

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1981

SESSION OF 1981

165TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 64

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. JOSEPH SEVILLE, chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of St. Luke's Episcopal Church, Mount Joy, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

O Almighty God, the fountain of wisdom, whose will is good and gracious and whose law is truth, we beseech Thee to send down upon the Representatives of the General Assembly of this Commonwealth gathered here this day, the spirit of wisdom, charity, and justice. Guide them in their deliberations and decisions, that they may enact such laws as please Thee, to Thy glory and the welfare of the people of Pennsylvania. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today as the guests of Representative Emerson of Philadelphia, a group representing the Wharton Academy and the Wharton Centre of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The House will be at ease for a moment so that the Speaker, together with Representative Emerson, may present a citation to Mr. Emerson's guests.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Tuesday, October 20, 1981, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1976 By Representatives SALVATORE, WOGAN, WESTON, ROCKS, PERZEL, GANNON, BORSKI, McMONAGLE, PUCCIARELLI, SWAIM and LEVIN

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for the determination of hazardous routes and removing certain traffic control devices from consideration.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 20, 1981.

No. 1977 By Representatives FLECK, MARMION, HEISER, FRAZIER, POTT, TADDONIO, FISCHER, MERRY, McVERRY, MADIGAN, GRUPPO, MILLER, STAIRS, BOWSER, SIEMINSKI, CESSAR, NOYE, DORR, HAYES, McCLATCHY, B. SMITH, GEIST and LEHR

An act requiring certain prisoners to work on public work projects without compensation.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, October 20, 1981.

No. 1978 By Representatives PIEVSKY, O'DONNELL, HARPER, RIEGER, EVANS, McMONAGLE, BORSKI, SWAIM and J. D. WILLIAMS

An Act amending the "General Appropriation Act of 1981," approved July 1, 1981 (No. 5A), increasing the appropriation for special education and removing certain limiting provisions relating to the payment of the basic instructional subsidies.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 20, 1981.

No. 1979 By Representative H. WILLIAMS

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), providing for special provisions relating to school districts of the first class.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 20, 1981.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 119 By Representative PIEVSKY

House direct Legislative Budget and Finance Committee study methods of funding for attendants to aid disabled persons.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 20, 1981.

No. 120 By Representative WESTON

Speaker direct Consumer Affairs Committee investigate manner in which Philadelphia Gas Works is regulated by the Philadelphia Gas Commission.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 20, 1981.

**SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 919, PN 1309**

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, October 20, 1981.

**SB 920, PN 1310**

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, October 20, 1981.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES  
REPORT NO. 7 REFERRED**

Proposed Amendment To  
Title 67. Transportation

Part I. Department of Transportation  
Subpart A. Vehicle Code Provisions  
Article VII. Vehicle Characteristics

Chapter 175. Vehicle  
Equipment and Inspection

Subchapter H. Controls and Fuel Systems

Section 175.103. Compressed and Liquefied Gas Fuel Systems.

\* \* \*

(e) Installation. The installation of liquefied petroleum gas, compressed natural gas, or liquefied natural gas fuel systems on motor vehicles shall be in accordance with the following requirements:

\* \* \*

[(16) No compressed or liquefied gas fuel system shall be installed on a mass transit vehicle.]

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 20, 1981.

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE GRANTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip for the purpose of taking leaves of absence.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave of absence for the day for the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. SPITZ.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave will be granted. The Chair hears none.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. PIEVSKY, for the purpose of taking leaves of absence.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask leave for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. COHEN, for today's session; and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. BELOFF, for today's session. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leaves will be granted. The Chair hears none.

**MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take today's master roll call. Members please report immediately to the floor of the House. Members please take their seats. Members will proceed to vote.

(A roll-call vote was taken.)

**MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Greenfield.

Mr. GREENFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I did press my button on the master roll. It appears not to be working. Would you see what is wrong with it, please, and record me on the master roll?

**MASTER ROLL CALL RETAKEN**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is going to take a new master roll call. Members please take their seats. There apparently was a malfunction in the machine. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

**PRESENT—197**

Alden	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Brandt	George	Merry	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Suban
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Colafranca	Heiser	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wass
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Weston
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	White
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.

Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikel
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood	Rybak	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 50, PN 2259**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for nonmedical good Samaritan civil immunity.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brown	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Colafrilla	Heiser	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeVertter	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston

Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikel
Durham	Letterman	Rieger	
Emerson	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—2

DeWeese	Levin
---------	-------

NOT VOTING—5

Gray	Pitts	White	Williams, J. D.
McIntyre			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1384, PN 1758**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Lethal Weapons Training Act," approved October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), defining "full-time police officer" and providing for certain exemptions from testing and fees.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brown	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Taddonio

Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Colafella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	McIntyre	White	Williams, J. D.
------	----------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I was out of my seat on HB 50. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1458, PN 1661, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for veterinary good Samaritan civil immunity.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	George	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Richardson	
Emerson	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	White	Williams, J. D.
------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1459, PN 2260, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 27, 1974 (P. L. 995, No. 326), entitled "An act regulating the practice of veterinary medicine and imposing penalties," further providing for abandonment of animals.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Table listing names of representatives who voted 'YEAS' (187 total). Includes Alden, Anderson, Arty, Barber, Belardi, Belfanti, Berson, Bittle, Blaum, Borski, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Brown, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cawley, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Colafella, Cole, Cordisco, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Cunningham, DeVerter, DeWeese, Daikeler, Davies, Dawida, Deal, Dietz, Dininni, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Emerson, Evans, Fargo, Fee, Fischer, Fleck, Foster, Frazier, Freind, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Grabowski, Greenfield, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Heiser, Hoeffel, Honaman, Horgos, Hutchinson, Hutchinson, Itkin, Jackson, Johnson, Kanuck, Kennedy, Klingaman, Kolter, Kowalyshyn, Kukovich, Lashinger, Lehr, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levi, Levin, Lewis, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Marmion, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Misceovich, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Mullen, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Donnell, Olasz, Oliver, Pendleton, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pistella, Pitts, Pott, Pratt, Pucciarelli, Punt, Rasco, Reber, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Rocks, Rybak, Salvatore, Saurman, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Shupnik, Sieminski, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, E. H., Smith, L. E., Snyder, Spencer, Stairs, Steighner, Stevens, Stewart, Stuban, Swaim, Sweet, Swift, Taddonio, Taylor, Tigues, Trello, Van Horne, Vroon, Wachob, Wambach, Wargo, Wass, Wenger, Weston, Wiggins, Williams, Wilson, Wogan, Wozniak, Wright, Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS—3

Table listing names of representatives who voted 'NAYS' (3 total): Armstrong, Cochran, Telek.

NOT VOTING—7

Table listing names of representatives who did not vote (7 total): DeMedio, Dombrowski, Gray, Laughlin, White, Williams, Zwikel.

EXCUSED—6

Table listing names of representatives who were excused (6 total): Beloff, Cohen, Haluska, Irvis, Rappaport, Spitz.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today as the guests of Representative Samuel Morris of Chester County, Mr. and Mrs. Eugene Hickman III, of Thorndale, Chester County.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zwikel.

Mr. ZWIKL. Mr. Speaker, my switch was not operating on HB 1459, PN 2260. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1812, PN 2179, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) dividing the forty-third judicial district into two separate judicial districts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Table listing names of representatives who voted 'YEAS' (192 total). Includes Alden, Anderson, Armstrong, Arty, Barber, Belardi, Belfanti, Berson, Bittle, Blaum, Borski, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Brown, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cawley, Fee, Fischer, Fleck, Foster, Frazier, Freind, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Grabowski, Greenwood, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruitza, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Marmion, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Misceovich, Moehlmann, Mowery, Rybak, Salvatore, Saurman, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Shupnik, Sieminski, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, E. H., Smith, L. E., Snyder, Spencer, Stairs, Steighner, Stevens, Stewart, Stuban, Swaim.

Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Cordisco	Morris	White	Wilson
Gray			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 124, PN 656**, entitled:

An Act providing for the sole or shared custody of children; providing access to records to both parents.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. REBER offered the following amendment No. A3682:

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "shall assist in the formulation and implementation of such a plan." and inserting

or other party or agency approved by the court shall assist in the formulation and implementation of the plan.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is to section 6 of SB 124. It simply adds additional language allowing the court to approve, other than the local domestic relations office, another party or agency so

approved by the court to assist in the formulation and implementation of a shared custody plan. In many counties the domestic relations offices are not equipped or possibly may not even desire to become involved in this particular procedure.

Basically, the amendment just allows the court to use, other than the domestic relations office, another party - a counselor, possibly another attorney, a friend of the parties, or another social agency - to aid and assist the parents in the formulation of a shared custody plan. I would ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Stairs
Brown	George	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mochlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Swaim
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Taddonio
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Honaman	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Horgos	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Lashingner	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Ritter	
Emerson	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Micozzie	White
Gray	McIntyre	Pratt	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff Haluska Rappaport Spitz  
Cohen Irvis

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. HAGARTY offered the following amendments No. A3421:

Amend Sec. 9, page 3, line 20, by striking out "NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW," and inserting

Except as provided in subsection (b) and (c),

Amend Sec. 9, page 3, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(c) The court shall not order that the address of a shelter for battered spouses and their dependent children or otherwise confidential records of a domestic violence counselor be disclosed to the defendant or his counsel or any party to the proceedings.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Hagarty.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a very simple amendment which provides that when records are turned over to the other spouse in a custody situation, the court shall not order that the address of any shelter for battered spouses and their dependent children or their records be turned over. This is simply an amendment to make sure that an anonymous shelter remain anonymous in a situation where there has been abuse. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Alden	Fargo	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Fryer	Maiiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	George	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Swaim
Cawley	Grieco	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Gruppo	Mullen	Taddonio
Civera	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. E.
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tygue
Colafella	Heiser	Olasz	Trello

Cole	Hoefel	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Pendleton	Vroon
Cornell	Horgos	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Diminni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwifl
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Durham	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Emerson	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Evans	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Gray Hutchinson, A. McIntyre White

EXCUSED—6

Beloff Haluska Rappaport Spitz  
Cohen Irvis

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT offered the following amendments No. A3837:

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by removing the period after "parents" and inserting

and providing for the right of grandparents to visit grandchildren in certain cases.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by inserting after "Custody" and Grandparents Visitation

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 11. When parent is deceased.

If a parent of an unmarried child is deceased, the parents or grandparents of the deceased parent may be granted reasonable visitation rights to the unmarried child by the court upon a finding that visitation rights would be in the best interests of the child and would not interfere with the parent-child relationship. The court shall consider the amount of personal contact between the parents or grandparents of the deceased parent and the child prior to the application.

Section 12. When parents' marriage is dissolved.

In all proceedings for dissolution, subsequent to the commencement of the proceeding and continuing thereafter, the court may, upon the request of the parent or grandparent of a party, grant reasonable visitation rights to the unmarried child, after dissolution of marriage, if it finds that visitation rights would be in the best interest of the child and would not interfere with the parent-child relationship. The court shall consider the amount of personal contact between the parents or grandparents of the party and the child prior to the application.

Section 13. When the child has resided with grandparents.

If an unmarried child has resided with his grandparents or great-grandparents for a period of 12 months or more, and is subsequently removed from the home by his parents, the grandparents or great-grandparents may petition the court for an order

granting them reasonable visitation rights to the child. The court shall grant the petition if it finds that visitation rights would be in the best interest of the child and would not interfere with the parent-child relationship.

Section 14. Exception for adopted children.

Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14 of this act shall not apply if the child has been adopted by a person other than a stepparent or grandparent. Any visitation rights granted pursuant to this section prior to the adoption of the child shall be automatically terminated upon such adoption.

Amend Sec. 11, page 4, line 7, by striking out "11" and inserting

15

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clarion, Mr. Wright.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Lehr and I are offering this amendment. In a decision in 1959, Judge Erwin said, "We consider it almost inhumane to completely isolate the child from his grandparents." The amendment which you have before you would simply make it possible for the court to make a decision about the best interest of the child under three circumstances: when the parent is deceased, when the parents' marriage is dissolved, and when the child has resided with grandparents.

In 22 States in this country, legislatures have made the determination about grandparents' rights. This State is one which at this point has not. It is true that there have been various court decisions which have granted visitation rights to grandparents, but we do not have that in law. I have a whole list of letters which I will not read to you, but they are from constituents, my constituents and yours, people who are in favor of grandparents' visitations being a part of the court proceedings. Mr. Speaker, I ask for adoption of this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brown	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.

Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Colafella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Hoefel	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wass
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Weston
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	
Durham	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	McIntyre	Taylor, E. Z.	White
------	----------	---------------	-------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LASHINGER offered the following amendments No. A3002:

Amend Sec. 9, page 3, line 22, by inserting after "THE" residence

Amend Sec. 9, page 3, lines 22 and 23, by striking out "AND TELEPHONE NUMBER"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, currently in SB 124 there is a requirement that each parent be provided access to certain records, along with the address and telephone number of the child or children. The one problem I have with that section is the telephone number requirement, and it runs to the nature of the problem of giving phone numbers to possibly one of the disgruntled spouses or the noncustodial spouses and the problems that this will lead to in the domestic situation.

Historically what has happened is when one of the disgruntled spouses has had access to this phone number—and it is my feeling that this is a privileged form of communication—they have used it to harass the noncustodial spouse or the disgruntled spouse. Therefore, I think that the requirement that it just be the physical address of the child and not



necessarily the phone number is sufficient and I think runs to the best interest of the child or children involved. I therefore ask for support for the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Anderson	Fec	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, I. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonie	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cunningham	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
DeMedio	Kanuck	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Alden

NOT VOTING—7

Emerson	Gray	McIntyre	Williams, J. D.
Gallen	Hutchinson, A.	White	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvic		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Chester, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, had I been in my seat on amendment A3837 to SB 124, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 124 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SPENCER offered the following amendments No. A2877:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 26 and 27 Section 6. Counseling.

(a) The court may require the parents to attend counseling sessions and may consider the recommendations of the counselors prior to awarding sole or shared custody. These counseling sessions may include but shall not be limited to discussions of the responsibilities and decision making arrangements involved in both sole and shared custody and the suitability of each arrangement to each or both parent's capabilities.

(b) The court may temporarily award custody to either parent or both parents, pending resolution of any counseling.

(c) The court may require the counselor to submit a report if the court desires, in such reasonable time as the court may fix.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 27, by striking out "6" and inserting

7

Amend Sec. 7, page 3, line 4, by striking out "7" and inserting

8

Amend Sec. 8, page 3, line 9, by striking out "8" and inserting

9

Amend Sec. 9, page 3, line 14, by striking out "9" and inserting

10

Amend Sec. 10, page 3, line 29, by striking out "10" and inserting

11

Amend Sec. 11, page 4, line 8, by striking out "11" and inserting

12

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. This amendment, incidentally, appears on your desks as the McVerry amendment.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tioga, Mr. Spencer.

Mr. SPENCER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment simply adds a section relative to counseling, stating that "The court may" —and I emphasize "may" — "require the parents to attend counseling sessions and may consider the recommendations of the counselors prior to awarding sole or shared custody. These counseling sessions may include but shall not be limited to discussions of the responsibilities and decision making arrangements involved in

both sole and shared custody and the suitability of each arrangement to each or both parent's capabilities."

I ask for the adoption of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rocks
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Cawley	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cimini	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Civera	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Clark	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Clymer	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Coslett	Horgos	Oliver	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Wachob
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wambach
DeMedio	Itkin	Peterson	Wargo
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrarca	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson	Petrone	Wenger
Daikeler	Kanuck	Phillips	Weston
Davies	Kennedy	Piccola	Wiggins
Dawida	Klingaman	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Deal	Kolter	Pistella	Wilson
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wogan
Dininni	Kukovich	Pott	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwinkl
Durham	Letterman	Reber	
Emerson	Levi	Richardson	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—2

Blaum	Tigue
-------	-------

NOT VOTING—3

Rybak	White	Williams, J. D.
-------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would hate to force you to apologize to any more people in the Commonwealth today.

I had some discussions when this bill first came up in the Judiciary Committee with some of my colleagues, particularly Representatives Hagarty and—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

**BILL PLACED ON FINAL PASSAGE  
POSTPONED CALENDAR**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson, rise?

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, this is a real important bill, and there were a lot of amendments put in on the floor today. I would like to have it held over until next week, because this is a bill that a lot of legislators can get heck for if we vote "yes" with all these amendments in. Is there a motion I can make to hold it over until next week or can you hold it? Have it printed and put on the calendar.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, moves that SB 124, together with amendments, be placed on the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—172

Alden	Emerson	Levi	Rieger
Anderson	Evans	Levin	Ritter
Armstrong	Fargo	Livengood	Rocks
Arty	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Belardi	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belson	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Showers
Bittle	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Blaum	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Maiale	Snyder
Boyes	Gamble	Manderino	Stairs
Brandt	Gannon	Manmiller	Steighner
Brown	Geist	Marmion	Stewart
Burd	George	Merry	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Michlovic	Swaim
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Micozzie	Sweet
Cawley	Gray	Miller	Swift
Cessar	Greenfield	Miscevich	Taddonio
Cimini	Grieco	Moehlmann	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Gruitza	Morris	Telek
Clark	Harper	Mowery	Tigue
Clymer	Hasay	Mrkonic	Trello
Cochran	Hayes	Mullen	Van Horne
Colafella	Hoeffel	Murphy	Vroon
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wachob

Cordisco	Horgos	Olasz	Wambach
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Oliwer	Wargo
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Peterson	Wenger
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrarca	Weston
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrone	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kanuck	Phillips	Williams, H.
Davies	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wilson
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Wozniak
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Zwikl
Donatucci	Laughlin	Punt	
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Ryan,
Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson	Speaker
Durham	Letterman		

NAYS—21

Burns	Heiser	Piccola	Smith, B.
Cornell	Lewis	Rasco	Spencer
Daikeler	McVerry	Saurman	Stevens
Gallen	Nahill	Sieminski	Taylor, E. Z.
Greenwood	Noye	Sirianni	Wogan
Hagarty			

NOT VOTING—4

Gruppo	Perzel	White	Williams, J. D.
--------	--------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, to be prepared to vote this bill upon our return on Monday of next week if he would kindly do so, because this bill has been around for quite a while. We have gone through the amendment process, and I would like to dispose of this legislation one way or the other as soon as possible. If you would do that, I would appreciate it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. I do not want to delay it. I think it ought to be in print so we can read it.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, with the bill on the final passage postponed calendar, will it be in order to amend it then when it comes back to the House on Monday?

The SPEAKER. No. We cannot amend a bill on final passage.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 904, PN 1885**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Industrialized Housing Act," approved May 11, 1972 (P. L. 286, No. 70), establishing the Industrialized Housing Account in the State Treasury; further providing for the disposition of fees and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GALLEN offered the following amendment No. A3059:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 7), page 1, lines 16 and 17, by striking out "This account shall be added to when fees are received and subtracted from as costs are incurred," and inserting

All fees collected for the purpose of this act shall be deposited in this account and the department shall draw from the account sufficient funds to cover the administrative and enforcement costs of operating the program.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. Mr. Speaker, this is a technical amendment, and what it says is that "All fees collected for the purpose of this act shall be deposited in this account and the department shall draw from the account sufficient funds to cover the administrative and enforcement costs of operating the program."

It is a technical amendment. I ask for its adoption.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Ary	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Borski	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Olasz	Trello

Cornell	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Durham	Levi	Richardson	
Emerson	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

White Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Alden	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Tefek
Colafella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne

Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

White

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1168, PN 2295**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to convey to the Township of East Horriton, 23 acres of land, more or less, situate in the Township of East Norriton, Montgomery County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer

Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehmann	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hoefel	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
DeVertter	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Lashingier	Pratt	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Zwikel
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—1

Wambach

NOT VOTING—6

Emerson	Itkin	White	Wilson
Gray	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**REQUEST FOR RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We are at a point in the calendar which I believe is the right time to break for lunch. I suggest that we recess until 1:30 p.m. for that purpose.

I would ask you, Mr. Speaker, before recessing that you recognize the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts.

**LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts.

Mr. PITTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to reconvene the Labor Relations Committee meeting, which was recessed earlier this morning, at this point in the majority caucus room to take up HB 246.

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader have any announcements?

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I think we should try one other thing, and I apologize to the Chair. I just had a conversation with the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

The next bill on our calendar on page 13 is HB 1623. The gentleman, Mr. Fryer, has an amendment to that bill, and if by some chance that amendment would be approved by the House, he would then be required to prepare an amendment to all those bills which follow thereafter which address the same topical issue. If the House agrees to the Fryer amendment, which I am not sure it will do, but if on the chance that it does, Mr. Fryer would have time over the lunch hour to get his amendments prepared to all the other bills and the House would not be delayed in its action this afternoon. So I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, that we do continue with the calendar for just one bill, HB 1623, and recognize Mr. Fryer for the purpose of an amendment.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1623, PN 1902**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 29, 1937 (P. L. 526, No. 118), entitled, as amended, "An act providing for and regulating joint purchases by counties (other than counties of the first class), cities of the second and third class, boroughs, towns, townships, school districts, institution districts and poor districts," increasing the amount of expenditures without bids.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. FRYER offered the following amendment No. A4009:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 2), page 1, lines 19 and 20, by striking out "four thousand dollars (\$4,000)" and inserting three thousand dollars (\$3,000)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, I wish to thank the majority leader for his act of courtesy.

We have before us at this time HB 1623. This is the first of a package of over 20 bills that will be acted upon today. This bill, if passed, would permit local officials to make purchases of \$4,000 without advertising for bids. Presently the law states that on purchases of \$2,500 or more, they must be advertised and bids accepted. Mr. Speaker, this represents an increase of \$1,500. I submit that this increase is too great. In 1976 the figure was raised from \$1,500 to \$2,000; in 1978 the legislature saw fit to raise this to the present figure of \$2,500. There is no question that inflation is making everything more expensive, but it is hard to accept a 60-percent increase over the last 3 years or a 100-percent increase over the last 5 years.

The requirement of competitive bidding is a protection to the taxpayer and helps to ensure that those business persons who participate are treated fairly and equally. Therefore, Mr.

Speaker, I am proposing an increase from the present figure of \$2,500 to the figure of \$3,000, rather than the \$4,000 that is in HB 1623. If this is accepted, Mr. Speaker, I feel that the taxpayers' interests will be recognized, and I request a "yes" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McKean, Mr. Mackowski.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I beg of you to oppose this amendment, not only because of inflation, the cost of the advertising and so forth that is necessary, but I would like to remind you that last week this House voted 194 to 0 on the figure of \$4,000 on the purchases for second-class counties. Now, all I am asking in this series of bills is that these other municipalities and agencies be given the same fair treatment that the second-class county was accorded last week. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise to oppose this amendment. I represent the city of Wilkes-Barre in Luzerne County, a third-class city which looks upon these bills as saving them not substantial amounts of money but certainly significant amounts of money as far as advertising and everything else goes for purchases of less than \$4,000. I believe that inflation has rendered the present cap totally inoperable and has sort of tied the hands of local governments. I believe that these bills are a step in the right direction of freeing the hands of local governments and helping them to better determine their own future. And again, they are going to save not substantial but I think significant amounts of money. I urge defeat of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Coslett.

Mr. COSLETT. Mr. Speaker, I also rise to oppose this amendment. I am the prime sponsor of 8 of these bills and a cosponsor of the other 14, and we worked very hard on these bills. We compromised on the figure. They wanted five; we settled for four. And serving a municipality like I did, I think it is up to the local officials to have the responsibility to leave these bids out. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, in support of Representative Fryer's amendment, the Ethics Commission of this State that was originated by this State legislature has just recently made a ruling with regard to counties and the purchases of service within those counties, mandating to those counties that in fact any contract above \$2,500 would be bid. I believe that Mr. Fryer's amendment does have merit, and we should support it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I rise briefly in support of the Fryer amendment. I recognize that in some areas of the State perhaps the effects of \$4,000 do not buy very much, but in rural areas like Somerset County, that can do a lot of work on public buildings. And I think that at a time when we are trying

to save the taxpayers' money and cut the budget at the Federal level and State level and we are trying to force costs onto the counties, we have to make absolutely certain that the units of local government get the best possible price that they can get without any regard for political favoritism. That is what the Fryer amendment is designed to do - to recognize that there has been some inflation but to recognize that we all have to tighten our belts, and I urge adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry.

Mr. McVERRY. Mr. Speaker, I adopt the argument of Representative Lloyd in support of the countervailing argument, and that is that defeat of the Fryer amendment will in fact save local government much more money than it will to increase the limit from \$2,500 to \$3,000 and go through the costs of advertising and getting bids from many people for things that are generally routine for local government today. I urge the defeat of the Fryer amendment, and let us increase the authority of local governments to spend up to \$4,000 for routine matters.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, it is most interesting listening to these remarks on the floor, and I have heard very few comments in regard to the taxpayer, the "little Joe" who is picking up the tab for all this maneuvering. They decided the costs of advertising. They have the bill before us. It says advertising once a week for 2 weeks in one newspaper. Now, is that not a tremendous advertising cost? We are not advertising in Newsweek; we are advertising in the local newspapers, and all we are doing there is saying the local elected officials want to take public money, taxpayers' money, and they want to buy items. And we are saying, these are the items that are to be bid upon, letting everyone know, the business people who are interested, and then the lowest responsible bidder gets the bid. Now, what in the world is wrong with that? Nothing.

