden	Gray	Richardson	Swaim
Deal	Greenfield	Salvatore	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Micozzie	Serafini	Wogan
Emerson	Pucciarelli		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The **SPEAKER**. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Salvatore, desire recognition?

Mr. SALVATORE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on the last bill, SB 1396.

The **SPEAKER**. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

It is the intention of the Chair to move through these bills rapidly. Anyone who fails to vote, I would appreciate it if you would keep track of it, and I will take all the corrections at one time.

BILLS ON THIRD
CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1397, PN 1839**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Treasury Department out of various funds to pay replacement checks issued in lieu of outstanding checks when presented and to adjust errors.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Mochlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stewart

Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Heiser	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kolter	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—9

Alden	Evans	Gray	Richardson
Deal	Frazier	Haluska	Williams, H.
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1398**, **PN 1840**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Labor and Industry from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to provide for the expenses of administering the Pennsylvania Workmen's Compensation Act and the Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

‘The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	George	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Deal	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lewis	Ritter	Zwinkl
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	Ryan,
Fargo	Lucyk	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—1

Kennedy

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Evans	Jackson	O'Donnell
Emerson	Gray		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1399**, **PN 1841**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the chairman of the Appropriations Committee would consent to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, can you tell us how much this bill appropriates?

Mr. McCLATCHY. It is \$6,191,000.

Mr. PIEVSKY. All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the calendar it reads 5 million something or other. Is that an error, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is an error.

Mr. PIEVSKY. All right. I just wanted that clarified. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger

DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwikel
Durham	Levin	Ritter	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Alden

Emerson

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1400, PN 1842**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, would the chairman of the Appropriations Committee consent to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, can you explain to this House of Representatives the magnitude of this 23-percent increase in this appropriation?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes. They will be hiring an additional actuary to aid in their investments.

Mr. PIEVSKY. I did not hear that.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, please repeat his statement.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, they will be hiring an additional actuary to aid in their investments. That is one of the reasons for the increased cost.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Colafella	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cordisco	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cornell	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Coslett	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cowell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cunningham	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
DeMedio	Iris	Petrarca	Wachob
DeVertter	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeWeese	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Daikeler	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Rieger	
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—1

Cohen

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Emerson	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Bowser	Laughlin	Williams, H.	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently voted "no" on SB 1400 and wish the record to reflect that I intended to vote "aye."

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1401, PN 1843**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Iris	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVertter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston

Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Haluska	Williams, H.
-------	---------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

SB 1445 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, will the Speaker pass over SB 1445 temporarily? The gentleman, Mr. Manderino, is not on the floor and does have an amendment to offer.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, SB 1445 will be passed over temporarily. The Chair hears no objection.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1446**, **PN 1925**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "University of Pittsburgh - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Tigie. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. TIGUE. I would like to ask a question of the majority Appropriations chairman, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, consents to interrogation.

Mr. TIGUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, looking at the next couple of bills, the amounts of money for student aid vary in amounts from the University of Pennsylvania at \$3,798,000 down to Penn State, which would receive \$1,760,000. My question is, sir, why would Penn State receive less in student aid than the other

four State-related universities when in fact they have the most campuses and the most students?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, could you rephrase that question again, please?

Mr. TIGUE. Mr. Speaker, looking at the four State-related universities - the University of Pennsylvania, Temple University, the University of Pittsburgh, and the Pennsylvania State University - according to the bills, the amount of money allocated for student aid ranges from a high at the University of Pennsylvania of \$3,798,000 to a low at Penn State of \$1,760,000. So my question is, sir, since Penn State has campuses throughout the Commonwealth and in fact has approximately 55,000 students, why would they receive the least amount in student aid?

Mr. McCLATCHY. It is my understanding this is the same amount of money we had last year in these bills plus the standard increase. Now, I do not have an answer for you why there is a variation between each university, but I am sure we can get it for you. However, rather than holding the bills over until we get that information, I think it is imperative we pass these bills this afternoon because of the Senate action that is needed.

Mr. TIGUE. Mr. Speaker, that really does not answer the question. Maybe I am missing something, but to me it is simple logic, and I know the cost of tuition is different amongst the universities, but if Penn State has 55,000 students and their student aid is \$1,760,000, why would the University of Pennsylvania receive three times as much?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, as far as I can see, it is a line item within their budget that they determined themselves. Again, I do not have that information for you. We can get it for you, but it is what those universities have requested, and it has never been questioned before.

Mr. TIGUE. So in essence, sir, your answer would be, this is what they requested. If they had requested more or less, what would have happened?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Would you repeat that again?

Mr. TIGUE. Hypothetically, if Penn State had requested more, would they have received it?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes. They would have received that amount in proportion to the other increases that all the other universities have gotten. Certainly if they requested 20 or 30 percent more, they would not have gotten it, nor would any of the other universities. It is just a standard increase this year.

Mr. TIGUE. Okay; but, Mr. Speaker, I understand that is the way it was last year, and we received the same answer. What I am saying is, what is the logic of giving the University of Pennsylvania— And maybe it is justified, but why would Penn State receive such a lower amount with so many more students?

Mr. McCLATCHY. One answer might be that land-grant universities receive different kinds of moneys and different amounts of moneys on the work-study program, and that might be the reason for the difference in that line item within their whole appropriation. But again, these are moneys that have been requested by these universities, and we have not tampered with them at all, except giving them the standard roughly 6-percent increase over what they got last year.

We will try to get that information for you.

Mr. TIGUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Rieger	
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Cohen	Emerson	Williams, H.
Burd			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1447, PN 1926**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "Temple University - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0
NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.
EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1448, PN 1981**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "Lincoln University - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston

Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Alden Emerson Lashinger Williams, H.

Bittle

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1449, PN 1928**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.

Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd		

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Hagarty	Wargo	Williams, H.
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1450, PN 1929**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer

Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—2

Brown Ritter

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Evans	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Emerson	Kolter	Williams, H.	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1451, PN 2014**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL REVERTED TO PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to move that we return to the prior printer's number for SB 1451.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the prior printer's number.

Mr. McCLATCHY. PN 1930.

The SPEAKER. The motion before the House by the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, is that SB 1451 return to a prior printer's number.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the chairman of the Appropriations Committee would explain what he is doing as far as the Children's Heart Hospital is concerned. Was there a bill introduced, just for the record?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes, Mr. Speaker. SB 1482 has been brought out of committee and will be run this session before we break. That is the Children's Heart Hospital.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, could the gentleman inform us as to whether any other changes were made in the bill by the reverting, besides the Children's Heart Hospital?

Mr. McCLATCHY. No; no other changes.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio

Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cordisco	Hoefel	Oliver	Tigue
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Irvic	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lettermann	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Evans	Levin	Rieger	
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Cole	Emerson	Williams, H.
-------	------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1452, PN 1931**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to The Medical College of Pennsylvania, East Falls, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Ritter
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rocks
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Serafini
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Showers
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sieminski
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Brown	George	Merry	Smith, L. E.

Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spencer
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Spitz
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stairs
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Suban
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Swaim
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cunningham	Irvin	Petrarca	Vroon
DeVerter	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
DeWeese	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Daikeler	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Weston
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Donatucci	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—8

Alden	Letterman	Zwilk
DeMedio	Rappaport	
Emerson	Williams, H.	Ryan,
		Speaker

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1453, PN 1932**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fee	Lucy	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Serafini
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Showers
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Shupnik
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sieminski
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	George	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spencer
Burd	Gray	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Suban
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Swaim
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Irvin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd		

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Williams, H.	Williams, J. D.
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1454, PN 1933**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Stuban	Williams, H.
-------	---------	--------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1455, PN 1934**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture at Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl

Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Durham	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Maiale	Williams, H.
-------	---------	--------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1456, PN 1935**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Art, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Anderson	Fargo	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McIntyre	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miscevich	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Suban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Hoefel	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass

DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Evans	Lucyk		

NAYS—4

Fischer	Letterman	Livengood	Ritter
---------	-----------	-----------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Williams, H.	Williams, J. D.
Emerson	Gannon		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1457, PN 1936**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Textiles and Science.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio

Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson Gannon Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1458, PN 2015**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL REVERTED TO PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. **IRVIS**. Mr. Speaker, I move to revert SB 1458, PN 2015, to its prior printer's number, which was 1937. The reason for the motion, Mr. Speaker, is that an amendment was placed in the bill to increase this by \$27,000 in the Appropriations Committee. We have been informed that any bill sent over amended to the Senate will not be handled, and rather than deprive Berean, we have agreed on both sides to revert to the prior printer's number. There are no other changes in this reversion.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Majale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonc	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1459, PN 1938**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.

Duffy
Durham
Evans
Fargo
Fee

Levi
Levin
Lewis
Livengood
Lloyd

Rieger
Ritter
Rocks
Rybak

Zwikel

Ryan,
Speaker

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—5

Alden
Clark

Emerson

Petrarca

Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1460, PN 1939**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson School of Technology of Scranton, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach

DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Cole	Emerson	Williams, H.
-------	------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1461, PN 1940**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet

Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Evans	Williams, H.
-------	---------	-------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1462, PN 1941**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Performing Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance, general operation and student aid.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Anderson	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni

Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Suban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Iris	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fargo	Lucyk		

NAYS—7

DeVerter	Gallen	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Fischer	Letterman	Ritter	

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.
-------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1463, PN 1942**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Frazier	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Freind	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Fryer	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Gallagher	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallen	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gamble	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	George	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gray	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Hagarty	Mrkonc	Swaim
Civera	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Heiser	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Iris	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kolter	Pistella	Weston
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Deal	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levi	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Evans	Lewis	Rieger	
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Fee	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fleck			

NAYS—2

Fischer	Ritter
---------	--------

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Davies	Emerson	Williams, H.
Belardi			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1464, PN 1943**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood		

NAYS—2

Fischer Ritter

NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson Smith, E. H. Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1465, PN 1944**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson

Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Richardson	
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.	Wogan
-------	---------	--------------	-------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1466, PN 1945**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	George	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Suban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoefel	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne

Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Peterson	Williams, H.
-------	---------	----------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1467, PN 1946**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the institute.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban

Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashingier	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Ritter	
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Fischer	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Duffy	Petrarca	Williams, H.
Clark	Emerson		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1468, PN 1947**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski

Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Irviss	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashingier	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Reber	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	
Durham	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Greenfield	Ritter	Williams, H.
Emerson	Haluska	Sweet	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1469, PN 1948**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fee	Lewis	Ritter
Armstrong	Fischer	Livengood	Rocks
Arty	Fleck	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Micozzie	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Cawley	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cessar	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cimini	Gruitza	Morris	Suban
Civera	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Clark	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clymer	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cochran	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Cohen	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Olasz	Tigue
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Irvic	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwinkl
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Perzel	Wachob	Williams, H.
Emerson	Salvatore	Wargo	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1470, PN 1949**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Christopher's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for treatment of cerebral palsy.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvic	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1471, PN 1950**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak

Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1472, PN 1951**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon

Cowell	Iris	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsy	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1473, PN 1952**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gambie	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manniller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Glabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart

Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonc	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Iris	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsy	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1474, PN 1953**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation of Greater Delaware Valley.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski

Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1475, PN 1954**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
	Lloyd		

NAYS—5

Brown George Levi Ritter

Fischer

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1476, PN 1955**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Carnegie Museum at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fischer	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Lewis	Rieger	
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—4

Brown	George	Levi	Ritter
-------	--------	------	--------

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.
EXCUSED—1		

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1477, PN 1956**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan

Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—2

George Levi

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1478, PN 1957**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Anderson	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miscevich	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Morris	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civiera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colafrella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	

Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lewis	Rieger	
Evans	Lloyd	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—9

DeVerter	George	Levi	Ritter
Durham	Letterman	Livengood	Wass
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1479, PN 1958**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Armstrong	Frazier	Mackowski	Seventy
Arty	Freind	Madigan	Showers
Barber	Fryer	Maiale	Shupnik
Belardi	Gallagher	Manderino	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Berson	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gannon	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder
Bowser	George	Micozzie	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stairs
Brown	Gray	Moehlmann	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Morris	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Sweet

Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Heiser	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Irviss	Petrone	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lewis	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Lloyd	Rocks	Zwinkl
Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak	
Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fleck	McIntyre	Saurman	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	McMonagle		

NAYS—9

Belfanti	Fischer	Levi	Ritter
DeVerter	Letterman	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Durham			

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Daikeler	Emerson	Harper
DeWeese			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1480, PN 1959**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Buhl Science Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Anderson	Fischer	McIntyre	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Madigan	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Maiale	Sieminski

Beloff	Fryer	Manderino	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	George	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Swain
Cessar	Gruitza	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irviss	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lewis	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Ryan,
Fargo	Lucyk	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee	McClatchy		

NAYS—6

Brown	Geist	Levi	Ritter
Durham	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Haluska	Smith, L. E.
-------	---------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1481, PN 1960**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Anderson	Fleck	McMonagle	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Seventy
Barber	Frazier	Madigan	Showers
Belardi	Freind	Maiale	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Manderino	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Manmiller	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Michlovic	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lewis	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lloyd	Rieger	Zwikl
Duffy	Lucyk	Rocks	
Evans	McClatchy	Rybak	Ryan,
Fargo	McIntyre	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—13

Brown	Geist	Letterman	Ritter
DeVerter	George	Levi	Smith, L. E.
Durham	Hasay	Livengood	Spitz
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1483, PN 1962**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Home for Crippled Children, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Ritter	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Alden Emerson

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1484, PN 1963**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	George	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Colaella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan

Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Zwikl
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Geist

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1485, PN 1964**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the trustees of the University of Pittsburgh for the general maintenance and operation of the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Colaella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue

Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden
Emerson

Ryan,
Speaker

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1486, PN 1966**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	George	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart

Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonc	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Richardson	
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson Smith, L. E. Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1445, PN 1924**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Cunningham, have amendments to this bill?

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, I am going to be withdrawing those amendments that would have increased Penn State's appropriation by \$100,000. Although I do that very reluctantly, I am forced to do it after a meeting with the Senate leadership this morning, during which I was advised that any amendment to any nonpreferred would end up forcing the nonpreferred to be held in abeyance over the

course of the summer, as a result of the fact that the Senate will leave before taking it up. So I am withdrawing the amendment. Thank you.

CITATION PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time will interrupt the proceedings and recognize the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Evans, for the purpose of offering a citation.

The Chair at this time invites the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Evans, to temporarily preside for the purpose of offering a citation.

Mr. EVANS. I have here today a young man. In New York City this week it is Nuclear Disarmament Week. There are numerous demonstrations raising questions about the nuclear freeze. I have a young man here with me today named Jason Poole who is an 11-year-old student in my district who has written a very dynamic speech, and on your desks I have passed the speech out to you that talks about the question of the nuclear freeze and about supporting the concept of putting a referendum on the ballot. I think it is very appropriate to present the citation to this young man, being that he is the future leadership of this country, and I would hope that each and every one of you would look over his speech and consider it. Basically, what it says in the citation is, Jason Poole, an 11-year-old student at Elwood Public School, holds the distinction of being the youngest person to ever speak at a rally in front of the White House. A member of the Friends Peace Committee, he speaks at numerous rallies in Philadelphia in regard to nuclear weapons and speaks out against the cuts in social services. He also spoke at a Peace Fair.

It was because of Representative Jim Gallagher from Bucks County and Representative Bob O'Donnell that Jason came to my attention, being a constituent of my district.

I would like to now present this to Jason for his effort, and I hope that he will continue trying to bring the message to us adults that it is clear we must leave something for the future. Thank you, Jason.

The SPEAKER. I would like the parents and family of this young man also to stand up to be acknowledged by the House, here to the left of the rostrum.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. Are there any members of the House who want to correct any of the votes that were just taken?

I will lead off. The Speaker neglected to vote in the affirmative on SB 1452.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Belardi.

Mr. BELARDI. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record also to show that I would have voted affirmatively on SB 1463.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to indicate that I wanted to vote in the affirmative on SB 1468.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Salvatore.

Mr. SALVATORE. Mr. Speaker, I want the record to reflect that I voted in the affirmative on SB 1469.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to show that I voted in the affirmative on SB 1467, PN 1946.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Maiale.

Mr. MAIALE. Mr. Speaker, yesterday I was incorrectly voted in the negative on HB 1856 and would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Adams, Mr. Cole.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to show that I would have voted "yes" on SB 1451 and SB 1460.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Burd.

Mr. BURD. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to show that I would have voted in the affirmative on SB 1446.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wogan.

Mr. WOGAN. Mr. Speaker, I would have been in the affirmative on both SB 1396 and SB 1465.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Wargo.

Mr. WARGO. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to show I would have voted in the affirmative on SB 1449.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Hagarty.

Mrs. HAGARTY. I would like the record to reflect I voted in the affirmative on SB 1449. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Are there any further corrections to the record?

The remarks of the various members will be spread upon the record.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased at this time to recognize and welcome to the hall of the House Mr. and Mrs. Edward Oles and their family, here today as the guests of Representative Wargo.

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today as the guest of Representative Rick Geist, Charlotte Morris, here to the left of the rostrum.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1445 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, I. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mochlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Iris	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Lewis	Williams, H.	Wright, J. L.
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1135, PN 2013**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the commission to fix the compensation for administrative law judges.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments No. A8370:

Amend Title, page 1, line 3, by removing the period after "judges" and inserting

and providing an annual appropriation for the operation of the commission.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

Section 2. Title 66 is amended by adding a section to read:

§ 320. Annual appropriations.

The following sums, or as much thereof as may be necessary, are hereby specifically appropriated from the restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Public Utility Commission to provide for the operation of the commission for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983, for the purposes and in the amounts shown:

(1) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of the Public Utility Commission including the chairman and commissioners, Office of the Director of Operations, Bureau of Public Information, Office of Special Assistants, Office of Intergovernmental Affairs and the Secretary's Bureau **\$5,759,000**

(2) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of the Offices of Counsel and Administrative Law Judge..... **4,438,000**

(3) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of rates, research and transportation including the Bureau of Conservation, Economics and Energy Planning, Bureau of Nonrail Transportation, Bureau of Rail Transportation and the Bureau of Rates.. **5,309,000**

(4) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of investigations, services and enforcement including the Bureau of Audits, the Bureau of Consumer Services and the Bureau of Safety and Compliance.. **5,020,000**

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 12, by striking out "2. This" and inserting

3. (a) Sections 1 and 3 of this

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting after line 12

(b) Section 2 of this act shall take effect July 1, 1982 or if enacted subsequent to that date, then immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would add to SB 1135 a budget for the Public Utility Commission. Unless a PUC budget is passed by June 30, the PUC will be out of business.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman repeat that statement?

