

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 1977

Session of 1977

161st of the General Assembly

Vol. 1, No. 84

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REVEREND DOCTOR DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of St. Paul's Lutheran Church, McConnellsburg, offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, we praise Thee for the majesty and honor which Thou dost show to all of Thine own. We humbly pray that we may never belittle any of Thy steadfast love toward each of us as stewards of Thine. We rejoice that we never have to walk in fear, but can live in the assurance that we are Thy pardoned and forgiven children. O God, we beseech Thee to make us productive workmen of Thine, and enable us to use our talents for the welfare of those around us as well as ourselves. In Thy blest name, and for Thy sake, we pray. Amen.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Tuesday, September 27, 1977, will be postponed until printed.

JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. Are there any corrections to the Journals of June 21, 22, 23 and 24, 1977, that are in print?

If not, and without objection, the Journals are approved.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair announces that the Speaker is about to take the master roll and urges all members to report immediately to the floor and to take their seats.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Abraham	Fryer	Mackowski	Scanlon
Anderson	Gallagher	Madigan	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallen	Manderino	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gamble	Manmiller	Schweder
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Scirica
Bellomini	Gatski	McClatchy	Seltzer
Beloff	Geesey	McGinnis	Shelton
Bennett	Geisler	McIntyre	Shuman
Berlin	George, C.	McLane	Shupnik
Berson	George, M.	Mebus	Sirianni

Bittinger	Giammarco	Meluskey	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gillette	Miller	Smith, L.
Borski	Gleeson	Milliron	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Miscevich	Spitz
Brown	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Brunner	Gray	Morris	Stapleton
Burd	Greenfield	Mowery	Stewart
Burns	Greenleaf	Mrkonic	Stuban
Butera	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Caputo	Harper	Musto	Taylor, E.
Cassidy	Hasay	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Haskell	Noye	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Trello
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Connell	Valicenti
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cowell	Honaman	O'Keefe	Wagner
Davies	Hopkins	Oliver	Wansacz
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Wargo
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wass
DeWeese	Itkin	Petrarca	Weidner
DiCarlo	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Dietz	Jones	Pievsky	White
Dininni	Katz	Pitts	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Kelly	Polite	Williams
Donatucci	Kernick	Pott	Wilson
Dorr	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilt
Doyle	Knepper	Prendergast	Wise
Duffy	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, D.
Dumas	Kowalyshyn	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Laughlin	Reed	Yahner
Fee	Lehr	Renwick	Yohn
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Rieger	Zeller
Flaherty	Lincoln	Ritter	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Zord
Foster, W.	Logue	Ryan	Zwikl
Freind	Lynch	Salvatore	

Irvis,
Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Halverson	Milanovich	Rappaport	Rhodes
-----------	------------	-----------	--------

The SPEAKER. One hundred ninety-six members having indicated their presence, a master roll is established.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor presented the following communication from the Governor:

APPROVAL OF HOUSE BILL No. 1349.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office, Harrisburg

August 20, 1977.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 1349, printer's No. 1890, entitled "An Act to provide for the expenses of the executive, legislative and judicial departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal period July 1, 1977 to June 30, 1978, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1977."

MILTON J. SHAPP.
GOVERNOR

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate returned the following bill without amendment:

HOUSE BILL No. 634

An Act amending the act of July 24, 1970 (P. L. 620, No. 208), entitled "Adoption Act" further providing for the granting of a final decree in adoption when no birth certificate or certification of registration of birth can be obtained.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEES

No. 1661 By Messrs. D. M. FISHER, MRKONIC, CAPUTO, LETTERMAN, McLANE, SCHWEDER, COWELL, SCHEAFFER, SPENCER, CIMINI, GREENLEAF, Mrs. TAYLOR, Messrs. POTT, ZORD, HOPKINS, MADIGAN, VROON, MANMILLER, HALVERSON, GEESEY, NOYE, Miss SIRIANNI, Messrs. BURD, FREIND, WAGNER and SPITZ

An Act prohibiting certain prisoners from participating in training or programs outside correctional facilities and institutions.

Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

No. 1662 By Messrs. LIVENGOD, D. R. WRIGHT, GEORGE, CALTAGIRONE, HOFFFEL, SWEET, STAIRS, MILLIRON and LOGUE

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Election Code," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), requiring that political party chairman may be elected by the voters during the primary election.

Referred to Committee on State Government.

No. 1663 By Messrs. LIVENGOD, IRVIS, MANDERINO, BRUNNER, GALLAGHER, GEORGE, GOODMAN, WARGO, SCHMITT, COHEN, YAHNER, GIAMMARCO, STEWART, D. R. WRIGHT, DiCARLO, DeMEDIO, LETTERMAN, FEE, RENWICK, BITTINGER, PETRARCA, WHITE, DOYLE, WILLIAMS, DeWEESE, SHUMAN, CALTAGIRONE, COLE, PRATT, NOVAK, RHODES,

GREENFIELD, RAPPAPORT, Mrs. HARPER, Messrs. PRENDERGAST, VALICENTI, ZWIKL, Mrs. WISE, Messrs. STUBAN, BROWN, DOMBROWSKI, GATSKI, DUFFY, LOGUE, BERLIN, BENNETT, Mrs. KELLY, Messrs. A. K. HUTCHINSON, REED, GARZIA, CAPUTO, ENGLEHART, TENAGLIO, DONATUCCI, ZITTERMAN, GRAY, JONES, HOFFFEL, McINTYRE, BORSKI, FLAHERTY, CASSIDY and TRELLO

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, authorizing a graduated personal income tax; ***.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1664 By Messrs. LIVENGOD, D. R. WRIGHT, DeMEDIO, COLE, CALTAGIRONE, BURD, MILANOVICH, STAIRS, ARMSTRONG, ARTHURS, MILLIRON and PETRARCA

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), establishing guidelines for access to certain tax records by political subdivisions.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1665 By Messrs. IRVIS, BUTERA, MANDERINO, BRUNNER, MISCEVICH, GALLAGHER, WARGO, CIMINI, CESSAR, S. E. HAYES, GREENFIELD, SELTZER, GOODMAN, DONATUCCI, DOYLE, ABRAHAM, TRELLO and GAMBLE

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), providing for an additional filing period, estimation and anticipation of revenues.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1666 By Mr. WILSON

An Act amending Title 15 (Corporations and Unincorporated Associations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to certain nonprofit corporations.

Referred to Committee on State Government.

No. 1667 By Mr. WILSON

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), rendering certain foreign nonprofit corporations liable for the capital stock franchise tax.

Referred to Committee on State Government.

No. 1668 By Messrs. GARZIA, McCALL, RUGGIERO, COLE, LIVENGOD and D. R. WRIGHT

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), providing for civil service protection for employees and establishing a penalty.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

No. 1669 By Mr. GOEBEL

An Act providing for the planting of seedlings along State designated highways.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

No. 1670 By Messrs. BITTINGER, REED and HALVERSON

An Act amending "The Fish Law of 1959," approved December 15, 1959 (P. L. 1779, No. 673), providing certain powers to waterways patrolmen.

Referred to Committee on Game and Fisheries.

No. 1671 By Messrs. BITTINGER, REED and HALVERSON

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing for the powers of waterways patrolmen.

Referred to Committee on Game and Fisheries.

No. 1672 By Messrs. BITTINGER, REED and HALVERSON

An Act amending "The Game Law," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225, No. 316), changing the powers of certain game protectors.

Referred to Committee on Game and Fisheries.

No. 1673 By Messrs. BITTINGER, GEESEY, REED, HALVERSON, E. H. SMITH and NOYE

An Act amending "The Fish Law of 1959," approved December 15, 1959 (P. L. 1779, No. 673), defining certain terms and increasing the power of the executive director.

Referred to Committee on Game and Fisheries.

No. 1674 By Messrs. DOMBROWSKI, DeMEDIO, DiCARLO, HOPKINS, D. S. HAYES and BELLOMINI

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Military Affairs for the maintenance and operation of the Soldiers' and Sailors' Home at Erie, Pennsylvania for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1977 to June 30, 1978 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1977.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

No. 1675 By Mr. WILT

An Act authorizing the Borough of Grove City, Mercer County, to change the use it is making of a portion of the land acquired pursuant to the provisions of the "Project 70 Land Acquisition and Borrowing Act."

Referred to Committee on Conservation.

No. 1676 By Messrs. B. F. O'BRIEN, TRELLO, GOODMAN, McCALL, TAYLOR, BURNS, GEORGE, GATSKI, STAIRS, D. M. FISHER, DAVIES, LEVI, YAHNER, LEHR, McLANE, SCHWEDER, MUSTO, DeWEESE, SHUPNIK, SWEET, O'CONNELL, MISCEVICH, ABRAHAM, GAMBLE, WANSACZ and GALLAN

An Act amending the "Public Utility Law," approved May 28, 1937 (P. L. 1053, No. 286), providing for test generation and sliding scale of rates for electric utilities.

Referred to Committee on Mines and Energy Management.

SENATE MESSAGE**APPOINTMENT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE**

The clerk of the Senate informed that the Senate insists on nonconcurrence in House amendments to **SENATE BILL NO. 354**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 30, 1937 (P. L. 115, No. 40), entitled "The First Class City Permanent Registration Act" further providing for registration and for official registration application cards.

And has appointed Messrs. SMITH, NOLAN and WOOD a Committee of Conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences existing between the two houses in relation to said bill.

MOTION INSISTING UPON CONCURRENCE AND APPOINTMENT OF A CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Mr. MANDERINO moved that the House insist upon Senate concurrence in House amendments to **SENATE BILL NO. 354**, printer's No. 1203, and that a committee of conference be appointed.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 354

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a Committee of Conference on the part of the House: Messrs. GEISLER, GRAY and CESSAR.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE**APPOINTMENT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE**

The clerk of the Senate informed that the Senate insists on nonconcurrence in House amendments to **SENATE BILL NO. 355**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 29, 1937 (P. L. 487, No. 115), entitled as amended "The Permanent Registration Act for Cities of the Second Class Cities of the Second Class A Cities of the Third Class Boroughs Towns and Townships" further providing for registration and for official registration application cards.

And has appointed Messrs. SMITH, NOLAN and WOOD a Committee of Conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences existing between the two houses in relation to said bill.

MOTION INSISTING UPON CONCURRENCE AND APPOINTMENT OF A CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Mr. MANDERINO moved that the House insist upon Senate concurrence in House amendments to SENATE BILL NO. 355, printer's No. 1204, and that a committee of conference be appointed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 355

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a Committee of Conference on the part of the House: Messrs. GEISLER, GRAY and CESSAR.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

APPOINTMENT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

The clerk of the Senate informed that the Senate insists on nonconcurrence in House amendments to SENATE BILL NO. 76, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), entitled "Pennsylvania Election Code" further providing for the creation division realignment and consolidation of wards in cities of the first class.

And has appointed Messrs. SMITH, NOLAN and DOUGHERTY a Committee of Conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences existing between the two houses in relation to said bill.

MOTION INSISTING UPON CONCURRENCE AND APPOINTMENT OF A CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Mr. MANDERINO moved that the House insist upon Senate concurrence in House amendments to SENATE BILL NO. 76, printer's No. 1244, and that a committee of conference be appointed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 76

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a Committee of Conference on the part of the House: Messrs. RAPPAPORT, GREENFIELD and KATZ.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. GREENFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I request a leave of absence for Mr. RHODES for today's session.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for leaves of absence.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave is granted.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES

HB 222, PN 242

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), substituting a declaration for an affidavit of widow or widower status.

Finance.

HB 238, PN 258

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), establishing a standard refund procedure and setting forth an appellate procedure for the taxpayer to the courts of this Commonwealth and making certain repeals.

Finance.

HB 855, PN 962

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further defining the word "interest."

Finance.

HB 941, PN 1083

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Local Tax Collection Law," approved May 25, 1945 (P. L. 1050, No. 394), further providing for penalties.

Finance.

HB 1285, PN 1511

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending "The Local Tax Enabling Act," approved December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257, No. 511), authorizing exemptions from certain taxes.

HB 1507, PN 1807

by Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), providing for a certain election of tax relating to aircraft.

Finance.

SB 305, PN 307

By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act authorizing local taxing authorities to provide for tax exemption for certain deteriorated industrial commercial and other business property; providing for an exemption schedule and establishing standards and qualifications.

Finance.

HOUSE BILL INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

No. 1677

By Messrs. BENNETT, DORR, DOMBROWSKI, KNEPPER, MUSTO, PARKER, IRVIS, RAVENSTAHL, LETTERMAN, DICARLO, McCALL, ARTHURS and PRATT

An Act amending the "General Appropriation Act of 1977," approved August 20, 1977 (No. 11-A), further providing for the appropriation to the Department of Commerce.

Referred to Committee on Business and Commerce.

CALENDAR

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS
ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1252, printer's No. 1972**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands by the United States to the several states for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MORRIS offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 21, by striking out "\$82,359,000" and inserting \$74,457,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 10, by striking out "2,784,000" and inserting 2,715,000

Amend Sec. 10, page 8, line 10, by striking out "July 1, 1977." and inserting immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

HB 1252 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Well, we are having a little problem and we are trying to resolve it or work on HB 1252 in the anteroom here now.

I wonder if it would be possible for HB 1252 to be passed over until we see if we can resolve this problem before the House acts on the amendment? I wonder if Mr. Morris would withdraw his amendment temporarily until we attempt to resolve it? There is a discrepancy in the figures and that is what we are attempting to resolve right at the minute.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, advise the Chair as to how long that would take?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Hopefully, just about 5 minutes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. All right. Without objection, this bill will go over temporarily. The Chair will return to it immediately when the problem has been resolved or when in fact we are advised that it cannot be so resolved.

Would the majority leader advise the Chair as to whether or not it is his pleasure to turn now to the University of Pittsburgh bill on page 8, HB 1253, PN 1973?

The Chair thanks the majority leader.

CALENDAR

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL
ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1253, printer's No. 1973**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess. P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; ***" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MORRIS offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 25, by striking out "\$54,105,000" and inserting \$52,858,000

Amend Sec. 10, page 8, line 4, by striking out "July 1, 1977." and inserting immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

The Chair respectfully suggests to the members that we will conclude the business of this week much more rapidly if you do not force the Chair to interrupt your conversations constantly, and the Chair is going to interrupt your conversations constantly. So the best way to avoid that is to avoid the conversations.