I am amazed when I hear talk like that in this chamber, because I can hear the talk when you are out on the campaign trail and you speak long and loud for the interests of the taxpayer. How you love him and her when it is so close to the election. Now, what has cooled the feelings? Are we that cold creatures that we say, how far off is the election? Maybe we could hold it off until 3 weeks before the election, and then we could speak with a resounding voice for that poor, oppressed taxpayer who has the good judgment—I repeat, the good judgment—to send you, me, and the rest of us to this chamber. Now let us today act on his behalf and her behalf. It is strictly for the taxpayers. I am not interested in the rest of the mishmash. Let us go for the taxpayers, and let us have a "yes" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Marmion.

Mr. MARMION. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It sounds as though our noted colleague, Mr. Fryer, has been into that Heineken beer that we voted on last week. I urge you to defeat this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let the record show that the taxpayers would also have to pay the costs of all of the advertising of which we spoke. I think we have here a fair approach to the problem. I ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes for the second time on the issue the gentleman, Mr. Mackowski.

Mr. MACKOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I just want to personally thank Representative Fryer. I did ask him in his passionate appeal once before not to pull a handkerchief on me this time, and he agreed not to do that. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes for the second time on the issue the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I would note that if the concern is saving advertising costs, there was a way to address that without raising the bid limit. The gentleman, Mr. Fryer, said that under the existing law there has to be an advertisement in a newspaper for 2 weeks. Why not reduce the number of times that it is published in order to adjust for the inflation rate in the cost of advertising? That is not the issue here. The issue is not advertising costs. The issue is whether we are going to hold our local officials accountable. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—71

Barber	Emerson	Levin	Ritter
Belardi	Evans	Livengood	Rybak
Berson	Fee	Lloyd	Serafini
Bowser	Fischer	McCall	Seventy
Brown	Fryer	McMonagle	Stewart
Burns	Gallagher	Maiale	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gamble	Manderino	Sweet
Cawley	Greenfield	Miscevich	Swift
Clark	Gruitza	Morris	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Harper	Mrkonic	Tigue
Cole	Horgos	O'Donnell	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Wachob
DeMedio	Kolter	Pendleton	Wambach
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Petrarca	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Deal	Laughlin	Pratt	Wilson
Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Letterman	Rieger	

NAYS—120

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Showers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Shupnik
Armstrong	Frazier	Manmiller	Sieminski
Arty	Freind	Marmion	Sirianni
Belfanti	Gallen	Merry	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gannon	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Geist	Micozzie	Smith, I. E.
Borski	George	Miller	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Mowery	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Mullen	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Stevens
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Heiser	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Hoeffel	Petrone	Vroon

Coslett	Honaman	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Jackson	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Johnson	Pott	Wogan
Davies	Kanuck	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dietz	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Klingaman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rocks	
Dorr	Levi	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Saurman	Speaker
Fleck	McVerry		

NOT VOTING—6

Gray	McClatchy	White	Williams, J. D.
Lucyk	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—165

Alden	Fleck	McCall	Showers
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Shupnik
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Sieminski
Barber	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Brandt	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Jackson	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Ritter	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Rocks	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rybak	

Emerson	Lewis	Salvatore	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Saurman	Speaker
Fee	Lucyk	Seventy	

NAYS—20

Belardi	DeWeese	Horgos	Pendleton
Brown	Fischer	Lloyd	Pratt
Burns	Fryer	Maiiale	Serafini
Cawley	George	Mrkonic	Tigue
Clark	Greenfield	Olasz	Vroon

NOT VOTING—12

Armstrong	Gray	McIntyre	Phillips
Dininni	Johnson	Manmiller	White
Fargo	Lashingier	Miller	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**REQUEST FOR RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I suggest that we recess until 1:30 p.m.

**REMARKS ON VOTES**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Fargo.

Mr. FARGO. Mr. Speaker, I missed the vote on HB 1623. It would have been in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk.

Mr. LUCYK. Mr. Speaker, my switch malfunctioned on the Fryer amendment. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on that vote.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, this House will stand in recess until 1:30 p.m. The Chair hears none.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

**AMENDED HOUSE BILL  
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 530, PN 2404**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. The bill will appear on the calendar.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

**HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 557, PN 584**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 557, PN 584**

An Act amending "The Game Law," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225, No. 316), further providing for overnight camping on State Game Lands.

**CALENDAR RESUMED**

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1006, PN 1278**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp. Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), entitled "Unemployment Compensation Law," making changes required by the Federal Government to conform to Federal law.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—187**

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rocks
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Rybak
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Serafini
Berson	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Showers
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Shupnik
Borski	Gallen	Maiiale	Sieminski
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Brown	George	Merry	Smith, L. E.



Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spencer
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Suban
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Clark	Hasay	Mullen	Sweet
Clymer	Hayes	Murphy	Swift
Cochran	Heiser	Nahill	Taddonio
Colafella	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cordisco	Horgos	Olasz	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Tigue
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Trello
Cowell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cunningham	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrarca	Wachob
DeVerter	Kanuck	Petrone	Wambach
DeWeese	Kennedy	Phillips	Wargo
Daikeler	Klingaman	Piccola	Wass
Davies	Kolter	Pievsky	Wenger
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pistella	Weston
Dietz	Kukovich	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pott	Wilson
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pratt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Zwilk
Durham	Levi	Reber	
Emerson	Levin	Richardson	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—10

Barber	Harper	Wiggins	Wogan
Deal	McIntyre	Williams, J. D.	Wright, R. C.
Gray	White		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 264, PN 269**

By Rep. PITTS

An Act amending the "Unemployment Compensation Law," approved December 5, 1936 (Sp. Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), further providing for the amount of compensation.

LABOR RELATIONS.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1624, PN 2296**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Third Class City Code," approved June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), further regulating contracts as to purchasing and advertising requirements.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—173

Alden	Fleck	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Clark	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Heiser	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cordisco	Horgos	Olasz	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Petrone	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Phillips	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
Dawida	Kolter	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pitts	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pott	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Reber	Zwilk
Emerson	Levin	Rieger	
Fargo	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak	

NAYS—6

Blaum	Cawley	Lloyd	Tigue
Brown	Fryer		

NOT VOTING—18

Barber	Gladeck	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gray	Pratt	White
DeWeese	Harper	Richardson	Wiggins
Deal	Kukovich	Serafini	Williams, J. D.
Evans	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1625, PN 1904**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 7, 1901 (P. L. 20, No. 14), entitled "An act for the government of cities of the second class," further regulating contracts, contract procedures and advertising for bids.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, on the current bill that represents part of the package which raises the amount to \$4,000, I wish to commend those members who voted "yes" on the Fryer amendment. And I wish to also further state that I shall be voting "no" on the entire package to show my displeasure. I realize they will be passing, but I feel very strongly on this issue, and I merely wanted to show my displeasure. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, would you please allow the record to show that I inadvertently voted in the affirmative on HB 1624, and I would like it to show a negative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wogan.

Mr. WOGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 1006 I was not in my seat when the vote was taken. Had I been there, I would have wished to have been recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1625 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Fleck	Lucyk	Ritter
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rybak
Arty	Frazier	McMonagle	Salvatore
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Saurman
Belfanti	Gallagher	Mackowski	Seventy
Bittle	Gallen	Madigan	Showers

Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Shupnik
Bowser	Gannon	Mannmiller	Sieminski
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Sirianni
Brandt	Grabowski	Merry	Smith, B.
Burd	Greenfield	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miller	Snyder
Cessar	Gruitza	Miscevich	Spencer
Cimini	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stairs
Civera	Hagarty	Morris	Steighner
Clark	Harper	Mowery	Stevens
Clymer	Hasay	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cochran	Hayes	Mullen	Swaim
Cole	Heiser	Murphy	Sweet
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Nahill	Swift
Cornell	Honaman	Noye	Taddonio
Coslett	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Telek
DeMedio	Itkin	Pendleton	Trello
DeVerter	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
DeWeese	Johnson	Peterson	Wambach
Daikeler	Kanuck	Petrarca	Wass
Davies	Kennedy	Petrone	Wenger
Dawida	Klingaman	Phillips	Weston
Dietz	Kolter	Piccola	Williams, H.
Dininni	Kowalyszyn	Pievsky	Wogan
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pistella	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lashinger	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Laughlin	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Lescovitz	Punt	Zwinkl
Emerson	Letterman	Rasco	
Fargo	Levi	Reber	Ryan,
Fee	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker
Fischer	Livengood		

NAYS—12

Blaum	Cawley	George	Vroon
Brown	Colafella	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Tigue	Wilson

NOT VOTING—17

Barber	Gray	Pratt	Van Horne
Berson	Levin	Richardson	White
Deal	McIntyre	Serafini	Wiggins
Evans	Maiale	Stewart	Williams, J. D.
Gladeck			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1626, PN 1905**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 12, 1972 (P. L. 762, No. 180), entitled "An act relating to intergovernmental cooperation," regulating joint purchases and bids on such purchases.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—167

Alden	Fleck	Lewis	Rieger
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rocks
Arty	Frazier	McCall	Rybak
Belardi	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Belfanti	Gallagher	McMonagle	Seventy
Berson	Gallen	McVerry	Showers
Bittle	Gamble	Mackowski	Shupnik
Borski	Gannon	Madigan	Sieminski
Bowser	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gladeck	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Grabowski	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Greenfield	Merry	Snyder
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spencer
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Cessar	Gruitza	Miscevich	Steighner
Cimini	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Civera	Hagarty	Morris	Stewart
Clark	Harper	Mowery	Stuban
Clymer	Hasay	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cochran	Hayes	Mullen	Sweet
Colafella	Heiser	Murphy	Swift
Cole	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taddonio
Cornell	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Telek
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Trello
DeMedio	Itkin	Pendleton	Wachob
DeVerter	Jackson	Perzel	Wambach
DeWeese	Johnson	Peterson	Wass
Daikeler	Kanuck	Petrarca	Wenger
Davies	Kennedy	Petrone	Weston
Dawida	Klingaman	Phillips	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kolter	Piccola	Wogan
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Wozniak
Donatucci	Kukovich	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lashingier	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Laughlin	Pott	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Zwifl
Emerson	Lescovitz	Punt	
Fargo	Letterman	Rasco	Ryan,
Fee	Levi	Reber	Speaker
Fischer			

NAYS—12

Blaum	Cawley	George	Vroon
Brown	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Tigue	Wilson

NOT VOTING—18

Barber	Levin	Richardson	Van Horne
Cordisco	McIntyre	Saurman	White
Deal	Maiale	Serafini	Wiggins
Evans	Michlovic	Sirianni	Williams, J. D.
Gray	Pratt		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1627, PN 1906**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Municipality Authorities Act of 1945," approved May 2, 1945 (P. L. 382, No. 164), further regulating contract procedures, purchases and advertising for bids.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—170

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rocks
Anderson	Frazier	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McMonagle	Saurman
Belfanti	Gallen	McVerry	Seventy
Berson	Gamble	Mackowski	Showers
Bittle	Gannon	Madigan	Shupnik
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Grabowski	Marmion	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stairs
Cimini	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Steighner
Civera	Harper	Morris	Stevens
Clark	Hasay	Mowery	Stewart
Clymer	Hayes	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cochran	Heiser	Mullen	Swaim
Colafella	Hoeffel	Murphy	Sweet
Cole	Honaman	Nahill	Swift
Cornell	Horgos	Noye	Taddonio
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
DeMedio	Itkin	Oliver	Telek
DeVerter	Jackson	Pendleton	Trello
DeWeese	Johnson	Perzel	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kanuck	Peterson	Wachob
Davies	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wambach
Dawida	Klingaman	Petrone	Wass
Dietz	Kolter	Phillips	Wenger
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Weston
Donatucci	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Dorr	Lashingier	Pistella	Wogan
Duffy	Laughlin	Pitts	Wozniak
Durham	Lehr	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Levi	Rasco	Zwifl
Fischer	Levin	Reber	
Fleck	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—13

Belardi	Cawley	George	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fryer	Tigue	Wilson
Burns			