Mr. PIEVSKY. To date, no PUC budget has moved in either chamber. This amendment would fund the PUC at a

level of \$20,526,000. This represents a 9.6-percent increase for the PUC. This money comes not from the General Fund but from a restricted receipts account raised from assessments against the utilities regulated by the PUC, raised from utilities whose revenues have risen in excess of the 9.6-percent level.

Now, Mr. Speaker, this amendment is divided into four segments to protect the ratemaking, rate review, and consumer services segments of this budget. This budget would provide adequate funds to insure proper hearing of proposed utility rate increases, and anything less would leave the utility consumers of this State in grave jeopardy.

Mr. Speaker, I urge an affirmative vote for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This House of Representatives should adopt a budget for the Public Utility Commission before it adjourns for the summer, and I support the gentleman's amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl

Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd		

NAYS—4

Coslett	Hasay	Stevens	Swift
---------	-------	---------	-------

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, before we get to further amendments, I would like to have the bill and the amendment which we just adopted with regard to the PUC budget placed upon the table until we have a chance to review all the amendments that are now coming forth.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George, rise?

Mr. GEORGE. May I interrogate the majority leader?

The SPEAKER. The question before the House as posed by the majority leader is his motion to place SB 1135, together with amendments, on the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, who requests permission to interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Hayes.

The Chair would remind the gentleman that this motion is not debatable.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, for interrogation.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, do you intend to leave that bill on the table long, or when can we expect that you will be making a move to bring it back off the table?

Mr. HAYES. After there has been an opportunity to decide whether amendments are to be offered and, if they are, what the scope of those amendments is. But it would be my hope that we could pass this piece of legislation so that there can be a budget for the PUC, and I would not want to see anything happen to it that would cause this House to decide not to take up this bill finally today.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2046, PN 2505**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Public Employee Retirement Study Commission Act," approved July 9, 1981 (P. L. 208, No. 66), adding to the membership of the Municipal Pension Advisory Committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MOWERY offered the following amendments No. A8402:

Amend Title, page 1, line 5, by removing the period after "Committee" and inserting
; creating a municipal employee pension advisory committee and extending the appropriation.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 8, by striking out "Section 8" and inserting

Sections 8 and 10

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by striking out "is" and inserting

are

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 1, line 11, by inserting brackets before and after "Committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

Committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 1, line 12, by inserting before "The"

(a) Municipal Pension Advisory Committee.—

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 1, line 19, by striking out the brackets before and after "and"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 1, by removing the semicolon after "Association" and inserting a period

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 1, by striking out "the" and inserting

(b) Municipal Employee Pension Advisory Committee.—

The commission shall appoint an advisory committee representing municipal employees nominated by each of the following associations: the

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 2, by inserting after " "Association" where it appears the second time

; the Pennsylvania State Education Association; the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 3, by inserting before "Members"

(c) Organization of committees.—

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 4, by inserting brackets before and after "committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 5, by inserting brackets before and after "committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 5, by inserting brackets before and after "its" and inserting immediately thereafter

their

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 6, by inserting brackets before and after "committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 9, by inserting brackets before and after "committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 11, by inserting brackets before and after "committee" and inserting immediately thereafter

committees

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8), page 2, line 11, by inserting brackets before and after "it" and inserting immediately thereafter

they

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 14 and 15 Section 10. Appropriation.

The sum of \$200,000 is hereby appropriated for the fiscal year 1981-1982 to the commission for the payment of its expenses. This appropriation shall be a continuing appropriation and shall lapse on June 30, 1983.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 15, by striking out "This" and inserting

Except for the provision of section 1 which relate to the appropriation which shall take effect immediately, the remainder of this

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Mowery.

Mr. MOWERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment provides for a municipal employee pension advisory committee. This is in place of and in addition to the municipal pension advisory committee that has been established previously to the Study Commission. However, this particular advisory board is made up of the employees, and we felt that it was right to have a balance as far as the advisory to the Pension Study Commission. I would request that the House adopt this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfoff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonc	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvic	Petrarca	Wachob

Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Wargo
-------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colaflera	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach

DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	McVerry	Wright, R. C.
-------	---------	---------	---------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. HAYES called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **HB 1040, PN 3385**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for an exemption from the sales tax, for the furnishing and availability of certain information relating to corporations and for the gross receipts tax on electricity.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the report of the committee of conference?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Grieco	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruitza	Mullen	Sweet

Civera	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwilk
Durham	Levin	Ritter	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—8

Alden	Emerson	Irvis	Pistella
DeWeese	Harper	Micozzie	Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the committee of conference was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella, rise?

Mr. PISTELLA. To have my vote recorded on HB 1040, the conference committee report, in the affirmative, please, sir.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF
COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the Report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on SB 942, PN 2043.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE
OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. HAYES called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on SB 942, PN 2043, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for summary offenses involving vehicles, further providing for limited Statewide police powers for municipal police officers, retaining certain immunities and benefits, providing for certain expenses and further providing for sentencing for certain offenders.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the report of the committee of conference?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hasay	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Hayes	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Heiser	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Horgos	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Zwilk
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—1

George

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Hutchinson, A.	Nahill	Taddonio
Emerson	Lewis		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the committee of conference was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today Richard and Peggy Gumto and their daughters, Debbie, who today is an honorary page, and Suzanne, of Monroeville, here today as the guests of Representatives Pott and Taddonio.

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

Mr. SAURMAN called up **HR 199, PN 3374**, entitled:

General Assembly directs Joint State Government Commission appoint a task force to study all aspects of public school funding.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate the maker of this resolution just briefly.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Saurman, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, would you please tell me what exactly is the nature of the study which will be assigned to this Joint State Government Commission?

Mr. SAURMAN. Yes, Mr. Speaker. The reason for this commission to be formed is to study all aspects of the problem of funding education in the Commonwealth today. It is not a repeat of a tax commission study. We have found and feel very strongly that education costs will continue to rise, that the problems that face the school districts are very complex, and that there are many people who feel that they have input that they should offer into this kind of a study in the hopes that if we get together all aspects of the school program in the study, including the parents and the teachers and so forth, that we can come up with some solutions that incorporate the wishes of all of these people and make some kind of a recommendation so that what seems now to be an impossible problem perhaps can find a reasonable solution.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, will not school taxes play a very important role in this discussion? Will it not be rather at the center of the whole discussion?

Mr. SAURMAN. I would hope not, Mr. Speaker. There are always two aspects, as you know, to a budget. One is the expense side and the other is the income side. We are talking about working on the expense side. Once that is determined, then the tax element is looked at separately. We are trying to find ways to reduce the cost of education, and how that money then is determined is another story entirely. This side of the study has nothing to do with that portion of it.

Mr. VROON. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am finished.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoefel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvic	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininii	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikel
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan, Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Williams, H.

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

Mr. MRKONIC called up **HR 200, PN 3394**, entitled:

House pay tribute to the Knights of Columbus on its centennial.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Manderino	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Michlovic	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Micozzie	Spencer
Brown	George	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Miscevich	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gray	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Grieco	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hasay	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Hayes	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	
Durham	Lewis	Rybak	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Salvatore	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—11

Alden	Fleck	McVerry	Pistella
Arty	Gruitza	Madigan	Steighner
Emerson	Heiser	Maiale	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Arty, rise?

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, I ask to be recorded with a "yes" vote on the resolution, HR 200. My switch failed to operate. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 2211, PN 3338**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1981 (No. 166), entitled "A supplement to the act of July 1, 1981 (P. L. 142, No. 47), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects to be constructed or acquired by this Department of General Services, ***, stating the estimated useful life of the projects and making appropriations," adding additional projects.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. MCCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the House do concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 2211.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue

Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvig	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwinkl
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Spitz	Wargo	Williams, H.
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 2212, PN 3180**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

Amending the act of December 18, 1980 (P.L. 1252, No. 228), entitled "A supplement to the act of October 6, 1980 (P.L. 784, No. 145), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1980-1981,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects, to be constructed by the Department of General Services and transportation assistance projects to be acquired or constructed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects to be constructed by the Department of General Services or Department of Transportation, stating the estimated useful life of the projects; providing for the adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Boating Fund and the Fish Fund and making an appropriation," increasing a project within the Department of Education, and reducing a project, increasing a project, and adding a project in the Department of Public Welfare.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the House do concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 2212.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would at this time wish to make a motion to suspend the rules for the purpose of amending the Conference Report on HB 2212.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella, moves that the rules of the House be suspended to permit the amendment of a conference committee report.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. If I read the calendar correctly, Mr. Speaker, it is not a conference report; we are voting on concurrence in Senate amendments. But regardless—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is right. The Chair apologizes.

Mr. HAYES. But regardless, I oppose the gentleman's motion and ask the House not to suspend its rules for the purpose of taking amendments to HB 2212.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. I appreciate the correction by the majority leader.

Mr. Speaker, if I may be in order to make a statement regarding the motion to suspend the rules, I would like to.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, not too long ago the House passed and sent to the Senate HB 2339, which was a capital budget bill that was unanimously amended on three occasions by the House to address projects that had been raised by various members. I had asked that the House entertain, and they in fact adopted, an amendment that I had offered. Since that time, that bill, HB 2339, has been in the Senate Appropriations Committee. The Senate will in fact adjourn for the summer. I am attempting to ask for the suspension of the rules for the opportunity to address the same issue in this particular bill that the House in fact did entertain and adopt in an earlier piece of legislation. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—95

Barber	Fleck	Lloyd	Ritter
Belfanti	Fryer	Lucyk	Rocks
Beloff	Gallagher	McIntyre	Rybak
Berson	Gamble	McMonagle	Seventy
Blaum	George	Manderino	Showers
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Shupnik
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Stuban
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swaim
Cohen	Harper	O'Donnell	Sweet
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello

Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Van Horne
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
DeWeese	Kolter	Pievsky	Wambach
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wiggins
Deal	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Duffy	Letterman	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Fee	Livengood	Rieger	

NAYS—97

Anderson	Fargo	McClatchy	Sirianni
Armstrong	Fischer	McVerry	Smith, B.
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Frazier	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Freind	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gallen	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Gannon	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Geist	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Swift
Cessar	Greenwood	Mowery	Taddonio
Cimini	Grieco	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Gruppo	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Hagarty	Perzel	Vroon
Cochran	Hasay	Peterson	Wass
Cornell	Hayes	Phillips	Wenger
Coslett	Honaman	Piccola	Weston
Cunningham	Jackson	Pitts	Wilson
DeVerter	Johnson	Punt	Wogan
Daikeler	Kennedy	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Klingaman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dietz	Lashingier	Salvatore	
Dininni	Lehr	Saurman	Ryan,
Dorr	Levi	Serafini	Speaker
Durham	Lewis	Sieminski	

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Heiser	Mullen	Wargo
Emerson	Maiale		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fee	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio

Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—2

Foster, Jr., A. George

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Hutchinson, A.	Mrkonjic	Sirianni
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2073, PN 3370**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Environmental Resources and the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to convey in quitclaim a certain lot or tract of ground situate in the Township of Bensalem, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucy	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers

Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonc	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Wargo

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2374, PN 3111**, entitled:

An Act designating a dam in Allentown, Lehigh County, as the Samuel W. Frank Memorial Dam.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

• Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Ritter	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Alden Emerson Hagarty O'Donnell
Dorr

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1042, PN 1223**, entitled:

An Act declaring and adopting Penngift Crownvetch (Coronilla Varia L. Penngift) as the State Beautification and Conservation Plant of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Anderson	Fee	Lewis	Ritter
Armstrong	Fischer	Livengood	Rocks
Arty	Fleck	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Burd	George	Marmion	Snyder
Burns	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Micozzie	Stairs
Cawley	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Cessar	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cimini	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Civera	Gruitza	Morris	Suban
Clark	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Clymer	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cochran	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Cohen	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cordisco	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Cornell	Hoeffel	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Honaman	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Horgos	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wargo
DeMedio	Irvin	Petrarca	Wass
DeVerter	Itkin	Petrone	Wenger
DeWeese	Jackson	Phillips	Weston
Daikeler	Johnson	Piccola	Wiggins
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kolter	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Lehr	Rasco	Zwinkl
Durham	Lescovitz	Reber	
Evans	Levi	Richardson	Ryan,
Fargo	Levin	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—6

Boyes	Noye	Trello	Wambach
Letterman	Pistella		

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Emerson	Sweet	Williams, H.
Dorr	Saurman		

EXCUSED—I

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1280, PN 2008**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services and the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture with the approval of the Governor, to convey to the Danville Area School District, 11.80 acres of land, more or less, situate in the Borough of Danville, Montour County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irvin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson

Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.
-------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1338, PN 1816**, entitled:

An Act providing for the observance of the Sunday of Fire Prevention Week as a commemorative day designated as Firefighters and their Auxiliaries Day.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Olasz	Telek

Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Ritter	
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2386, PN 3335**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Consumer Discount Company Act," approved April 8, 1937 (P. L. 262, No. 66), further defining capital; ***; extending the discount rate, increasing the service charge ceiling and modifying the requirement for issuance of a written receipt for payment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LAUGHLIN offered the following amendments No. A8426:

Amend Title, page 1, line 10, by striking out "AND" and inserting a comma

Amend Title, page 1, line 12, by removing the period after "PAYMENT" and inserting

and further providing for application of the act.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

Section 2. Section 3 of the act, amended March 3, 1976 (P.L.36, No.17), is amended to read:

Section 3. License Required.—A. On and after the effective date of this act, no person shall engage or continue to engage in this Commonwealth, either as principal, employee, agent or broker, in the business of negotiating or making loans or advances of money on credit, in the amount or value of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or less, and charge, collect, contract for or receive interest, discount, bonus, fees, fines, commissions, charges, or other considerations which aggregate in excess of the

interest that the lender would otherwise be permitted by law to charge if not licensed under this act on the amount actually loaned or advanced, or on the unpaid principal balances when the contract is payable by stated installments except a domestic business corporation organized under or existing by virtue of the Business Corporation Law of this Commonwealth or a corporation organized or existing under the law of any other state or territory of the United States, after first obtaining a license from the Secretary of Banking of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in accordance with the provisions of this act.

B. Any person who shall hold himself out as willing or able to arrange for or negotiate such loans of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or less where the interest, discount, bonus, fees, fines, commissions or other considerations in the aggregate exceeds the interest that the lender would otherwise be permitted by law to charge or who solicits prospective borrowers of such loans of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or less shall be deemed to be engaged in the business contemplated by this act. The referring borrowers to a licensee shall not be deemed to be engaged in the business contemplated by this act if no charge, no matter how denominated, for such reference is imposed on the prospective borrower by the person making the reference. No licensee shall knowingly include in any loan under this act any amount which is to be paid by the borrower to another as a fee or charge, no matter how denominated, for referring said borrower to the licensee.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 6, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

Amend Sec. 3, page 3, line 19, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 4, page 4, line 12, by striking out "4" and inserting

5

Amend Sec. 5, page 6, line 1, by striking out "5" and inserting

6

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

Section 7. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 18.1. Applicability.—The charges authorized by this act to be charged, contracted for, received or collected by a licensee pursuant to this act shall not be authorized for any other lender licensed or regulated by the Commonwealth solely because they are authorized for a licensee under this act.

Section 8. The following acts are repealed insofar as they are inconsistent with regard to the application of the act:

The act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.847, No.356), known as the "Banking Code of 1965."

The act of December 14, 1967 (P.L.746, No.345), known as the "Savings Association Code of 1967."

Amend Sec. 6, page 6, line 14, by striking out "6" and inserting

9

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, my amendment makes two important changes in the Consumer Discount Company Act. First, the law as it is presently structured is limited in its application to domestic business corporations organized under the laws of the Commonwealth. Many consumer discount companies incorporated in States outside of Pennsylvania are presently taking advantage of the provisions of higher interest rates that are available to them within their State and mailing

across State lines and doing damage to the businesses within the State of Pennsylvania without having the benefit of any oversight or any regulations to their activities.

Mr. Speaker, the second portion of the amendment deals with the consumer discount companies that have traditionally been allowed by law to make higher interest charges other than banks and other financial institutions in the State. The reason for that, Mr. Speaker, is that over the years many banks have been reluctant to give loans to those who are not good credit risks. For that reason the discount credit companies were permitted to make loans of a higher interest rate to customers who were of a greater risk in borrowing money, for whatever their needs might be.

Mr. Speaker, presently there is a condition within the State of Pennsylvania that permits the most-favored-lender principle to be applied and thus allow banks and other institutions that do not share the risk of a discount company to take advantage of those same high rates. I offer this amendment to cure that problem so that this rate may only apply to those discount companies that are regulated within the State of Pennsylvania. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, as to the first part of the amendment, there is a Federal court case which speaks to this very clearly which authorizes out-of-State credit card companies to operate anyplace they want to. The same decision applies to this amendment, and it is clearly unconstitutional.

As for the second part of the amendment, that dealing with the most-favored-lender clause, I am at a loss to understand exactly where the gentleman is headed with this amendment. I guess what I should do is ask him to explain if he is trying to change the Banking Code and the Savings and Loan Code with this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I did not hear the gentleman ask to interrogate me, but if he wants me to respond to that, I certainly will.

The SPEAKER. Inferentially, I believe the gentleman was requesting the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, to stand for interrogation. The gentleman indicates he will. Accordingly, I expect the gentleman will answer the question.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, very briefly, the gentleman stated that at the present time there are Federal court cases relative to the ability of those banks within the Federal charters to utilize most-favored-lender principles, and that indeed is true, but it only pertains to those Federal banks that are chartered thusly. Within the State of Pennsylvania, there are a number of cases that have already been decided on crossing State lines, that in fact those banks and those services that are rendered with regard to the discount credit act can be regulated within the State and should be, and that is in fact a matter of law.

In addition to that, Mr. Speaker, yes, I am attempting to not amend those laws but to preclude those laws from being utilized by the enactment of this amendment; that is, to pro-

hibit them from charging rates in excess of what they are presently allowed to utilize and using the most-favored-lender principle that has in fact been ruled on, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman took what I said about the first part of the amendment and the second part of the amendment and mixed it all up and made it come out beautifully.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. But let me clearly state that what I was talking about in the first part of the amendment, which amends section 3, trying to apply this law only to those lending institutions within the Commonwealth, the Federal court case speaks very clearly to this, especially in the operation of credit cards outside of the State boundary lines. Those people are permitted under a Federal court case to operate in Pennsylvania, and the same thing will apply to consumer discount companies who operate by mail. There is no way, unless we change the Federal law, that we can prohibit that activity. And he has now admitted that what he is trying to do with section 18.1 is to amend the Banking Code and the Savings and Loan Code with a back-door approach. I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. What is the gentleman's point of inquiry?