The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MORRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This, of course, is the appropriation for the University of Pittsburgh. My amendment follows out a plan that was part of the plan that I instituted or tried to put into effect last June for a substantial overall saving through the general amendments both in the general funds and these special appropriations. The purpose of this amendment, along with the others that I am sponsoring and other votes that I have suggested, would be to save some of the \$17,950,000 from the schedule of preferred amendments in total.

What this amendment does is very simple. It reduces the line item for instruction to the amount that the university received last year and, namely, \$52,858,000 from the amount shown in the bill itself.

It also reduces the appropriation for dental clinics by \$100,000, which is in accordance with the suggestion of the Governor in his budget document and also the Senate at the time they passed HB 770. That is what the amendment does.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to question Mr. Morris in terms of specific language in his amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Morris, indicates that he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I think my confusion arises from the fact that the printer's number has been changed. The amendment which was circulated and which I have on my desk was originally drafted to, I believe, PN 1476 and then in felt

pen here was inserted 1973.

Do I have the correct amendment?

Mr. MORRIS. I think you do.

Mr. COWELL. Okay.

The SPEAKER. The Chair interrupts the gentleman at this opportune time to point out to all members the following: In strict conformance to the rules of this House, this amendment should not be before this House.

No member should self-correct an amendment. The amendment must pass through the Legislative Reference Bureau and be corrected there.

Because this amendment is a simple amendment, the Chair will now permit it. But the Chair advises all members, not just Mr. Morris but all members, that if you wish to have corrections made to amendments, those amendments must be processed the same as the original amendment, through the Legislative Reference Bureau.

The Chair apologizes for the interruption but thought it was an opportune moment to make that announcement.

The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, those remarks reflect some of my concern about this particular amendment. The first section of the amendment speaks to page 1, line 25, but PN 1973 has already excluded or deleted the figure that was originally on line 25. So I believe that the figure that Mr. Morris seeks to delete or to change is on line 26. Is that correct, Mr. Morris?

Mr. MORRIS. That is quite possible, Mr. Speaker. In the hurry of getting these amendments ready yesterday morning to conform with the changes made the day before by the Appropriations Committee, all I did was change the printer's number and the amount, and it is quite possible that that reference is wrong.

However, that, again, is a very simple change which, if the amendment passes, the Reference Bureau can surely take care of. And I thank the Speaker's indulgence for allowing the amendment to come before the House in this form.

Mr. COWELL. And, Mr. Speaker, I believe we have a similar problem on page 8, line 4, which instead of speaking to a date, speaks to a distribution of a part-time faculty list.

Is it your intention, Mr. Speaker, that we would really be amending page 10, line 22, of the new PN 1973 version?

Mr. MORRIS. If you are looking at the bill as it stands, and that is where the language about the effective date appears, that certainly is my intention.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you.

And one final question, Mr. Speaker, if you please: Could you indicate to the members of the House the potential fiscal impact on tuition at the University of Pittsburgh if your amendment should be adopted? Do you have any feel for that?

Mr. MORRIS. No, I do not.

Mr. COWELL. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman. Does the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, have additional remarks?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—44

Armstrong	Foster, A.	Meluskey	Shuman
Arthurs	Friend	Miscevich	Spitz
Brown	Fryer	Morris	Taylor, E.
Burd	Gallen	Noye	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Gatski	O'Brien, B.	Trello
Cassidy	Greenleaf	O'Keefe	Wansacz
DiCarlo	Hasay	Petrarca	Weidner
Dietz	Lehr	Piccola	Wilson
Dorr	Livengood	Pitts	Wright, D.
Doyle	Logue	Ritter	Zeller
Fee	McGinnis	Schmitt	Zwinkl

NAYS—143

Abraham	Geesey	Madigan	Scanlon
Anderson	Geisler	Manderino	Scheaffer
Bellomini	George, C.	Manmiller	Schweder
Beloff	George, M.	McCall	Scirica
Bennett	Giammarco	McClatchy	Seltzer
Berlin	Gillette	McIntyre	Shupnik
Berson	Goebel	McLane	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Goodman	Mebus	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gray	Miller	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	Milliron	Stairs
Brandt	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Brunner	Hamilton	Mowery	Stewart
Burns	Harper	Mrkonic	Stuban
Butera	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Musto	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Helfrick	Novak	Thomas
Cimini	Hoefel	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
Cohen	Honaman	O'Connell	Vroon
Cole	Hopkins	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wass
Davies	Itkin	Pancoast	Wenger
DeMedio	Johnson	Parker	White
DeVerter	Jones	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Katz	Polite	Williams
Dininni	Kelly	Pott	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pratt	Wise
Donatucci	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Knepper	Pyles	Yahner
Englehart	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Fischer, R. R.	Kowalshyn	Reed	Zearfoss
Fisher, D. M.	Laughlin	Renwick	Zitterman
Flaherty	Letterman	Rieger	Zord
Foster, W.	Levi	Ruggiero	
Gallagher	Lincoln	Ryan	Irvis,
Gamble	Mackowski	Salvatore	Speaker
Garzia			

NOT VOTING—13

Barber	Hutchinson, W.	Rappaport	Shelton
Dumas	Lynch	Rhodes	Sirianni
Gleeson	Milanovich	Richardson	Wagner
Halverson			

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. STEWART offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 4, by striking out "and with" and inserting a comma

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 5, by inserting after "Commonwealth" and the chief administrator of each branch campus

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Stewart.

Mr. STEWART. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The existing language in HB 1253 provides for a report on the moneys appropriated to be sent to the Secretary of Education, the General Assembly and the Auditor General of the Commonwealth. My amendment simply adds one more designee for the report to be sent to by law, the chief administrator of each branch campus. They have access to these reports now, but because of the 120-day filing date, they have to keep checking if the report is in, and it involves some time and effort. This way the report will be sent from the main campus to each branch campus automatically.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Kernick.

Mrs. KERNICK. Mr. Speaker, the amendment I have on my desk is drawn to printer's number 1476 and does not fit in with the present bill.

The SPEAKER. The lady is correct. The printer's number is wrong on this amendment, Mr. Stewart, and the Chair believes that your intention is to offer an amendment to page 3, line 7, where the word "Commonwealth" appears, reading "auditor general of the Commonwealth", and you wish to insert the words, "and the chief administrator of each branch campus", rather than on line 5. Is that correct?

Mr. STEWART. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. The Legislative Reference amendment that I have indicates printer's number 1476.

The SPEAKER. That is the wrong printer's number. That must have been prepared early.

Mr. STEWART. That is correct.

The SPEAKER. The correct printer's number is 1973.

Do the members understand clearly the amendment? Is there objection to proceeding with this amendment? The Chair hears no objection.

Has the gentleman, Mr. Stewart, finished his explanation of the amendment?

Mr. STEWART. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Crawford, Mr. Haskell. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. HASKELL. Mr. Speaker, I should like to interrogate Mr. Stewart for just a moment.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Stewart, stand for interrogation?

Mr. STEWART. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Stewart, indicates that he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Haskell, may proceed.

Mr. HASKELL. Mr. Speaker, in the confusion over the proper printer's number, I was unable to hear Mr. Stewart's explanation of why he wants to include the local administrators of the branch campuses under this bill. Would he please again explain the purpose of it?

Mr. STEWART. Yes, Mr. Speaker. The report by law, existing law, in HB 1253 must be filed 120 days after the close of the year. Due to that time period, the branch campuses have to check back and forth within that time period because there is no specific day. This way it will be sent to them as soon as it is finished the same way it is sent to the Auditor General and Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Mr. HASKELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. STEWART. We think it is just going to make it much more convenient for them to see the total picture.

Mr. HASKELL. Mr. Speaker, as one who represents one of the branch campuses at the University of Pittsburgh, I urge the members to support this amendment.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. CAPUTO. A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. CAPUTO. If this amendment is adopted, will the bill be run as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's intention that if the amendment or any amendment be inserted, that the bill will be offered for a final vote, yes.

Mr. CAPUTO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—178

Abraham	Gamble	Manmiller	Salvatore
Anderson	Garzia	McCall	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gatski	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Geesey	McGinnis	Schmitt
Bellomini	Geisler	McIntyre	Schweder
Bennett	George, C.	McLane	Scirica
Berlin	George, M.	Mebus	Seltzer
Berson	Giammarco	Meluskey	Shuman
Bittinger	Gillette	Miller	Shupnik
Borski	Gleeson	Milliron	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Brown	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Brunner	Gray	Morris	Spencer
Burd	Greenfield	Mowery	Spitz
Burns	Greenleaf	Mrkoncic	Stairs
Butera	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Cassidy	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Stewart
Cessar	Hasay	Musto	Suban
Cianciulli	Haskell	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Taddonio
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, E.
Cole	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, F.
Cowell	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Tenaglio
Davies	Honaman	O'Donnell	Thomas
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Trello
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Valicenti
DeWeese	Itkin	Pancoast	Vroon
DiCarlo	Katz	Parker	Wagner
Dietz	Kelly	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Piccola	Wargo
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wass
Dorr	Knepper	Pitts	Weidner

Doyle	Kolter	Polite	Wenger
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilson
Englehart	Laughlin	Pratt	Wilt
Fee	Lehr	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Flaberty	Lincoln	Reed	Yohn
Foster, A.	Livengood	Renwick	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Logue	Rieger	Zeller
Freind	Lynch	Ritter	Zitterman
Fryer	Mackowski	Ruggiero	Zord
Gallagher	Madigan	Ryan	Zwikl
Gallen	Manderino		

NAYS—12

Beloff	Harper	White	Iris,
Caltagirone	Johnson	Wiggins	Speaker
Caputo	Jones	Wise	
Donatucci	Richardson		

NOT VOTING—10

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Bittle	Hopkins	Rhodes	Williams
Dumas	Milanovich		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

STATEMENT BY MR. D. R. WRIGHT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clarion, Mr. Wright, who does not intend to offer amendments but wishes to make a statement on the bill.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A few weeks ago I joined 101 others of my colleagues in voting for a budget which had a provision in it that prohibited any increases in salary for any state-college and the single university faculty or any other employees. I voted for that bill, as many of my other friends did. I was surprised then to discover that the same principle had not been applied to the state-related and state-aided institutions.

I proposed an amendment which would simply say that no funds received from the Commonwealth or from any other source by the institutions should be used to provide increases in compensation for its employees.

Mr. Speaker, I am not going to introduce this series of amendments because I have been assured that I will have some cooperation in working out some arrangements that will bring the state-related and state-aided institutions into alignment with some of the stringent requirements that are placed upon the very children of this Commonwealth, the state-owned colleges and the university.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. ITKIN. To offer an amendment, if I am in order. Are there other amendments to be considered?

The SPEAKER. There are other amendments, Mr. Itkin, and we will put your name on the list.

Mr. ITKIN. Thank you.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 9. As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contracts for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, this first amendment, which is marked A-2390, states:

As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contracts for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

What this speaks to specifically is where mind-bending drugs, in particular, which would alter the thought processes of any human being would be introduced or used without the consent of the individual.

We had a discussion prior to this some time back on, for example, CIA — Central Intelligence Agency — undercover contracts with various institutions, and this addresses itself to that, that this body would be able to question any such type of research or experimentation.

This in no way interferes with the medical school of the university nor any of the particular practices they have now because those are accepted practices; they are not experimentations; and they, of course, are not anything new as far as research because they go far beyond the scope of research. They are a natural practice, and it does not, in any way, inhibit or restrict those particular practices now.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—173

Abraham	Fryer	Madigan	Salvatore
Anderson	Gallen	Manmiller	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gamble	McCall	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Garzia	McClatchy	Schmitt
Barber	Gatski	McGinnis	Schweder
Bellomini	Geesey	McIntyre	Scirica
Bennett	George, C.	McLane	Seltzer

Berson	George, M.	Mebus	Shuman
Bittinger	Giammarco	Meluskey	Sirianni
Bittle	Gillette	Miller	Smith, E.
Borski	Goebel	Milliron	Smith, L.
Brandt	Goodman	Miscevich	Spencer
Brown	Gray	Moehlmann	Spitz
Brunner	Greenleaf	Morris	Stairs
Burd	Grieco	Mowery	Stapleton
Burns	Hamilton	Mrkoncic	Stewart
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stuban
Cassidy	Haskell	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taddonio
Cianciulli	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Helfrick	Noye	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Brien, B.	Tenaglio
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Connell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Vroon
DeWeese	Itkin	Oliver	Wagner
DiCarlo	Katz	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dietz	Kelly	Parker	Wargo
Dininni	Kernick	Petrarca	Wass
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Piccola	Weidner
Donatucci	Knepper	Pievsky	Wenger
Dorr	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Doyle	Kowalshyn	Polite	Wilson
Duffy	Laughlin	Pott	Wilt
Dumas	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, D.
Englehart	Letterman	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Fee	Levi	Pyles	Yohn
Fischer, R. R.	Lincoln	Reed	Zearfoss
Fisher, D. M.	Livengood	Rieger	Zeller
Flaherty	Logue	Ritter	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Lynch	Ruggiero	Zord
Foster, W.	Mackowski	Ryan	Zwinkl
Freind			

NAYS—20

Beloff	Geisler	Renwick	Wise
Berlin	Harper	Richardson	Yahner
Caltagirone	Johnson	Shupnik	
Caputo	Jones	White	Irvis,
DeMedio	Manderino	Williams	Speaker
Gallagher	Ravenstahl		

NOT VOTING—7

Gleeson	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Greenfield	Milanovich	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair did not wish to make this statement before Mr. Davies' vote was taken, but it occurs to the Chair that the very purpose of a university is to bend the human thought a little bit, sometimes without the informed consent of the students.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, if I may, I did not want to get into the specifics of naming those particular drugs but this is addressed to mind-bending drugs. This is not addressed to the word in speech, in writing or in rhetoric, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the difference.