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Evans	Pratt	White
Cordisco	Gray	Richardson	Wiggins
Coslett	McIntyre	Serafini	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Maiale		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1628, PN 2297**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), further regulating contracts, advertisements, specifications, and bids for certain contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Freind	McClatchy	Rybak
Anderson	Gallagher	McMonagle	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallen	McVerry	Saurman
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Seventy
Belfanti	Gannon	Madigan	Showers
Bittle	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Grabowski	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Spencer
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Harper	Morris	Steighner
Civera	Hasay	Mowery	Stevens
Clark	Hayes	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clymer	Heiser	Mullen	Stuban
Cochran	Hoeffel	Murphy	Swaim
Cole	Honaman	Nahill	Sweet
Cornell	Horgos	Noye	Swift
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
DeMedio	Jackson	Pendleton	Telek
DeVerter	Johnson	Perzel	Trello
DeWeese	Kanuck	Peterson	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
Davies	Klingaman	Petrone	Wambach
Dawida	Kolter	Phillips	Wass
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Piccola	Wenger
Dininni	Kukovich	Pievsky	Weston
Donatucci	Lashingier	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dorr	Laughlin	Pitts	Wogan
Duffy	Lehr	Pott	Wozniak
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Letterman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Levi	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Levin	Reber	Zwinkl
Fleck	Lewis	Rieger	
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rocks	Speaker

Frazier McCall

NAYS—15

Belardi	Cawley	Fryer	Vroon
Blaum	Colafella	George	Wargo
Brown	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wilson
Burns	Fischer	Tigue	

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Evans	Pratt	White
Berson	Gray	Richardson	Wiggins
Cordisco	McIntyre	Serafini	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Maiale		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1629, PN 2298**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Borough Code," approved February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), further providing for the awarding of contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Frazier	McCall	Rybak
Anderson	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	McMonagle	Saurman
Arty	Gallen	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Gamble	Mackowski	Showers
Bittle	Gannon	Madigan	Shupnik
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Grabowski	Marmion	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Hagarty	Morris	Steighner
Civera	Harper	Mowery	Stevens
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clymer	Hayes	Mullen	Stuban
Cochran	Heiser	Murphy	Swaim
Colafella	Hoeffel	Nahill	Sweet
Cole	Honaman	Noye	Swift
Cornell	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Itkin	Pendleton	Telek
DeMedio	Jackson	Perzel	Trello
DeVerter	Johnson	Peterson	Van Horne
DeWeese	Kanuck	Petrarca	Wachob
Daikeler	Kennedy	Petrone	Wambach
Davies	Klingaman	Phillips	Wass

Dawida	Kolter	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pievsky	Weston
Dininni	Kukovich	Pistella	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lashingner	Pitts	Wogan
Dorr	Laughlin	Pott	Wozniak
Duffy	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Levi	Reber	Zwikl
Fee	Levin	Rieger	
Fleck	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk		

NAYS—14

Belardi	Cawley	George	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Tigue	Wilson
Burns	Fryer		

NOT VOTING—15

Barber	Evans	Miller	White
Berson	Gray	Pratt	Wiggins
Cordisco	McIntyre	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Maiale	Serafini	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1630, PN 2299**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), further regulating contracts as to advertising requirements.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Frazier	McClatchy	Rybak
Anderson	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	McVerry	Saurman
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Seventy
Belfanti	Gannon	Madigan	Showers
Bittle	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Grabowski	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Spencer
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Harper	Morris	Steighner
Civera	Hasay	Mowery	Stevens
Clark	Hayes	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clymer	Heiser	Mullen	Stuban

Cochran	Hoeffel	Murphy	Swaim
Colafilella	Honaman	Nahill	Sweet
Cole	Horgos	Noye	Swift
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Jackson	Pendleton	Telek
DeMedio	Johnson	Perzel	Trello
DeVerter	Kanuck	Peterson	Van Horne
DeWeese	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
Daikeler	Klingaman	Petrone	Wambach
Davies	Kolter	Phillips	Wass
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Kukovich	Pievsky	Weston
Dininni	Lashingner	Pistella	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pitts	Wogan
Dorr	Lehr	Pott	Wozniak
Duffy	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Letterman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levi	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Levin	Reber	Zwikl
Fee	Lewis	Rieger	
Fleck	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	McCall		

NAYS—15

Belardi	Cawley	Gallen	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	George	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Lloyd	Wilson
Burns	Fryer	Tigue	

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Evans	Pratt	White
Berson	Gray	Richardson	Wiggins
Cordisco	McIntyre	Serafini	Williams, J. D.
Deal	Maiale		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1631, PN 1910**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 27, 1953 (P. L. 244, No. 34), entitled "An act relating to and regulating the contracts of incorporated towns and providing penalties," further regulating contracts and further providing for advertising requirements.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Freind	McClatchy	Rybak
Anderson	Gallagher	McMonagle	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallen	McVerry	Saurman
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Seventy

Bittle	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Grabowski	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miller	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Spencer
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Harper	Morris	Steighner
Civera	Hasay	Mowery	Stevens
Clark	Hayes	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clymer	Heiser	Mullen	Stuban
Cochran	Hoeffel	Murphy	Swaim
Colafella	Honaman	Nahill	Sweet
Cole	Horgos	Noye	Swift
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Jackson	Pendleton	Telek
DeMedio	Johnson	Perzel	Trello
DeVerter	Kanuck	Peterson	Van Horne
DeWeese	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
Daikeler	Klingaman	Petrone	Wambach
Davies	Kolter	Phillips	Wass
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Kukovich	Pievsky	Weston
Dininni	Lashinger	Pistella	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pitts	Wogan
Dorr	Lehr	Pott	Wozniak
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Letterman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Levi	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Levin	Reber	Zwinkl
Fleck	Lewis	Rieger	
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rocks	Speaker
Frazier	McCall		

NAYS—14

Belardi	Cawley	George	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Tigue	Wilson
Burns	Fryer		

NOT VOTING—15

Barber	Duffy	Maiale	White
Berson	Evans	Pratt	Wiggins
Cordisco	Gray	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
Deal	McIntyre	Serafini	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1632, PN 1911**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Second Class County Port Authority Act," approved April 6, 1956 (1955 P. L. 1414, No. 465), further providing for the awarding of contracts and making of purchases.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—167

Alden	Frazier	Lucyk	Rocks
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallagher	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Gallen	McMonagle	Saurman
Belfanti	Gamble	McVerry	Seventy
Bittle	Gannon	Mackowski	Showers
Borski	Geist	Madigan	Shupnik
Bowser	Gladeck	Manderino	Sieminski
Boyes	Grabowski	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenfield	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Greenwood	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Michlovic	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Micozzie	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Miller	Stairs
Cimini	Hagarty	Miscevich	Steighner
Civera	Harper	Moehlmann	Stevens
Clark	Hasay	Morris	Stewart
Clymer	Hayes	Mowery	Stuban
Cochran	Heiser	Mrkonic	Swaim
Colafella	Hoeffel	Mullen	Sweet
Cole	Honaman	Murphy	Swift
Cornell	Horgos	Nahill	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Itkin	Olasz	Telek
DeMedio	Jackson	Oliver	Trello
DeVerter	Johnson	Pendleton	Van Horne
DeWeese	Kanuck	Perzel	Wachob
Daikeler	Kennedy	Peterson	Wambach
Davies	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wass
Dawida	Kolter	Petrone	Wenger
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Phillips	Weston
Dininni	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lashinger	Pistella	Wogan
Dorr	Laughlin	Pitts	Wozniak
Duffy	Lehr	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Levi	Rasco	Zwinkl
Fee	Levin	Reber	
Fleck	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.			

NAYS—14

Belardi	Cawley	George	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Tigue	Wilson
Burns	Fryer		

NOT VOTING—16

Barber	Evans	Piccola	Sirianni
Berson	Gray	Pratt	White
Cordisco	McIntyre	Richardson	Wiggins
Deal	Maiale	Serafini	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1633, PN 1912**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 20, 1968 (P. L. 1075, No. 329), referred to as the Public Television Network System Law, further providing for contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—173**

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rybak
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Frazier	McMonagle	Saurman
Arty	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Barber	Gallagher	Mackowski	Showers
Belfanti	Gallen	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Sieminski
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Snyder
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Spencer
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Cessar	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cimini	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Civera	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Clark	Harper	Mrkonic	Suban
Clymer	Hasay	Mullen	Swaim
Cochran	Hayes	Murphy	Sweet
Colafella	Heiser	Nahill	Swift
Cole	Hoeffel	Noye	Taddonio
Cornell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Horgos	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Telek
Cunningham	Itkin	Pendleton	Trello
DeMedio	Jackson	Perzel	Van Horne
DeVerter	Johnson	Peterson	Wachob
DeWeese	Kanuck	Petrarca	Wambach
Daikeler	Kennedy	Petrone	Wass
Davies	Klingaman	Phillips	Wenger
Dawida	Kolter	Piccola	Weston
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dietz	Kukovich	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dininni	Lashingner	Pitts	Wogan
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pott	Wozniak
Dorr	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Emerson	Levi	Reber	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Richardson	
Fargo	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Ritter	Speaker
Fleck	Lucy	Rocks	

**NAYS—16**

Belardi	Cawley	George	Tigue
Blaum	Dombrowski	Hutchinson, A.	Vroon
Brown	Fischer	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Serafini	Wilson

**NOT VOTING—8**

Berson	Gray	Maiale	White
Cordisco	McIntyre	Pratt	Williams, J. D.

**EXCUSED—6**

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1634, PN 1913**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1913 (P. L. 155, No. 104), entitled "An act regulating the letting of certain contracts for the erection, construction, and alteration of public buildings," increasing the minimum bid requirement.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—170**

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rocks
Anderson	Frazier	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McMonagle	Saurman
Belfanti	Gallen	McVerry	Seventy
Bittle	Gamble	Mackowski	Showers
Borski	Gannon	Madigan	Shupnik
Bowser	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Boyes	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Grabowski	Marmion	Smith, B.
Burd	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Cappabianca	Grieco	Micozzie	Snyder
Cessar	Gruitza	Miller	Spencer
Cimini	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stairs
Civera	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Steighner
Clark	Harper	Morris	Stevens
Clymer	Hasay	Mowery	Stewart
Cochran	Hayes	Mrkonic	Suban
Colafella	Heiser	Mullen	Swaim
Cole	Hoeffel	Murphy	Sweet
Cornell	Honaman	Nahill	Swift
Coslett	Horgos	Noye	Taddonio
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
DeMedio	Itkin	Oliver	Telek
DeVerter	Jackson	Pendleton	Trello
DeWeese	Johnson	Perzel	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kanuck	Peterson	Wachob
Dawida	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wambach
Dietz	Klingaman	Petrone	Wass
Dininni	Kolter	Phillips	Wenger
Donatucci	Kowalyszyn	Piccola	Weston
Dorr	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Duffy	Lashingner	Pistella	Wogan
Durham	Laughlin	Pitts	Wozniak
	Lehr	Pott	Wright, D. R.
	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.

Emerson	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Levi	Rasco	Zwikt
Fee	Levin	Reber	
Fleck	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—14

Belardi	Cawley	Lloyd	Vroon
Blaum	Fischer	Serafini	Wargo
Brown	Fryer	Tigue	Wilson
Burns	George		

NOT VOTING—13

Barber	Evans	Maiale	White
Berson	Gray	Pratt	Wiggins
Cordisco	McIntyre	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
Deal			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, HB 1635 is marked for a vote at this time. Please hold the bill over.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, HB 1635 will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1636, PN 1915**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Urban Mass Transportation Law," approved January 22, 1968 (P. L. 42, No. 8), further providing for contracts, procurement and sale of property and competition in award of contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Freind	McVerry	Rybak
Anderson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Saurman
Arty	Gamble	Maiale	Seventy
Belfanti	Gannon	Manderino	Showers
Bittle	Geist	Manmiller	Shupnik
Borski	Gladeck	Marmion	Sieminski
Bowser	Grabowski	Merry	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenfield	Michlovic	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Snyder
Cessar	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Spencer
Cimini	Hagarty	Morris	Stairs

Civera	Harper	Mowery	Steighner
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonic	Stevens
Clymer	Hayes	Mullen	Stewart
Cochran	Heiser	Murphy	Stuban
Colafella	Hoefel	Nahill	Swaim
Cole	Honaman	Noye	Sweet
Cornell	Horgos	O'Donnell	Swift
Coslett	Itkin	Olasz	Taddonio
Cowell	Jackson	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Johnson	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
DeMedio	Kanuck	Perzel	Telek
DeVerter	Kennedy	Peterson	Trello
DeWeese	Klingaman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kolter	Petrone	Wachob
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Phillips	Wambach
Dawida	Kukovich	Piccola	Wass
Deal	Lashinger	Pievsky	Wenger
Dietz	Laughlin	Pistella	Weston
Dininni	Lehr	Pitts	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Pott	Wogan
Dorr	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Durham	Levi	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Levin	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Lewis	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Livengood	Richardson	Zwikt
Fleck	Lucyk	Rieger	
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Ritter	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rocks	Speaker
Frazier	McMonagle		

NAYS—17

Belardi	Cawley	George	Tigue
Blaum	Dombrowski	Hutchinson, A.	Vroon
Brown	Fischer	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Serafini	Wilson
Cappabianca			

NOT VOTING—12

Barber	Duffy	Hutchinson, W.	White
Berson	Evans	McIntyre	Wiggins
Cordisco	Gray	Pratt	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1637, PN 1916**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Public Auditorium Authorities Law," approved July 29, 1953 (P. L. 1034, No. 270), increasing the amount of supplies and materials which may be purchased without advertising.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.