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, is this amendment divisible?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, suggest to the Chair along what lines he would divide the amendment?

Mr. LLOYD. On the second page, Mr. Speaker, about two-thirds of the way down, I would divide after the number "6" and before "Amend Bill, page 6."

AMENDMENTS DIVIDED

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, is divisible. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, who suggests that the amendment be divided at page 2, immediately below the numeral "6," so that a separate vote would be taken on all of the material above and including the number "6" two-thirds of the way down page 2, and a separate vote will be taken on that portion of page 2 that begins "Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 13 and 14," down to and including the balance of the page. Is that correct, Mr. Lloyd?

Mr. LLOYD. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, is suggesting that the amendment as divided be voted with the last portion of page 2 being the first vote. Is that accurate, Mr. Lloyd? The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, is suggesting that the portion contained on page 2 be voted first. Is that correct?

Mr. LLOYD. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Gallen, rise?

Mr. GALLEN. Mr. Speaker, I request a negative vote.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, as divided by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd. The vote to be taken will be that portion of page 2 beginning "Amend Bill, page 6," down to and including the end of that page.

On the question of the amendment as divided, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, as briefly as possible, many of the members of this House have exhibited a great deal of concern about the status of discount companies within this State, claiming that they are going out of business and that they are no longer able to compete. Mr. Speaker, this gives them an area of lending that will be solely their own under this particular amendment, and other banking institutions and finance institutions will not be able to take this type of business from them. So I would think that those who are interested in protecting the discount consumer companies would certainly support this portion of the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this part of the amendment on which we are going to vote will do is to give relief to the consumer discount companies and consumer discount companies only. Under the bill, if the consumer discount interest rate would go to about 27 or 28 percent, which is the best estimate given by the industry, that particular interest rate could then be applied by other lending institutions, such as banks, on loans of under \$5,000.

Mr. Speaker, there are many of us who can agree with giving some kind of relief to keep the consumer discount companies in business, because their profit margin is restricted by changes in the prime-interest rate over which they have no effect, but at the same time, we have difficulty with giving a back-door increase in interest rates to banks. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I urge that we give some break to the little fellow and leave to another day what we are going to do with the big banks. I urge adoption of this portion of the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith, on the question of the amendment as divided.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, as I spoke before, this is a back-door approach to trying to amend the Banking Code and the Savings and Loan Code. Representative Laughlin tried this before with a bill that we passed here, and the Senate took it out, and they will do the same thing with this. I think it is a very bad precedent because it would not apply to all segments of all State banking institutions; it would only apply to the State, because the national banks are regulated otherwise, and it would only apply to State-chartered savings and loan associations. There we put these people at a distinct disadvantage again, and I think it is a bad precedent.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Levin.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Laughlin amendment. I do not think this is a back-door approach. We are being asked today to exercise our judgment and permit a major extension of the interest rates. What Mr. Laughlin's amendment does is restrict those people who will be permitted to have the use of that higher rate. I do not see any reason why in good judgment we should not restrict it to only that class of people who will not get credit except for the Consumer Act that the small consumer loans operate under.

If the Laughlin provision is not adopted, what will happen is banks that previously would have issued a second mortgage under another provision will use this provision to get the highest rate available on all their loans. There is nothing back door about it. There is nothing unusual about it. It is a proper approach, and those of us who want to see that the consumer gets a fair break in the bank should support this.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport, on the question of the adoption of the Laughlin amendment as divided by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A \$5,000 loan is a \$5,000 loan is a \$5,000 loan. It matters not who the lender is; the same market forces are at work to set the interest rates.

My learned friend from Philadelphia County has said, well, they will just make these loans instead of second-mortgage loans. May I remind the gentleman that what is going on now is that there are no second mortgages in Pennsylvania because of the low interest rate ceiling. What is happening is that people are being required to pay off the first mortgages that they may have at 5 and 6 percent and refinance the entire first mortgage because there is no effective interest rate, legal interest rate ceiling on first mortgages in Pennsylvania today because of Federal regulation. All you are trying to do is repeal the law of gravity, and we cannot do it. Not even we can do that. I would suggest a "no" vote on this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the divided amendment. One of the basic reasons why I join Mr. Laughlin in supporting this amendment is the consumer discount companies in this State pay an additional price for their money, and the banks get additional discount for their money. For that very reason they should be the ones who have the benefit of this type of legislation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, desire recognition?

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Yes, Mr. Speaker, just very briefly. This is my second time at the microphone on this issue, so I do want to make it brief. I do not want the House to be held at any length.

Mr. Speaker, the previous gentleman from Philadelphia who spoke mentioned the fact that secondary mortgages were

not being given. Mr. Speaker, this House of Representatives just recently passed, this year, increased secondary mortgage lending ability at a much higher rate, and I do not think that that can be used now for that purpose, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, those in favor of the amendment as divided by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, that is the first portion of the amendment, which consists essentially of the last third of page 2, shall vote "aye"; those opposed shall vote "no."

On the question,

Will the House agree to Part I of the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—106

Barber	Fryer	Lucyk	Shupnik
Belardi	Gallagher	McMonagle	Smith, E. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	Manderino	Spitz
Berson	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Blaum	George	Miscevich	Stewart
Brown	Grabowski	Morris	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gray	Mrkonie	Swaim
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mullen	Sweet
Cawley	Gruitza	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Haluska	Olasz	Telek
Cochran	Harper	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Hasay	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hoefel	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Irvis	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Pistella	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rieger	Wogan
Duffy	Lescovitz	Ritter	Wozniak
Durham	Letterman	Rybak	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Levin	Seventy	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Livengood	Showers	Zwinkl
Fischer	Lloyd		

NAYS—86

Anderson	Dorr	Lewis	Rocks
Armstrong	Fargo	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McVerry	Saurman
Beloff	Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Serafini
Bittle	Foster, Jr., A.	Maiale	Sieminski
Bowser	Frazier	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Freind	Marmion	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gallen	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Snyder
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Spencer
Cessar	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Stevens
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taddonio
Cole	Heiser	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Honaman	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Daikeler	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Lashinger	Rappaport	
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Ryan,
Dininni	Levi	Reber	Speaker

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Geist	Mackowski	O'Donnell
Emerson	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and Part I of the amendments was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the second portion of the Laughlin amendment as divided by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, just as briefly as the last statement I made, the idea of protecting the interest of discount companies in this State is certainly not foreign to this House, judged by that last vote. Mr. Speaker, those companies that are taking advantage of our discount companies in this State with mail-order operations and cross-the-border operations are indeed taking money away from these people that they certainly deserve to have to utilize within their lending operations. Mr. Speaker, I would ask for an affirmative vote on the basis of that protection that we are offering with this amendment for the discount credit companies.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I now have the Supreme Court case. It was *Marquette v. The First National Bank of Minnesota*. It was a 1978 decision, which has never been changed, and it clearly authorizes interstate operation by these lending institutions. And I would hope that the members would not confuse the further passage of this bill by putting this unconstitutional amendment in it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I do not disagree with the Representative when he says that the Federal banks have that kind of consideration and the cross-the-line circumstances were granted in the case that he mentions. But, Mr. Speaker, he makes no mention whatsoever of the State law that regulates goods and services that has already been upheld by the courts and enforced by the courts, that State law in that situation is empowered and should be observed.

Mr. Speaker, the Federal regulation the gentleman mentions does not apply to this circumstance, and I ask for the protection for our people within this State on discount for this purpose. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to Part II of the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—95

Barber	Fec	Livengood	Showers
Belfanti	Fischer	Lloyd	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Lucyk	Steighner
Blaum	Gallagher	McMonagle	Stewart
Brown	Gamble	Manderino	Suban
Caltagirone	Gannon	Michlovic	Swaim
Cappabianca	George	Miscevich	Sweet
Cawley	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Gray	Mullen	Tigue
Clark	Greenfield	Murphy	Trello
Cochran	Gruitza	Olasz	Van Horne
Cohen	Haluska	Oliver	Wachob

Colafella	Harper	Petrarca	Wambach
Cole	Hoeffel	Petrone	Wargo
Cordisco	Horgos	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Irviss	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Itkin	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Richardson	Wogan
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Rieger	Wozniak
Duffy	Laughlin	Ritter	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Rybak	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Seventy	

NAYS—97

Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Sieminski
Belardi	Frazier	Maiale	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Snyder
Brandt	Greenwood	Miller	Spencer
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Gruppo	Morris	Stairs
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Stevens
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taddonio
Cornell	Heiser	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Honaman	Peterson	Telek
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Vroon
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Dalkeler	Kennedy	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Klingaman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Ryan,
Dorr	Levi	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis	Salvatore	

NOT VOTING—6

Aiden	McIntyre	O'Donnell	Pendleton
Emerson	Mackowski		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and Part II of the amendments was not agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—150

Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gray	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs

Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cawley	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Civera	Honaman	Olasz	Tigue
Clymer	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cochran	Irvis	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Johnson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Kennedy	Phillips	Wambach
Coslett	Klingaman	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kolter	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lewis	Rocks	Zwikl
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	
Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fargo	Lucy	Saurman	Speaker

NAYS—38

Barber	Gallagher	Levin	Richardson
Cowell	George	Michlovic	Seventy
DeMedio	Grabowski	Mrkonc	Stuban
DeWeese	Greenfield	Murphy	Swaim
Dawida	Gruitza	O'Donnell	Sweet
Deal	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Evans	Hoeffel	Petrone	Wargo
Fee	Horgos	Pistella	Wiggins
Fischer	Itkin	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Fryer	Laughlin		

NOT VOTING—10

Alden	Cohen	Manderino	Rappaport
Blaum	Cordisco	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Clark	Emerson		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper, rise?

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I inadvertently voted in the affirmative on HB 2386. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I do not believe I was recorded on that. I would like to be recorded in the negative on HB 2386.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. I do not believe I was recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on HB 2386, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Lewis.

Mrs. LEWIS. Mr. Speaker, I was not recorded on SB 1445, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, on SB 942 I was recorded in the negative. I would like the record to show that I voted in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Gamble.

Mr. GAMBLE. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently voted in the affirmative on HB 2386 and would like to be voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk.

Mr. LUCYK. On HB 2386 I inadvertently voted "yes." Make that "no."

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. On HB 2386 I would like the record to show that I voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Olasz.

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently voted in the affirmative. I wish to be recorded in the negative on HB 2386.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco.

Mr. CORDISCO. Mr. Speaker, my switch again malfunctioned. I would like to be recorded in the negative and also have the switch checked, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, in view of the numerous changes and the misunderstandings that obviously occurred on that vote on final passage, I would honestly request that you reconsider that passage and have us vote on it again so we will not have these numerous changes of votes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is familiar with the method whereby reconsideration takes place.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 535, PN 1969**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 22 (Detectives and Private Police) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to railroad and street railway police.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, *shall the bill pass finally?*

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Clymer	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hayes	Olasz	Telek
Cole	Heiser	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dobnatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Lloyd

NOT VOTING—4

Alden

Brown

Emerson

Wozniak

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1284, PN 1726**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1947 (P. L. 1110, No. 476), entitled "Motor Vehicle Sales Finance Act," abolishing the maximum finance charges.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. KUKOVICH offered the following amendments No. A8363:

Amend Title, page 1, line 20, by removing the period after "charges" and inserting
, and providing for notice and the right to cure a default.

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 27 and 28

Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 21.1. A seller or holder may not accelerate the maturity of installment sales contract, commence any legal action or repossess without legal process unless the buyer is in default and unless the seller or holder shall provide the buyer with notice, sent by certified mail, to the buyer's last known address or delivered personally to the residence of the buyer, informing the buyer (1) Of his right to cure the default upon payment of the amount in default plus delinquency or deferral charges within twenty-one (21) days of the date of receipt of such notice, (2) The name, address and telephone number of the seller or holder, (3) The total amount due, including amount of delinquency charges, (4) The exact date by which the amount due must be paid, (5) The name, address and telephone number of the person to whom payment must be made, and (6) Other performance necessary to cure a default arising from other than nonpayment herein and the buyer is given the rights so specified. The seller or holder shall not be required to provide such notice more than once in any twelve (12) month period. The act of curing a default restores to the buyer his rights under the installment sales contract as though no default had occurred.

Amend Sec. 2, page 4, line 28, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment is commonly referred to as a right-to-cure amendment. Simply what it does is, if a default on a loan is made, this would mandate that notice be given to the individual who has defaulted or possibly has violated the contract in any way. It would give them 21 days to cure that default. They would have to pay the default plus any deferral payments or any delinquency charges that might be involved. If they do not do so within that time, the automobile could be repossessed as it would in any other fashion.

Mr. Speaker, I think this amendment is more important now than perhaps it was even earlier in the year because of the high unemployment rate, because of the fact that many people who have had good credit ratings their whole lives now are running into trouble. We are giving them a chance, at least 21 days, to be given notice, to be made aware of what the problem is, how much the default is, and to try to correct that before their vehicle is repossessed.

Mr. Speaker, I think this is just a fair and equitable solution to this problem, and I would ask for a "yes" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the amendment. I believe it is an agreed-to amendment by the majority party also.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Anderson	Fee	Lewis	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Livengood	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	Lloyd	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Brown	George	Merry	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steighner
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stuban
Cawley	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Sweet
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Swift
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Taddonio
Clark	Hagarty	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Harper	Nahill	Telek
Cohen	Hasay	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hayes	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Heiser	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Horgos	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wargo
Cunningham	Irvis	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Jackson	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Johnson	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pitts	Williams, H.

Davies	Klingaman	Potti	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zwikel
Duffy	Letterman	Ritter	
Durham	Levi	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Rybak	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—2

O'Donnell Reber

NOT VOTING—5

Alden Mackowski Noye Snyder
Emerson

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

MR. ANDERSON REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman from York, Mr. Anderson, come to the rostrum and preside temporarily?

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye, rise?

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was out of my seat when that last amendment 8363 to SB 1284 was voted, and I would like the record to show I would have voted in the affirmative. Thank you.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call the Appropriations Committee off the floor immediately in the House Appropriations Committee hearing room, 245. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman yield on the Appropriations Committee meeting for a few moments? The Chair will advise the members when the meeting will take place.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1284 CONTINUED

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MAIALE offered the following amendments No. A8344:

Amend Title, page 1, line 19, by striking out "abolishing" and inserting

further providing for

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 2, line 9, by striking out the bracket before ", AS"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 2, line 20, by inserting a bracket before "SEVEN"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 3, line 8, by inserting a bracket after "DIFFERENT)" and inserting immediately thereafter
eighteen percent (18%) simple interest per year on the unpaid balance

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 3, line 11, by inserting brackets before and after "NINE PERCENT (9%) PER YEAR" and inserting immediately thereafter
eighteen percent (18%) simple interest per year on the unpaid balance

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 3, lines 14 and 15, by inserting brackets before "TWELVE" in line 14 and after "YEAR" in line 15, and inserting immediately thereafter

twenty-one percent (21%) simple interest per year on the unpaid balance

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 4, lines 3 through 5, by striking out "I AT A RATE AGREED UPON WITH THE BUYER THAT SHALL" in line 3, and all of lines 4 and 5

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Maiale.

Mr. MAIALE. Mr. Speaker, this would place a cap on the allowable interest rates under SB 1284 for two categories of auto sales. On new cars and used cars under 2 years old, the cap would be 18 percent; on used cars that are older than 2 years old, the cap would be 21 percent, which is in fact slightly lower than the existing allowable interest rate. We believe it is a fair compromise and in the interest of the consumer and would place a cap equivalent to the interest rate we have allowed on the retailers.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (JOHN HOPE ANDERSON) IN THE CHAIR CONSIDERATION OF SB 1284 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I agree that this is a fair compromise and would ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, it had been my understanding that there had been some accommodation reached earlier that the rate would in fact be offered and an amendment would be offered to the bill at 17.5. I now find when I come to the floor that there is an amendment being offered in the area of 18 percent. And since Mr. Fred Taylor, the minority chairman of the Business and Commerce Committee, has such an amendment, I would ask the members of the House to vote this amendment down and to allow Mr. Taylor to offer his amendment. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. All I wanted to bring to the attention of the House is that everybody is going to get a whack at the bidding process here, so you can start at 18 and work your way down, I think, today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Will the gentleman from Beaver consent to interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates that he will. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker—

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I did not offer the amendment. I do not know the direction of the gentleman's interrogation.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. I understand that, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Beaver has informed the House of the existence of a 17 1/2-percent amendment, and I gather—and if I am wrong, I would hope the gentleman would correct me—I gather the gentleman views that amendment with some favor. Would that be accurate?

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I do not think that you can derive any kind of direction from any kind of indication that you may interpret. I do not think you qualify as a mind reader.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, having dealt with the gentleman, I was somewhat unsure as to where he was going, and I thought I would clarify it for the benefit of the House. Therefore, we must consider this amendment on its merits without the thought of another amendment, and that is all that I ask the House to do. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, for the second time.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, could I have a point of clarification from you? I believe that the gentleman, Mr. Taylor, will still have his opportunity to offer the amendment at 17.5. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. When the amendment is offered, I presume we will have an opportunity to vote on it.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I do not know if that is accurate for this reason: In the past we have had amendments offered that changed the bill somewhat, and because of that, amendments that had been drafted were not accurate in their drafting and so were ruled to be inadmissible. Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the consideration of the House be that in fact any amendment would be appropriate to offer.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is informed that should the amendment of 18 1/2 be passed by the House, then the lower amendment would not be considered.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, if that be the case, then I certainly urge the members of the House to vote "no" on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, we have just had another problem. The gentleman has said, vote against this amendment so we can get to another amendment, yet the gentleman refuses to say whether he is going to support that second amendment and, if indeed the second amendment goes into the bill, whether he is going to vote for the bill.