FILMING PERMISSION GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair announces at this time it has given permission for Ms. Jayne Miller of WHP-TV to make silent film

for a period of 10 minutes beginning now on the floor of the House. WHP-TV, silent footage for 10 minutes.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. TRELLO offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting \$27,052,500

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out "2,960,000" and inserting 1,480,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 3, by striking out "4,031,000" and inserting 2,015,500

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting 300,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I planned to offer an amendment for each of the nonpreferred bills but how the first two go will determine whether I introduce them all or not.

The purpose of my amendment is simply this: I have enabling legislation but there is not a bill on the agenda that would be germane to it, but the purpose of these amendments is to reduce all of the nonpreferred appropriations by 50 percent. And the enabling legislation that goes along with it, as soon as I find a bill that is germane to add this amendment to, would be to refer this money back to the 505 school districts on an equal-share basis and for the sole purpose of lowering local taxes.

Now, throughout the budget debate everybody was talking about state taxes and people being sick and tired of paying taxes. Well, I agree with them. When we passed that budget, one of my school districts, with the subsidy that they received, opened their budget back up, reduced their millage 4 mills, and returned the money back to the taxpayers.

I personally feel that the nonpreferreds are very important to higher education, but I also feel that the people back in my district are paying over 100 mills in local, school and county taxes and that some of this money should be returned back to them for the sole purpose of lowering local taxes and nothing else. That is the purpose of my amendments to each one of the nonpreferreds. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendments and I urge the members on both sides of the aisle to do likewise.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—25

Abraham	Gatski	Novak	Shuman
Brown	Gillette	O'Brien, B.	Sirianni
Burd	Hasay	Piccola	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Jones	Pitts	Trello
Fee	Livengood	Pratt	Valicenti

Foster, A. Fryer	Miscevich	Schmitt	Zeller
NAYS—168			
Anderson	Gallagher	Madigan	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallen	Manderino	Schweder
Arthurs	Gamble	Manmiller	Scirica
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Seltzer
Bellomini	Geesey	McClatchy	Shupnik
Beloff	Geisler	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bennett	George, C.	McIntyre	Smith, L.
Berlin	George, M.	McLane	Spencer
Berson	Giammarco	Mebus	Spitz
Bittinger	Gleeson	Meluskey	Stairs
Bittle	Goebel	Miller	Stapleton
Borski	Goodman	Milliron	Stewart
Brandt	Gray	Moehlmann	Stuban
Brunner	Greenfield	Morris	Sweet
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Taddonio
Butera	Grieco	Mrkonic	Taylor, E.
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Tenaglio
Caputo	Harper	Musto	Thomas
Cassidy	Haskell	Noye	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cianciulli	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cimini	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wass
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Weidner
Cowell	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wenger
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	White
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeVerter	Itkin	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Johnson	Polite	Wilson
Dietz	Katz	Pott	Wilt
Dininni	Kernick	Prendergast	Wise
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pyles	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kolter	Reed	Yahner
Doyle	Kowalyshyn	Renwick	Yohn
Duffy	Laughlin	Richardson	Zearfoss
Dumas	Lehr	Rieger	Zitterman
Englehart	Lettermann	Ritter	Zord
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ruggiero	Zwinkl
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ryan	
Flaherty	Logue	Salvatore	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Lynch	Scanlon	Speaker
Freind	Mackowski		

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Kelly	Mullen, M. M.	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. ITKIN offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(5) For an annual tuition credit to every Pennsylvania resident enrolled at the university of \$50 for full-time enrollment and a proportional credit for part-time enrollment based on its full-time equivalency 1,517,000

The amount of the annual tuition credit shall be listed on the invoice of charges provided to the student as "additional tuition credit provided by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for Pennsylvania residents."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, these amendments will appear to be contrary to most of the amendments that will be offered today because what they do is add money to the appropriations measure rather than delete money, which appears to be the sentiment of some members of this House prior to the offering of these amendments.

The specific nature of this appropriation is to provide a tuition credit to every Pennsylvania resident attending a state-related university. I have amendments to offer to all state-related universities, and, in this particular case, it is the University of Pittsburgh. This tuition credit will be an annual tuition credit of \$50 per student. And those who are engaged in part-time study would receive a pro rata share of that annual \$50-tuition credit.

Now, the question might occur as to why I would propose such an amendment. As most of you may be aware, prior to the adoption of a budget this year, the state-related universities, Pitt, Temple and Penn State, raised their tuition very dramatically, ranging from \$100 at Pitt, \$114 at Penn State, and \$150 at Temple.

Now, we find ourselves dealing with a \$300-million deficit with the logical assumption that it will have to be made up by raising taxes. The most talked about proposal includes increasing the personal income tax from 2 percent to 2.3 percent. Now, the average income of a Pennsylvania family is somewhere around \$15,000 a year. So the tax liability, if this particular tax goes through will be approximately \$50 per family.

Now, I think it is unreasonable to ask these families of Pennsylvania who have students attending state-related universities not only to be assessed a general obligation of \$50 in increased taxes but, in addition, for each student that they have attending a state-related university, to pay an additional amount of \$100 to \$150, bringing their increased liability somewhere closer to \$200 where the general public would only suffer a \$50 additional tax liability.

It is my feeling that the attitudes which prevail with respect to the use of appropriations at the universities have been that to some degree they have not been appropriated properly in that the students are not receiving for what they pay the best education that we would like to provide them. By adopting this amendment what, in fact, we do is to insure that there will be a rebate to each Pennsylvania resident to keep those tuitions to state-related universities at a modest level.

The total cost of this package, including Pitt, Penn State, Temple and Lincoln, will amount to \$6 million in total. The bill to Pitt, if you have the amendment in front of you, will be about \$1½ million. I think it is wise to appropriate and to extend our deficit from somewhere around \$300 million to \$306 million to provide for this additional subsidy to Pennsylvania students.

I would like to point out, finally, that Pennsylvania ranks among the bottom of states in support of higher education. I

think it would be a travesty for this state which always prides itself in the educational caliber of institutions to put the institutions in such a position that they are going to price Pennsylvania students out of Pennsylvania institutions. I, therefore, plea to all members of this House that they support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola.

Mr. PICCOLA. I rise in opposition to Mr. Itkin's amendment. His logic is faulty. His amendment is laudatory and well meaning but he is basing it on the assumption that the proposed tax increase is for the purpose of funding the state-related universities and institutions. And you and I and everyone in this chamber know that is absolutely false. The purpose of a proposed tax increase is to fund the budget that we passed in August.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Goebel. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GOEBEL. I rise to a question of information.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GOEBEL. Mr. Speaker, looking at rule 65, I was wondering if you would determine whether I should be able to vote on this, being that I do have a daughter who is a student at Pitt, full time, at the present time?

The SPEAKER. The Chair is of the opinion that the member would not be in violation of the rule by voting either "aye" or "nay" on this amendment.

Rule 65, for the benefit of those people who do not have the rules currently in front of them, reads:

A member who has a personal or private interest in any measure or bill proposed or pending before the House shall disclose the fact to the House and shall not vote thereon.

It might well be that if the member himself were a student, he might be precluded from thus voting. I said "might be." The Chair is not setting that as a precedent. The Chair feels in this case, the member questioning not being himself a student but having his daughter who is a student, that that does not preclude the member from voting. If that were to be so, then we could extend that rule logically to a position where no member could vote on any bill because each member would find some member of his family who was affected by every piece of legislation voted in this House. So the Chair is of the opinion that the member would not violate the rules by so voting.

Mr. GOEBEL. One further question now, please: Would you then also determine if any member here who is sitting on the board of directors of a university, is he also then going to be permitted to vote on any of these nonpreferreds?

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is placed by the gentleman, Mr. Goebel: If any member of a board of trustees of a university, sitting on the floor of the House, would he be precluded from voting on this amendment? It is the opinion of the Chair that the member would not be because it would not be of a personal interest to that member, whether he

be a member of the faculty or whether he be a member of the trustees. The only time I think that might logically rise would be if the member himself were to benefit financially from the passage of the amendment, and I do not see where a trustee or a member of the board of directors would personally be advantaged by either the passage or the nonpassage of the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—18

Arthurs	DiCarlo	Katz	O'Keefe
Berson	Dombrowski	Lincoln	Wilson
Burd	Fee	McClatchy	Wise
Burns	Hayes, D. S.	Mrkoncic	Wright, J. L.
Cohen	Itkin		

NAYS—176

Abraham	Garzia	McGinnis	Schweder
Anderson	Gatski	McIntyre	Scirica
Armstrong	Geesey	McLane	Seltzer
Barber	Geisler	Mebus	Shuman
Bellomini	George, C.	Meluskey	Shupnik
Beloff	George, M.	Miller	Sirianni
Bennett	Giammarco	Milliron	Smith, E.
Berlin	Gillette	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Spencer
Bittle	Goebel	Morris	Spitz
Borski	Goodman	Mowery	Stairs
Brandt	Gray	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Brown	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. M.	Stewart
Brunner	Grieco	Musto	Stuban
Butera	Hamilton	Novak	Sweet
Caltagirone	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Caputo	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, E.
Cassidy	Haskell	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cimini	Hoefel	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Pancoast	Valicenti
Cowell	Hopkins	Parker	Vroon
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wagner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wansacz
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wargo
DeWeese	Jones	Pitts	Wass
Dietz	Kelly	Polite	Weidner
Dininni	Kernick	Pott	Wenger
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pratt	White
Dorr	Knepper	Prendergast	Wiggins
Doyle	Kolter	Pyles	Williams
Duffy	Kowalyshyn	Ravenstahl	Wilt
Dumas	Laughlin	Reed	Wright, D.
Englehart	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Rieger	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Livengood	Ritter	Zeller
Foster, A.	Logue	Ruggiero	Zitterman
Foster, W.	Lynch	Ryan	Zord
Freind	Mackowski	Salvatore	Zwikl
Fryer	Madigan	Scanlon	
Gallagher	Manderino	Scheaffer	Irvis,
Gallen	Manmiller	Schmitt	Speaker
Gamble	McCall		

NOT VOTING—6

Greenfield	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport		

The question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 6, page 9, line 26, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES OR STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 2, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES AND STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 3, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the first time and inserting its

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 3, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the last time and inserting its

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is agreed to. It is just a grammatical change in the bill.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

Amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, I did have another amendment drawn to this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes to the gentleman. It did not have that listed.

Mr. DAVIES. It has been distributed and it was given to the clerk. However, I did send it up about 10 minutes ago to get a correction to the bill. It is still not down. I would be willing to explain the correction to the members by insert and wait for that to arrive. I called and they are working on it as rapidly as possible. They are going to get it down. I could explain the words that are revised.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, the Chair is unwilling to go that far in relaxing the rules of the House. The bills are too important, I think, for that type of procedure.

So in order to accommodate the gentleman, the vote will not be taken on the bill at this time.

DECISION WITHDRAWN

HB 1253 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair recants and withdraws its announcement that the bill has been agreed to as amended. The bill will be passed over temporarily. When the Davies amendment is available, we will return to the bill and, therefore, to the amendment.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, it is the intention of the Chair to run until approximately 12:45 p.m. and break for lunch for a period of 1 hour and then return to the session.

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House resumed consideration of **House bill No. 1252, printer's No. 1972**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands by the United States to the several states for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MORRIS offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 21, by striking out "\$82,359,000" and inserting \$74,457,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 10, by striking out "2,784,000" and inserting 2,715,000

Amend Sec. 10, page 8, line 10, by striking out "July 1, 1977," and inserting immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. This amendment has had the printer's number changed by hand. Otherwise it is the same as originally drafted.

What this amendment does is reduce the instructional appropriation for Penn State back to what it was in 1976-1977 rather than the amount shown in the bill itself.

It also reduces the amount for the Hershey Medical Center to 2.5 percent less than the 1976-1977 appropriation, again, from the amount shown in the bill. In both cases the amount shown in the bill is the same as under the prior printer's number.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DiCARLO. I rise to a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, along the same line of questioning as Representative Goebel, on conflict of interests under the rules of the House, I am a student in the master's program of Penn State University and any votes I may cast considering the appropriation for that institution would have a direct effect on me as a student in that institution. Could you rule, would I be permitted to vote or not to vote on this issue?

The SPEAKER. In the opinion of the Chair, you are permitted to vote on any issue unless you are personally involved in the issue itself. In other words, if there were to be a vote to reduce the tuition, to reduce, therefore, your tuition, the Chair

would be of the opinion that you would be in violation of the rules to so vote. But on a question of a general appropriation to the entire university which may or may not affect you and certainly would affect you only indirectly, if at all, it is the opinion of the Chair you would be correct and in proper order to proceed and to vote.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, on the question of the amendments that will be offered, they will have a direct effect on the amount of appropriations given to the institution. For example, if the appropriations are cut, the tuition I pay will be increased.

The SPEAKER. That is not necessarily so. That is an assumption that the gentleman is making and, therefore, that is not a warranted assumption on which the gentleman should act.

It is the opinion of the Chair that if the amendment were to say, "the tuition shall be cut" or "the tuition shall be raised" and the gentleman were a student and, therefore, directly affected by that vote, the gentleman then should withdraw his vote. But the mere addition or deletion of a general fund may or may not have a direct influence on the gentleman's position financially. Therefore, it is the Chair's opinion the gentleman would be in order to vote.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Kernick.

Mrs. KERNICK. Mr. Speaker, just for purposes of clarifying the record, and if I have the proper amendment, it is not exactly the same as the bill. The second part of the amendment should read: Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8. And the third section should read: Amend Sec. 10, page 10, line 24.