YEAS—166

Alden	Frazier	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Freind	McMonagle	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallagher	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Gallen	Mackowski	Saurman
Belfanti	Gamble	Madigan	Seventy
Bittle	Gannon	Manderino	Showers
Borski	Geist	Manmiller	Shupnik
Bowser	Gladeck	Marmion	Sieminski
Boyes	Grabowski	Merry	Sirianni
Brandt	Greenfield	Michlovic	Smith, B.
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Gruitza	Miscevich	Snyder
Cimini	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Spencer
Civera	Hagarty	Morris	Stairs
Clark	Harper	Mowery	Steighner
Clymer	Hasay	Mrkonic	Stevens
Cochran	Hayes	Mullen	Stewart
Colafella	Heiser	Murphy	Stuban
Cole	Hoeffel	Nahill	Swaim
Cornell	Honaman	Noye	Sweet
Coslett	Horgos	O'Donnell	Swift
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taddonio
Cunningham	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
DeMedio	Jackson	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
DeVerter	Johnson	Perzel	Telek
Daikeler	Kanuck	Peterson	Trello
Davies	Kennedy	Petrarca	Van Horne
Dawida	Klingaman	Petrone	Wachob
Deal	Kolter	Phillips	Wambach
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Wass
Dininni	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wenger
Donatucci	Lashingner	Pistella	Weston
Dorr	Laughlin	Pitts	Williams, H.
Duffy	Lehr	Pott	Wozniak
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Levi	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Levin	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fee	Lewis	Reber	Zwinkl
Fleck	Livengood	Richardson	
Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—19

Belardi	Cawley	George	Tigue
Blaum	DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	Vroon
Brown	Dombrowski	Letterman	Wargo
Burns	Fischer	Lloyd	Wilson
Cappabianca	Fryer	Serafini	

NOT VOTING—12

Barber	Evans	Maiale	Wiggins
Berson	Gray	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Cordisco	McIntyre	White	Wogan

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1638, PN 1917**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Parking Authority Law," approved June 5, 1947 (P. L. 458, No. 208), increasing the minimum amount for which Authority may contract or purchase without bids.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Alden	Frazier	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Freind	McMonagle	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallagher	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Gallen	Mackowski	Saurman
Belfanti	Gamble	Madigan	Seventy
Bittle	Gannon	Maiale	Showers
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Bowser	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sieminski
Boyes	Grabowski	Marmion	Sirianni
Brandt	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, B.
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Snyder
Cessar	Gruppo	Miscevich	Spencer
Cimini	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stairs
Civera	Harper	Morris	Steighner
Clark	Hasay	Mowery	Stevens
Clymer	Hayes	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cochran	Heiser	Mullen	Stuban
Colafella	Hoeffel	Murphy	Swaim
Cole	Honaman	Nahill	Sweet
Cornell	Horgos	Noye	Swift
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cunningham	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
DeMedio	Jackson	Pendleton	Telek
DeVerter	Johnson	Perzel	Trello
Davies	Kanuck	Peterson	Van Horne
Dawida	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
Deal	Klingaman	Petrone	Wambach
Dietz	Kolter	Phillips	Wass
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Wenger
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pievsky	Weston
Donatucci	Lashingner	Pistella	Wogan
Dorr	Laughlin	Pitts	Wozniak
Duffy	Lehr	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levi	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Levin	Rasco	Zwinkl
Fee	Lewis	Reber	
Fleck	Livengood	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Ritter	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	McCall		

NAYS—17

Belardi	DeWeese	Letterman	Vroon
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fryer	Serafini	Williams, H.
Burns	George	Tigue	Wilson
Cawley			

NOT VOTING—12

Barber	Daikeler	McIntyre	White
Berson	Evans	Pratt	Wiggins
Cordisco	Gray	Richardson	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1639, PN 1918**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 7, 1936 (Sp. Sess., P. L. 106, No. 46), referred to as the Flood Control Law, further providing for contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—171**

Alden	Freind	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rybak
Armstrong	Gallen	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Saurman
Barber	Gannon	Madigan	Seventy
Belfanti	Geist	Maiale	Showers
Bittle	Gladeck	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Grabowski	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Greenfield	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Greenwood	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Grieco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Gruitza	Micozzie	Snyder
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Miller	Spencer
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Miscevich	Stairs
Cessar	Harper	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cimini	Hasay	Morris	Stevens
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Stewart
Clark	Heiser	Mrkonic	Stuban
Clymer	Hoeffel	Mullen	Swaim
Cochran	Honaman	Murphy	Sweet
Colafella	Horgos	Nahill	Swift
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Taddonio
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Itkin	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cowell	Jackson	Oliver	Telek
Cunningham	Johnson	Pendleton	Trello
DeMedio	Kanuck	Perzel	Van Horne
DeVerter	Kennedy	Peterson	Wachob
Daikeler	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Davies	Kolter	Petrone	Wass
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Phillips	Wenger
Deal	Kukovich	Piccola	Weston
Dietz	Lashinger	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dininni	Laughlin	Pistella	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lehr	Pitts	Wogan
Dorr	Lescovitz	Pott	Wozniak
Duffy	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Levi	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levin	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Fargo	Lewis	Reber	Zwinkl
Fleck	Livengood	Richardson	
Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Rieger	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
Frazier			

**NAYS—16**

Belardi	Cawley	Fryer	Tigue
Blaum	DeWeese	George	Vroon
Brown	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fischer	Scrafini	Wilson

**NOT VOTING—10**

Berson	Fee	Pratt	White
Cordisco	Gray	Smith, E. H.	Williams, J. D.
Evans	McIntyre		

**EXCUSED—6**

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1640, PN 1919**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 6, 1936 (Sp. Sess., P. L. 95, No. 38 1/2), entitled "An act to authorize and empower cities, boroughs, towns, and townships, separately or jointly, to provide for protection against floods by erecting and constructing certain works and improvements, located within or without their territorial limits, and within or without the county in which situate; \*\*\* and to acquire, take, injure or destroy property for such purposes," further regulating purchases and bidding on such purchases.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—172**

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Rybak
Anderson	Frazier	McVerry	Salvatore
Armstrong	Freind	Mackowski	Saurman
Arty	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Barber	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Belfanti	Gannon	Manderino	Shupnik
Bittle	Geist	Manmiller	Sieminski
Borski	Gladeck	Marmion	Sirianni
Bowser	Grabowski	Merry	Smith, B.
Boyes	Greenfield	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grieco	Miller	Snyder
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Spencer
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cessar	Hagarty	Morris	Steighner
Cimini	Harper	Mowery	Stevens
Civera	Hasay	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clark	Hayes	Mullen	Stuban
Clymer	Heiser	Murphy	Swaim
Cochran	Hoeffel	Nahill	Sweet
Colafella	Honaman	Noye	Swift
Cole	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Itkin	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cowell	Jackson	Pendleton	Telek
Cunningham	Johnson	Perzel	Trello
DeMedio	Kanuck	Peterson	Van Horne
DeVerter	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wachob
Daikeler	Klingaman	Petrone	Wambach
Davies	Kolter	Phillips	Wass
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Piccola	Wenger
Deal	Kukovich	Pievsky	Weston

Dietz	Lashinger	Pistella	Wiggins
Dininni	Laughlin	Pitts	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lehr	Pott	Wogan
Dorr	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Duffy	Letterman	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Levi	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levin	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Lewis	Richardson	Zwinkl
Fargo	Livengood	Rieger	
Fee	Lucyk	Ritter	Ryan,
Fleck	McCall	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	McClatchy		

NAYS—16

Belardi	Cawley	Fryer	Tigue
Blaum	DeWeese	George	Vroon
Brown	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fischer	Serafini	Wilson

NOT VOTING—9

Berson	Gray	McIntyre	White
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Gamble			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1641, PN 1920**, entitled:

An Act amending the "State Public School Building Authority Act," approved July 5, 1947 (P. L. 1217, No. 498), further providing for award of contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Frazier	McMonagle	Rybak
Armstrong	Freind	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	Mackowski	Saurman
Barber	Gallen	Madigan	Seventy
Belfanti	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Bittle	Gannon	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Geist	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Marmion	Sirianni
Boyes	Grabowski	Merry	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenfield	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cimini	Hagarty	Morris	Steighner
Civera	Harper	Mowery	Stevens
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonjic	Stewart
Clymer	Hayes	Mullen	Struban
Cochran	Heiser	Murphy	Swaim

Colatella	Hoeffel	Nahill	Sweet
Cole	Honaman	Noye	Swift
Cornell	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Coslett	Itkin	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Jackson	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Johnson	Pendleton	Telek
DeMedio	Kanuck	Perzel	Trelio
DeVerter	Kennedy	Peterson	Van Horne
Daikeler	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wachob
Davies	Kolter	Petrone	Wambach
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Phillips	Wass
Deal	Kukovich	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Lashinger	Pievsky	Weston
Dininni	Laughlin	Pistella	Wiggins
Donatucci	Lehr	Pitts	Wogan
Dorr	Lescovitz	Pott	Wozniak
Duffy	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Levi	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levin	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Lewis	Reber	Zwinkl
Fargo	Livengood	Richardson	
Fee	Lucyk	Rieger	Ryan,
Fleck	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—18

Belardi	DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	Vroon
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Serafini	Williams, H.
Burns	Fryer	Tigue	Wilson
Cawley	George		

NOT VOTING—8

Berson	Gray	McIntyre	White
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Pratt	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1642, PN 1921**, entitled:

An Act amending the "State Highway and Bridge Authority Act," approved April 18, 1949 (P. L. 604, No. 128), further providing for award of contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—170

Alden	Frazier	McCall	Ritter
Anderson	Freind	McClatchy	Rocks
Armstrong	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rybak
Arty	Gallen	McVerry	Salvatore
Barber	Gamble	Mackowski	Saurman
Belfanti	Gannon	Madigan	Seventy
Bittle	Geist	Maiale	Showers
Borski	Gladeck	Manderino	Shupnik

Bowser	Grabowski	Manmiller	Sieminski
Boyes	Greenfield	Marmion	Sirianni
Brandt	Greenwood	Merry	Smith, B.
Burd	Grieco	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miller	Snyder
Cessar	Hagarty	Miscevich	Spencer
Cimini	Harper	Moehlmann	Stairs
Civera	Hasay	Morris	Steighner
Clark	Hayes	Mowery	Stevens
Clymer	Heiser	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cochran	Hoeffel	Mullen	Stuban
Colafella	Honaman	Murphy	Swaim
Cole	Horgos	Nahill	Sweet
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Itkin	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Jackson	Oliver	Telek
DeMedio	Johnson	Pendleton	Trello
DeVertter	Kanuck	Perzel	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kennedy	Peterson	Wachob
Davies	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Dawida	Kolter	Petrone	Wass
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Phillips	Wenger
Dininni	Kukovich	Piccola	Weston
Donatucci	Lashingier	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dorr	Laughlin	Pistella	Williams, H.
Duffy	Lehr	Pitts	Wogan
Durham	Lescovitz	Pott	Wozniak
Emerson	Letterman	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Levi	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Levin	Rasco	Zwikl
Fee	Lewis	Reber	
Fleck	Livengood	Richardson	Ryan,
Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—16

Belardi	Cawley	Fryer	Tigue
Blaum	DeWeese	George	Vroon
Brown	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Wargo
Burns	Fischer	Serafini	Wilson

NOT VOTING—11

Berson	Foster, W. W.	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Cordisco	Gray	Swift	Wright, R. C.
Deal	McIntyre	White	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1699, PN 2005**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Housing Authorities Law," approved May 28, 1937 (P. L. 955, No. 265), increasing the minimum amount for which authorities may contract or purchase without bids.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Ritter
Anderson	Frazier	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Freind	McClatchy	Rybak
Arty	Gallagher	McMonagle	Salvatore
Barber	Gallen	McVerry	Saurman
Belfanti	Gamble	Mackowski	Seventy
Birtle	Gannon	Madigan	Showers
Borski	Geist	Maiale	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Grabowski	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Greenfield	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Greenwood	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Michlovic	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Micozzie	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Miller	Stairs
Cimini	Hagarty	Miscevich	Steighner
Civera	Harper	Moehlmann	Stevens
Clark	Hasay	Morris	Stewart
Clymer	Hayes	Mowery	Stuban
Cochran	Heiser	Mrkonic	Swaim
Colafella	Hoeffel	Mullen	Sweet
Cole	Honaman	Murphy	Swift
Cornell	Horgos	Nahill	Taddonio
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cunningham	Itkin	Olasz	Telek
DeMedio	Jackson	Oliver	Trello
DeVertter	Johnson	Pendleton	Van Horne
Daikeler	Kanuck	Perzel	Wachob
Davies	Kennedy	Peterson	Wambach
Dawida	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wass
Deal	Kolter	Phillips	Wenger
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Piccola	Weston
Dininni	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wiggins
Donatucci	Lashingier	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dorr	Laughlin	Pitts	Wogan
Duffy	Lehr	Pott	Wozniak
Durham	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Letterman	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Evans	Levi	Rasco	Zwikl
Fargo	Levin	Reber	
Fee	Lewis	Richardson	Ryan,
Fleck	Livengood	Rieger	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—15