I would suggest that we look at each amendment on its merits and know what we are doing. Vote as you wish, but know what you are doing. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—117

Anderson	Fryer	McVerry	Rocks
Armstrong	Gallen	Mackowski	Rybak
Arty	Gannon	Madigan	Saurman
Beloff	Geist	Maiale	Serafini
Bittle	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Gray	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenwood	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Gruppo	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Spencer
Cimini	Hasay	Morris	Spitz
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Stevens
Clymer	Heiser	Mullen	Swaim
Cochran	Hoeffel	Nahill	Sweet
Cornell	Honaman	Noye	Swift
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cunningham	Itkin	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
DeVerter	Jackson	Peterson	Taylor, F. E.
Daikeler	Kennedy	Petrone	Telek
Davies	Klingaman	Phillips	Van Horne
Dietz	Kowalysyn	Piccola	Wenger
Dininni	Lashinger	Pitts	Weston
Donatucci	Lehr	Pott	Wilson
Dorr	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wogan
Fargo	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Fleck	Levi	Rappaport	Zwikl
Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Rasco	
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Reber	Ryan,
Frazier	McIntyre	Rieger	Speaker
Freind	McMonagle	Ritter	

NAYS—78

Barber	Dombrowski	Levin	Shupnik
Belardi	Duffy	Livengood	Snyder
Belfanti	Durham	Lloyd	Stairs
Berson	Evans	Lucyk	Steighner
Blaum	Fee	Manderino	Stewart
Brown	Fischer	Michlovic	Stuban
Burns	Gallagher	Miscevich	Tigue
Caltagirone	Gamble	Mrkonic	Trello
Cappabianca	George	Murphy	Vroon
Cawley	Grabowski	Olasz	Wachob
Clark	Greenfield	Oliver	Wambach
Cohen	Gruitza	Pendleton	Wargo
Colafella	Haluska	Petrarca	Wass
Cole	Harper	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cordisco	Horgos	Pistella	Williams, H.
Cowell	Irvis	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
DeMedio	Johnson	Richardson	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kolter	Seventy	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kukovich	Showers	Wright, J. L.
Deal	Laughlin		

NOT VOTING—3

Alden Emerson Salvatore

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Mr. Speaker, on amendment 8363 I was inadvertently recorded in the negative. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1284 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TAYLOR offered the following amendments No. A8396:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 4, line 21, by striking out the bracket before "F."

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 19), page 4, line 27, by striking out the bracket after "AGENCY."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In anticipation of some amendment being offered here today that would have capped the interest rates, it was necessary in the drafting, because of the way SB 1284 came over from the Senate, that a technical amendment be offered to take out the brackets in the last paragraph of that bill so that the maximum interest rate would remain at 17.5 in relationship to other loans. So this is a technical amendment putting back in the language as the present law is today.

I think my explanation that I just gave is to the point. It is a technical amendment by nature, because present law has to be reinstated because of the way SB 1284 was drafted, and in drafting that they struck all that language. This amendment just reinserts the language as it presently is in the law. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—172

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Morris	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	O'Donnell	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Pendleton	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Heiser	Perzel	Taylor, F. E.

Cohen	Hoeffel	Peterson	Telek
Colafranca	Honaman	Petrarca	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Piccola	Wachob
Coslett	Johnson	Pievsky	Wambach
Cowell	Kennedy	Pistella	Wargo
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pitts	Wass
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wenger
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Weston
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Iescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	Zwikel
Durham	Lewis	Rybak	
Fargo	Livengood	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman	Speaker
Fleck	McClatchy		

NAYS—23

Barber	Evans	Irviss	Oliver
Berson	Fee	Lucyk	Richardson
Clark	George	Manderino	Stuban
DeMedio	Greenfield	Miscevich	Tigue
DeWeese	Harper	Mrkoncic	Wiggins
Deal	Horgos	Murphy	

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.
-------	---------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Mr. Speaker, if I may, some of us who voted against the Maiale amendment still feel that a cap might be necessary, but we are placed in an awkward position.

I would like to move to suspend the rules for the specific purpose of receiving the Taylor amendment, and I would make that motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question before the House is the suspension of the rules in order to consider additional amendments.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, this House voted for the Maiale amendment with 105 or 107 votes. I think the sense of the House is clear that that is what they want. I would urge a "no" vote on the motion to suspend. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I also urge a "no" vote. The majority of the members have spoken here within the last 10 minutes, and we have an effective cap which I feel is a reasonable compromise. I would ask for a "no" vote on the suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I ask for a "yes" vote, because I do not think the majority of the members did speak clearly as Mr. Rappaport and Mr. Smith said. I think many members did not want to be recorded as voting against any cap, and therefore they voted for the Maiale amendment at 18 percent. I think that everybody ought to have a chance to vote for the Taylor amendment at 17 1/2 percent, because through a little bit of parliamentary sleight of hand, the Maiale amendment was put first. I urge the members to support suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. I do not know. I did not know this was going to be so popular. I did not know everybody was going to be popular on this.

I have no objection to suspending the rules, and if you all like 17 1/2 percent, let us go for 17 1/2 percent; if you like 18 percent, go for 18 percent. But if you want to suspend the rules, suspend them. I did not know it was going to be so popular.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to know specifically, what are we suspending the rules to do? I understand this bill is on final passage. My understanding is a bill on final passage cannot be amended. I would like to know what we are suspending them to do.

DECISION OF CHAIR REVERSED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will reverse its decision as to the bill having been agreed to on third consideration as amended. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. That is why the rules were made, to be suspended.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—85

Barber	Durham	Laughlin	Showers
Belfanti	Evans	Lescovitz	Shupnik
Berson	Fee	Livengood	Stairs
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Steighner
Boyes	Fryer	Lucyk	Stewart
Brown	Gallagher	Manderino	Stuban
Burns	Gamble	Michlovic	Swaim
Caltagirone	Gannon	Miscevich	Sweet
Cappabianca	George	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Grabowski	Murphy	Tigue
Clark	Greenfield	Olasz	Trello
Cohen	Gruitza	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Haluska	Petrarca	Wachob
Cole	Harper	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Hoefel	Pievsy	Wargo
Cowell	Horgos	Pistella	Wiggins
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pratt	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Iris	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Itkin	Richardson	Wozniak
Deal	Kolter	Ritter	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Seventy	Zwikl
Duffy			

NAYS—111

Anderson	Freind	Mackowski	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Salvatore
Arty	Geist	Maiale	Saurman
Belardi	Gladeck	Manmiller	Serafini
Beloff	Gray	Marmion	Sieminski
Bittle	Greenwood	Merry	Sirianni
Bowser	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gruppo	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Snyder
Cimini	Hayes	Mowery	Spencer
Civera	Heiser	Mullen	Spitz
Clymer	Honaman	Nahill	Stevens
Cochran	Jackson	Noye	Swift
Cornell	Johnson	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Coslett	Kennedy	Pendleton	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Klingaman	Perzel	Telek
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Peterson	Vroon
Daikeler	Lashinger	Phillips	Wass
Davies	Lehr	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Lettermann	Pitts	Weston
Dininni	Levi	Pott	Wilson
Donatucci	Levin	Punt	Wogan
Dorr	Lewis	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	McClatchy	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fleck	McIntyre	Reber	
Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Rocks	Speaker
Frazier			

NOT VOTING—2

Alden Emerson

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, are we on final passage now? Are we now on final passage of the bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We are trying to get to final passage of the bill. Yes, sir.

Mr. IRVIS. On final passage, I would ask if the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, who seems to be knowledgeable about such matters, would stand for interrogation, briefly.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We will have to get on final passage first.

Mr. IRVIS. I will wait, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

MOTION TO PLACE BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. I will yield to the gentleman, Mr. Kukovich, who has a motion which should be placed before final passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I think it is only fair that we get the opportunity to see that amendment. I would move that we place the bill on the third consideration postponed calendar to be reprinted and we can offer the amendment tomorrow. I assume we will be here tomorrow. Most of the debate is out of the way. I am just asking for a little fairness and ask that we have the bill held over and be reprinted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman knows very well what he is doing when he delays this bill until tomorrow. The Senate is going to quit today. That means that this bill will— Time and time again we voted bills in this session that have been amended extensively. This is a very simple amendment and everyone in here knows what it does. I see no reason to hold this bill until tomorrow.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. It may be a very simple amendment and I may be a very simple man, but I do not understand what it does, and that is what my question was going to be. I would suggest that there may be a few others in here who do not understand quite what has happened here. Therefore, I have asked for the support of the motion so that we may have a chance to see the bill in print. We have been threatened with the Senate going home ever since 6 o'clock last night, and they are still here. I would suggest that we not rush into something simply because the Senate says it is going home again. I do not understand what is being done, and I support the gentleman, Mr. Kukovich, in his motion.

**THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
IN THE CHAIR
CONSIDERATION OF SB 1284 CONTINUED**

DECISION OF CHAIR REVERSED

The SPEAKER. The Chair, in the interest of correcting the record at the insistence of the Parliamentarian, withdraws its decision that the bill had been agreed to on third and entertains the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Kukovich, that the bill be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar. The Chair hears no objection.

The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Kukovich.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—84

Barber	Evans	Levin	Showers
Belfanti	Fee	Livengood	Shupnik
Berson	Fischer	Lloyd	Stairs
Blaum	Fryer	Lucyk	Steighner
Brown	Gallagher	McMonagle	Stewart
Burns	Gamble	Manderino	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gannon	Michlovic	Swaim
Cappabianca	George	Miscevich	Sweet
Cawley	Grabowski	Mrkoncic	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Greenfield	Murphy	Tigue
Colafella	Gruitza	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Haluska	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Oliver	Wachob
Cowell	Horgos	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsky	Wargo
DeWeese	Irvic	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Itkin	Pratt	Williams, H.
Deal	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Richardson	Wozniak
Duffy	Laughlin	Ritter	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Seventy	Zwilk

NAYS—104

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Salvatore
Arty	Frazier	Maiale	Saurman
Belardi	Freind	Manmiller	Serafini
Beloff	Gallen	Marmion	Sieminski
Bittle	Geist	Merry	Sirianni
Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gray	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Greenwood	Mochlmann	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grieco	Morris	Snyder
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Spencer
Cimini	Hagarty	Nahill	Spitz
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Stevens
Clymer	Hayes	Pendleton	Swift
Cochran	Heiser	Perzel	Taddonio
Cornell	Honaman	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Vroon
Cunningham	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lewis	Reber	
Fargo	McClatchy	Rocks	Ryan,
Fleck	McVerry	Rybak	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	Mackowski		

NOT VOTING—10

Alden	Emerson	McIntyre	Rieger
Armstrong	Harper	Mullen	Telek
Clark	Letterman		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, to stand for interrogation. I understand he has agreed to still stand.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Irvis, may proceed.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, if the Senate agrees to the amendments which the House has put into this bill and if the Governor signs it into law and thereafter I would go out to buy an automobile, would I be paying more interest on the auto loan than I would pay as of today?

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, under the first class of the section that is indicated, the present rate of 8 1/2 percent add-on is equal for 24 months to an interest rate of 15.55 percent; for 36 months, 15.40 percent; and for 48 months, 15.17 percent, Mr. Speaker. That means, Mr. Speaker, that the difference between 15.55 percent, which is 2.45 percent or almost 2 1/2 percent, will be an increase in fact in the cost of that vehicle interestwise for your purchase.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To make sure that this simpleminded man understands, the people who are in my constituency, if they go out to buy a car after this noble piece of legislation becomes law, will be paying more for the automobile because of the action of the House and the Senate today. Is that true, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Yes, Mr. Speaker, absolutely.

Mr. IRVIS. I do not have to decide anything else then. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, time and time again when we have dealt with these issues, I have stood at this microphone and stated that what we are doing is putting a ceiling on this transaction. There is not anything in this bill or any other interest bill which says that is what they have to charge. They will charge whatever the market in that particular area is.

For weeks now I have seen General Motors advertising financing for 12.8 percent, and the rate that is charged will be regulated by that market. Money is a commodity just like anything else that you buy. If the market is down, the rates will be

down. If interest rates go up and money tightens up, the rate will probably go to the ceiling. But to say that that is the way it is going to be because we passed this legislation just is not fair.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am somewhat confused in that if I look over this group, I would honestly believe there should be more consumers in here than automobile dealers. I honestly am more confused and wonder why most of you did not open your mail today and look at the Pennsylvania Manufacturers' scandal sheet. If you did, you would have read the same as I, that the banks in Pennsylvania—it has been recorded this year—have over \$55 billion in State-chartered banks in Pennsylvania.

Another item that is most confusing, because I really am staggered by these figures, is that in loan money there is more than \$764 million available for loans. Yet someone comes before us continually, as they have in this session, and insists upon the fact that unless they get more money, they cannot continue to loan. Well, I guess, Mr. Speaker, it is very simple mathematics: It is much better to loan \$50 at 10 percent interest—that is safer—than to loan \$100 at 5 percent, and in actuality, you are loaning less and you are making more.

Now, that slight 2 1/2 to 3 percent that we are talking about, that will not cost the people that much if you use this simple mathematics, but what it really will do, if you want to improve on the economy, it will not sell more cars. Oh, it will give some of the big institutions more money in the coffers, and yet it will be a long time before I can prove my point, and I have tried to do that on this and I have tried to do that on previous high-interest bills.

I looked at the suspension-of-the-rules vote. There are some of you who wanted to hide under a cap, and you had an opportunity to drop that. If 2 percent is not much, then I believe you should have voted for the suspension of the rules to bring the interest down another half a percent, because that half a percent might be the difference between an individual being able to hold onto that car or having it repossessed. And when we talk about that little bit of difference, we are not talking about people who make \$25,000 and \$30,000, as most of us do; we are talking about people in the \$10,000 to \$20,000 range who have to finance a car. Well, it is your choice, and I am happy to say I do not intend to stand with many of you. I intend to vote against this, because I think most of all today it is improper.

I am not saying that we do not have to protect big business, because big business is most necessary for a sound economy, but I believe when we give big business everything they ask for, then I think we have gone in the wrong direction. I know what some might say as far as my position on this, but let me tell you this: I honestly do not believe that there are that many banks that cannot afford to loan money. I think maybe what we should have been doing, as I have said many times, is force the banks to put a certain amount of money in a certain fund and force them to loan money, because that is where it all is. I do not believe there has ever been a greater invention placed

before man than that invention called interest, but by the same token, I think that you are going to prove within the next couple of months that those little people, because of that additional \$6 to \$20 a month on a \$5,000 loan, you are going to find out that that little fellow might either lose that car or not be able to purchase it. So I would ask that you really consider what you did a moment ago and vote "no" on this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Maiale, consent to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Maiale, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, the minority leader's interrogation clarified a couple of things in my mind, but I am still confused as to just exactly what the effect of the various amendments has been. Maybe the simplest way for me to understand it is to go through it by class of vehicle and ask you whether the law is going to be changed or not.

On class 1 vehicles, new motor vehicles, your amendment and the bill as it now reads would raise the interest rate ceiling to 18 percent simple interest. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. MAIALE. Annual percentage rate; correct.

Mr. LLOYD. And, Mr. Speaker, on class 2 vehicles, used motor vehicles not more than 2 years old, you would raise the cap on that as well. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. MAIALE. To 18 percent, yes.

Mr. LLOYD. To 18 percent.

Now, Mr. Speaker, is there any change being made in the interest rate to be charged on motor vehicles more than 2 years old?

Mr. MAIALE. Yes. We indicated when we presented the amendment that with used cars 2 years and older, the rate would be 21 percent.

Mr. LLOYD. And how does that compare to the rate that is normally in the market today for those kinds of used cars?

Mr. MAIALE. I understand that the current rate is 21.20 percent, so it is actually slightly lower.

Mr. LLOYD. Now, in a class 4 motor vehicle, which is a car bought for commercial purposes, are you making any—

Mr. MAIALE. None of the other classes are affected.

Mr. LLOYD. So that all of the other classes of vehicles, including big trucks and including motor homes, would continue at the same interest rate ceiling as they have under the present law.

Mr. MAIALE. That is correct.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, first I want to recognize the members of the House of Representatives for one thing on this bill: They are far more responsible than those people in the Senate who sent us over a bill with no ceiling whatsoever. However, Mr. Speaker, when the gentleman from Jefferson tells us that this rate will not be the rate charged by banks and by dealers to finance automobiles in this State, I can certainly

differ with him on that based on history. That seems to be the best teacher for those of us in Pennsylvania who listened to interest rates being raised in other areas. It did not take long for the credit cards to get to 18 percent once it was passed. It was not a mandatory ceiling that they could charge, yet it immediately went to 18 percent, Mr. Speaker. When mortgage rates were abolished, it did not take long for them to establish a ceiling that no one could afford to buy a home.

Mr. Speaker, I am not happy with an 18-percent interest rate in this House; I would have much preferred a lesser figure being charged. By the same token, Mr. Speaker, I believe the members of this House have an obligation, and that obligation is to see that the working people of this State have the utilization of the purchase power of their earnings. What we have done consistently this year and last year is deprive them of that opportunity to use their hard-earned money for goods and services where they could show some tangible evidence of their purchases, but instead they suffer with high interest rates that buy them nothing, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the members of this House to remember what was said here today on the floor about interest rates and the ceilings that would accompany them, and when that rate goes to the ceiling and you are asked again, maybe you will remember that some of us were responsible enough to say that the rate is too high; our people are paying too much; they are not able to buy the vehicles; and since they do not buy the vehicles, our people in the steel industry are out of work; our people in the glass industry are out of work; and our people in the rubber industry are out of work. I hope for our sake that our Federal Government finds a way to reduce their budget, to reduce their expenditures, and to reduce interest rates that affect the purchases here in Pennsylvania, but, Mr. Speaker, today the members of this House have not recognized that obligation to the people. I thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to point out the fact that unrealistic constraints on interest rates obscure the true cost of credit, and I think the true cost of credit should be reflected right out in the open. I can think of no better example than in the case of Arco, who, when they suspended their credit cards and called them in, was immediately able to drop the price of their gasoline. Each and every one of us had been under the illusion in all this time, oh, yeah, well, we are getting the credit card service for free. It did not come free. It is something that you pay for one way or another, and the same is true in any line of credit. If you do not pay for it directly, you will pay for it somewhere in the increased cost of the product. But pay the piper you will, and until we can do something on a national level about interest rates, we must face realities. I would urge an affirmative vote on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Pratt.

Mr. PRATT. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I do not think there is any doubt that the automobile dealers and manufacturers desperately need relief in terms of the sale of new and

used automobiles not only in this State but in this country, but, Mr. Speaker, I think we are taking the wrong route. The only way to sell more automobiles, Mr. Speaker, is to lower the interest rate, not increase it.