The SPEAKER. The lady, I believe, is correct. This amendment was made to the wrong printer's number and, therefore, the reference lines are incorrect.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—30

Brown	Gatski	Morris	Spitz
Burd	Gillette	Petrarca	Taylor, F.
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Pitts	Trello
Dietz	Kernick	Pyles	Wright, D.
Dorr	Livengood	Ritter	Zeller
Freind	Logue	Schmitt	Zord
Fryer	Lynch	Shuman	Zwikl
Gallen	Meluskey		

NAYS—162

Abraham	Foster, A.	Mackowski	Scanlon
Anderson	Foster, W.	Madigan	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manderino	Schweder
Arthurs	Gamble	Manmiller	Scirica
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Seltzer
Bellomini	Geesey	McClatchy	Shupnik
Beloff	Geisler	McIntyre	Sirianni
Bennett	George, C.	McLane	Smith, E.
Berlin	George, M.	Mebus	Smith, L.
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Spencer
Bittinger	Gleeson	Milliron	Stairs
Bittle	Goebel	Miscevich	Stapleton
Borski	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stewart
Brandt	Gray	Mowery	Stuban
Brunner	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Sweet
Burns	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio

Butera	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Musto	Tenaglio
Caputo	Harper	Novak	Thomas
Cassidy	Hasay	Noye	Valicenti
Cessar	Haskell	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wass
Cowell	Honaman	Oliver	Weidner
Davies	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wenger
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	White
DeVerter	Itkin	Piccola	Wiggins
DiCarlo	Jones	Pievsky	Williams
Dininni	Katz	Polite	Wilson
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pott	Wilt
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pratt	Wise
Doyle	Knepper	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalysyn	Renwick	Yohn
Englehart	Laughlin	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fee	Lehr	Rieger	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Ruggiero	
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ryan	Irvis,
Flaherty	Lincoln	Salvatore	Speaker

NOT VOTING—8

Halverson	McGinnis	Rappaport	Rhodes
Johnson	Milanovich	Ravenstahl	Shelton

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MILLIRON offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

Section 9. In addition to the requirements of section 6, the Pennsylvania State University shall, by March 1, 1978, submit to the Appropriations and Education Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives a breakdown of the funds budgeted for this fiscal year for the main campus and each branch campus including expenditures budgeted from this act and expenditures budgeted from moneys other than those appropriated by this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 24, by striking out "9" and inserting 10

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Milliron.

Mr. MILLIRON. Mr. Speaker, a number of years ago the Penn State University and also the University of Pittsburgh established branch campuses throughout the Commonwealth to facilitate the education of those people living great distances from the main campuses. Over the period of years, as my colleague, Mr. Wright, referred to the state-owned colleges as "the children," many of these branch campuses have been left out of the family altogether.

My amendment, Mr. Speaker, although it does not solve the problem, does, I think, make a beginning. It states that by March 1 of next year the main campus of Penn State will publish the individual budgets of every branch campus and make them available to our Appropriations Committee and our Education Committee. We will have no input in this budgetary

process of the individual branch campuses for this year. But I think once we see how the budgets compare with each other, how many faculty are at each of the campuses, how many students are at each of the campuses, we will be able to make a better assessment for next year's budget of whether we should begin to line-item per branch campus. I do feel that a lot of the branch campuses are coming out on the short end of the dollar, but without accurate information it is too difficult to make this decision. So this would at least give us a start in the right direction.

Now, at the current time there is an overall publication of the total budget, but to try to get it item by item for each branch campus is next to impossible, if not totally impossible.

So I ask my colleagues to support this amendment and, hopefully, we can improve the budgetary process for these and the years to come.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Lincoln.

Mr. LINCOLN. Will the gentleman, Mr. Milliron, submit to a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Milliron, indicates he will submit to a brief interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Lincoln, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LINCOLN. Mr. Speaker, is the purpose of your amendment to give the General Assembly a little more knowledge of the budgetary process concerning the branch campuses or is it your intention to allow the branch campuses to have somewhat of an input into that that they do not have now?

Mr. MILLIRON. I believe the branch campuses already, Mr. Speaker, have an input because they have to process a budget to the main campus.

What I am hoping to find out is how the branch campuses fit into the overall financial scheme of the main campus' budget. We do not have that information now, and that is what I am hoping to find out with that amendment.

Mr. LINCOLN. Mr. Speaker, the date that you have chosen is of March 1. Will this in any way pose a problem for the budget-makers at this institution?

Mr. MILLIRON. First of all, they should know by now how much each campus is getting. I could put a date as of the effective date of the act, but I did not want to do that. I felt that by next March 1 our House Appropriations Committee will be looking into the 1978-1979 budget and that is about the time we will need this information.

It is for this year's budget, Mr. Speaker, it is not next year's or anything. So it is almost a retroactive thing where we have no input. It is merely there for the purpose of gathering information.

Mr. LINCOLN. In other words, the date really is insignificant as far as the budget process? It is something to benefit us in the legislative process?

Mr. MILLIRON. It is to benefit us for next year's budgetary process, Mr. Speaker, not for this year's.

Mr. LINCOLN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make some brief comments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LINCOLN. Mr. Speaker, I, too, have a Pennsylvania

State University branch campus located in my district and I keep up a very close relationship with the administrators of this branch campus. I have never had any discussion with them concerning the problem that Mr. Milliron is attempting to correct with this amendment.

The only thing that I can possibly see wrong or possibly see developing from the adoption of this amendment would be that there would be individual legislators, such as myself, who have a branch campus in their district who may be trying to become a little more involved in the appropriation of that money. I am not really altogether certain whether that is a good idea. I am not going to ask the members to vote one way or the other on this amendment, but I intend to vote "no" on it myself.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I would urge us to adopt the Milliron amendment for a reason a little bit different from what Mr. Milliron initially indicated. This type of information that would be made available by March 1, I think, would be extremely helpful to this legislature as we analyze the total branch-campus situation across the state. Frankly, there are many people in higher education or observers of higher education who have argued that in the last 10 years we have seen a proliferation of branch campuses around this state on the part of many institutions of higher education, not just the institution that is addressed by this amendment.

I think that this legislature in the very near future must begin to address that question, must begin to see what kind of mileage we are getting out of the dollars we are spending on higher education and how those dollars can be better spent, and whether we really need all the branch campuses that we have and whether we need additional branch campuses that some institutions are contemplating. So this information, I think, can help in that decisionmaking process that we will have to undertake in the very near future.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Abraham	Fryer	Lynch	Salvatore
Anderson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gamble	Manderino	Schweder
Barber	Garzia	Manmiller	Scirica
Bellomini	Gatski	McCall	Seltzer
Beloff	Geesey	McClatchy	Shuman
Bennett	Geisler	McGinnis	Sirianni
Berlin	George, C.	McIntyre	Smith, E.
Berson	George, M.	McLane	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Giammarco	Mebus	Spencer
Bittle	Gillette	Meluskey	Spitz
Borski	Gleeson	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Goebel	Milliron	Stapleton
Brunner	Goodman	Miscevich	Stewart
Burd	Gray	Moehlmann	Stuban
Burns	Greenfield	Morris	Sweet
Butera	Greenleaf	Mowery	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mrkonjic	Taylor, E.
Caputo	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio

Cessar	Hasay	Musto	Thomas
Cianciulli	Haskell	Novak	Trello
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Valicenti
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Wagner
Cole	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Wansacz
Cowell	Hoefel	O'Connell	Wass
Davies	Honaman	O'Keefe	Weidner
DeMedio	Hopkins	Oliver	Wenger
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	White
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wiggins
DiCarlo	Itkin	Petrarca	Williams
Dietz	Johnson	Piccola	Wilson
Dininni	Jones	Pievsky	Wilt
Donatucci	Katz	Pitts	Wright, D.
Dorr	Kelly	Polite	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kernick	Pratt	Yahner
Duffy	Klingaman	Prendergast	Yohn
Dumas	Knepper	Pyles	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Zeller
Fee	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Richardson	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Rieger	Zwinkl
Flaherty	Levi	Ritter	
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Logue	Ryan	Speaker
Freind			

NAYS—12

Brown	Lincoln	Renwick	Vroon
Dombrowski	O'Donnell	Scheaffer	Wargo
Letterman	Pott	Shupnik	Wise

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Rappaport	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WILLIAMS. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I was not paying close attention on the last vote and I wish to be recorded in the negative on the Milliron amendment to HB 1252.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, what is the number of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. You have to submit the amendment to us first. We do not have it here yet, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. I had a prior amendment that I am withdrawing. I submitted a subsequent amendment and I just wanted to know what amendment was brought before you.

The SPEAKER. We will try to check it and see which one is

here. The amendment being handed to me, Mr. George, is numbered A2459.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Is that the correct amendment?

Mr. GEORGE. That is the correct amendment, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I noted with interest the votes on the board of the last tally. It seems to me that each and every member of this body is, in fact, interested and completely resigned that we must provide the best type of education to our citizens. It is also apparent, and I am sure most are in agreement, that the most important resource we have today in Pennsylvania is the resource of our young who have the energy, the ability and the capacity to learn.

In discussion within the past week, it is apparent that many of us are not reasonably sure of whether or not the dollars that we are providing for the best interests of the people we serve are being provided and being assigned in the manner that we have directed. And when it comes to medical schools, there is not one of us who would ever stand before the media and say differently from what I am going to say right now, and that is, that any service we can provide for those whom we are obligated to provide for, that is, all the people in Pennsylvania, that we must provide reasonable assurance that those who are sick and ill must have someone to look after them.

In this body, where there are many intellectuals and a broad scope of individuals who are proficient in many matters, there is not a doctor among us. And yet today those whom we serve back home do not object to the moneys we spend in every individual case, but they are as confused as we are as individuals and as legislators. They say, we do not mind if you spend that dollar if, in fact, the return is worthy of that assignment.

The reason I asked the Speaker what amendment he had before him is because I am as interested as any individual whom I have talked with this week in that we must indeed provide medical care for the deprived areas in Pennsylvania.

An individual said to me just yesterday, for 6 years I have been trying to find out just how many residents of Pennsylvania are admitted to the schools of higher learning in the field of medicine. Just yesterday I was told by an individual who represents the institution that in fact the majority of those who

are entering these schools of higher sciences are residents of Pennsylvania. But this really is not the answer to providing the necessary care that those whom we serve must have. There are places in Pennsylvania where we do not have a doctor for 10,000 human beings. The rural areas and the urban areas might, in fact, have only 42 percent of the population, but in all sincerity and with the accommodation of facts and figures, they represent 74 percent of the total area in Pennsylvania.

Now what do we do to entice those who have the ability and go through the three facets of being accepted at a medical school and after they have proceeded and gone through this strict and strenuous training, to come back into Pennsylvania and serve those people who helped pay their way? So yesterday, like anyone else, I said, Let us do this: Let us take a certain percentage of this funding and let us assure those people we serve that the colleges will absolutely take and give top priority to the fact that we need doctors back in Pennsylvania. That is why we are willing to spend this money.

And because I believe that not just Bud George but the 203 people it takes such as Bud George—and if I do not have the votes, the amendment is not worth the paper it is printed on—I am always willing to back back and go to a happy medium to a piece of structure that will do in fact what most of us in this body want to do. And even with this amendment there will be some of you who will say, it cannot be done. For 6 years, an individual said, we have been trying to do it. I have been here 4 years and it has not been done. We have not provided what our people insist should happen.

I do not intend to cut appropriations, because neither I nor half of those in here know whether the appropriations are sufficient or not. But I know that the Federal Government, the armed services, say that if you want to be a doctor and have the ability and your grades are there, we will put you through school and you serve so many years in the armed services as a medical practitioner.

The Supreme Court and courts in the land, just one individual, say, hold up, you schools. We tell you that you must take in a minority. We do not care; you are going to take a certain percentage of minorities in. The courts say this, one individual. But there are 203 of us and we do not tell anybody what should happen with the dollars of the people we represent who pay them. If, in fact, the courts can say, you must do this, then I say that the 203 members of this House must say exactly what this amendment says, and that is, 10 percent of all those who enter that school of medicine and each and every school must be assigned and agree to practice medicine in Pennsylvania. That is what we are paying for; that is what we should demand.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, would Mr. George consent to a couple of questions, please?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. George, consent to interrogation?

Mr. GEORGE. Yes, I will.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will. The

gentleman, Mr. Cowell, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, does the Pennsylvania Department of Health currently identify any areas of the state as medically deprived?

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, they do, in fact, have documentation and evidence in every area of Pennsylvania of how many physicians are practicing within a designated district and how many physicians there are as to individual population.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, could the gentleman indicate what some of those medically deprived areas might be?

Mr. GEORGE. I am afraid, Mr. Speaker, that I will have to be long with this answer, but since you have asked it, I believe it is my responsibility to answer. I do not believe that it matters to anyone in Pennsylvania where the deprived area might be, but, in fact, with the subsequent move in the rural area where we have medical centers and your doctors are all within one certain district, we have an extensive problem that even the formula itself does not alleviate. The fact is that it even worsens the situation. What I am saying is, you might have a medical center in any given area of 100,000 population and all 10 doctors are assigned to that area. Whether or not that happens, the fact still remains that there are 10 doctors for 100,000 people. It would be my wish, and I am sure that if the entire majority, that this could be done. We have not provided anything that would curtail it. There is plenty of flexibility, and the universities would have that prerogative. The assignment would be put down and documented. The individual entering the school would designate his approval of acceptance under this amendment, and a doctor would have his chance to be able to practice in any area that was designated as "deprived."

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, do I correctly interpret the amendment to say that a resident of, say, Ohio could apply to the Pennsylvania State University Medical School and promise to or agree to, upon completion of his medical studies, engage in the practice of medicine in a medically deprived area of Pennsylvania and be counted toward that 10 percent?

Mr. GEORGE. I believe that you are absolutely correct. The reason this was not taken into consideration is, number one, if 115 of the residents were all Pennsylvania residents, there is no assurance, upon graduation, that they are going to practice in Pennsylvania. The fact remains that the last 20 or 25 doctors who have come into the rural area were all foreign nationalists who were not even educated in Pennsylvania. Thank God for the fact that someone is concerned about the deprived areas, whoever it might be.

I felt that we would be wrong to place in an amendment that they should have to be Pennsylvania residents, but the colleges tell you and me, and have consistently done so, that the majority of those students are Pennsylvania residents who are being accepted, yet the court does not say that they must be Pennsylvania residents when they go on the minority aspect or who they will be or what they will be or where they will practice. We felt it was better to leave it completely open and at the discretion of those individuals who are telling us that Pennsylvania is getting its fair share.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, am I correct in understanding that some of our medical schools in this Commonwealth have programs with similar intent already implemented?