Belardi	Cawley	George	Vroon
Blaum	DeWeese	Lloyd	Wargo
Brown	Fischer	Serafini	Wilson
Burns	Fryer	Tigue	

NOT VOTING—11

Berson	Gray	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Cordisco	McIntyre	Shupnik	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Petrone	White	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1700, PN 2300**, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), further regulating contracts and purchases as to bids and advertising.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—171**

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lucyk	Rybak
Anderson	Frazier	McCall	Salvatore
Armstrong	Freind	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Gallagher	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Gallen	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Gamble	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Gannon	Madigan	Sieminski
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gladeck	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Grabowski	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Greenfield	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Greenwood	Michlovic	Snyder
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Spencer
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stairs
Cessar	Gruppo	Miscevich	Steighner
Cimini	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stevens
Civera	Harper	Morris	Stewart
Clark	Hasay	Mowery	Suban
Clymer	Hayes	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cochran	Heiser	Mullen	Sweet
Colafiglia	Hoeffel	Murphy	Swift
Cole	Honaman	Noye	Taddonio
Cornell	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Olasz	Taylor, F. E.
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Telek
Cunningham	Itkin	Pendleton	Trello
DeMedio	Jackson	Peterson	Van Horne
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrarca	Wachob
Daikeler	Kanuck	Petrone	Wambach
Davies	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
Dawida	Klingaman	Piccola	Wenger
Deal	Kolter	Pievsky	Weston
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wiggins
Dininni	Kukovich	Pitts	Williams, H.
Donatucci	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dorr	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Duffy	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. I.
Emerson	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Evans	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Fargo	Levin	Rieger	
Fee	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Fleck	Llvengood	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

**NAYS—17**

Belardi	DeWeese	George	Tigue
Blaum	Dombrowski	Lloyd	Vroon
Brown	Fischer	Perzel	Wargo
Burns	Fryer	Serafini	Wilson
Cawley			

**NOT VOTING—9**

Berson	McIntyre	Nahill	White
Cordisco	Maiale	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Gray			

**EXCUSED—6**

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1857, PN 2244**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Second Class County Code," approved July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), further providing for certain contracts in excess of \$4,000.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

**BILL RECOMMENDED**

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. **HAYES**. Mr. Speaker, with regard to HB 1857, the House of Representatives has already passed another bill, HB 1333, which does exactly the same thing as the bill currently before the House. It is my suggestion that rather than the House voting on HB 1857, this bill be recommitted to the House Committee on State Government.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—182**

Alden	Fleck	Lloyd	Saurman
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Seventy
Barber	Frazier	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Freind	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	McVerry	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	George	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Suban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.

Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafrella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hoefel	Olasz	Tigue
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Dawida	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Deal	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Punt	Wogan
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rasco	Wozniak
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, R. C.
Emerson	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Evans	Levin	Rocks	
Fargo	Lewis	Rybak	Ryan,
Fee	Livengood	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—14

Arty	Davies	Maiale	Pratt
Berson	Gray	Miller	White
Cawley	Jackson	Peterson	Williams, J. D.
Cordisco	McIntyre		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 453, PN 474**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1911 (P. L. 468, No. 193), referred to as the State Highway Department Law, deleting provisions authorizing payments to suppliers prior to delivery of fabricated steel and prestressed beams.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.

Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	Weston	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafrella	Heiser	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Olasz	Tigue
Coslett	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Daikeler	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
Davies	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Dawida	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Deal	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Letterman	Richardson	
Emerson	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Fargo	Lewis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—9

Berson	McIntyre	Trello	Williams, J. D.
Cordisco	Pratt	White	Wozniak
Gray			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Barber. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. BARBER. Mr. Speaker, I was not in my seat on SB 1006. I would like to be recorded as voting "yes."

The **SPEAKER**. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Deal.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as voting in the affirmative on SB 1006.

The **SPEAKER**. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wiggins.

Mr. WIGGINS. Mr. Speaker, I was not on the floor of the House when the vote was taken on SB 1006. Had I been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 772, PN 2235, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the issuance of firefighter registration plates.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. NAHILL offered the following amendments No. A3960:

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by striking out "authorizing" and inserting

providing for

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "firefighter" and legislative

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Nahill.

Mr. NAHILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a technical amendment. It changes a couple of words but no intent whatsoever in the bill. I would ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (186 total). Includes Alden, Anderson, Armstrong, Arty, Barber, Belardi, Belfanti, Bittle, Blaum, Borski, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Brown, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cawley, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cochran, Colafella, Evans, Fargo, Fee, Fischer, Fleck, Foster, W. W., Foster, Jr., A., Frazier, Freind, Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Grabowski, Greenfield, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Levin, Lewis, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Manmiller, Marmion, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Miscevic, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Mullen, Murphy, Ritter, Rocks, Rybak, Salvatore, Saurman, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Shupnik, Sieminski, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, E. H., Smith, L. E., Snyder, Spencer, Stairs, Steighner, Stevens, Stuban, Swaim, Sweet, Swift, Taddonio, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, F. E.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (0 total) and 'NOT VOTING' (11 total). Includes Cole, Cordisco, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Cunningham, DeMedio, DeVerter, DeWeese, Daikeler, Davies, Dawida, Deal, Dietz, Diminni, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Emerson, Heiser, Hoeffel, Honaman, Horgos, Hutchinson, A., Hutchinson, W., Itkin, Jackson, Johnson, Kanuck, Kennedy, Klingaman, Kolter, Kowalyszyn, Kukovich, Lashinger, Laughlin, Lehr, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levi, Nahill, Noye, O'Donnell, Olasz, Oliver, Pendleton, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pistella, Pott, Pucciarelli, Punt, Rasco, Reber, Richardson, Rieger, Telek, Tigie, Trello, Van Horne, Vroon, Wachob, Wambach, Wargo, Wass, Wenger, Weston, Wiggins, Williams, H., Wilson, Wogan, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Zwinkl, Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—11

Table listing names of members who did not vote (11 total). Includes Berson, Gamble, Gray, McIntyre, Pitts, Pratt, Stewart, White, Williams, J. D., Wozniak, Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—6

Table listing names of members who were excused (6 total). Includes Beloff, Cohen, Haluska, Irvis, Rappaport, Spitz.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PETERSON offered the following amendments No. A3995:

Amend Title, page 1, line 3, by removing the period after "plates" and inserting

and further providing for the replacement of certain documents lost in the mail.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 28 and 29 Section 4. Section 1902 of Title 75 is amended to read:

§ 1902. Exemptions from other fees.

No fee shall be charged under this title for or to any of the following:

(1) A certificate of title returned to the department for cancellation.

(2) The replacement of a registration card or plate, driver's license, learner's permit or certificate of title lost in the mail if the applicant files an affidavit of nonreceipt within [45] 90 days of the date of original issuance.

(3) A certificate of junk.

(4) A certificate of rejection.

(5) A special hauling permit issued to any person hauling equipment or materials for use on a Federal or State emergency relief project.

(6) A manufacturer, jobber or dealer for a certificate of title to a motor vehicle, trailer or semitrailer when assignment of certificate of title accompanies the application for certificate of title, and when the dealer, manufacturer or jobber is possessed of current manufacturer's, dealer's or jobber's registration plates.

Amend Sec. 4, page 3, line 29, by striking out "4" and inserting

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Peterson.

Mr. PETERSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment changes the 45-day grace period that our constituents have to receive a free driver's license reissue or registration reissue if they did not receive it because of the mail. Presently, after 45 days our constituents have to pay a \$5 duplicate fee. This would give 90 days for them to request a duplicate of their driver's license or their registration. The department has agreed to it, and I ask for your support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Nahill.

Mr. NAHILL. Mr. Speaker, I would support this amendment of Mr. Peterson's.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I think the only reason for this amendment is because the Bureau of Motor Vehicles just simply does not process these things promptly. There is a great deal of difficulty in trying to get things straightened out over there with this department, and I suppose that is the reason for the submission of the amendment, because not too long ago we had extended the period from 30 to 45 days, and now we are going to 90 days in order to get a free reissue because you did not get the registration or the driver's license or the permit from the Bureau of Motor Vehicles. I think the record ought to indicate that either that department ought to get on the ball or we are just not going to have any kind of order at all, and that we have to extend this deadline again and again.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Alden.

Mr. ALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment. This is the same type of amendment I introduced last year, but it did not pass the House. Usually what happens is PennDOT loses the application or the check or something like that happens and then the constituent is forced to pay \$5. I heartily support this amendment. I think it is a good idea, and it will serve notice on PennDOT to maybe get their act together a little bit.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brown	George	Merry	Spencer

Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Calragirone	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikel
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Berson	McIntyre	White	Williams, J. D.
Gray	Pott		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvic		

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Wright.

Mr. R. C. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I was not in my seat at the time we voted on SB 1006. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 772 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?



Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Alden	Fischer	Lloyd	Rocks
Anderson	Fleck	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Frazier	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Freind	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Fryer	McVerry	Showers
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Sieminski
Borski	Gamble	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	George	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Gruitza	Mochlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Swaim
Civera	Harper	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clark	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Heiser	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cordisco	Horgos	Olasz	Tigue
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cowell	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cunningham	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
DeVerter	Kanuck	Petrone	Wargo
DeWeese	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
Daikeler	Klingaman	Piccola	Wenger
Davies	Kolter	Pievsky	Weston
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wiggins
Dietz	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pratt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Iescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Levi	Reber	Zwikl
Emerson	Levin	Richardson	
Evans	Lewis	Rieger	Ryan,
Fargo	Livengood	Ritter	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Berson	Gray	Williams, H.	Williams, J. D.
Deal	White		

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 1006 I was out of my seat, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 794, PN 852**, entitled:

An Act repealing the act of April 18, 1949 (P. L. 604, No. 128), known as the "State Highway and Bridge Authority Act," providing the transfer of certain functions, powers, duties and property to the Department of Transportation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Alden	Fischer	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mochlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Clark	Heiser	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Olasz	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
DeMedio	Kanuck	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
Daikeler	Kolter	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, H.
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan

Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	
Emerson	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Fee	Lucyk		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—9

Berson	Gray	Harper	White
Cole	Greenwood	Nahill	Williams, J. D.
Fargo			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 641, PN 2126**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), providing for the manufacture of electricity by townships of the first class.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McIntyre	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McMonagle	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	McVerry	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Snyder
Brown	George	Marmion	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Miscevich	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Morris	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Clark	Harper	Mrkonic	Tadonion
Clymer	Hasay	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Nahill	Telek
Cordisco	Hoeffel	Noye	Tigue

Cornell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Trello
Coslett	Horgos	Olasz	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Vroon
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Perzel	Wambach
DeVerter	Jackson	Peterson	Wargo
DeWeese	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Daikeler	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
Davies	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Dawida	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Deal	Kolter	Pistella	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashingier	Pratt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Emerson	Levi	Rieger	
Evans	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Fargo	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Berson	Gray	Rasco	Williams, J. D.
Cole	Petrone	White	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 642, PN 2127**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), providing for the manufacture of electricity by townships of the second class.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Alden	Fargo	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Bittle	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Blaum	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Borski	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs

Caltagirone	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Cessar	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Morris	Swaim
Civera	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Mrkonic	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Mullen	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Heiser	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hoefel	Noye	Telek
Cordisco	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cornell	Horgos	Olasz	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Van Horne
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cunningham	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
DeMedio	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
DeVerter	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeWeese	Kanuck	Petrone	Wass
Daikeler	Kennedy	Phillips	Wenger
Davies	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pievsky	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Dininni	Lashinger	Pott	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Zwikl
Durham	Levi	Reber	
Emerson	Levin	Richardson	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Berson	Gray	Weston	Williams, J. D.
Geist	Rocks	White	

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1575, PN 2147**, entitled:

An Act establishing a Vietnam Herbicides Information Commission; imposing powers and duties on the commission; granting additional powers and duties to the Department of Health and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. CORDISCO offered the following amendments No. A4072:

Amend Sec. 8, page 5, line 16, by striking out "March 1," and inserting

June 30,

Amend Sec. 8, page 5, line 18, by striking out "March 1," and inserting

June 30,

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco.