Mr. Speaker, the answer to the problem lies in the leadership in Washington and on the State level, and it is on that level that I will work to reduce interest rates, a change in leadership not only in Washington but in Pennsylvania. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—117

Anderson	Freind	Maiale	Saurman
Armstrong	Gallen	Manmiller	Seventy
Arty	Geist	Marmion	Sieminski
Beloff	Gladeck	Merry	Sirianni
Bittle	Gray	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Bowser	Greenwood	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Grieco	Miscevich	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Snyder
Burd	Hagarty	Morris	Spencer
Cessar	Hayes	Mowery	Spitz
Cimini	Heiser	Mullen	Swaim
Civera	Hoeffel	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Honaman	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Itkin	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cordisco	Jackson	Perzel	Telek
Cornell	Johnson	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Kennedy	Petrone	Vroon
Cunningham	Klingaman	Phillips	Wenger
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Lashinger	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lehr	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Letterman	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levi	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levin	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Lewis	Reber	Zwikl
Fleck	McClatchy	Rieger	
Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Rocks	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Rybak	Speaker
Frazier	Madigan	Salvatore	

NAYS—77

Barber	Duffy	Kolter	Serafini
Belardi	Durham	Kukovich	Showers
Belfanti	Evans	Laughlin	Shupnik
Berson	Fee	Livengood	Stairs
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Steighner
Brown	Fryer	Lucyk	Stevens
Burns	Gallagher	Manderino	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gamble	Michlovic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gannon	Mrkonc	Sweet
Cawley	George	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Grabowski	Olasz	Tigue
Cohen	Greenfield	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Gruitza	Pendleton	Wachob
Cole	Haluska	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Harper	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Hasay	Pistella	Wass
DeWeese	Horgos	Pratt	Wiggins
Dawida	Hutchinson, A.	Richardson	Williams, H.
Deal	Irvis	Ritter	Wozniak
Dombrowski			

NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson McIntyre McMonagle

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1363, PN 1772**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), entitled "Steel Products Procurement Act," further defining steel products to include cast iron products.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gray	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Morris	Suban
Cawley	Greenwood	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Cimini	Gruitza	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Gruppo	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Harper	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hayes	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Heiser	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Horgos	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Irviss	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVertter	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Johnson	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Klingaman	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wilson

Deal	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zwikel
Duffy	Letterman	Ritter	
Durham	Levi	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Rybak	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Alden McIntyre Olasz Seventy
Emerson Manderino

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

RESOLUTION ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bittle.

Mr. BITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I offer the following privileged resolution.

The following resolution was read:

In the House of Representatives,

RESOLVED (the Senate concurring), That House Bill No. 163, Printer's No. 3278, entitled "An act providing for a voluntary contribution system to aid in the conservation of certain wild flora and fauna, establishing a special fund and providing for its administration, establishing a procedure for the protection of wild flora, establishing a permit system, imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Resources and imposing penalties," be recalled from the Senate for the purpose of further consideration.

R. Harry Bittle

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

Resolution was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 1406, PN 1860

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 8, 1982.

SENATE MESSAGE

ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate, June 7, 1982

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene to the call of the President Pro Tempore; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, September 13, 1982; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns the week of September 13, 1982 it reconvene to the call of the Speaker.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Mr. HAYES offered the following amendment:

Amend Resolution by striking out the third resolve clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns the week of September 13, 1982, it adjourn to such time or times, including from day to day and from week to week, as shall be decided by the House of Representatives.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that the members on both sides pay some attention to this ordinary parliamentary procedure. We have before us a resolution of adjournment sent by the Senate to the House wherein the Senate has said that it is going to adjourn subject to the call of the Chair, which means to no date certain. Mr. Hayes has offered, as majority leader, an amendment to that, additional words which say that the House will come back on the 13th and it will adjourn at such time or times, including from day to day and week to week, as it shall decide. I am in agreement with Mr. Hayes' amendment to the adjournment resolution, and I am going to ask you to vote in favor of his amendment because it improves it. When we are through with that, then I want to speak to you briefly about the total resolution which will be before us. But I ask that you agree with Mr. Hayes and vote "yes" on his amendment to the resolution at this point.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

Amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the total resolution, not because of the language inserted just now by the House but because in the resolution, if we adopt it the way it now stands—and this is not said to the derogation of the Republican leadership in this House in whose sincerity I truly believe—if we adopt the resolution as it now stands, it will allow the Senate never to return to session this year. The Senate can, if we adopt this resolution, allow us to return as we please on the 13th or 14th, whenever we want to, and never come back in session, which is tantamount to a *sine die* on the part of the Senate.

Now, I do not know how you feel about the Senate—I lost my temper at this microphone, so you know how I feel—but I am a little tired of the other body dictating not only what bills we shall pass and what amendments we shall pass but also when we shall be in session or not and whether or not, if we come in session, it is meaningless, for it will indeed be meaningless for us to come back here in session on the 13th, 14th, 15th, or whatever day and pass whatever legislation we wish if in fact the Senate has surreptitiously agreed not to come back at all. Now, I do not accuse them of that; I do not know that for a fact, but I do know it is possible from a parliamentary point of view for them to do so if we adopt the resolution as it is now worded.

Consequently, Mr. Speaker, we have asked for an amendment. It is not yet before me; it is currently being typed. We have asked for an amendment which would state definitely that the Senate must return at least on September 13, so that if there be such a current plan not to act again as a legislative body this year, we will frustrate it at this point.

Mr. Speaker, because we do not have that amendment in front of us now, I would ask a delay on this vote until we can have the amendment typed and be ready to offer it to this House.

RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. If the gentleman cares to offer an amendment, I have no reason to force a vote at this time. Let us wait until the gentleman has his amendment prepared for House consideration, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the resolution, together with the amendment that has been adopted, be laid upon the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE FOR CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, a few hours ago I moved that SB 1135 with amendments be placed upon the table, and at this time I would like to make a motion that SB 1135, PN 2013, and its amendments be removed from the table and brought before the House for its consideration.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I call an immediate meeting of the Appropriations Committee in room 245.

The SPEAKER. Members of the Appropriations Committee will go immediately to room 245.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I apologize to the Chair. I know we had discussed the need for the Appropriations meeting, but if I could, please, let us take up for immediate consideration SB 1135, PN 2013, before the members of the Appropriations Committee depart for their meeting.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella, rise?

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, will we be having dinner tonight?

The SPEAKER. The Chair observed earlier in the evening the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Pistella, eating more than a fair share of the gentleman, Mr. Clark's Clark bars.

Mr. PISTELLA. A personal privilege, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, Representative Gamble and I had submitted a reconsideration motion on HB 2386. Would that be taken up at a later time during the day's proceedings?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. James Williams, desire recognition?

Mr. J. D. WILLIAMS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on the final passage of SB 1284 I was recorded in the affirmative, and I would like to be recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1135 RESUMED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I hope that all members of the House will look carefully at the provisions of SB 1135, especially that new language—and this is really the thrust of this legislation—that removes any type of cap or any type of legislative mandate with respect to the salaries for the administrative law judges employed by the Public Utility Commission.

We have traditionally, in this particular section of law, established a figure that would represent the salaries for these particular individuals. The thrust of this legislation is to remove completely any type of ceiling and to tell the PUC itself that they shall establish a ceiling for these particular employees, the administrative law judges of that agency. There is no mandate for restraint; there is no limit whatsoever in the bill as it is proposed before us today. In other words, the PUC could choose to compensate these particular individuals more than other judges, other similar administrative law judges in various agencies of the Commonwealth, or even more so than other judges who sit on the various benches of the courts of Pennsylvania. I think that is highly inappropriate; I think it is an abdication of responsibility on the part of this legislature if we fail to address this issue with some specific number. I therefore would urge that we defeat SB 1135.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese, desire recognition?

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I have two amendments that I would like to have a moment about, have a moment with.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman repeat that?

Mr. DeWEESE. I have two amendments, and I would like to chat with my colleagues about them for a moment.

The SPEAKER. Prior to chatting with your colleagues about these amendments, would you like to offer them? That is the usual method.

The Chair, at the request of the minority whip, authorizes the gentleman to chat for a little while.

Mr. DeWEESE. I guess I can direct my comments to final passage. I have in my hand two amendments, and both of these measures have been embraced by the membership on two other occasions. In fact, the gentleman from Blair County, Mr. Hayes, has advocated these ideas. I was about to put into this bill the Consumer Advocate legislation, the legislation that we passed previously that would have lengthened the life of the Consumer Advocate and also that would change the process whereby the Consumer Advocate is funded, where we would have had the opportunity to fund the Consumer Advocate instead of the rather arbitrary way that it is done now with the Appropriations Committee chair people.

Anyway, I was told in some manner by the leadership on the other side of the aisle, and by this side, too, that my maneuver would not work; that this measure would linger and languish indefinitely. I did not want that to happen. I did not want that to happen. We have not had success in the State Senate. Our brothers and sisters across the way have not seen fit to reform the Consumer Advocate funding effort. They have not done that. Richard Thornburgh has not impelled them to do so. The gentleman from Blair County, the Republican leader, has been mild. He has not been ebullient; he has

not been forceful in projecting these measures on the other side of the aisle. So what are we going to do? We are going to go home this summer, and the Consumer Advocate will not be funded any better than it has been in the past.

I concur with the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell, and I suggest that we oppose this measure on final passage, due to the fact that we cannot reform, we cannot move ahead, because the Republicans are being stultifying.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip for further chatter.

Mr. MANDERINO. I would like to chat a little bit, Mr. Speaker. Although I agree with almost everything that Mr. DeWeese says, I have to urge final passage of SB 1135.

The PUC funding has not been completed by the General Assembly. It is my belief that come July 1, there is no money to operate the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission unless this bill passes tonight. There is no budget that has been adopted, and we have to adopt that budget.

I also feel that since we established the administrative law judges in the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, they have done the work that was intended by the General Assembly. It has been a number of years since they have been established. We have not reformed their salary, because it takes a little courage sometimes to reform salaries. We are placing them, by this legislation, in the category of being able to appeal to the executive board, as many other high-echelon employees of the Commonwealth can appeal to the executive board, for compensation adjustments, and I favor that approach in this matter. Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to urge that we pass this bill. I do that with some considerable reluctance, because like the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, I view with some ambivalence removing the statutory cap on the pay of administrative law judges of the Public Utility Commission. However, I think there are other factors which merit passing this bill and getting it over to the Senate and getting it enacted into law.

First, as a practical matter, the commissioners' salary is set by statute, as I understand it, and they are certainly not going to set the salaries of the administrative law judges higher than their own salaries.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker, we have the opportunity to come back at a later point and put a cap on it, and I believe that that would be a desirable thing to do.

Thirdly, Mr. Speaker, and more importantly, I think we have won a significant victory for the consumers of this Commonwealth today in the adoption of the Pievsky amendment. If you think back to last year, Mr. Pievsky tried then to provide a budget for the Public Utility Commission that broke that budget down into separate categories so that the legislature for the first time would have an opportunity to have considerable oversight in PUC matters, and that was defeated primarily on a party-line vote. I think we have made a step forward in that direction.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, if we do not have a PUC budget enacted, there is substantial question under the law whether the PUC can continue to function after July 1.

Furthermore, Mr. Speaker, the 9-percent overall increase in the PUC budget which we got into this bill is broken down, as I understand it, in a way which will put the dollars where they will do the most good for the consumers - about a 13-percent increase in the ratemaking area of the PUC budget; about an 8-percent increase in the consumer affairs section; and only about a 5- to 5 1/2-percent increase in administrative costs.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I share with probably more frustration the dissatisfaction of Mr. DeWeese that we have not been able to get adequate funding for the Office of Consumer Advocate. I think it is unfortunate that this has been the only vehicle that we have had available to us, but since we have been advised by the majority leader that this bill would not be brought off the table if Mr. DeWeese's amendments were offered and, therefore, we would be left with the PUC with no budget and the utility companies free to run roughshod as they please, and because of the improvements which we have made in the Pievsky amendment, I think we have to pass this bill, and I urge that we do so.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just for my own general information, perhaps somebody can tell me how much in the way of wages these administrative law judges make at the present time.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, in response to the gentleman's question, the current law provides for a range of \$25,000 to \$35,000. The bill as originally introduced provided for \$42,500, but that was stricken so that no specific number would appear in the bill.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. May I say this in a personal way, Mr. Speaker? This is how the trouble started in the Mideast, by not being recognized.

The SPEAKER. The Chair would suggest the gentleman might chat with our local representative of the Marine Corps, Mr. DeWeese.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, and apologizes to the gentleman for failing to recognize him.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the majority leader?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, as you are aware, I sent up to Legislative Reference more than 4 hours ago for an amendment to this bill. Would you guess, sir, that it is possible that they sent it out or back down to me with one of the members of the Public Utility Commission, because I have not got it? Can you explain, sir, why it takes so long to get these amendments down?

Mr. HAYES. I really do not know.

Mr. GEORGE. Do you feel, sir, that we will indeed be in session again before the end of the year?

Mr. HAYES. Certainly.

Mr. GEORGE. Do you honestly believe, sir, that there will be a vehicle that I could possibly put an amendment in that I think is much needed?

Mr. HAYES. You are as good as anyone I know in finding vehicles.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a statement?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I do not want to delay this bill. I would like to delay the Public Utility Commission in its entirety, but the amendment I have that I intend to propose, if we come back and there is a vehicle, would be simply to make those utilities pay the bill, not to award an entitlement or a raise and then charge the ratepayers.

The utility that services me and my constituents, I just saw a pamphlet go out to the PUC, and it explained to those gentlemen and ladies at the PUC that there was 1 percent more kilowatts sold in the 2 months of the year to date, and yet there was \$136 million more that came in as revenue. I fully believe it is about time that if they are going to do their own auditing and they are going to do their own rate requesting, we should make them pay for this.

Mr. Speaker, again, it will not do any good not to allow those people who do work over there not to be paid, so I urge that we vote for this bill, but I ask at this time that we all apply ourselves, because we have gone too far in not being able to mandate as the legislature insisted that the PUC do the work of the legislature in containing rates. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Frazier	McIntyre	Showers
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Shupnik
Barber	Fryer	McVerry	Sieminski
Belardi	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sirianni
Belfanti	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, B.
Beloff	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Berson	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Geist	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	George	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Haluska	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Heiser	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach

Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Diniani	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wilson
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Durham	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Levi	Ritter	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Levin	Rocks	Zwikel
Fischer	Lewis	Rybak	
Fleck	Livengood	Salvatore	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Lloyd	Saurman	Speaker

NAYS—21

Blaum	Dawida	Lucyk	Pitts
Brown	Dietz	Miscevich	Pratt
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonie	Seventy
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Tigue
Cowell	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
DeWeese			

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Duffy	Emerson
-------	-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I move that the concurrent resolution sent over from the Senate with respect to adjournment be removed from the table and put on the active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate as amended?

Mr. IRVIS offered the following amendment:

Amend Resolution by striking out all of lines 1 through 7 (entire Resolution) and inserting:

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), that when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvenes on Monday September 13, 1982, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvenes on Monday September 13, 1982, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would in fact substitute the words which you have just heard read to you. Ordinarily, adjournment motions are pro forma. This is not. The words which I urge you to adopt would bring the Senate back on September 13 and allow the Senate to leave if it wished to. That would be its business. It would bring the House back on September 13 and allow the House to leave, and that would be its business.

I repeat, the reason I am doing this is not a challenge to the Republican leadership in this House, but I am fearful—and I think you should pay some attention to this—that we may see the Senate go home and not come back, and therefore, anything which we attempt to do would be futile. Therefore, we might be stymied and not be here, and therefore, some of us would be subject to attack that we did not attend to the business of the legislature and of the Commonwealth. I do not want to be subjected to that kind of attack. If the Senate so chooses, let the Senate beware. I do not want to see us subjected to that type of attack. This is a clean-cut, simple resolution of the problem, and I ask you to support it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First, let me say that I do not think that there is any knowledgeable person who understands the workings of this legislature who could honestly say this House of Representatives has not in fact worked very hard and diligently during this 1981-82 session of the General Assembly, and I am very proud and also very appreciative of the fact that I can stand here as one of your colleagues today and look back for just a second and commend you for such a good job this particular session of the General Assembly.

I do not think there is any doubt that the esteem of this House of Representatives has gone up a great deal over the last several months, and be we Republican or be we Democrat, we can all share in that acclaim.

Now, with regard to this particular resolution that has been sent to us by the Senate and has already been amended by this House of Representatives, please listen very carefully.

I do not know myself what the Senate of Pennsylvania intends to do with regard to its future legislative activity in 1982. I do not know that. The gentleman, Mr. Irvis, has suggested that if we adjourn and allow them to adjourn in a way that they are subject to the call of their Chair, they are going to go sine die. Listen carefully. If they intend to do that, if that is really their intention, they can do that. Whether we pass the resolution as it is presently before us or whether we even amend this resolution and send it back to them, they can recess today and not come back, because the Constitution of this Commonwealth states that at midnight 30 November, this session of the General Assembly dies and is without life. They could recess tonight or tomorrow, or whenever, and leave, to never return.

So it really is not necessary for us to put more restrictive language in the resolution. They can just leave anyway. If

they have the votes to have passed the type of resolution they have already passed, they also have the votes to recess, and they can stay recessed all through the summer and all through the fall, and the clock on the wall, come midnight November 30, will adjourn them in the most final way, without any further action being necessary on the part of the Senate of Pennsylvania.

So I respectfully suggest that we, this House of Representatives, tend to our own business, say to ourselves and the people of Pennsylvania, we have had a good session and we shall return on September 13 to continue that good session. But let us not conjure up too many goblins ourselves and believe ourselves that if that Senate intends to go sine die, somehow we can stop them. Maybe we think we can frustrate them, but they can recess tonight and never come back. So I respectfully suggest that the resolution, as amended by my amendment a few moments ago, is about as much as we can guarantee. We will come back and we will work and continue to set a good record in 1981-82.