Mr. GEORGE. Yes. I believe there is one school of higher learning that does use this formula. Of course, this is just voluntary. I would say they are moving in the right direction. But I also insist that if we are going to stand here year after year and still not make up our minds as to whether we are right or whether we are wrong, whether the money we spend is going to the right place and used in the right manner, then this is basically a first step for someone like you or anybody else in this body to do something in the future to be able to assure our people that they are going to get the benefit of what we are spending the money for.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a few brief remarks?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I suppose we should support this amendment today. I say "suppose" because I certainly agree with the intent, but I am a little dubious about the actual impact that this amendment as currently worded will have on our institutions and, most importantly, will have on the practice of medicine here in the Commonwealth.

First of all, when we speak of medically deprived areas, I know many of my colleagues have very often spoken of that language or that term with rural areas in mind. During some public hearings that committees of this legislature conducted within the last 2 or 3 years, it became very apparent to members of those committees that some of the most medically deprived areas in this Commonwealth are sections of our intercities — intercity Pittsburgh, intercity Philadelphia, and certain other areas. So let none of us be confused or let none of us be left with the impression that we are only talking about rural areas. We are not. Some of the worst medically deprived areas are intercity sections of some of the largest communities or largest cities of this Commonwealth.

I am a little concerned about the word "agree" to go back to practice medicine in medically deprived areas. I really do not know what that means. In fact, I think this particular language really provides a rather easy method for a school to identify a student who agrees that he is going to go back to a particular type of community to practice medicine. But there is no real follow-up there. There is no real incentive to ensure that those students 4 or 5 or 6 years later, probably even 9 years, after they completely finish their medical training will actually go back to that community. I think meaningful legislation, meaningful language that addresses this problem is going to have to provide that kind of incentive.

I think what we ought to do, even if we do choose to pass this language is to look at some legislation that we had a couple of years ago. I believe the prime sponsor at that time was Representative Bill Shane, who retired from the legislature at the end of the last session. Mr. Shane and some of his colleagues tried to address this problem. They had in mind the rural areas of this state as well as the medically deprived urban areas of this state. They provided some language in their legislation that would have given a financial incentive to young men and

young women, particularly Pennsylvania residents coming from those medically deprived areas, to go back into those communities once they had completed their medical training to practice there among their family and their friends and their neighbors in a community that they know well.

It is difficult to argue against this amendment that Representative George offers because I fully agree with the intent. I guess I would suggest that we go ahead and adopt it and that very shortly after we get done with this appropriations package and the subsequent tax question, we take a look at what kind of further amendment might be considered to tighten up some of, what I would call, the loopholes that might be apparent in the language and to make it even more effective than it might otherwise be.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

FILMING PERMISSION GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair announces at this time that the Chair is giving permission for 10 minutes for WNEP-TV, from the Scranton and Wilkes-Barre area, to take videotape and sound on the floor of the House.

The camera will be limited to the well of the floor of the House or behind the rail. The cameraman will not be permitted to go up and down the aisles, and the sound is being recorded from our own sound system, from the microphones only.

PERMISSION TO REMOVE JACKETS GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair also announces that those members who wish to remove their jackets may now do so. It is becoming a bit warm on the floor of the House. The Chair would suggest to those members who already have that the Chair is going to have a few words with them on the matter of decorum on the floor of the House. The words would be in private, but we shall talk.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Donnell.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Will Mr. George consent to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. George, indicates that he will. The gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, may proceed.

Will the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, yield?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

CONSTITUTIONAL POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo, rise?

Mr. CAPUTO. I rise to a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, before we get into any longer debate on this amendment, and although I say it in fear and trepidation of your recognizing Mr. George, I question the constitutionality of the amendment. I think it is unconstitutional and a violation of the right to education.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman formally seek the House's determination as to constitutionality?

Mr. CAPUTO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question occurs whether or not the George amendment labeled "A2459" is constitutional. Under the rules of the House, that question is determined by a vote of the members of the House.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I respect not only the judgment but the capacity of my colleague from Allegheny County, but I am really lost in the shuffle as to how on Monday something is constitutional and on Tuesday it is not. I am lost in the shuffle as to how a judge can say we must do this on Monday and we in the legislature have sat here all these years and done nothing about whether or not the judge is living within the confines of the constitution.

Now if the United States Government, which seems to be able to do anything, can insist that an individual sign a consent to receive both admission and funding, then I insist that we are only within our right constitutionally, morally and decently to pass this amendment.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is: Is the George amendment deemed to be constitutional? Those voting "aye" say that it is constitutional; those voting "no" say that it is not.

The Chair recognizes on the question the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I do not feel that it is anymore unconstitutional than the quota system set up by the Federal Government.

Thank you.

On the question,

Will the House sustain the constitutionality of the George amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—171

Abraham	Gallagher	Logue	Ryan
Anderson	Gallen	Mackowski	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gamble	Madigan	Scanlon
Arthurs	Garzia	Manderino	Schmitt
Barber	Gatski	Manmiller	Seltzer
Bellomini	Geesey	McCall	Shuman
Beloff	George, C.	McGinnis	Sirianni
Bennett	George, M.	McIntyre	Smith, E.
Berlin	Giammarco	McLane	Smith, L.
Berson	Gillette	Mebus	Spencer
Bittinger	Gleeson	Meluskey	Stairs
Bittle	Goebel	Miller	Stewart
Brandt	Goodman	Milliron	Stuban
Brown	Gray	Miscevich	Sweet
Brunner	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Burd	Greenleaf	Morris	Taylor, E.
Burns	Grieco	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Butera	Hamilton	Mrkonic	Thomas
Caltagirone	Harper	Mullen, M. P.	Trello
Cassidy	Hasay	Mullen, M. M.	Valicenti
Cessar	Haskell	Musto	Vroon
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Wagner
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Wansacz
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Brien, B.	Wargo
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Brien, D.	Wass
Cowell	Honaman	O'Connell	Wenger

Davies	Hopkins	O'Donnell	Wiggins
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Williams
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Wilson
DeWeese	Jones	Pancoast	Wilt
Dietz	Katz	Petrarca	Wise
Dininni	Kelly	Piccola	Wright, D.
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pievsky	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Klingaman	Pitts	Yahner
Doyle	Knepper	Polite	Yohn
Duffy	Kolter	Pratt	Zearfoss
Dumas	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Zeller
Englehart	Laughlin	Pyles	Zitterman
Fee	Lehr	Reed	Zord
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Renwick	Zwikl
Flaherty	Levi	Rieger	
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ritter	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Speaker
Fryer			

NAYS—21

Caputo	Lynch	Richardson	Spitz
DiCarlo	McClatchy	Scheaffer	Stapleton
Fisher, D. M.	Parker	Schweder	Tenaglio
Freind	Pott	Scirica	Weidner
Geisler	Ravenstahl	Shupnik	White
Itkin			

NOT VOTING—8

Borski	Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes
Donatucci	Johnson	Rappaport	Shelton

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the constitutionality of the amendments was sustained.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Donnell, on the amendment.

Mr. O'DONNELL. On interrogation, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, for interrogation on the amendment, the interrogation to be placed by the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, to the gentleman, Mr. George, who indicated prior that he would stand for interrogation. The gentleman from Philadelphia is in order and may proceed.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, the amendment calls for an agreement by the potential student. What happens if the student agrees and when he gets out of school does not do what you are asking him to do?

Mr. GEORGE. I would assume, Mr. Speaker, that you could probably answer that a lot better than I.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Well, maybe I will speak on the amendment and give you an answer, but I hope your answer is better than mine because I do not see any way of enforcing it.

Mr. GEORGE. Well, I am hopeful that the institution will provide—and we are not saying how they should do it—some prerequisites and some rules and regulations that will allude to this amendment. I would say, Mr. Speaker, that I would not have the answer as to what we would do if a medical student was accepted and 2 years later, God forbid, died and did not

complete his training. So I submit that they are both good questions, both yours and mine.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Well, maybe you can interrogate me when we are done, but I am just curious. I think that there is a distinct possibility that a medical school student, given the kind of competition we have now, would agree to almost anything, certainly including practicing in a deprived area, but when he gets out of medical school, when he gets his degree and what not, what is to prevent him? Is there anything in the amendment that would prevent somebody from just breaching that agreement?

Mr. GEORGE. I would have to answer it in this manner, Mr. Speaker: Even though I do not have the facts before me and am rather going on hearsay, it has been asked several times within the past week whether a direct appropriation to a medical school involved any moneys other than the direct appropriation such as the regular appropriation. So I would submit that it is very conceivable that an individual who would be accepted into school might have to have some additional help as far as money is concerned, and on that intervention I am sure a signed consent would hold up under the law. I would hope it would, due to the fact that most doctors who are going to deal with the "Hippocritical" oath would basically be honorable people and would agree to serve under the contract.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Was the "Hippocritical" oath for the record?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Philadelphia will not question the gentleman, Mr. George, on that particular word. He may, as far as the medical profession is concerned, be absolutely accurate.

Mr. O'DONNELL. There are those who allege we have some expertise in that here.

You would ask in the amendment for a 4-year period of practice in an area termed "medically deprived." Now that calls to mind two situations which I would like you to respond to.

Temple University Hospital, which we are going to be discussing later, is located in an area which is certainly medically deprived. Now there are fellows practicing medicine in that area, who are trained cardiologists, surgeons and what not who, never get to see any residents whatsoever in that area.

A point a little closer to home. The Medical College of Pennsylvania is across the street from Philadelphia Housing Authority homes, and the people in those homes have absolutely no access or extremely little access to medical services. But there are surgeons and very high-level operators at the Medical College. I do not have anything against them. But your amendment calls for those fellows to be in that area, but in no way, unless I misunderstand, and that is my question, does it require them to render primary care, the care to the kind of households that you directed your remarks to. Under your amendment, could not somebody be a trained specialist and not render any service whatsoever to the residents, but as long as he is located, according to the term here, "in the area," would he not satisfy your amendment?

Mr. GEORGE. Well, Mr. Speaker, you are absolutely correct.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Okay.

Mr. GEORGE. The amendment does say "medically de-

prived." Your question is absolutely correct.

I say to you that we are talking about two things. We are talking about the fact that today in Pennsylvania we have lost the general practitioner, the fellow you call at 2 o'clock in the morning.

Mr. O'DONNELL. But does this call for general practitioners?

Mr. GEORGE. I am saying that there are two trains of thought here, Mr. Speaker.

Yes, in your argument, your area is, in fact, designated with specifics and those are specialists. But I want you to admit to one thing. An individual coming out of medical school upon graduation and internship would not be a specialist unless he pursued a couple more years somewhere in postgraduate work. I am assuming that this will allude to your case and mine or either, and it will provide physicians for Pennsylvania.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Well, let us go on that point. Is there any requirement in the amendment that these medically deprived areas have to be within the State of Pennsylvania?

Mr. GEORGE. Yes.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Oh. Maybe you better tell me where it is then.

Mr. GEORGE. Well, I would say that the Department of Health could tell us both whenever we request that information.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Now wait a minute. This is a very big concern across the country. I anticipate that the Surgeon General or somebody at that level is going to start talking about medically deprived areas including places in New Mexico. Now assuming that our local department goes along with their definition, which is usually the case, is there anything in the amendment that requires these medically deprived areas to be in Pennsylvania, because I cannot find anything? I do not want to send these folks to New Mexico or somewhere else.

Mr. GEORGE. Well, let me say this, Mr. Speaker: I respect your question because it is accurate.

Mr. O'DONNELL. But you are not going to answer it.

Mr. GEORGE. I would say, with your five words of inquiry, you have done more tricks than a monkey can do on a 400-foot rope. But I would also ask you, my friendly colleague, to get down to the nitty-gritty. If New Mexico is a deprived area, then I will cosponsor a bill with you to say that before these residents of Pennsylvania can go into New Mexico, let us see if the Federal Government will find a way to have New Mexico appropriate moneys to our schools of higher learning in Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Donnell. Does the gentleman have any further interrogation?

Mr. O'DONNELL. No. Certainly not.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia on the amendment.

Mr. O'DONNELL. I welcome Mr. George's support for that bill he refers to. I think such a bill is presently in the Education Committee.

We have dealt with this problem in the past. We passed an •

incentive system, which echoes Mr. Cowell's sentiments, in the House and it died in the Senate. Now we do not have any great measure of control over there, but we have got to adopt an effective way of getting at this problem.

What we really need are primary care physicians in medically deprived areas. This amendment does not do that. I think the intent is good, but I do not think that is enough to put us in the position to vote for it because it just does not do the job. There is no way in the world that you are going to keep, under this amendment, someone who agrees to stay in a medically deprived area from actually fulfilling that commitment.

Secondly, the amendment itself does not work because it does not provide for primary care. So we can anticipate the fulfillment of this commitment by people who go into specialties and get involved in medical centers or hospitals which might be incidentally located in such medically deprived areas.

The business about New Mexico is not all that farfetched because we have a significant number of students who are coming from foreign countries that are medically deprived areas, believe it or not. We have people coming from Pakistan, from India, from the Philippines. We have a whole host of medical students coming to Pennsylvania from other schools and other states in this country for medical training. I can anticipate their fulfilling their commitment and then going back to their medically deprived area with our training.

Finally, I think the effectiveness is the biggest argument. But I think we ought to really seriously consider the precedent we are setting here if we are going to tamper with admissions policies. I think it is extremely dangerous when we start setting quotas, quotas of any kind for any purpose. I think that is an extremely dangerous precedent and we really ought to avoid it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Gallagher.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, on the amendment, Mr. O'Donnell spoke to it right on the important points.

The amendments are well intentioned but do not really get to the matter. The Education Committee has HB 2225 and HB 2226 which were introduced on June 6, which are in Mr. O'Donnell's Subcommittee on Higher Education. It takes two pieces of legislation to make this effective. It is the same legislation we passed when Bill Shane was the chairman of the Higher Education Subcommittee and it died in the other chamber. It takes this type of legislation rather than an annual appropriation bill and that is what we are amending, an annual appropriation bill. Both of these bills go into detail as to how it is done and how the physician who graduates will handle the depressed areas and the rural areas.