Mr. CORDISCO. Mr. Speaker, in the original bill it requires the department to submit a preliminary report by March 1, 1982, and a final report by March 1, 1983. Due to the fact that this bill, if it becomes law, will not go into effect until January 1, it only gives the department 3 months in which to prepare a preliminary report. What this amendment attempts to do is extend that date until June 30, which would give a little bit more time to the department to gather their facts and information in order to file the report. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment is agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Majale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Mjchlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Heiser	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Deal	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Emerson	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Berson Gray	Greenfield Manderino	White	Williams, J. D.
----------------	-------------------------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff Cohen	Haluska Irvis	Rappaport	Spitz
-----------------	------------------	-----------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Hardy Williams.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate one of the prime sponsors as to the fiscal note or the moneys involved in this process.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco, submit to interrogation? The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. Williams, may proceed to interrogate Mr. Cordisco.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, how much money is this going to cost, and how is it allocated as planned? Who gets paid, and for what?

Mr. CORDISCO. There has been an appropriation of \$300,000 in the first and second year; however, there is a good possibility that that amount will not be used in the first year. There will be no compensation given to the members of the board, only the expenses which they endure. I believe that most of the moneys will be given to the department and their employees, and that is the reason why only the figure of \$300,000 is being used. Every possibility was taken under consideration for the cutting of costs and using our own facilities in the factfinding in this particular bill.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, if the employees of the Health Department are going to conduct these activities—Mr. Speaker, I understand that there may be some moneys expended for administrative activities, which we do not have an amount of yet. Do you suggest that some employees of the Department of Health will be paid? I wonder where the rest of the money is going to go. That is all I want to know.

Is my question clear, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Cordisco, care to respond to the question?

Mr. CORDISCO. We place certain duties within the department, and there is a possibility of needed personnel for which there has been consideration in the appropriation.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Do I understand, Mr. Speaker, that the bill then proposes to hire new personnel to carry out these duties?

Mr. CORDISCO. There is a possibility. However, the department has expressed that they could possibly move around existing personnel and would be able to handle this particular piece of legislation.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, my next question is, with regard to this approach, which I agree with in spirit, this would include Agent Orange and those other kinds of problems that veterans have sustained. Is that correct? The intent of this bill is to address itself to the problems of Agent Orange and diseases of like character. Is that correct?

Mr. CORDISCO. That is correct. As amended in committee it also will take under consideration other agents and dioxins that were used during that period of time.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact that these problems arose as a result of a national activity, is there a need for this commission as compared to what the National Government is doing or should be doing?

Mr. Speaker, I will restate my question. Since this is a national problem and a national activity, is there any reason why the Federal Government, with all of its four feet, cannot be addressing this problem or is not addressing this problem?

Mr. CORDISCO. I believe, Mr. Speaker, that the VA (Veterans' Administration) is not addressing this problem in the proper fashion, and I do not intend to stand by and let the men and women who were involved in the Vietnam conflict be put aside, and I am not going to make a point of shrugging my own responsibility here as a member of this chamber. I think by our attempt and factfinding and finding the problems that we are facing in Pennsylvania and that our Vietnam veterans are facing in Pennsylvania, we indeed will be doing one very important thing: we will be forcing the Federal Government to respond to a problem that has been neglected for the last 10 years, and I think if we shrug our responsibility here, we can look forward to the Vietnam veteran probably facing the same crises for the next 10 years. Thank you.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, for my own edification could you state just what the quality of the Federal Government's approach to this problem is, its defects or its strengths? I mean, what is the Federal Government doing wrong on this problem, or what is it doing right?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cordisco, may respond.

Mr. CORDISCO. Well, there has been some progress to the point where now the Federal Government has agreed that our veterans during the Vietnam conflict have indeed been sprayed by Agent Orange and various other agents during that particular period of time. However, there have been considerable studies done, both from a governmental level and private, that state that yes, there are indeed some grave problems that have come forth. However, you do have a very serious problem in whom you are going to hold accountable. Will it be the chemical companies that produced the dioxins, or is it going to be the Federal Government, which ordered the spraying, and I think that is one problem that the Federal Government is attempting to address itself to before they will provide funding for treatment.

I might also add that I have visited various communities and States which are facing this particular problem. I have seen birth defects of children who were not involved in the conflict, who were not asked to go and defend their country, and I see the parents of those particular children also being faced with the problem of whether to again produce further offspring. I believe that the only way that the Federal Government will ever act to the point where this problem will be resolved is through the efforts that are combined from the various States.

I might also add that the State of New Jersey, which had acted previous to this chamber seeing this particular bill, has now addressed itself to the intent of our piece of legislation as well as the States of California, Michigan, New York, and Texas.

I do not think, Mr. Speaker, that it is our responsibility to provide treatment, and I do not think that is what we are doing in this particular piece of legislation. However, what we are doing here is we are saying that we do have a considerable concentration of Vietnam veterans who suffered from this particular conflict who deserve the attention of the Federal Government, and we are doing it at a cost that has not been matched by any State of these United States. And I think for this small price we are doing a great service for the men and women of Pennsylvania who served during that Vietnam conflict.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, do I understand that the \$300,000 or as much thereof as necessary will be used to basically promote an awareness and to receive information concerning these conditions? Generally speaking, is that what the money is being used for?

Mr. CORDISCO. That is part of it; yes, Mr. Speaker—there are some other areas—to inform the Vietnam veteran of the problem and hopefully gather that information at that particular period of time.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Is there any reason why the Department of Health cannot do the same thing with the same employees without a substantial addition in moneys?

Mr. CORDISCO. Mr. Speaker, as I addressed that question earlier, yes. There is every attempt being made and we have heard from the department that they will attempt not to use any further personnel, and I believe that every effort was made, not only by the prime sponsor of this bill but by Representatives Hasay, Petrarca, and Clark, to include the existing agency and also the different veterans centers, the outreach centers that are being used, to disseminate this particular information to make them more aware of their problems so that it would make it easier for us in the factfinding attempt in order to persuade the Federal Government to act.

Mr. H. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the bill?

Mr. Speaker, I want it to be clear that I am in total agreement with the intent of this bill, and, as many of us here in this chamber, we are also veterans of various different wars. I happen to have been in the Korean conflict, and I believe that each and every son and daughter of America who is sent to war deserves our highest continuous respect, and so I agree

with the intent of this bill. I do not agree, however, that just to announce that does the job. I believe that we have a responsibility. Those of us who are here and who understand the problems of veterans need to move the United States of America. We need to do that in whatever pressure ways are possible, and at times we do that. I do not think this is a substitute for that.

Additionally, I believe that we are always talking about our fiscal crisis - what we do with our money - and just because it is in the name of a problem like this, I think we must understand the effective use of our money. Will indeed the \$300,000 or any part thereof translate into help, whether it is awareness—and I think that that is important—whether someone is going to be shifted from a job they are already doing to something else, or whether we are going to hire new workers, and if that is so, we ought to understand that, and that is okay.

But I keep getting contradictory kinds of messages: we do not have any money; we are cutting back 1 percent. Yet there is a possibility of additional workers, which may be needed in this important project. Somebody ought to know. If we do not know, the job that we must do for us, the veterans, will not get translated. It will be the same kind of gobbledygook we are getting out of the Federal Government. That is my concern, and I am going to vote for the bill because I am hoping that that will be translated. I just want to register my concern so, hopefully, it will be translated.

I have another concern that is a veteran's concern. There are thousands upon thousands of minority veterans who have been in woeful conditions, herbicide jobs, everything else. And because the total society flows that way, our veterans, our highest respected individuals, do not get that help in any kind of concentrated way. I have repeatedly seen, and I vote for the veterans' bills, and never do I see any concern or sensitivity for the inclusion of that condition, not even here. You are talking about the veteran centers. I guarantee you there is not planned nor will there be any minority input which is substantial not in just the problem but other monumental problems that make up the fabric of everything we talk about every day up here.

I just want to register those concerns. I just want to make sure we use the money to do something specific on the problem. I support the intent of your bill. I will vote for it, but I had to say that and hope it translates in a small way to something that might move the Federal Government to do what it really ought to be doing. So I do not think that an effort like this in Pennsylvania will be a pebble in the water if we do not figure out a way to move our National Government.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a member of Federal-State Relations, I have had the opportunity to listen to the testimony of these Vietnam veterans afflicted with Agent Orange, and I can assure you that there is a real need for the legislation that we are speaking about this afternoon. The despair, the lack of compassion at times for these men and their families makes us all want to do

our part to help them. The fact that there is such a high rate of suicide, of incarceration among Vietnam veterans, and in particular, again, those who fought in the jungles and were afflicted with the dioxin chemical of that part of Agent Orange, behooves us to move on this legislation, and so I urge support of HB 1575. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Cordisco and the members of the House Federal-State Relations Committee had intense meetings with organized Vietnam veterans groups on this bill. We have had two hearings, one in the east and one in the west, on this legislation. We had the adjutant of the American Legion involved in this matter; we had the adjutant of Pennsylvania's VFW (Veterans of Foreign Wars) involved in this; various members of organized Vietnam veterans groups on this legislation. It has been drafted; it has been refined. I think you will find it is at least something we can do for Pennsylvania's sons and daughters who were in the Vietnam war.

I would appreciate your support on this legislation. It has the backing of the Vietnam groups and the Governor's outreach centers. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. Mr. Speaker, as chairman of Federal Relations on this side of the aisle, I support Chairman Hasay. He has done a wonderful job in having public hearings throughout the State. We heard from all the veterans groups. They support this bill; they want this bill.

The reason we are coming with this bill is, the Federal Government is doing nothing. Testimony in our committees brought this out. We had veterans who were testifying who carried tanks on their backs to spray the Agent Orange, that their doctors told them they had 6 months to live. If we owe anything to any organization in America, we owe it to our veterans. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Alden	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lucy	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Bittle	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Brown	George	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim

Cimini	Gruppo	Mrkonjic	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hoefel	Olasz	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Deal	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dininni	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwilk
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS—1

Lewis

NOT VOTING—5

Berson	McIntyre	White	Williams, J. D.
Gray			

EXCUSED—6

Beloff	Haluska	Rappaport	Spitz
Cohen	Irvis		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today Ann Blazedale, here today as the guest of Representative Geist.

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE CONSIDERED

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1645, PN 2258**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

A Supplement to the act of July 1, 1981 (P. L. 142, No. 47), entitled "An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982," itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects to be constructed or acquired by the Department of General Services, and transportation assistance projects to be acquired or constructed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects

to be constructed or acquired by the Department of General Services or Department of Transportation, stating the estimated useful life of the projects and making appropriations.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.  
Mr. HAYES. I suggest that the House nonconcur in the amendments added by the Senate.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, suggests that the House nonconcur in the amendments inserted by the Senate.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, we urge nonconcurrence also on this side of the aisle.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—4

Fleck	Hutchinson, A.	Stewart	Wozniak
-------	----------------	---------	---------

NAYS—189

Alden	Fargo	Lloyd	Rocks
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brown	George	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Suban
Cessar	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cimini	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Heiser	Noye	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cornell	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Phillips	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
Davies	Koiter	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Williams, H.
Deal	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dorr	Letterman	Rasco	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Reber	
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Ryan.

Emerson Evans	Lewis Livengood	Rieger Ritter	Speaker
------------------	--------------------	------------------	---------

NOT VOTING—4

Berson	Gray	White	Williams, J. D.
--------	------	-------	-----------------

EXCUSED—6

Beloff Cohen	Haluska Iris	Rappaport	Spitz
-----------------	-----------------	-----------	-------

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE FOR CALENDAR**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.  
Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I move that HB 264 be removed from the table and placed upon the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**REMARKS ON VOTES**

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum, desire recognition?

Mr. BLAUM. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I was inadvertently recorded in the negative on HB 1624 and HB 1628, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rocks, desire recognition?

Mr. ROCKS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on page 23, HB 642, I was called out of the chamber during that vote. Had I been in my seat, I would have been recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I was not recorded on HB 1624, HB 1625, HB 1626, HB 1627, HB 1628, HB 1629, HB 1630, HB 1631, and HB 1632. I must have been out of my seat, Mr. Speaker. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Phillips. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PHILLIPS. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1623, PN 1902, my vote was incorrectly recorded in the negative. I would like the record to show I voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Fargo.

Mr. FARGO. I failed to vote on HB 794. I would like to have my vote recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Fleck.

Mr. FLECK. Mr. Speaker, my vote on concurrence on HB 1645 was recorded in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

### STATEMENT ON LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, just to make an announcement, please, not on my vote.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentleman may make his announcement. The Chair hears none.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to remind all the members of the House that the coal-as-the-State-mineral designation bill I will be introducing next week. If you would like to call my office and become cosponsors, please call. It is on behalf of the fourth grade students at Ben Franklin School. Thank you.