I respectfully oppose the gentleman's amendment to the resolution. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—95

Barber	Fryer	McIntyre	Rocks
Belfanti	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rybak
Beloff	Gamble	Maiale	Seventy
Berson	George	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Gray	Michlovic	Shupnik
Brown	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Mullen	Swaim
Clark	Hoefel	Murphy	Sweet
Cohen	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Irvis	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Itkin	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Kolter	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Kowalyszyn	Petrone	Wambach
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wargo
Dawida	Laughlin	Pistella	Wiggins
Deal	Lescovitz	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Levin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Duffy	Livengood	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Lloyd	Rieger	Zwikel
Fee	Lucyk	Ritter	

NAYS—100

Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Sieminski
Armstrong	Fleck	McVerry	Sirianni
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Frazier	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Freind	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gallen	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gannon	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Geist	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Grieco	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hagarty	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Hasay	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hayes	Phillips	Wass

Coslett	Heiser	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Honaman	Pitts	Weston
DeVerter	Jackson	Pott	Wilson
Daikeler	Johnson	Punt	Wogan
Davies	Kennedy	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Klingaman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lashingner	Salvatore	
Dorr	Lehr	Saurman	Ryan,
Durham	Levi	Serafini	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NOT VOTING—3

Alden	Emerson	Grabowski
-------	---------	-----------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate as amended?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—100

Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Sieminski
Armstrong	Fleck	McVerry	Sirianni
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Frazier	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Freind	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gallen	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gannon	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Geist	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Grieco	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hagarty	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Hasay	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hayes	Phillips	Wass
Coslett	Heiser	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Honaman	Pitts	Weston
DeVerter	Jackson	Pott	Wilson
Daikeler	Johnson	Punt	Wogan
Davies	Kennedy	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Klingaman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lashingner	Salvatore	
Dorr	Lehr	Saurman	Ryan,
Durham	Levi	Serafini	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—96

Barber	Fryer	Lucyk	Ritter
Belfanti	Gallagher	McIntyre	Rocks
Beloff	Gamble	McMonagle	Rybak
Berson	George	Maiale	Seventy
Blaum	Grabowski	Manderino	Showers
Brown	Gray	Michlovic	Shupnik
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Stuban
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Swaim
Cohen	Hoeffel	Murphy	Sweet
Colafella	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Olaz	Tigue
Cordisco	Iris	Oliver	Trello
Cowell	Itkin	Pendleton	Van Horne
DeMedio	Kolter	Petrarca	Wachob
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wambach
Dawida	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wargo
Deal	Laughlin	Pistella	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Pratt	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.

Duffy	Levin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Evans	Livengood	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Fee	Lloyd	Rieger	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution as amended was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The chairman of the Appropriations Committee, Mr. McClatchy, requests that the members of the Appropriations Committee retire immediately to room 245 for a short meeting of that committee.

The House will be at ease for a period of 5 minutes. The Chair advises the members that there are eight bills left to be considered this evening before we break.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the Report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on **SB 1, PN 2023**.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. HAYES called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **SB 1, PN 2023**, entitled:

An Act providing for independent oversight and review of regulations, creating an Independent Regulatory Review Commission, providing for its powers and duties, making repeals and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the report of the committee of conference?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—185

Anderson	Fischer	Livengood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz

Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Cawley	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cessar	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cimini	Grieco	Morris	Struban
Civera	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Clark	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swift
Clymer	Hagarty	Mullen	Taddonio
Cochran	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Cordisco	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cornell	Heiser	Olasz	Trello
Coslett	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cunningham	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
DeMedio	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
DeVerter	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeWeese	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Daikeler	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Durham	Letterman	Richardson	
Evans	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Fee	Lewis	Rybak	

NAYS—7

Cappabianca	Hoeffel	Oliver	Sweet
Cole	Lucyk	Ritter	

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Emerson	Williams, H.	Williams, J. D.
Burd	Rasco		

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the committee of conference was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski, rise?

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Mr. Speaker, on SB 1 I inadvertently voted in the affirmative. I would like the record to show I would like to vote in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD
CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

•The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 48, PN 2005**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "Second Class County Code," abolishing the office of elected coroner and creating the position of county medical examiner in counties of the second class.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mrs. HEISER offered the following amendment No. A8287:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec.1231.1), page 7, line 12, by striking out "A" and inserting

Following a

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is really an amendment just to add a word which inadvertently was missed in inserting this particular legislation into SB 48. It is a technical amendment, and I would urge everybody to support it, please.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like to make a motion to recommit SB 48 to the Urban Affairs Committee for more study.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, who moves that SB 48, PN 2005, be recommitted to the Committee on Urban Affairs.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There is no reason why the House of Representatives cannot consider this particular piece of legislation. In the main we have addressed this question before. It is not something foreign to this body, and I respectfully suggest that we take up the amendments which are being brought to the floor tonight and vote the bill finally. I oppose the gentleman's motion to recommit. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DUFFY. I would like to make a statement, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. My reasons for this are that SB 48 refers only to Allegheny County, and although many people take this bill lightly, I think it is a very important bill. It removes the elected coroner's office, elected. I just want people to remember that. This coroner's office is elected for 4 years, and it replaces the coroner's office with a medical examiner whose term of office will be 10 years and who will be appointed by the county commissioners. Imagine, at this stage of the game we are going to remove another elected office from the electoral process. In the near future we will not have any people whom we are electing because everybody will be appointed, possibly even the legislators and Senators.

Now, the voters of Allegheny County are now beginning to rise against this bill—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, to recommit this bill. It is not necessary to discuss the merits of the bill.

The gentleman may proceed, not on the merits of the bill.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The voters of Allegheny County are now beginning to rise against this bill. There are many who oppose it - the AFL-CIO—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The gentleman is restricted to debating the motion that he placed to recommit the bill. To discuss what the voters want or what the labor union wants out there is not relevant to the gentleman's own motion.

Mr. DUFFY. I believe it needs further study, Mr. Speaker, and also, I think we should keep people elected in public office. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida, on the question of recommitment.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, I would urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this recommitment. This particular bill has extreme political ramifications, because whenever you take an elected officer and make him an appointed official, there are extreme difficulties, I believe, in keeping that office in tenor with the needs of the public. A number of groups have recognized that that—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The Chair apologizes to this gentleman also for interrupting him. The rules of the House restrict the debate to the question before the House. That question at this time is one of recommitment. If we are to get out of here tonight, then the Chair is going to have to continually remind the members as to the nature of their debate.

The gentleman may continue on the question of recommitment.

Mr. DAWIDA. My apologies to the Speaker. It was not my idea, however, to bring this bill up at this time and place.

However, I believe that the Urban Affairs Committee, which considered this bill through an amendment process with very little time to digest the bill, would benefit from the fact that a number of people have given us new light as to why this bill should be opposed. The fact that the AFL-CIO and the NAACP (National Association for the Advancement of Colored People) are opposed to it is not relevant, except that they have brought to light new information—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

Nor is it relevant to the question before the House.

Mr. DAWIDA. Again my apologies.

I ask for a "yes" vote on recommitment. Think about the fact that another elected office—elected office—is being suggested to be taken away from the voters. I think that is an improper avenue. I think that this bill should be recommitment because we should not take away elected offices. The people have a right to speak. The people have a right to make decisions. In this case we will actually be making this a more politicized office by giving it to the county commissioners.

There is divided opinion among the people—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The Chair for the last time cautions the gentleman to restrict his remarks to the question of recommitment.

Mr. DAWIDA. Okay. I think I have, but that is enough.

I hope you will vote "yes" and consider recommitting a bill that needs further study and not having another office taken away from the electorate. Thank you.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. A point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. DAVIES. Was the intent of the remarks by the Chair to say that we were getting out tonight a matter of just for today or for the week or—

The SPEAKER. Or for the night.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the recommitment motion. I would just like to remind the House that what is embodied in SB 48 is exactly what this House passed last October 21 after extensive debate by a vote of over 2 to 1. I would suggest that if those who oppose the bill can have their day tonight in offering amendments and trying to correct the bill and if they feel that this is not what they want, they can vote "no" and let the will of the House prevail.

Mr. Speaker, this bill has passed the House of Representatives. It is in exactly the same form that it has passed the House. It has been substantially debated, and I would hope that this House would oppose recommitment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to oppose the motion for recommitment. As has been stated previously by other speakers, we have debated this bill; we have talked about it; it has been in committee; we have held public hearings on it, and I think its time has come.

In Allegheny County—in a county such as we have, in an urbanized area—we ought not to be electing plumbers and electricians and barbers to be coroners.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The question before the House is the question of recommitment. The gentleman should restrict his remarks to that.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again I ask all members on this side of the aisle to join us in voting against recommitment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. I have to respect the voters of Allegheny County. For the last four terms they have put forth good candidates and they have elected a forensic pathologist to this post. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman slipped in a quick commercial.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—94

Barber	Evans	McMonagie	Rybak
Belardi	Fee	Maiale	Serafini
Belfanti	Fryer	Michlovic	Seventy
Beloff	Gallagher	Miscevich	Showers
Berson	Gamble	Mrkoncic	Shupnik
Blaum	George	Mullen	Steighner
Brown	Grabowski	Murphy	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gray	O'Donnell	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Olasz	Swaim
Cawley	Haluska	Oliver	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Petrarca	Telek
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Tigue
Colafella	Kolter	Pievsky	Trello
Cole	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Van Horne
Cordisco	Kukovich	Pratt	Wachob
Cowell	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wambach
DeMedio	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wargo
DeWeese	Letterman	Reber	Wiggins
Dawida	Levin	Richardson	Williams, H.
Deal	Livengood	Rieger	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Lloyd	Ritter	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rocks	Zwinkl
Duffy	McIntyre		

NAYS—100

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Sieminski
Arty	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Bittle	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Manderino	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Marmion	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Merry	Stairs
Cessar	Grieco	Micozzie	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Miller	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Moeblmann	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Hayes	Mowery	Vroon
Coslett	Heiser	Nahill	Wass
Cunningham	Honaman	Noye	Wenger
DeVerter	Horgos	Perzel	Weston
Daikeler	Irviss	Peterson	Wilson
Davies	Itkin	Phillips	Wogan
Dietz	Jackson	Piccola	Wozniak
Dininni	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kennedy	Pott	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Klingaman	Punt	
Fargo	Lashinger	Rasco	Ryan,
Fischer	Lehr	Salvatore	Speaker
Fleck	Levi		

NOT VOTING—4

Alden	Emerson	Greenfield	Smith, E. H.
-------	---------	------------	--------------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. Mr. Speaker, the first amendment that I am offering is an amendment that basically makes an editorial change. When HB 1304 was inserted into SB 48, inadvertently we took the third printing where the word "following" was omitted. It is a technical change. I would ask for your support for this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Anderson	Fargo	Livengood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Fischer	Lucyk	Serafini
Barber	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McMonagie	Showers
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Burd	George	Michlovic	Spitz
Burns	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gray	Moeblmann	Stevens
Cawley	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Civera	Gruitza	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Gruppo	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Harper	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Hasay	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Hayes	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Heiser	Pendleton	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Wambach
Coslett	Horgos	Peterson	Wargo
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wenger
DeMedio	Jackson	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Johnson	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pitts	Williams, H.
Davies	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Levin	Rybak	Speaker
Evans	Lewis		

NAYS—9

Blaum	Petrone	Rocks	Telek
Fryer	Piccola	Sweet	Wachob
Hoeffel			

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Hutchinson, A.	McIntyre	Miscevich
Emerson			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. HEISER offered the following amendments No. A8404:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 6, line 3, by striking out "FIVE" and inserting

six

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 6, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

(vi) One member shall be the president judge of the court of common pleas of the county. This member shall be the chairman of the selection committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. This amendment that I offer adds one additional member to the screening committee for the selection of three candidates for this office to be presented to the county commissioners. I am suggesting that we add one additional member who shall be the president judge of the court of common pleas of the county. I am offering that this member shall be the chairman of the selection committee. I would ask for support of this amendment, because I do feel that by adding a judge to the other five members, it will give us a better balance of individuals selecting and also evaluating the credentials of the various people who may desire this position.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Horgos.

Mr. HORGOS. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate Mrs. Heiser?

The SPEAKER. The lady, Mrs. Heiser, indicates she will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. HORGOS. Have you questioned or sought approval from any of the judges concerning this move, in particular the president judge?

Mrs. HEISER. No.

Mr. HORGOS. Mr. Speaker, I would like at this particular point in time to mention that I have been in contact, not only for this particular amendment but other amendments relating to placing the president judge and/or any of the judges in Allegheny County on the particular selection committee of the medical examiner. Specifically, the judges have conveyed to me their very strong feelings that they believe in a separation of the judicial, legislative, and executive branches, and as they considered this bill, whether there is an elected medical examiner or there is an appointed medical examiner, they want to be separate and apart from any consideration of who that medical examiner is to be. So with that, I would say that I would want each and every amendment, not only her amendment but for the brevity of time any amendment making ref-

erence to placing judges in the selection process, to be soundly defeated. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, while I disagree with the philosophical intent of this bill, I must say that I think Mrs. Heiser has a good idea here. I suggest we vote "yes" on her amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to reiterate what Representative Horgos has stated. I have had letters and communications with the president judge, not relative to this issue, but this issue does reflect on the general philosophy enunciated by Judge O'Malley of the court of common pleas in Allegheny County; that is, he does not wish to see the court placed in the position of having to make an appointment to an executive office and then being subject to a court case in which that appointee has to come before the court and try to defend his position. Consequently, he considers that this could be conceived as a conflict of interest and would prefer to keep the court out of the appointment of the medical examiner. Therefore, I would suggest a negative vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Anderson	Fargo	Lewis	Serafini
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Sieminski
Arty	Fleck	McVerry	Sirianni
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Foster, Jr., A.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Frazier	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Freind	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gallen	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Gannon	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Geist	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Gladeck	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Greenwood	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Grieco	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Gruppo	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Hagarty	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Hasay	Peterson	Wass
Coslett	Hayes	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Heiser	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Honaman	Pievsy	Wilson
Daikeler	Jackson	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Johnson	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Dawida	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dietz	Klingaman	Rasco	
Dininni	Lashingier	Reber	Ryan,
Dorr	Lehr	Salvatore	Speaker
Durham	Levi	Saurman	

NAYS—93

Barber	Gallagher	Lucyk	Rocks
Belfanti	Gamble	McIntyre	Rybak
Beloff	George	McMonagle	Seventy
Berson	Grabowski	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Gray	Manderino	Shupnik
Brown	Greenfield	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Clark	Hoeffel	Mullen	Sweet

Cohen	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafrella	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Irviss	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Itkin	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Kolter	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wambach
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pistella	Wargo
Deal	Laughlin	Pratt	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Wozniak
Evans	Livengood	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Fee	Lloyd	Ritter	Zwilk
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—4

Alden Emerson Mackowski Snyder

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DAWIDA offered the following amendments No. A8200:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 8, line 21, by inserting after "EXAMINER.—(A)"

The office of the county medical examiner shall include a Department of Toxicology, a Department of Postmortem Investigations, a Department of Pathology, a Department of Photography, a Medical Records Department and such other departments as are necessary to fulfill the medical legal investigations of the office.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 8, line 22, by inserting before "THE"

(b)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 8, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(c) The county medical examiner will appoint a Chief Toxicologist to be in charge of the Department of Toxicology. The Department of Toxicology will perform all necessary chemical tests required to complete a medical legal investigation.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 8, line 29, by striking out "(B)" and inserting

(d)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 9, line 5, by striking out "(C)" and inserting

(e)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 9, line 11, by striking out "(D)" and inserting

(f)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 9, line 16, by striking out "(E)" and inserting

(g)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 9, line 19, by striking out "(E)" and inserting

(h)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 10, line 1, by striking out "(G)" and inserting

(i)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 10, line 8, by striking out "(H)" and inserting

(j)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 10, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

(k) The county medical examiner will provide an annual report of the activities of the office which will include, among other items, the number of cases investigated and the type and nature of each case. The report shall be submitted to the county commissioners on or before May 1 after the reporting year.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1232.1), page 10, line 11, by striking out "(I)" and inserting

(l)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, for brevity I will not be offering amendments 8181 and 8197. I will be dropping those amendments.

As to amendment 8200, all this amendment does is create a department of toxicology and makes this consistent with what every other medical examiner system in the Nation does. I would urge a "yes" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. This particular amendment is an agreed-to amendment. I would urge you to support this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Brown	George	Merry	Spitz
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Greenwood	Mochlmann	Suban
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cimini	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Hagarty	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Harper	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafrella	Hasay	O'Donnell	Telek
Coie	Hayes	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Heiser	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Hoeffel	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Irviss	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak

Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Rocks	
Fargo	Lewis	Rybak	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—6

Blaum	Horgos	Olasz	Ritter
Clark	Mrkonic		

NOT VOTING—2

Alden	Emerson
-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DAWIDA offered the following amendments No. A8204:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1236.1), page 12, line 28, by striking out "AND"

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1236.1), page 12, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

(11) if the cause of death is established beyond a reasonable doubt, the county medical examiner shall so report and file in his office within thirty days after his notification of the death;

(12) a detailed description of the findings written during the progress of the autopsy and the conclusions drawn from the findings shall be filed in the office of the county medical examiner; and

(13) an autopsy of a firefighter who dies in the line of duty shall include a toxicological analysis for toxic fumes.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1239.1), page 14, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

(e) It shall be the duty of the county medical examiner and the deputy medical examiners to keep full and complete records in their respective offices, properly indexed, giving the name, if known, of every such person, the place where the body was found, date and cause of death and all other available information relating thereto. The original report of the county medical examiner, assistant medical examiners and the detailed findings of the autopsy, if any, shall be attached to the record of each case. The county medical examiner, or an assistant medical examiner, shall promptly deliver to the district attorney's office of Allegheny County copies of all records relating to every death in which, in the judgment of such medical examiner, further investigation may be deemed necessary. The district attorney's office of Allegheny County may obtain from the office of the county medical examiner, or of the deputy medical examiners, as the case may be, copies of such records or other information which he may deem necessary. The records of the office of the county medical examiner, or assistant medical examiners, made by themselves or anyone under their direction or supervision, or transcripts thereof certified by such medical examiner, shall be received as competent evidence in any court in this State of the matters and facts therein contained. A reasonable fee shall be charged for filing insurance blanks. The records which shall be admissible as evidence under this section shall be records of the results of views and examinations or autopsies upon the bodies of deceased persons by such medical examiner, or by anyone under his direct supervision or control, and shall not include statements made by witnesses or other persons.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. This amendment again is consistent with the medical practice in counties that have medical examiners throughout the Nation. It is a highly technical amendment, but basically we want to make sure that the medical examiner system, should it come into existence, does certain things which we believe are necessary, which most medical examiner systems believe are necessary, for a well-run professional office. I would urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. I would urge a "no" vote on this amendment, primarily because this amendment really details just the recordkeeping and the paper flow in this particular department of medical examiner. I do believe it is addressing the administrative operation of the department, and really, a piece of legislation does not have to have this type of detail within the legislation.