I think that while the amendment has the good intentions and sincere intentions of Mr. George, we should pay more attention to these two bills that Mr. O'Donnell has in his committee now. So I suggest we vote "no" on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, today the medical schools and other institutions are waiting to see what this legislature is

going to do about their appropriation bills. This amendment, regardless of its intentions, and I do agree that it is well intentioned, is going to keep them hanging up in the air. I just do not know how to read it. It says: "As a condition of receiving its appropriation . . ." It is my impression that that appropriation will be forthcoming as soon as the legislature approves the bills and the taxes or the wherewithal to fund them are worked out.

In the amendment it says that on or after January 1, 1978, the medical school shall do something. I do not think if we are going to support these medical schools we can put in conditions that are going to take place after January 1, 1978, because if they do not comply at that time, I do not know how we are going to get the money back. I urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. I believe, Mr. Speaker, that we are going to have to apply logic rather than a lot of know-how that I have heard from the last three distinguished individuals.

Mr. Gallagher, who is, I believe, an expert in the field of education, has assured us that we are going to have two bills that will be coming up this forthcoming year, but he has not guaranteed us that they will not die like the bill he discussed that Mr. Shane had that went over to the other body and, in fact, did die. Mr. Caputo, whom I respect, is worried about something completely irrelevant.

Now the fact remains, there are two things that we are discussing now, one is money and one is service. Now it is awfully easy to spend money, but it seems it is quite tough to receive a service.

Now the first 15 individuals in any given medical school, upon application, might agree that they are going to reside and practice in Pennsylvania. Therefore, there is no problem. But I insist that if, in fact, we are going to pay the bill, then, Mr. Speaker, we are responsible at the same time that we take a conscience vote on supplying the money to supply those we serve with an initial and first step, hopefully that something will come up that some individual in here is as concerned as I or is as concerned as the 203 of us. I await that and I suggest to you that I do not have the answer. I just have the propelling reason to start the movement, something that will say to them, look we need these doctors; we cannot assure that they will stay in Pennsylvania. I could not care whether they want to go Philadelphia or Altoona or Harrisburg as long as they take care of the people. I do not want people dying like that bill did.

Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Abraham	Geesey	Mackowski	Shuman
Arthurs	George, C.	Manderino	Sirianni
Barber	Giammarco	Manmiller	Smith, E.
Bennett	Gillette	McCall	Smith, L.

Bittering	Gleeson	McGinnis	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Meluskey	Stairs
Brown	Goodman	Miller	Stewart
Burd	Gray	Milliron	Stuban
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Greenleaf	Morris	Tenaglio
Cassidy	Grieco	Mowery	Trello
Cimini	Hamilton	Mrkonjic	Valicenti
Cowell	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Wagner
Davies	Haskell	Mullen, M. M.	Wansacz
DeMedio	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Wass
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Wenger
Dininni	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	White
Donatucci	Hopkins	O'Connell	Williams
Doyle	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wilson
Dumas	Katz	Pievsky	Wilt
Englehart	Kelly	Pitts	Wright, D.
Fee	Kernick	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Pyles	Yahner
Flaherty	Kolter	Reed	Zearfoss
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Renwick	Zeller
Freind	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Fryer	Levi	Salvatore	
Gamble	Lincoln	Scanlon	Irvis,
Garzia	Livengood	Schmitt	Speaker
Gatski	Logue		

NAYS—78

Anderson	Fisher, D. M.	McLane	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Foster, W.	Mebus	Schweder
Beloff	Gallagher	Moehlmann	Scirica
Berlin	Gallen	Musto	Seltzer
Berson	Geisler	O'Brien, B.	Shupnik
Bittle	George, M.	O'Donnell	Spitz
Borski	Harper	O'Keefe	Stapleton
Brunner	Helfrick	Oliver	Sweet
Butera	Hoeffel	Parker	Taddonio
Caputo	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Itkin	Polite	Thomas
Cianciulli	Johnson	Pott	Vroon
Cohen	Jones	Prendergast	Wargo
Cole	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Weidner
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Rieger	Wiggins
DeWeese	Lehr	Ritter	Wise
DiCarlo	Lynch	Ruggiero	Yohn
Dombrowski	Madigan	Ryan	Zitterman
Dorr	McClatchy		Zord
Duffy	McIntyre		

NOT VOTING—6

Bellomini	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Dininni. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DININNI. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DININNI. Mr. Speaker, on the George amendment to HB 1252, I voted in error. I voted "yes." I would like the record to show that I would have voted "no."

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, on the George amendment to HB 1252, I also would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. TRELLO offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 21, by striking out "\$82,359,000" and inserting \$41,179,500

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out "1,760,000" and inserting 880,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 5, by striking out "14,576,000" and inserting 7,288,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 7, by striking out "7,415,000" and inserting 3,707,500

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out "2,784,000" and inserting 1,392,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. First of all, Mr. Speaker, after Mr. George's amendment, I want to apologize for offering such a dull amendment. What my amendment does is simply the same thing as the last amendment that fell. It reduces the nonpreferred appropriations by 50 percent and has the money referred back to the local school district for the sole purpose of reducing taxes.

I voted on two budgets since I have been up here, in 1975 and 1976. In 1975 and 1976, like many of you here in the House, I received letters from many, many students saying, vote for the nonpreferreds because if you do not, our tuitions will go up. Well, they got the nonpreferreds and, at the schools that I am familiar with, the tuitions also went up. I think it is about time to give the people, who pay all of these taxes and support all of these nonpreferreds and other appropriations, a tax break too. So I am going to give it one more shot. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, will Mr. Trello consent to an interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Trello, indicates that he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, could you indicate what the impact on tuition would be if the appropriation to the university at Penn State would be cut in half?

Mr. TRELLO. I do not have any idea. But I do know this, that 30 percent of the total population of my district consists of people 65 years old and older. So that leaves 70 percent of my people who might have somebody going to college. Out of the 70 percent that remains, one out of 10 goes to college. Now I do not know how many of that one out of 10 goes to Pitt or Penn State or the University of Pennsylvania, or whatever, but I do

know this, that the majority of my people in my district do not care.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, could you tell me where in your amendment the money is appropriated for property tax relief?

Mr. TRELLO. I am sorry, I did not quite hear that.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, could you tell me where in your amendment the funds that would be taken from the university would be appropriated for property tax relief?

Mr. TRELLO. I mentioned when I offered my first amendment that I do have enabling legislation that would implement the fact that this money goes to the local school district.

There is not a bill on the calendar that would be germane for me to offer this amendment to to implement the money going to the public schools. But I have the bill laying right on my desk and when the proper bill becomes available for me to offer the bill in amendment form to distribute this money, I will offer it.

Mr. COWELL. One final question, Mr. Speaker. Since you, I assume, are replacing dollar for dollar the money that you would take from the university and provide for property tax relief, is it my understanding that you will support the legislation that will be necessary to generate the additional revenues to pay for that?

Mr. TRELLO. Well, let me answer it this way: Whenever I vote for a tax increase of any kind, I want to justify my vote with the people back home who sent me up here to represent them. If I can justify my vote by getting money back into my local school districts to reduce taxes, then I will vote for taxes. But if I cannot justify my vote by sending money back home to reduce local taxes, then I will vote against taxes. Does that answer your question?

Thank you.

I was thinking about maybe interrogating Mr. Cowell on how he would do on that, but I think I will pass that up for the moment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—18

Abraham	Gatski	Novak	Taylor, F.
Brown	Gillette	Pratt	Trello
Burd	Kernick	Schmitt	Valicenti
Caltagirone	Livengood	Shuman	Zeller
Fryer	Miscevich		

NAYS—177

Anderson	Gallagher	Madigan	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallen	Manderino	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gamble	Manmiller	Schweder
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Scirica
Bellomini	Geesey	McClatchy	Seltzer
Beloff	Geisler	McGinnis	Shupnik
Bennett	George, C.	McIntyre	Sirianni
Berlin	George, M.	McLane	Smith, E.
Berson	Giammarco	Mebus	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Gleeson	Meluskey	Spencer
Bittle	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Borski	Goodman	Milliron	Stairs
Brandt	Gray	Moehlmann	Stapleton

Brunner	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stuban
Butera	Grieco	Mrkonc	Sweet
Caputo	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Cassidy	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Hasay	Musto	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Haskell	Noye	Thomas
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cole	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cowell	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wargo
Davies	Honaman	O'Keefe	Wass
DeMedio	Hopkins	Oliver	Weidner
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Wenger
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	White
DiCarlo	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
Dietz	Johnson	Piccola	Williams
Dininni	Jones	Pievsky	Wilson
Dombrowski	Katz	Pitts	Wilt
Donatucci	Kelly	Polite	Wise
Dorr	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D.
Doyle	Knepper	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Pyles	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalshyn	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Engelhart	Laughlin	Reed	Zearfoss
Fee	Lehr	Renwick	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Richardson	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Flaherty	Lincoln	Ritter	
Foster, A.	Logue	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Lynch	Ryan	Speaker
Freind	Mackowski	Salvatore	

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Rappaport	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich			

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mrs. WISE offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 21 by striking out "\$82,359,000" and inserting \$81,657,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 5 by striking out all of said line and inserting 15,312,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 7 by striking out all of said line and inserting 7,381,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Wise.

Mrs. WISE. Mr. Speaker, the amendment is entitled A2454. It is an internal amendment that does not change the total appropriation.

When the House Appropriations Committee met on Monday and reduced the total from 2.9 to 2 percent of an increase that would be consistent with all of our education, they inadvertently took all of the money from two categories and stripped that \$800,000 primarily from agricultural research and research. This amendment reduces the amount proportionately so that the amount is reduced in instruction, agricultural research and research.

The effect of the amendment is to restore some \$723,000 internally to agricultural research. It does not affect the total budget or the total amount that goes to Penn State.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, yesterday when we discovered this problem in the appropriation bill, we worked on it for a great part of the day attempting to have it resolved and we were in a position to offer an amendment which we had drafted and presented to the House. But at this time we would like to withdraw that particular amendment in support of the Wise amendment and we are pleased that at least there was a resolution of this very critical problem.

The agricultural and extension services in the Commonwealth will not be reduced below the present level. They are now in a difficult situation. There are a great deal of vacancies and slots open. It does affect rural Pennsylvania, and we are pleased that it at least was resolved and it will not be further reduced. We would ask support of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Snyder, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For those of the House who were here to support the O'Connell-Thomas amendment, I want to affirm what Representative O'Connell just said and add a few more words.

I do not share any particular pride in authorship. I am more interested in equity, and equity is what we finally resolved in this particular dilemma. I do, along with Representative O'Connell, favor withdrawal of our amendment in support of the Wise amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, I urge support of the Wise amendment. It does not change the bottom line. I urge support on both sides of the aisle.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Abraham	Gallen	Madigan	Scanlon
Anderson	Gamble	Manderino	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Garzia	Manmiller	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gatski	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	Geesey	McClatchy	Scirica
Beloff	Geisler	McGinnis	Seltzer
Bennett	George, C.	McIntyre	Shuman
Berlin	George, M.	McLane	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Mebus	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gillette	Meluskey	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gleeson	Miller	Smith, L.
Brandt	Goebel	Milliron	Spencer
Brown	Goodman	Miscevich	Spitz
Brunner	Gray	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Morris	Stapleton
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stewart
Butera	Grieco	Mrkonic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet

Caputo	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cassidy	Hasay	Musto	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Haskell	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Tenaglio
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
Cole	Hoefel	O'Connell	Vroon
Cowell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wagner
Davies	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Wansacz
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wargo
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wass
DeWeese	Itkin	Parker	Weidner
DiCarlo	Johnson	Petrarca	Wenger
Dietz	Jones	Piccola	White
Dininni	Katz	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pitts	Wilson
Donatucci	Kernick	Polite	Wilt
Dorr	Klingaman	Pott	Wise
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Wright, D.
Duffy	Kolter	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Yahner
Englehart	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Fee	Lehr	Reed	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Renwick	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Richardson	Zitterman
Flaherty	Lincoln	Rieger	Zord
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ritter	Zwinkl
Foster, W.	Logue	Ruggiero	
Freind	Lynch	Ryan	Irvis,
Fryer	Mackowski	Salvatore	Speaker
Gallagher			

NAYS—2

Trello Williams

NOT VOTING—7

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Borski	Milanovich	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WILLIAMS. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct my vote on the Wise amendment to HB 1252 from "nay" to "yea."

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Borski.

Mr. BORSKI. Mr. Speaker, on the Wise amendment to HB 1252, I was out of my seat. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SCHMITT AMENDMENTS DEFERRED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from

Westmoreland, Mr. Schmitt.

Mr. SCHMITT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to submit an amendment to the bill under consideration, HB 1252.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Schmitt, who offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read.

The Chair's information is that there is one other amendment following the C. L. Schmitt amendment, that is, the Pievsky amendment. Are there further amendments to this bill?

Does the gentleman, Mr. Davies, have amendments to this bill?

Then the clerk will suspend. We will take up the C. L. Schmitt amendments to this bill following the recess.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Caputo, have amendments?

Mr. CAPUTO. Will the Chair return to reports of committees before the recess?

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE AND TABLED

HB 488, PN 527

By Mr. CAPUTO

An Act amending "The Third Class City Code," approved June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), further providing for discharge suspension and demotion of classified civil service employees.

Urban Affairs.

SB 106, PN 1314 (Amended)

By Mr. CAPUTO

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled as amended "Second Class County Code" requiring mandatory audits of the minor judiciary.

Urban Affairs.

SB 524, PN 1315 (Amended)

By Mr. CAPUTO

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes further providing for waiver of costs by ordinance for certain summary parking violations.

Urban Affairs.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEES

No. 1636

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, PETRARCA, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, KATZ, ZELLER and O'CONNELL

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), making certain special eligibility requirements for widows and widowers.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1637

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, PETRARCA, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN,

CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, W. D. HUTCHINSON, KATZ, ZELLER and O'CONNELL

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), further providing for the eligibility of widows and widowers.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1638

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, PETRARCA, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, NOYE, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, W. D. HUTCHINSON, KATZ, ZELLER, O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Human Relations Act," approved October 27, 1955 (P. L. 744, No. 222), redefining the term "age."