### STATEMENT BY MR. RITTER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a little more than 2 weeks ago I appeared on a television program entitled "The Newsmakers." The subject of that television program was Governor Thornburgh's 1-percent cut across the board in response to the Federal budget cuts. Appearing on the program with me was Charles Lieberth, chairman of the Governor's Human Resources Committee, and Representative Kenneth Brandt, chairman of the House Republican Party Policy Committee.

The purpose of my rising today, Mr. Speaker, is to respond to a newsletter authored by Representative Brandt in which he gave his version of that television show, a version, Mr. Speaker, which contains several inaccuracies. For instance, Mr. Brandt stated, "Ritter and the free-spending Democrats want to open up the entire budget again and go over each item line by line in order to better fund massive welfare and give-away programs that have long been the trademark of the Democratic Party." At no time, Mr. Speaker, at no time during that program did I say that I or my party wanted to increase funding for welfare. I did point out, however, that we are facing some severe deficits in such areas as pupil transportation. I also suggested that some programs could be cut more, much more than 1 percent. For instance, this administration is spending some \$25 million a year on public rela-

tions, and if they are doing such a good job, why do they have to advertise that fact? Instead of cutting school subsidies, I suggested cutting appropriations to art museums and the Arts Council. I pointed out that Governor Thornburgh's 1-percent cut across the board will mean an increase in local real estate taxes. The cut in school district subsidies amounts to \$15.6 million across the State; the cut in pupil transportation costs coupled with a projected \$10-million deficit amounts to \$11.4 million; and a 1-percent cut in special education equals \$2.3 million, all together almost a \$30-million cut in education funds. Mr. Speaker, school districts have all passed legally binding budgets, and the only way they can continue present programs is to raise local real estate taxes to replace the lost State and Federal funds.

Let me refer again to Mr. Brandt's statement that the Democrats want to open up the entire budget again and go over each item. Mr. Speaker, the budget was never open for discussion by members of the House or the Senate. The overwhelming majority of us, Republicans and Democrats alike, were denied an opportunity to have any input into the budget document. One of the members from your side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, Representative Snyder, was quoted in the local press as saying that he did not vote for or against the budget as a mark of protest over the fact that rank-and-file members were not consulted and therefore had no input into the budget. How refreshing it would have been if we could have gone over the budget line by line and set some true legislative priorities for a change.

Incidentally, Mr. Brandt in his opposition to opening up the budget said, and I quote, "The Democrats want to bring up the same hue and cry they always do and they want us to deal with the problems that are out there." Mr. Speaker, I could not have said it better myself. That is precisely the point. The Democrats want to discuss and deal with the problems that are out there, but the Republicans, according to Mr. Brandt, do not want to do that.

Mr. Brandt in his newsletter quoted me correctly that I said we should not allow business the additional depreciation tax reduction unless we provide a dollar-for-dollar reduction in consumer taxes. This administration has already provided millions of dollars of tax relief to business and industry in this State. We should change our corporate tax law in this Commonwealth so that we no longer piggyback the Federal tax structure. The only reason Governor Thornburgh wants to reduce the budget by 1 percent across the board is so that he can provide some \$56 million in tax reductions to business this year, Mr. Speaker, and next year it will be \$107 million. When you consider that Pennsylvania business and industry will receive some \$400 million in Federal tax reductions, they are really not hurting.

Mr. Brandt also stated that opening up the budget for debate would only give the Democrats a chance to increase State moneys for already liberal social welfare programs without tax relief for anyone. Now, I do not know about Mr. Brandt's arithmetic, but the last time I added up the numbers, the Democrats were in the minority in both the House and Senate. Since that is the case, how can we, the Democrats, all



by ourselves increase spending or reduce taxes? Apparently Mr. Brandt is afraid that some Republican members may join us Democrats in opposing cuts in school subsidies, in mental health and mental retardation programs, in mass transportation, special education programs, et cetera. Maybe he is afraid that some Republican members may join us Democrats in eliminating some of the public relations staff in this administration or cutting some money from the office of Counsel General or the Arts Council and so on.

Mr. Speaker, on two occasions during the past several months I was privileged to attend meetings with the President at the White House, and at both meetings the President outlined his three-part program. Apparently there are only two parts that the Governor and Mr. Brandt and some others want to adopt. At those two meetings, he said, and I quote, "I want to make State and local governments less dependent on the Federal Government." That is part one. Quoting again, "I want to get the Federal Government off your backs." That is part two. And then he said, "I want to give you the means to raise additional revenues to operate those programs for which you formerly received Federal funds that you determine should be continued." And that is part three. The President said in effect, *if you want to run the programs, set the priorities, and have a minimal amount of Federal intervention, then you are going to have to pay for them.*

Mr. Speaker, in an address before the National Conference of State Legislatures in Atlanta, July 30 of this year—and incidentally, Mr. Brandt was there—President Reagan said that he considered block grants as a bridge leading to the day when States will have not only the responsibility for the programs that properly belong at the State level but that States will have the tax resources now usurped by Washington returned to the States.

Mr. Speaker, on December 19, 1980, then President-elect Reagan sent a message to NCSL in which he said, "We cannot balance the Federal budget by asking other levels of government to do the work while the Federal Government continues to preempt so much of the Nation's tax base." Mr. Speaker, that third part of President Reagan's three-part proposal is the key, and I have said before publicly and I said on that program that day, I support all three parts of the President's program, and I would only call on the Governor and Mr. Lieberth and Mr. Brandt and anyone else who supports only two parts of the President's program to publicly announce their support for the third part. If we do not, Mr. Speaker, it would indicate, in my judgment, a callous disregard for the people in this Commonwealth. It would also put us back to the same position we were in many years ago when the States failed to exercise their responsibilities and in effect forced the Federal Government to take over.

Mr. Speaker, if you do not want to raise or redirect State revenues to make up for the loss of Federal funds, then bring forth the legislative package to reduce or eliminate State mandates on local governments or a package to provide local governments with additional revenue-raising measures so they can replace the loss of both the Federal and State funds in order to carry out their mandated programs. If the State fails

to either replace Federal or State funds or grant additional revenue-raising measures to local governments, their only alternative will be to raise local real estate taxes. That is what you are going to be passing on to your constituents, Mr. Speaker, make no mistake about that.

Mr. Speaker, I do not support the principle that says we will take from the poor and give to the rich, or the principle that says we will take from the employee and give to the employer. Nor do I support the principle that says we will continue to fund public relations while we take from public education. Mr. Speaker, I object to the process that takes from programs to help small business while we hand out \$25,000 worth of red tape in little dispensers, or the process that takes from programs designed to help tens of thousands of our elderly while we continue to support an overabundance of high-priced lawyers in the Justice Department. I could go on and on, Mr. Speaker, but I will just close with a quote from the late President John F. Kennedy, who said that "If a free society cannot help the many who are poor, it cannot save the few who are rich."

Mr. Speaker, regardless of what Mr. Brandt says, regardless of how you fancy it up, Governor Thornburgh's 1-percent cut across the board is both unnecessary and a copout. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

#### STATEMENT BY MR. BRANDT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Brandt.

Mr. BRANDT. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I am certainly glad to hear that finally the gentleman from Lehigh has found his role, and that is, in the minority, defending the minority position in the House. We all know that it is his job to advance the thoughts of that and bring it before us.

As I said to the gentleman, Mr. Ritter, the day of the program—and I say it again today in the hall of the House—voters in November 1980 gave Ronald Reagan his 27 electoral votes, and they voted for a change. They voted to change the economic climate, and they voted for that change prior to that in 1978. They voted against the failed economic policies of the Shapp administration, and they voted at that time against the Democrats, who were the majority in the House at that time. Just as the voters in 1978 and in 1980 returned the Republicans to the majority in this House for the first time in 25 years, I feel that under the guidance of President Reagan in Washington, the people in this country will give a Republican majority to the Congress in 1982 for the first time in 25 years. However, we have to give this economic program a chance to work. His program has been strongly endorsed by the Republicans in Congress and, yes, by a significant number of Democrats. He has a program to create real jobs in the private sector and not make-work jobs in government, as has been the case in the programs of the past.

Our Governor Thornburgh's program to address the combination of Federal budget reductions and business tax cuts approved by Congress is a sound and responsible position.

This General Assembly established the priorities of the State budget some 5 months ago. By reducing State spending by 1 percent, no one agency or program suffers greatly.

Now, I am really amazed at Mr. Ritter's opposition to this 1-percent cut across the board. It has been not less than 2 1/2 years ago that Jim Ritter from Lehigh County and the Democratic leadership of this House at that time supported an amendment to reduce State spending not by 1 percent but by 5 percent across the board. That was 5 percent from programs of welfare, 5 percent from school subsidies, and actually this abortive effort was rejected by the full House at that time. To me, the 1-percent cut at this time is responsible, and I suggest that this House should look at that as a way to go in proposing and keeping the budget and the operations of the State alive through this fiscal year.

The business tax depreciation rate change to create new jobs in the private sector and more jobs in this country and State is the right approach. As for the statement of the minority that the Governor's plan would take milk away from babies and give sugarplums to big business is nonsense. It is the private sector in this Commonwealth that creates jobs. It is excessive government taxation and red tape that drives jobs away. The Governor's proposal is going halfway in preserving the linkage between the State and Federal depreciation tax rates. In essence, the 2-year phase-in is a moderate approach to business tax incentives because it will allow the Federal depreciation tax to apply. We would lose \$56 million more in State revenues. The business community can argue that this is a \$56-million tax increase by not immediately phasing in the new Federal tax depreciation rates for State tax purposes, but the Governor's program is hardly a sugarplum to big business. The Governor's program for a 1-percent across-the-board budget cut is responsible, and his 2-year phase-in on the Federal tax depreciation schedule for State tax depreciation rates is a moderate approach, and this will help in improving Pennsylvania's job climate.

I say to you in closing, to the gentleman, Mr. Ritter, I am glad to see that he felt that the comments I made were worth reading and were worth his responding to. As we have been going, you can see that the Republican approach has been the right approach, and, Jim, if you want to come on over, we have one seat left.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Just briefly, Mr. Speaker.

The reason I responded was because I said that there were some inaccuracies in the newsletter sent out by Mr. Brandt. I have not heard any denial of the inaccuracies I pointed out, and Mr. Brandt said in fact that they were true. That was the first reason I took the microphone.

The second reason I took the microphone was to point out to Mr. Brandt and to the Governor, who apparently has overlooked the third part of President Reagan's proposal, that there were three parts to that proposal, and that by failure to adopt the third part of the Reagan proposal, we are going to saddle local governments with an additional tax burden, and at the moment, about the only tax that they are going to be able to deal with is the local real estate tax.

And one final point, Mr. Speaker. In the Patriot this morning there was a very interesting article wherein Mr. Stockman pointed out that perhaps the Republican tax cut went too far and that now the President is talking about doubling the tax on alcoholic beverages and on cigarettes and on a number of other things. So I am just beginning to wonder, Mr. Speaker, if whether or not this program that is embraced so deeply by Mr. Brandt and others is the program that is going to work. All I pointed out—and I want to emphasize it again, and I wish Mr. Brandt would look at this as well as all other Republicans—if you are going to support two parts of the President's program, be fair and honest about it and support the third part, which talks about assuming the additional responsibility for raising revenues ourselves to carry out the programs for which the Federal funds are being reduced. As I said, those are not my words; I quoted the President directly. On at least two occasions he said that, plus two other meetings at the White House. So that is four times he said in effect, if you want to run the programs on the State level and decide your priorities, then you are going to have to pay for them, but I have yet to hear Mr. Brandt or anyone on his side talk about how we are going to pay for the programs if in fact we get the responsibility to run them. I think the members on my side of the aisle are prepared at least to have an open discussion about how we are going to pay for these programs if in fact we are going to run them.

The other point I wanted to make was that we do not want to be cut out of that process again, and I am hoping that when we finally get to the point where we decide who is going to pay for what, the Democrats will be at least privy to some of those discussions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 773, PN 2424 (Amended)

By Rep. SPENCER

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the use of replica firearms by security officers.

JUDICIARY.

### BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

SB 1006, PN 1278

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp. Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), entitled "Unemployment Compensation Law," making changes required by the Federal Government to conform to Federal law.

### ADDITIONS AND DELETION OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I submit for the record a list of additions and deletions of sponsorships of bills.

**ADDITIONS:**

HB 1890, Morris; HB 1961, Itkin; HB 1973, Borski, Swaim; HR 115, Gamble; HR 118, Gamble.

**DELETION:**

HB 1483, Zwikl.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Crawford, Mr. Merry.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, October 26, 1981, at 1 p.m., e.s.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 3:12 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.