Also, if you look at the first section of the amendment, (11) and (12) and (13), I think it is a very poor amendment in the sense that it mixes the reporting procedures with the list prior that is in the bill, the listing of causes of death that might require investigation. I would urge defeat of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pott.

Mr. POTT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Dawida, consent to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Pott, may proceed.

Mr. POTT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You indicated in your opening remarks, Mr. Speaker, that the content of your amendment was the job description of medical examiners in other parts of our country. Specifically, what State legislation includes this language in other States?

Mr. DAWIDA. I did not say State legislation included; I said this is the practice, accepted practice, of medical examiners throughout the country, more particularly in Los Angeles, which is one State that has a very similar type of medical examiner system to what we are proposing here. In that case it was not necessary to put it in legislation. However, I think it is necessary to put in legislation for the simple matter that we are not sure what we are doing here. It is a new idea for Allegheny County, and I want to make sure it is done right.

Mr. POTT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Am I in order to comment on the bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. POTT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman has said that this is not in the language of Quincy or Noguchi. It is regulation. Why should we establish something in State law that should be regulated at the county level? We certainly do not need this type of language, administrative procedures, in State law. Los Angeles, the most

famous medical examiner system, where Dr. Thomas Noguchi practices, does not have this in State law. Philadelphia is not in the State law, in the law authorizing a medical examiner for the city of Philadelphia. I do not see why we need it for Allegheny County. It is purely an administrative matter. I urge the defeat of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida, for the second time.

Mr. DAWIDA. The gentleman, Mr. Pott, of course realizes that neither Philadelphia nor Los Angeles are States. However, what we are trying to do here in this amendment would negate the kinds of problems they have had in Los Angeles with Mr. Noguchi, who has had a great deal of problems in the practice of the medical examiner system there.

I think it is an appropriate thing for the House of Representatives to say there are certain bottom-line prerogatives that are necessary for the running of an office. All too often we have not done enough in letting them know how we feel about things, and then our constituency comes back and says, why did you let the bureaucracy do this or why did you let the bureaucracy do that? Well, in this case there are some very simple things dealing with firefighters and autopsies that I think are necessary, highly technical but very necessary to say this is the bottom line of competency of professionalism that we expect of you. Because of that I felt it necessary to put it in amendment form. It will not harm the intent or philosophy of the bill. I think it is a good idea, and we should vote "yes" on it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Dawida amendment. I feel that the change from a county coroner system to a medical examiner system requires some direction for the office of medical examiner. The particular procedures to be followed by the medical examiner are based on good sound medical examiner administrative procedure, and I see nothing wrong with having it spelled out in a statute that creates the office of medical examiner in Allegheny County. Consequently, I would urge the House to support the Dawida amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—93

Arty	Fee	Lloyd	Rocks
Barber	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Belardi	Fryer	McMonagle	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Berson	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Shupnik
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Clark	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Irviss	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Kolter	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Wargo

DeWeese	Kukovich	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Laughlin	Pratt	Williams, H.
Deal	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rappaport	Wozniak
Donatucci	Levin	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Livengood	Rieger	Zwilk
Evans			

NAYS—98

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Sieminski
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bittle	Frazier	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bowser	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Gladeck	Miller	Spitz
Cessar	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Grieco	Mowery	Stevens
Civera	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Clymer	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Cornell	Hayes	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Heiser	Peterson	Telek
Cunningham	Honaman	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Horgos	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Jackson	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kennedy	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Klingaman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lashinger	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Lehr	Ritter	
Fargo	Levi	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fleck	Lewis	Saurman	Speaker

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Emerson	McIntyre	Vroon
Beloff	Greenfield	McVerry	

EXCUSED—I

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DUFFY offered the following amendments No. A8319:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 6, line 3, by striking out "FIVE" and inserting

seven

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 6, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

(vi) One member shall be a clergyman of a denomination located within the county.

(vii) One member shall be a registered voter-at-large of the county.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 7, line 16, by striking out "TEN" and inserting

four

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 7, line 22, by striking out "TENTH" and inserting

fourth

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 8, line 4, by striking out "TEN" and inserting

four

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 8, line 7, by inserting after "MEDICINE,"

nor appear as an expert witness for profit,

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Now, this amendment here has two provisions in it - one of them that the medical examiner—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

Is the gentleman referring to amendment A8318 or A8319?

Mr. DUFFY. I would like to run 8319.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, and the lady, Mrs. Heiser, come to the rostrum?

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENTS DIVIDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy, who asks that amendment A8319 be divided. The effect of the division would be to delete on line 8 Roman numeral (vii), which reads, "One member shall be a registered voter-at-large of the county." The Chair divides the amendment.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, who withdraws from consideration Roman numeral (vii) on the eighth line of the amendment, leaving to be voted upon the balance of the amendment. Is that correct, Mr. Duffy?

Mr. DUFFY. That is correct.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Now, this board has just increased to six with the addition of a judge, and what I would like to do is increase it with one more person, and that person would be a clergyman, somebody who lives within the confines of Allegheny County. Also what I would like to do is cut the term from 10 years to 4 years. And again, the person who goes into this office will not be able to appear as an expert witness in a court case for profit for himself.

I would like this amendment to be considered, and I would like your vote to put it into this bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

POINT OF ORDER

Mrs. HEISER. A point of order.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser. What is the lady's point of order?

Mrs. HEISER. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker; I was busy chatting with somebody here.

The SPEAKER. A lady's prerogative.

Mrs. HEISER. Would you clarify which portion of the amendment was deleted?

The SPEAKER. The portion which begins with the Roman numeral (vii).

Mrs. HEISER. Everything below that?

The SPEAKER. No; just that.

Mrs. HEISER. Just "One member shall be a registered voter-at-large...." Is that the portion?

The SPEAKER. That is the only deletion. That has been withdrawn by the gentleman, Mr. Duffy.

Mrs. HEISER. I see.

May I interrogate Mr. Duffy?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Duffy, indicates he will stand for interrogation.

Mrs. HEISER. Mr. Speaker, could you tell me what rationale you used in including a member of the clergy as part of this selection committee?

Mr. DUFFY. Well, it looks to me like you are top-heavy in the law enforcement and legal field and the medical field. You have three persons from each of those groups. I think it is about time we have somebody who looks at the problems from just an ordinary person's viewpoint.

Mrs. HEISER. The five or the six people we have included on this selection board all, I feel, have some way of judging the credentials of an individual applying for this position. Could you possibly tell me in which way, other than just the average person— Are you saying that this clergyman may, during a personal interview, either like a person or dislike them, as an average voter or citizen might?

Mr. DUFFY. Well, I would say a clergyman has put many, many long years into going to school and also into serving his parish or church, and I would say he is pretty well versed and possibly better versed than some of the people you have on this committee.

Mrs. HEISER. Do you think the clergyman would be capable in judging the credentials this individual may carry that will help him perform the duties properly?

Mr. DUFFY. Do you have a police chief recommended there?

Mrs. HEISER. Yes, but may I ask you, is a police chief in any way involved in an investigation or in any way with the medical examiner's office?

Mr. DUFFY. I do not think a medical examiner's office or a coroner's office is just conducting investigations. I think they are there to serve the public. If a person has a problem, say with an automobile wreck, I think he should have somebody there who can allay their fears and possibly help them over the rough spots.

Mrs. HEISER. May I ask you, do you think the medical examiner also is involved in any issues of public health?

Mr. DUFFY. I would say over a long period of time, yes.

Mrs. HEISER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I make a statement?

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order.

Mrs. HEISER. I would urge defeat of this amendment, primarily because we already have included the sixth individual on this screening committee. I would say that six individuals is an adequate number; adding a seventh— And perhaps somebody else will come up with an additional idea.

I think we have included people who can really evaluate the individuals who will be applying for this job, because these people come with expertise as to what the office of a medical examiner does, the functions, the responsibilities of this office, and I think they will have a very definite idea of how to screen these individuals. I would ask for the defeat of this amendment.

Mr. DUFFY. Mr. Speaker, may I say a word?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, for the third time on the question.

Mr. DUFFY. I would like to ask Mrs. Heiser, if she has three people for and three people against a candidate for this office, how would she settle the dispute?

Mrs. HEISER. It would have to be by a vote of 4 to 2.

Mr. DUFFY. What if you cannot get a 4-to-2 vote? Is it not better to have 4 and 3?

Mrs. HEISER. Then you look for new candidates.

Mr. Speaker, just one other item. We would actually be having the term of appointment for this medical examiner reduced to 4 years, in preference to the 10 that is within the legislation. Now, there was a specific reason why we selected the 10 years, and that was so that we did not get involved in the usual 4-year election of county commissioners, and when new ones may be elected, at their whim they could find they could dismiss this individual and put in their man, so to speak. I think particularly that section of the amendment changes substantially the legislation and will make it more political. I would again urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, a question of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. ITKIN. I would like to know from the Speaker how this amendment was divided.

The SPEAKER. The amendment was divided so that Roman numeral (vii) was divided away from the balance of the amendment, and that portion was withdrawn by the gentleman, Mr. Duffy. The balance of the amendment stays intact.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that for an amendment to be divisible, each part of the amendment must stand by itself. Could you apprise the House how deleting two lines with no preamble to amend makes that a legitimate division?

The SPEAKER. The Chair advises the gentleman that the reasoning behind the division was to accommodate the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, in that the bill provided for less spots on this selection committee than this amendment would have created. It was done as an accommodation.

Mr. ITKIN. Okay. So it is sort of a bending of the rules, in other words.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker never bends the rules, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments as divided?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Rocks
Barber	Fee	McIntyre	Rybak
Belardi	Fryer	McMonagle	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Berson	George	Michlovic	Shupnik
Blaum	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Brown	Gray	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Clark	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Olasz	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cole	Irvic	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cordisco	Kolter	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Wambach
Cowell	Kukovich	Pistella	Wargo
DeMedio	Laughlin	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dawida	Letterman	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Levin	Richardson	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Livengood	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lloyd	Ritter	Zwikl
Duffy			

NAYS—95

Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Sieminski
Arty	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Bittle	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Cessar	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Cimini	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Civera	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Cornell	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Heiser	Perzel	Vroon
DeVerter	Honaman	Peterson	Wass
Dalkeler	Itkin	Phillips	Wenger
Davies	Jackson	Piccola	Weston
Dietz	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
Diminni	Kennedy	Pott	Wogan
Dorr	Klingaman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Lashinger	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Lehr	Reber	
Fischer	Levi	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fleck	Lewis	Saurman	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

NOT VOTING—2

Alden Emerson

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments as divided were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A8404 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Horgos, who moves that the vote by which

amendment A8404 to SB 48 was passed on this 8th day of June 1982 be reconsidered, the motion being seconded by the gentleman, Mr. Pott.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Armstrong	Fischer	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McVerry	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	Maiale	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Marmion	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Merry	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Snyder
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Spencer
Burd	Gray	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Morris	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Stevens
Cawley	Gruppo	Mullen	Stewart
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Swaim
Civera	Harper	Noye	Sweet
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Taddonio
Cochran	Heiser	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cordisco	Horgos	Peterson	Tigue
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Irvic	Petrone	Van Horne
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Zwikl
Durham	Livengood	Ritter	
Evans	Lloyd	Rocks	Ryan,
Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	McClatchy		

NAYS—3

Frazier	Klingaman	Vroon
---------	-----------	-------

NOT VOTING—11

Alden	Davies	Greenfield	Wambach
Anderson	Emerson	Lewis	Williams, H.
Colafella	George	McIntyre	

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

MOTION TO ADJOURN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, I do not know what the schedule is for the rest of the week. We have been here since 10 o'clock this morning; we started early. When are we going to get out or when are we going to eat?

I would like to make a motion that we adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, that this House do now adjourn until June 9, 1982, at 10 a.m.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I share the gentleman's concern, but I am also concerned about the long-term consequences of stopping too early on this day. I oppose the gentleman's motion.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is on the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, to adjourn until 10 a.m. tomorrow morning. A motion such as this encourages a great deal of debate that is probably—I had better be quiet.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. I would just like to suggest that it is D-day and a good day to stay in the trenches on K-rations.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—94

Belfanti	Fryer	McMonagle	Rocks
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Rybak
Berson	Gladeck	Manderino	Seventy
Blaum	Grabowski	Michlovic	Showers
Boyes	Gray	Miscevich	Shupnik
Brown	Greenfield	Morris	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mrkonc	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mullen	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Swaim
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Sweet
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Irvic	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Kolter	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Kowalshyn	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cowell	Kukovich	Petrone	Wachob
DeMedio	Lashinger	Pievsky	Wambach
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pistella	Wargo
Dawida	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wiggins
Deal	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Levin	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Livengood	Richardson	Wozniak
Duffy	Lloyd	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lucyk	Ritter	Zwikl
Evans	McIntyre		

NAYS—99

Anderson	Fleck	Levi	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Sieminski
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Sirianni
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Smith, B.

Bittle	Freind	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder
Brandt	Gamble	Marmion	Spencer
Burd	Gannon	Merry	Spitz
Burns	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Cessar	George	Miller	Stevens
Cimini	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Swift
Civera	Grieco	Mowery	Taddonio
Clymer	Gruppo	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hagarty	Noye	Telek
Cornell	Hasay	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hayes	Peterson	Wass
Cunningham	Heiser	Phillips	Wenger
DeVerter	Honaman	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Horgos	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Itkin	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Jackson	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Johnson	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Kennedy	Reber	
Fargo	Klingaman	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fee	Lehr	Saurman	Speaker
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Emerson	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Barber			

EXCUSED—1

Borski

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 48 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, desire recognition?

Mr. RICHARDSON. A point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, prior to the vote taken on the adjournment motion, there was a motion on the board which at that time read "HB 8404." I do not know exactly what we voted on, but I think there was an error in terms of the motion, and I would like for the Chair to so state what we voted on.

The SPEAKER. The vote that was taken immediately prior to the motion to adjourn concerned itself with the motion for reconsideration filed by the gentleman, Mr. Horgos, and seconded by the gentleman, Mr. Pott, to reconsider the vote by which the Heiser amendment 8404 passed.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Well, I think, Mr. Speaker, that you will find that on the roll-call vote it read "HB 8404," and I do not think that that was the proper motion before the House.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman for calling this to the attention of the Chair. The clerks, being much more observant than the Speaker, had already made that correction, and the record will be properly reflected.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, on the reconsideration motion, I was confused, too. I was trying to get your attention because I could not understand the board. I want the record to reflect that I would have voted in favor of the reconsideration motion.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 48 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. Mr. Speaker, after hearing Representative Horgos present information after he had checked with the judges, and with Representative Pott's recommendation also, because there may be a conflict of interest, I have also been requested by several members to withdraw the amendment, and I wish at this point to withdraw the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MURPHY offered the following amendment No. A8196:

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 5, lines 27 through 30, by striking out "THE COUNTY" in line 27 and all of lines 28 through 30; page 6, lines 1 through 30; page 7, lines 1 through 5, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting a three member panel consisting of the President Judge of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas, the presiding judge of the civil division and the presiding judge of the criminal division.

(c) This panel shall announce in at least two newspapers of general circulation in the county, that a vacancy exists in the Office of County Medical Examiner. Said announcement will direct interested and qualified applicants to apply to the President Judge for such vacancy. This panel shall review the professional credentials of individuals interested in the position of county medical examiner to insure that the individual meets the requirements of this section. The panel may also consider such additional factors as they deem appropriate such as, but not limited to, professional and administrative experience, a personal interview and education beyond the minimum requirements. The panel shall then, within sixty days of the announcement, meet to elect a candidate to assume the position of county medical examiner.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy, rise?

Mr. DUFFY. I still have the next amendment, 8318.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be recognized after the disposition.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Duffy, is correct. If the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, would not object, the Chair would appreciate it if the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, withdrew his amendment at this time.

Mr. MURPHY. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, withdraws his amendment in favor of that of his countryman, Mr. Duffy.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DUFFY offered the following amendments No. A8318:

Amend Title, page 1, line 6, by inserting after "OF" where it appears the second time
elected

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 401), page 2, line 29, by inserting brackets before and after "CORONER"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 401), page 2, line 29, by striking out "IN COUNTIES OF THE SECOND CLASS A" and inserting
medical examiner

Amend Sec. 4 (Sec. 450), page 5, line 4, by inserting after "SECOND CLASS A,"
medical examiners,

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 5, lines 27 and 28, by striking out "APPOINTED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS" and inserting
elected by the voters of the county.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 5, lines 29 and 30; pages 6 and 7, lines 1 through 30; page 8, lines 1 through 6, by striking out all of lines 29 and 30, page 5, all of lines 1 through 30 on pages 6 and 7 all of lines 1 through 5 and "(G)" in line 6, page 8, and inserting

(c)

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 8, line 7, by inserting after "MEDICINE,"
nor serve as an expert witness
for profit,

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 1231.1), page 8, lines 17 through 20, by striking out all of said lines

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Now, this amendment here is one which I think is very, very crucial. This will make this post an elected post. The people today in the county of Allegheny are against this bill, the majority of them. There are only a few people who want this bill to go through. Now, you have the Pittsburgh Press against it, the AFL-CIO, the NAACP, the row officers; and most of the voters in the county are against it. They want elected officials who are responsive to them, so I would like a "yes" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. I request a "no" vote on this amendment. Essentially, if we change to an elected medical examiner, we will really gut the entire piece of legislation. Representative Duffy says that everybody is against the bill. I challenge him on that primarily because in a recent survey done within the 28th Legislative District, one of the questions that was asked in a survey of the voters of Allegheny County, with an outstanding response of voters, 69 percent of the people were in favor of an appointed medical examiner, and the remainder were interested in retaining the elected coroner's position.

In addition, I ask the members of this House, if you were a licensed doctor and then had 4 years of additional education as an anatomic pathologist—and as far as we could find out, the number of anatomic pathologists in the Nation is approximately 1,000—would you, an individual with this educational background, stand for election every 4 years when you could go to any hospital, any other office, and be a medical examiner by appointment?

I urge a "no" vote on this amendment primarily because it will really gut the bill and will not give Allegheny County and the voters of Allegheny County a qualified individual as well as to bring accountability to that office. I urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Duffy.

Mr. DUFFY. Mr. Speaker, may I ask Mrs. Heiser a question?