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1639

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, PETRARCA, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, NOYE, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, W. D. HUTCHINSON, KATZ, ZELLER, O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act providing for the regulation of job discrimination based on age; and providing for enforcement by civil suits.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1640

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, NOYE, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, KATZ, ZELLER, O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "State Lottery Law," approved August 26, 1971 (P. L. 351, No. 91), relieving the State Lottery Fund of obligations for free local transit reimbursement; changing the name of that fund to the Senior Citizens Fund and making editorial changes.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1641

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE, PETRARCA, REED, STAPLETON, ZITTEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, NOYE, ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, W. D. HUTCHINSON, KATZ, ZELLER, O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), providing certain exclusions from income.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1642

By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO, GARZIA, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE,

REED, STAPLETON, ZITTERMAN, ZORD,
CALTAGIRONE, HELFRICK, FREIND,
WILSON, LYNCH, W. D. HUTCHINSON,
KATZ, ZELLER, O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), further providing for the amount of rebate.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1643 By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO,
GARZIA, BERLIN, SHUMAN, McLANE,
REED, STAPLETON, ZITTERMAN,
CALTAGIRONE, HELFRICK, FREIND,
ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, KATZ, ZELLER,
O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "Senior Citizens Property Tax or Rent Rebate Act," approved March 11, 1971 (P. L. 104, No. 3), revising the formula for property tax rebates.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1644 By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO,
GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN,
SHUMAN, McLANE, STAPLETON,
ZITTERMAN, CALTAGIRONE, FREIND,
ZORD, WILSON, LYNCH, KATZ, ZELLER,
O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Urban Mass Transportation Assistance Law of 1967," approved January 22, 1968 (P. L. 42, No. 8), requiring certain transit system operators to allow reduced rates for senior citizens and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

No. 1645 By Messrs. IRVIS, DOYLE, MANDERINO,
GARZIA, BITTINGER, BERLIN, McLANE,
REED, STAPLETON, ZITTERMAN,
CALTAGIRONE, FREIND, NOYE, ZORD,
WILSON, LYNCH, KATZ, ZELLER,
O'CONNELL and PRATT

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), creating the Department of Aging and prescribing its functions, powers and duties.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows was prepared for presentation to the Governor:

HOUSE BILL No. 634

An Act amending the "Adoption Act" approved July 24, 1970 (P. L. 620, No. 208), further providing for the granting of a final decree in adoption when no birth certificate or certification of registration of birth can be obtained.

Whereupon,

The SPEAKER, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House will now stand in recess until 2 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

CALENDAR

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House resumed consideration of **House bill No. 1252, printer's No. 1972**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands by the United States to the several states for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. SCHMITT offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 21 by striking out "\$82,359,000" and inserting \$74,123,100

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1 by striking out "1,760,000" and inserting 1,584,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 5 by striking out all of said line and inserting 13,898,700

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 7 by striking out all of said line and inserting 6,700,500

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8 by striking out "2,784,000" and inserting 2,505,600

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Schmitt. We are on amendment A2430.

Mr. SCHMITT. Mr. Speaker, with your permission, I will speak specifically to the amendment that is before the House at this time and in general to all of the amendments I have prepared.

Right now I find myself on the horns of a dilemma because I have 31 amendments prepared for the approval of this membership. Those 31 amendments make an arbitrary 10-percent cut all the way across the board on the nonpreferreds, not only the educational but also the cultural bills.

Today people cannot afford utilities. They cannot pay their utilities and they are looking forward to a very rough winter. Many of them do not even have bread on their tables and yet they are tired of hearing about the universities buying additional land and the officers riding around in limousines and spending their money. They are tired of our retired eggheads making \$40,000 and up, \$40,000 and \$50,000 and \$60,000 a year for 5 or 6 hours' work a week. We can no longer afford the luxury of this type of culture or the Taj Mahals that we are building which are not needed in the educational system.

Representative Morris got only 30 votes in favor of his cut in appropriations, so obviously I am not going to do too much better. And I do not want to be a part of an exercise in futility, Mr. Speaker. Therefore, I would like to test the vote on this particular bill to see whether or not I can muster enough votes for the other 30 amendments to be passed. If not, then I intend to withdraw the 30 amendments or at least not to submit them.

I regret, however, that the test vote in this case makes Penn State the guinea pig, because we have a local campus of Penn State and I have lectured there for 14 years and I have very close ties. But then they are part of the entire structure, and I am just sorry that they are the first ones to come up.

I will also tell the members of this House that even though my amendments may go down to defeat, I still intend to vote in favor of the appropriations, because I think it is necessary that we continue our educational system. But in closing let me caution all educational dynasties that have been built throughout the State of Pennsylvania that I, for one, will be looking over their shoulder constantly, and in the future we hope to bring about some reforms that we were not successful in having this year.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. In all fairness to the gentleman, the Chair is going to delay the vote on his amendment until the members report to the floor.

Members are urged to report immediately to the floor. The question before the House is a vote on an amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. C. L. Schmitt, to HB 1252. The members are urged to report immediately to the floor.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—30

Abraham	Gillette	Morris	Shuman
Anderson	Hamilton	Novak	Taylor, F.
Brown	Hasay	O'Connell	Trello
Caltagirone	Kernick	Pratt	Wright, D.
Dorr	Livengood	Rieger	Zeller
Foster, W.	Logue	Ritter	Zord
Fryer	Meluskey	Schmitt	Zwikl
Gallen	Miscevich		

NAYS—135

Arthurs	Garzia	Lincoln	Scanlon
Bellomini	Gatski	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Bennett	Geesey	Manderino	Schweder
Berlin	Geisler	Manmiller	Scirica
Berson	George, C.	McCall	Seltzer
Bittinger	George, M.	McClatchy	Shupnik
Bittle	Giammarco	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Borski	Gleeson	McLane	Smith, L.
Burns	Goebel	Mebus	Spencer
Butera	Goodman	Miller	Spitz
Caputo	Gray	Milliron	Stairs
Cassidy	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Cianciulli	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stewart
Cimini	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cohen	Harper	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Cole	Haskell	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cowell	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Thomas

Davies	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Valicenti
DeMedio	Helfrick	O'Brien, B.	Wagner
DeVerter	Hoefel	O'Brien, D.	Wansacz
DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wargo
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wass
Dietz	Itkin	Parker	Weidner
Dininni	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Dombrowski	Jones	Pievsky	Wiggins
Donatucci	Katz	Polite	Wilson
Duffy	Kelly	Prendergast	Wilt
Englehart	Klingaman	Pyles	Wise
Fee	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Fischer, R. R.	Kolter	Reed	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Laughlin	Ruggiero	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Letterman	Ryan	
Gallagher	Levi	Salvatore	Irvis,
			Speaker

NOT VOTING—35

Armstrong	Freind	Milanovich	Shelton
Barber	Gamble	O'Donnell	Sirianni
Beloff	Halverson	O'Keefe	Taylor, E.
Brandt	Honaman	Petrarca	Tenaglio
Brunner	Hopkins	Pitts	Vroon
Burd	Lehr	Pott	White
Cessar	Lynch	Rappaport	Williams
Doyle	Madigan	Rhodes	Yahner
Dumas	McIntyre	Richardson	

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, on the Schmitt amendment to HB 1252, I would like to change my vote from "yea" to "nay."

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON. Mr. Speaker, I would also like to change my vote from "yea" to "nay" on the Schmitt amendment to HB 1252.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

Section 9. As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contracts for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 24, by striking out "9" and inserting 10

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, the first one is marked A2448. It is exactly the same amendment that was adopted for the University of Pittsburgh, and I certainly would not want their appropriation bill to enjoy the benefit of such an amendment without offering the same amendment to the other four state-related institutions, and I intend to do this. This is the same amendment that relates to the matter of the experiments with mind-bending drugs that go back into the 1960's.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—170

Abraham	Garzia	Manmiller	Schmitt
Anderson	Gatski	McCall	Schweder
Arthurs	Geesey	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bellomini	George, C.	McGinnis	Shuman
Bennett	George, M.	McLane	Sirianni
Berlin	Giammarco	Mebus	Smith, E.
Berson	Gillette	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Gleeson	Miller	Spencer
Bittle	Goebel	Milliron	Spitz
Brown	Goodman	Miscevich	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Burns	Greenfield	Morris	Stewart
Butera	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Cassidy	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Cessar	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Cianciulli	Hasay	Musto	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Haskell	Novak	Tenaglio
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Thomas
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Trello
Cowell	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
Davies	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Vroon
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Wagner
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wansacz
DiCarlo	Itkin	Parker	Wargo
Dietz	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Dininni	Jones	Piccola	Weidner
Dombrowski	Katz	Pievsky	Wenger
Donatucci	Kelly	Pitts	White
Dorr	Kernick	Polite	Wiggins
Doyle	Klingaman	Pratt	Williams
Duffy	Knepper	Prendergast	Wilson
Dumas	Kolter	Pyles	Wilt
Fee	Kowalshyn	Ravenstahl	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Rieger	Yahner
Flaherty	Letterman	Ritter	Yohn
Foster, A.	Levi	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Ryan	Zeller
Fryer	Logue	Salvatore	Zitterman
Gallagher	Mackowski	Scanlon	Zord
Gallen	Madigan	Scheaffer	Zwinkl
Gamble	Manderino		

NAYS—6

Caputo	Renwick	Wise	Irvis,
Geisler	Shupnik		Speaker

NOT VOTING—24

Armstrong	DeWeese	Livengood	Pott
Barber	Englehart	Lynch	Rappaport
Beloff	Freind	McIntyre	Rhodes
Borski	Halverson	Milanovich	Richardson
Brandt	Honaman	O'Donnell	Shelton
Brunner	Hopkins	Oliver	Scirica

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

Section 9. Except where restricted by contract or by terms of an endowment, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the university shall present at least three academic lectures or five academic classroom presentations per academic year.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 24, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, this is the same amendment that I was going to offer to the Pittsburgh appropriation bill that was being redrawn. This amendment has been redrawn. It is marked A2472.

This amendment would be that, except where restricted by contract or terms of an endowment, which would include endowed shares to the university, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the university shall present at least a minimum of three academic lectures per year or five academic classroom presentations.

This, I believe, we probably best label as a matter of accountability and productivity amendment, and it specifically addresses itself to some of those distinguished members and distinguished scholars on a faculty so that they do give at least a minimal amount of their expertise, their know-how, their ability to instill students, not only on the undergraduate level but the graduate level as well. I know that it would be possibly a hardship on some of those who do extensive research and a lot of work, but it at least will get those known experts back into the classroom where I think they belong on maybe somewhat of a limited basis.

This in no way is intended to interfere with existing research contracts where a man has been committed to so many hours of input no matter whom the contract is with. It will in no way interfere with Federal programs that exist as contracts between the university, and it will in no way involve any of those in the private sector where there are endowed shares or endowed studies. It is not intended to do that. It is a one-shot, one-year proviso. It is not a continuing thing, and, of course, it does have that kind of implication with it.

The SPEAKER. Some members have inquired of the Chair as to whether it is the intention of the Chair to have a session tomorrow. For the information of those members who are busily at work talking, we intend to finish the voting on the nonpreferreds this week. That means either today or, if you insist, tomorrow. The Chair would suggest that we will get along much faster if the Chair does not have to interrupt you every 3 minutes to remind you of manners.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Kowalyshyn.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask whether the gentleman, Mr. Davies, would consent to brief interrogation.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Davies, consent to interrogation?

Mr. DAVIES. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Davies, indicates that he will consent to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Kowalyshyn, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Mr. Speaker, I am aware that all of us know that Mr. Davies is held in high regard as an educator. However, I must confess that I am puzzled by your proposed amendment where you seem to equate accountability with some oral presentations.

I have had the impression, Mr. Speaker, and I would like your comment on it, that some distinguished faculty members do much better in producing written material and are poor in lectures and for that reason do not present lectures because that is not their medium for presenting material. What would you say about that? Have you checked with the administration at Penn State University concerning this kind of proposal?

Mr. DAVIES. Yes, sir. I have checked with the university. This morning I again spoke with the university. Their concern is, of course, with people who are in research programs or Federal programs, and I lay that fear to rest with the provision at the beginning that they would not be included because it would interfere with existing contracts.

The person who is expert in writing and is not a good lecturer is given the opportunity, sir, to substitute five classroom presentations. Anyone knows that someone with those abilities does not have to get up and offer a lecture. They merely can go in at any one of the levels—it could be freshman through senior or it could be in the graduate courses on the master's degree or offered along the doctorate level—and they would make presentations then in their particular fields in writing and that kind of classroom activity. It does not mean that they have to lecture if they do not have those abilities.

Again, where a contract exists, whether it is a union contract or a contract with the university and it is in research and it is an administrator who is solely retained on the faculty staff for administration, it does not go into his bailiwick. So it does not in any way step on those particular toes or that ability of the university. We are talking about some of these people who have greatness and have been recognized for their greatness in their field at these very institutions. We are merely trying to get their expertise, their scholarship, their ability to instill students into those classrooms and give those students the benefit

of that expertise.

In many instances today, many educators feel that we are taking some of the best people out of the classrooms and placing them into restricted areas and into research and into writing positions where they cannot always get back into the classroom. This would be more or less a guide to do that, and we would hope that the university would take on that responsibility. I have not put any General Assembly input into this as I had in the previous legislation.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Mr. Speaker, in answer to my question, I understand that you have discussed this general problem but not your specific amendment where you say three lectures or five classroom presentations. Is that correct?

Mr. DAVIES. That is right, sir. The discussion was limited this morning until we got started with the vice president of the university. He had mostly concerns about the Federal programs—some real concerns about that—and I tried to guarantee him that there was no particular inference to those.

I did speak to the other off-campus administrators last week about this same concern, and they had ample opportunities to get back to the university. I am not trying to mislead you that the vice president is happy with this amendment and that administrators at the university will buy this without some concern, because I imagine that they would have concerns whenever a legislative body would see fit to put on strings. But I have some genuine concerns about it, and I know that I spoke to other people who are employed by the very same university that we are addressing the question to here who have concerns about it, and they have expressed some of those same concerns. I am not saying that this is something that is swelling from the grass roots, but it is a real concern in education today. It is not just in the university level, but it is also on the college level and in other levels of education as well.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Are you saying, Mr. Speaker, that there is some question whether your choice of the number of these presentations may be the right figures when you say three academic lectures or five classroom presentations? May I ask it in a different form? Are you leaving this entirely up to the individual faculty member, or is it up to the university then to fill out the details of your amendment?