The SPEAKER. The lady, Mrs. Heiser, indicates that she is willing to stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Duffy, may proceed.

Mr. DUFFY. Mr. Speaker, in the last four elections for a coroner in Allegheny County, who were the candidates?

Mrs. HEISER. On which? Democrats or Republicans?

Mr. DUFFY. The winner; the winner of the election.

Mrs. HEISER. The winner?

Mr. DUFFY. Right. In the last four elections, who were the men who won the elections, and what were their qualifications?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

What is the relevance of prior elections to the amendment that is before the House?

Mr. DUFFY. Well, she is saying that—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

Is the gentleman suggesting that there is some relevance?

Mr. DUFFY. Most certainly.

She had mentioned that the people who are forensic pathologists would not run for this office, and yet in the last four elections, the people we had running were forensic pathologists. In the last election, both Republican and Democrat were forensic pathologists, which is the highest qualification you can get. Am I right?

Mrs. HEISER. Yes, but prior to that we also had a carpenter, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DUFFY. That was 16 years ago. That was prior to 1968.

I would like to ask a couple of other questions.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, rise?

Mr. DAVIES. Merely a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. DAVIES. The previous speaker has made reference to "she." I wish he would address the Speaker and place it in proper parliamentary context. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is absolutely correct.

Mr. DUFFY. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker.

Now, I understand not too long ago in the Pittsburgh area— You had referred that there were a great many people for this bill. You were talking about surveys. Who took this survey?

Mrs. HEISER. It was a legislator within the House, but I would rather not say.

Mr. DUFFY. A legislator took it. Did he take it throughout the entire area of Allegheny County or just in his home district?

Mrs. HEISER. I do not know.

Mr. DUFFY. Now, did they not have a radio questionnaire on the radio about this medical examiner bill, and how did the results of that turn out?

Mrs. HEISER. Yes, there was one. First off, it is unscientific, and frankly, if I had heard the question on the radio in the morning, I probably would have gotten busy on the phone calling the number that would say "yes" in preference to "no."

Mr. DUFFY. Now, you say that this is not an expert survey where persons would call into a radio station and give their opinion, but yet you are telling me that this legislator, whoever he or she might be, is an expert in this field. Is that correct?

Mrs. HEISER. I do not believe this is really relevant.

The SPEAKER. Will the lady yield.

The question before the House is the amendment by the gentleman, Mr. Duffy, A8318. Would the members please restrict their remarks to that amendment, or Mr. Hutchinson will be moving to adjourn again.

Mr. DUFFY. That is all the questions I have. I would like for the people here to remember, keep this an elected office and vote "yes" on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. I would just like to make one further comment. If this is an elected position, we are not guaranteed that an anatomic or a forensic pathologist will even run for this office. I do believe Allegheny County, in the last three elections, has been very fortunate to have medical men as well as forensic pathologists who were willing to run for this office. Two or 4 years down the line, we may have a carpenter, we may have a bricklayer, because all that is required of an individual to run for an elective office at the present time as coroner is the fact that they are registered to vote and 18 years old. I still repeat that an individual with 4 years' additional training beyond a licensed physician does not need to run for office and have his job on the line every 4 years.

In speaking to who would be supportive of this legislation, and actually the voters out there are our doctors, because the Pennsylvania Medical Society is supportive of this; the Allegheny County Medical Society is supportive. They are the voters of Allegheny County. The Pennsylvania State Coroners Association did support HB 1304, and they are the voters out there.

The SPEAKER. Please restrict your remarks to the amendment that is before the House.

Mrs. HEISER. I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Deal, desire recognition on this amendment?

Mr. DEAL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak on behalf of the Duffy amendment, amendment 8318. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the amendment because it appears to me that the amendment strikes at the heart of democracy. It would appear to me, Mr. Speaker, that this amendment further gives the people in Allegheny County, or anywhere else in this Commonwealth, an opportunity to speak for themselves at the ballot box. I would certainly hope that we in this legislative body would be about creating other avenues so that the people themselves could select whom they want by going to the ballot box.

Mr. Speaker, I heard arguments of some of the problems that could occur if this amendment did pass. Let me just say to you, Mr. Speaker, that I have faith in the American people, and I believe that the American people know what they want when they go to the polls. Even during this argument I heard that 16 years ago somehow a mistake was made. If we are going to take that negative attitude, then when I look at the Presidents that we have had in the United States, we might well begin to look for some board to select Presidents. And when I look at some of the heartless Governors that we have had, we may well decide that we ought not to let the people elect Governors, because with your attitude you may think that they do not know what they want.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly hope that this body will not begin to now try to make offices that ought to be elective become the pawn of a private club. That seems to be the tenor of the general motion, and without this amendment it would appear to me now that we would be trying to decide that we no longer feel that the people know what they want. They are intelligent enough, and I think they are.

For one of the other arguments, that you would not want the people to elect someone who is not qualified, I remind all of us, all of us, we went before the people and the people made a decision. Some of us were former police officers, some educators, some former nurses, some lawyers, professional and nonprofessional people, and somehow the job gets done. I beg you to vote for this amendment. Do not now turn the clock back on the democratic process of this society. Let the people have their say; trust them; they will make the right decision, and this system will prevail.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Very briefly, an outspoken opponent of this type of concept that Mr. Duffy is asking for said, what gives the people the right to elect and elect and elect? The Constitution does. The Constitution of Pennsylvania and the Constitution of the United States say elections are the way we do things. I support this amendment and think we all should.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Barber	Fee	Lucyk	Rocks
Belardi	Fryer	McIntyre	Rybak
Belfanti	Gallagher	McMonagle	Serafini
Beloff ¹	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Berson	George	Michlovic	Shupnik
Blaum	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Steighner
Boyes	Gray	Morris	Stewart
Brown	Greenfield	Mrkonie	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mullen	Swaim
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	O'Donnell	Swift
Cochran	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Irvic	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Kolter	Pievsky	Wambach
DeMedio	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wargo
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Wiggins
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Deal	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Wozniak
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Livengood	Ritter	Zwinkl
Evans	Lloyd		

NAYS—95

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Sieminski
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Sirianni
Arty	Freind	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Cessar	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Stevens
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Heiser	Perzel	Trello
Coslett	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Horgos	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Johnson	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kennedy	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Klingaman	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Salvatore	
Fargo	Lewis	Saurman	Ryan,
Fischer	McClatchy	Seventy	Speaker
Fleck			

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Emerson	Frazier	Miller
Clark			

EXCUSED—I

Borski

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL PLACED ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Heiser.

Mrs. HEISER. Mr. Speaker, I make a motion that SB 48 and all amendments be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Heiser, who moves that SB 48, together with amendments, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary procedure.

Mr. Speaker, I want to know if the bill will be reprinted then, since it is going to be put on the calendar. Because these amendments are somewhat confusing, it would be helpful to see what it does.

The SPEAKER. What is the pleasure of the majority leader?

Mr. HAYES. Just as the lady said, on the table with amendments.

Mr. MURPHY. Does that mean with or without reprinting?

The SPEAKER. That means without reprinting.

Mr. MURPHY. Okay.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

By way of announcement before we get to the next vote, there will only be one more vote tonight. That is going to be the Conference Report on HB 2101. There will be no other votes tonight. After that particular vote I am going to suspend further action on the calendar this evening.

SENATE MESSAGE**SENATE INSISTS ON AMENDMENTS
NONCONCURRED IN BY HOUSE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has insisted upon its amendments nonconcurring in by the House of Representatives to **HB 2101, PN 3231**, and has appointed Senators SNYDER, CORMAN and SINGEL a committee of conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (already appointed) on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

**REPORT OF COMMITTEE
OF CONFERENCE PRESENTED**

Mr. WILSON presented the Report of the Committee of Conference on **HB 2101, PN 3436**.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell, rise?

Mr. COWELL. Point of parliamentary inquiry, I guess.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I heard you make two what seemed to me to be conflicting statements about the legislation that we just disposed of. I initially thought that you had it placed on the table, and then afterwards I thought I heard you say it was put on third consideration postponed calendar.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is partially correct. The Chair did originally say on the table and immediately corrected himself to the third consideration postponed calendar, which was the motion.

Mr. COWELL. In light of that, Mr. Speaker, will the bill be reprinted with the amendments?

The SPEAKER. No, it will not.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A**REPORT OF COMMITTEE
OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED**

Mr. PETRARCA called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **HB 2101, PN 3436**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing for a Deputy Secretary for Aviation within the Department of Transportation, making editorial changes, providing for the establishment and maintenance of a center to provide ambulatory and inpatient services for the diagnosis, evaluation, treatment and rehabilitation of persons who have physical or neuro-developmental disabilities; providing for the transfer from the Commonwealth to the Pennsylvania State University of the functions and operations of the Elizabethtown Hospital for Children and Youth; making an appropriation to support the operation of the center and reserving oil, gas and mineral rights to the Commonwealth upon the conveyance of surplus land.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the report of the committee of conference?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Sautman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Suban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Civiera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Tigue
Coie	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0**NOT VOTING—3****EXCUSED—1**

Alden

Emerson

Sirianni

Borski

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the committee of conference was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Susquehanna, Miss Sirianni.

Miss SIRIANNI. Mr. Speaker, record me in the affirmative on the Conference Committee Report on HB 2101.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

SENATE MESSAGE**SENATE CONCURRENCE
IN HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the resolution from the House of Representatives as follows:

In the House of Representatives,

RESOLVED (the Senate concurring), That House Bill No. 163, Printer's No. 3278, entitled "An act providing for a voluntary contribution system to aid in the conservation of certain wild flora and fauna, establishing a special fund and providing for its administration, establishing a procedure for the protection of wild flora, establishing a permit system, imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Resources and imposing penalties," be recalled from the Senate for the purpose of further consideration.

HB 163 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bittle, who moves that the vote by which Senate amendments to HB 163, PN 3278, were nonconcurrent in on the 7th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

BILL PLACED ON POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bittle.

Mr. BITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 163, PN 3278, be placed on the postponed calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

SENATE MESSAGE**AMENDED HOUSE BILLS
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 202, PN 3375; HB 538, PN 3285; HB 556, PN 3433; HB 972, PN 3410; HB 1093, PN 3438; HB 1394, PN 3414; HB 1585, PN 3286; HB 1664, PN 3341; HB 1789, PN 3434; HB 1806,**

PN 3386; HB 1885, PN 3417; HB 2362, PN 3412; and HB 2372, PN 3393, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. The bills will appear on the calendar.

SENATE MESSAGE**HOUSE-AMENDED SENATE
BILLS CONCURRED IN**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 79, PN 1899; SB 439, PN 2049; SB 847, PN 2051; SB 1283, PN 2046; and SB 1350, PN 1971.**

SENATE MESSAGE**SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF
COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the Report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on **HB 1040, PN 3385.**

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 1040, PN 3385

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for an exemption from the sales tax, for the furnishing and availability of certain information relating to corporations and for the gross receipts tax on electricity.

HB 2211, PN 3338

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1981 (No. 166), entitled "A supplement to the act of July 1, 1981 (P. L. 142, No. 47), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects to be constructed or acquired by this Department of General Services, ***, stating the estimated useful life of the projects and making appropriations," adding additional projects.

HB 2212, PN 3180

Amending the act of December 18, 1980 (P.L. 1252, No. 228), entitled "A supplement to the act of October 6, 1980 (P.L. 784, No. 145), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1980-1981,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects, to be constructed by the Department of General Services and transportation assistance projects to be acquired or constructed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects to be constructed by the Department of General Services or Department of Transportation, stating the estimated useful life of the projects; providing for the adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Boating Fund and the Fish Fund and

making an appropriation," increasing a project within the Department of Education, and reducing a project, increasing a project, and adding a project in the Department of Public Welfare.

SB 79, PN 1899

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), entitled "Public Welfare Code," providing for domestic violence and rape crisis programs, imposing additional costs and making an appropriation.

SB 439, PN 2049

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for criminal history record information, prohibiting certain paramilitary training, regulating matters relating to the performance and funding of abortions, the protection of women who undergo abortion and children subject to abortion, prescribing penalties and making repeals.

SB 942, PN 2043

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for summary offenses involving vehicles, further providing for limited Statewide police powers for municipal police officers, retaining certain immunities and benefits, providing for certain expenses and further providing for sentencing for certain offenders.

SB 1042, PN 1223

An Act declaring and adopting Penngift Crownvetch (Coronilla Varia L. Penngift) as the State Beautification and Conservation Plant of Pennsylvania.

SB 1283, PN 2046

An Act providing for the administration of certain Commonwealth farmland within the Department of Agriculture.

SB 1338, PN 1816

An Act providing for the observance of the Sunday of Fire Prevention Week as a commemorative day designated as Firefighters and their Auxiliaries Day.

SB 1350, PN 1971

An Act amending the act of July 2, 1935, (P. L. 589, No. 210), entitled, as amended, "Milk Sanitation Law," extending coverage to milk for manufacturing purposes and manufactured dairy products.

SB 1363, PN 1772

An Act amending the act of March 3, 1978 (P. L. 6, No. 3), entitled "Steel Products Procurement Act," further defining steel products to include cast iron products.

SB 1396, PN 1838

An Act making appropriations to the Department of General Services out of various funds for payment of rental charges to The General State Authority.

SB 1397, PN 1839

An Act making appropriations to the Treasury Department out of various funds to pay replacement checks issued in lieu of outstanding checks when presented and to adjust errors.

SB 1398, PN 1840

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Labor and Industry from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to provide for the expenses of administering the Pennsylvania Workmen's Compensation Act and the Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

SB 1399, PN 1841

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs.

SB 1400, PN 1842

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

SB 1401, PN 1843

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1982 to June 30, 1983 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1982.

SB 1446, PN 1925

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "University of Pittsburgh - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

SB 1447, PN 1926

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "Temple University - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

SB 1448, PN 1981

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "Lincoln University - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

SB 1449, PN 1928

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

SB 1450, PN 1929

An Act making appropriations to the Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1451, PN 1930

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1452, PN 1931

An Act making appropriations to The Medical College of Pennsylvania, East Falls, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1453, PN 1932

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1454, PN 1933

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1455, PN 1934

An Act making an appropriation to the Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture at Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

SB 1456, PN 1935

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Art, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1457, PN 1936

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Textiles and Science.

SB 1458, PN 1937

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1459, PN 1938

An Act making an appropriation to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown, Pennsylvania.

SB 1460, PN 1939

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson School of Technology of Scranton, Pennsylvania.

SB 1461, PN 1940

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

SB 1462, PN 1941

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Performing Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance, general operation and student aid.

SB 1463, PN 1942

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1464, PN 1943

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1465, PN 1944

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

SB 1466, PN 1945

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

SB 1467, PN 1946

An Act making an appropriation to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the institute.

SB 1468, PN 1947

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

SB 1469, PN 1948

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

SB 1470, PN 1949

An Act making an appropriation to St. Christopher's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for treatment of cerebral palsy.

SB 1471, PN 1950

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

SB 1472, PN 1951

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

SB 1473, PN 1952

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

SB 1474, PN 1953

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation of Greater Delaware Valley.

SB 1475, PN 1954

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

SB 1476, PN 1955

An Act making an appropriation to the Carnegie Museum at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

SB 1477, PN 1956

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1478, PN 1957

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1479, PN 1958

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 1480, PN 1959

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Buhl Science Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

SB 1481, PN 1960

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

SB 1483, PN 1962

An Act making an appropriation to the Home for Crippled Children, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

SB 1484, PN 1963

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

SB 1485, PN 1964

An Act making an appropriation to the trustees of the University of Pittsburgh for the general maintenance and operation of the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

SB 1486, PN 1966

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in my office immediately. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

ADDITIONS OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I submit the following additions of sponsorship of bills in accordance with the House rules:

HB 2170, Phillips; HB 2515, Itkin; HB 2533, Vroon, Showers, Lucyk, E. Z. Taylor; HB 2535, Kowalyshyn; HB 2538, H. Williams; HR 202, Laughlin.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Clark.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. Speaker, on the Duffy amendment A8318 I was not recorded. Somehow my vote was stricken after I had left the floor. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

SENATE MESSAGE**HOUSE-AMENDED SENATE
RESOLUTION CONCURRED IN**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to Senate Resolution entitled as follows:

In the Senate, June 7, 1982

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene to the call of the President Pro Tempore; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, September 13, 1982; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns the week of September 13, 1982, it adjourn to such time or times, including from day to day and from week to week, as shall be decided by the House of Representatives.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**HB 1764, PN 2974**

By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act amending the "County Pension Law," approved August 31, 1971 (P. L. 398, No. 96), providing for credit for former employees of school districts.

APPROPRIATIONS.**HB 1765, PN 2092**

By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the transfer of employer contributions to certain other public pension systems.

APPROPRIATIONS.**RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HR 195, PN 3439 (Amended) (Concurrent)**

(Unanimous)

By Rep. HASAY

General Assembly memorialize President and Congress help facilitate reduction of nuclear weapons in Soviet Union and United States.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.**HR 205, PN 3432 (Unanimous)**

By Rep. HASAY

House memorialize Congress to enact legislation to promote modernization of steel plants.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.**SENATE MESSAGE****SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF
COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the Report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on **HB 2101, PN 3436**.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 2101, PN 3436

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing for a Deputy Secretary for Aviation within the Department of Transportation, making editorial changes, providing for the establishment and maintenance of a center to provide ambulatory and inpatient services for the diagnosis, evaluation, treatment and rehabilitation of persons who have physical or neuro-developmental disabilities; providing for the transfer from the Commonwealth to the Pennsylvania State University of the functions and operations of the Elizabethtown Hospital for Children and Youth; making an appropriation to support the operation of the center and reserving oil, gas and mineral rights to the Commonwealth upon the conveyance of surplus land.

SB 1445, PN 1924

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

REPORT FROM RULES COMMITTEE

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE FOR CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, the Rules Committee has instructed me to make a motion to remove the following bills from the table and place them on the active calendar, and I so move:

SB 1384;
SB 1385; and
SB 1482.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 202, PN 3407

By Rep. HAYES

Speaker appoint a special committee to study and investigate operation of the mortgage review bond program.

RULES.

SR 231, PN 3440 (Concurrent)

By Rep. HAYES

Bipartisan committee review regulations and policies of the Department of Public Welfare regarding legal services and make recommendations for future funding of legal services programs.

RULES.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, June 9, 1982, at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 9:15 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.