Mr. DAVIES. It could be a combination of both or it could be one or the other. If there would be any contractual difficulties to get around it, there would be leeway in this or elasticity in this for them to establish it by an agreement among themselves.

If they did not want to make the differential and they wanted somebody else to, I am sure that the legislature could look at some of the problems they are having with it and we would be glad to sit down with them. At least I would and I know that other people who have those same concerns try to work out any problems that they see with it.

It is wide open as it is with plenty of elasticity, and I do not think it is that binding or that demanding to ask somebody with the scholarly ability that they have, to lecture three times a year or to give five classroom presentations.

I think it is a bare minimal attempt at getting some of the top scholars that we have at these institutions, particularly, let us

say, Penn State, whom I know of that I would like to see back at a minimal number of times either in the classroom or the lecture hall.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. I believe you said something along this line already.

Do you agree that by adopting your amendment we would be imposing and substituting our judgment in place of the judgment of the university administration, or if not going that far, at least we would be placing some restrictions on the authority of the school administration?

Mr. DAVIES. I think it would come to that if they would fail to adhere to it or fail to try to enact it. I do not believe that of any one of these institutions. I believe they are people of dedication and I know for a fact that the gentleman I met with, Dr. Perkins, on our own off-campus facility, I would say is one of the most dedicated educators I have had the pleasure of ever meeting. I usually hold those in the same regard. This is a minimal requirement. If there would not be any compliance, then I think it would be up to the legislature to, in some way, encourage them to do it.

I do not want to say that we are going to hold them hard and fast to what their interpretation of what those scholarly hours are. But I think that we would have to at least look at it and review it and see if it is being taken care of, not harass them or in any other way try to interfere with their academic freedom. This is not to get a hold on academic freedom and in any way interfere with their current programs.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to simply say that I have difficulty equating accountability, which is certainly within our proper sphere of responsibility and the requirement suggested by this amendment that certain faculty members make oral presentations either in public lecture or in classrooms. I have serious doubt as to whether we are not interfering with the proper judgment that is used by the university administration in the use of their distinguished faculty members. I intend to vote against this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Fischer.

Mr. R. R. FISCHER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support Mr. Davies' amendment. I think Mr. Davies' amendment is a first step in looking into the accountability of the teaching in our colleges and universities. I think right now we need to take a long hard look and I would like to suggest that we begin this as a first step in accountability and have our Appropriations Committee and our Education Committee take a long hard look into the teaching loads, the research hours and the contact hours with students. Because I have had a number of constituents come to me over the years and tell me of their sons or daughters who had contact not with professors but with television sets. There are well-meaning graduate assistants who I am sure some day may be good teachers but right now are simply gaining experience.

I think some of our senior professors should be returned to the classroom. They are being paid very high salaries. This is an

area that I think we can look into and perhaps find some savings.

So I think Mr. Davies' amendment is a first step in that accountability process and one that we ought to begin right now.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Wise.

Mrs. WISE. Mr. Speaker, I would reluctantly oppose the amendment. Many of the amendments that we have had before us have been prefaced this morning by people saying "the intentions are good, but." I understand the intentions here, but I honestly see that this is going to get at the problem stated by Mr. Fischer as far as accountability is concerned.

These four universities now provide to the state government, in compliance with the Snyder amendment of several years ago, a very thick document with classload, workload, research-load, class hours, and so forth. That is there. I have studied it very carefully. It is available to all of us. There are indications of places where we need to improve that class load, and I think we can do it in various accountable ways. This, however, it seems to me, will not. We are talking about the possibility, as Mr. Kowalyszyn expressed, of taking a distinguished researcher hired for that purpose, to research, not hired in the area of classroom teaching, and saying, now you cannot continue unless you are in the classroom these hours.

I think it will provide additional expense, additional red tape and the supposed accounting, and, although I understand the intent, I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes for the second time, the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, all I would like to say is, it is not the intent of this amendment to, in any way, take any of the endowed chairs at any one of these five universities or any one of the research contracts that they have now and rip away a research person and put him in a classroom situation that he cannot handle, or any other type of move. This is to address itself to those people who have the great scholarly abilities to get them back into the classrooms and lecture halls on a very, very minimal level, which I think would enhance the educational opportunities at those universities. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—110

Abraham	Gillette	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Anderson	Goebel	McGinnis	Seltzer
Armstrong	Gray	Mebus	Shuman
Arthurs	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Sirianni
Bittle	Grieco	Miller	Smith, E.
Brandt	Hamilton	Milliron	Smith, L.
Burd	Hasay	Miscevich	Spencer
Burns	Haskell	Moehlmann	Spitz
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	Morris	Stairs
Caltagirone	Hayes, S. E.	Mowery	Stapleton
Cassidy	Helfrick	Mrkoncic	Taddonio
Cessar	Honaman	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Hopkins	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Thomas

Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Brien, D.	Trello
DeVerter	Katz	O'Connell	Vroon
Dietz	Kernick	Parker	Wagner
Dorr	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wass
Doyle	Kolter	Pitts	Weidner
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Polite	Wenger
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Pott	Wilson
Foster, A.	Letterman	Pratt	Wright, D.
Foster, W.	Levi	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Livengood	Reed	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zeller
Gallen	Mackowski	Ryan	Zord
Gamble	Madigan	Salvatore	Zwinkl
Geesey	Manmiller		

NAYS—81

Bellomini	Englehart	Logue	Schweder
Beloff	Fee	Manderino	Scirica
Bennett	Flaherty	McCall	Shupnik
Berlin	Gallagher	McIntyre	Stewart
Berson	Garzia	McLane	Suban
Bittinger	Gatski	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Borski	Geisler	Musto	Tenaglio
Brown	George, C.	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Brunner	George, M.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Caputo	Giammarco	Oliver	Wargo
Cianciulli	Gleeson	Pancoast	White
Cole	Goodman	Piccola	Wiggins
Cowell	Greenfield	Pievsky	Wilt
DeMedio	Harper	Prendergast	Wise
DeWeese	Hoeffel	Ravenstahl	Yahner
DiCarlo	Itkin	Renwick	Yohn
Dininni	Johnson	Richardson	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Jones	Rieger	
Donatucci	Kelly	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Scanlon	Speaker
Dumas	Lincoln	Schmitt	

NOT VOTING—9

Barber	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	O'Keefe	Rhodes	Williams
Knepper			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 6, page 9, line 28, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES OR STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 4, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES AND STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 5, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the first time and inserting its

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 5, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the last time and inserting its

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, this, too, was an agreed-to amendment. It is just a grammatical change. I urge its support.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Abraham	Gallagher	Mackowski	Scanlon
Anderson	Gallen	Madigan	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gamble	Manderino	Schmitt
Arthurs	Garzia	Manmiller	Schweder
Bellomini	Gatski	McCall	Scirica
Beloff	Geesey	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bennett	Geisler	McGinnis	Shuman
Berlin	George, C.	McIntyre	Sirianni
Berson	George, M.	McLane	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Giammarco	Mebus	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gillette	Meluskey	Spencer
Borski	Gleeson	Miller	Spitz
Brandt	Goebel	Milliron	Stairs
Brown	Goodman	Miscevich	Stapleton
Brunner	Gray	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burd	Greenfield	Morris	Suban
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Sweet
Butera	Grieco	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Caputo	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Hasay	Musto	Tenaglio
Cessar	Haskell	Novak	Thomas
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Trello
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wagner
Cowell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Davies	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Wargo
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wass
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Weidner
DeWeese	Itkin	Parker	Wenger
DiCarlo	Johnson	Petrarca	White
Dietz	Jones	Piccola	Wiggins
Dininni	Katz	Pievsky	Wilson
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pitts	Wilt
Donatucci	Kernick	Polite	Wise
Dorr	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D.
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Prendergast	Yahner
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Yohn
Fee	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Lehr	Reed	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Letterman	Renwick	Zitterman
Flaherty	Levi	Rieger	Zord
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ritter	Zwinkl
Foster, W.	Livengood	Ruggiero	
Freind	Logue	Ryan	Irvis,
Fryer	Lynch	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—10

Barber	Milanovich	Richardson	Shupnik
Dumas	Rappaport	Shelton	Williams
Halverson	Rhodes		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to address the House on the final passage of HB 1252.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Greenleaf, have amendments?

Mr. GREENLEAF. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Have those amendments been circulated?

Mr. GREENLEAF. They were given to the clerk, Mr. Speaker. I think some of them have; I do not know about all of them. It is the same amendment to all the medical school appropriations. I have been told that they have, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. They have been distributed?

Mr. GREENLEAF. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The House will be at ease until we can straighten this matter out. I have been told by one source that they have been distributed and by the amendment clerk that they have not been.

The House will be at ease.

What is the number on your amendment, Mr. Greenleaf?

Mr. GREENLEAF. A2481.

The SPEAKER. A2481.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Yes.

The SPEAKER. Do the members have A2381 in front of them?

Apparently they have not been distributed.

Could the amendment clerk advise the Chair as to whether or not she has received that amendment?

The amendment is now down being duplicated. In that case, Mr. Greenleaf, we shall have to delay the vote on the bill until your amendment has been duplicated and is available.

Mr. Speaker, the amendments that were previously circulated are basically the same amendment that has not been circulated, namely, one amendment to each of the medical school appropriations, and it says the same thing in regard to the amendments that have already been circulated.

The SPEAKER. The Chair appreciates the gentleman's desire to expedite matters, but the matter before the House is too important for us to guess as to what we are voting on. And although the Chair does not impugn the integrity of the word of the gentleman, Mr. Greenleaf, the Chair would prefer to have the members have the amendment in front of them.

HB 1252 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. HB 1252, PN 1972, will go over temporarily until the Greenleaf amendment is available.

STATEMENT ON LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, at this time in this interval, the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett, who wishes to make a statement on the floor of the House relative to the introduction of a particular piece of legislation.

Mr. BENNETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have introduced legislation, HB 1677, to correct a problem that carries over from the general fund budget approved last month. That problem deals with the operation of the Commonwealth's international trade office located in Brussels, Belgium. Because of certain language in the budget, funds cannot be expended for that office even though those funds are available. What I and the bipartisan sponsors of this bill want to do is simply remove that restrictive language and permit the office to continue its important work without interruption.

The Commerce Department's European office has been in operation since 1974. Each year it has had a significant role in

the creation or protection of some 1,500 jobs in Pennsylvania annually. These, in turn, result in some \$15 million in personal income for Pennsylvanians, more than \$2 million in Federal taxes, and \$300,000 a year directly in state income taxes.

The state's budget for that office is \$183,000 annually, and I wish to repeat that that money is already in the budget, available for use by the office. They are not proposing a new expenditure or an additional appropriation of funds.

The International Trade Office concentrates on expanding markets for Pennsylvania exports. It provides important individual assistance to Pennsylvania businessmen who are new to the complexities of international trade and it encourages foreign investment in Pennsylvania and a movement of overseas companies to our state with jobs, new jobs, for people here in our Commonwealth.

At the very time this office is faced with interruption of its operations, it is working with some 47 European companies who are considering either investments or a joint venture or licensing arrangements here in Pennsylvania. In addition, just last year 19 states and Puerto Rico have opened offices in Europe to encourage this very same kind of investment.

In view of the important job the office performs and in view of the potential economic losses that could result from its closing, I am confident of your support and passage of this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, the Business and Commerce Committee has scheduled a meeting on this legislation Monday and we hope to have it reported to the floor soon afterward, with the hope of quick passage in both bodies.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

STATEMENT ON RESOLUTION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Meluskey. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MELUSKEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for the unanimous consent of the House to address this House on a matter of great importance to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MELUSKEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the patience and indulgence of the Chair and the members, and so I will make my comments very brief.

I rise to call the attention of the members of this House to a very serious and critical problem that faces the Commonwealth today, the problem with which each and every one of you is very familiar. I am referring to the problem and the serious situations affecting the steel industry in this country, and, in particular, problems created as a result, in part, of foreign steel imports.

It is with this in mind that I rise to ask members of the House to join with me in cosponsoring an appropriate resolution memorializing the President and the Congress to recognize the seriousness of the problem being created by foreign steel imports and its impact upon the domestic steel industry and upon the economy of this country and to do so by directing our attention to Washington.

I can refer to news articles in Pennsylvania papers: Last night's Bethlehem Globe Times headlines, "Steel Layoffs Causing Widespread Uneasiness", and indeed widespread uneasiness is being created throughout the Commonwealth. The Allentown Morning Call headlines detail a projected \$200,000 revenue loss to the city of Bethlehem due to proposed layoffs.

For those of you in the vicinity of Allenwood Steel Company, I do not need to remind you of the tremendous negative effects of that plant's closing upon that area's economy.

For those of you in the Johnstown area, the same applies.

I do not think that the members of this General Assembly lack awareness or feeling for the problems besetting the domestic steel industry. I am not as certain though about the officials in Washington, the President's Office, the Administration and the Congress, and so I would hope that those of you who share a concern for this problem will take advantage of an opportunity this afternoon to add your name as cosponsors of the resolution and, by that action, show your support for the efforts of the steel industry of this country, the laborers, the steelworkers and all of those concerned with the problem.

I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The resolution will be available at the clerk's desk for those of you who wish to cosponsor it. Thank you.

ESSAY SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gleeson. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GLEESON. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to enter into the record an essay on the energy crisis that was composed by an eighth-grade student at St. Josaphat's School in northeast Philadelphia. This essay was the winning entry in a contest that I held for the eighth-grade students at St. Josaphat's School for which I awarded a \$50 U.S. Savings Bond, and I would like this essay entered into the House record.

The SPEAKER. The essay will be inserted in the record.

ESSAY PRESENTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. GLEESON presented the following essay for the Legislative Journal:

Teresa Trycieckyj
6602 Ditman St.
Phila., PA 19135
Winning Essay, 8th Grade Class
June 1977
St. Josaphat's School
Ditman and Disston Streets
Phila., PA

The Energy Crisis

The energy crisis is a major political controversy. Every American depends on energy in his daily life, and many have been greatly affected by the crisis.

Energy is obtained from our natural resources, such as natural gas, coal, and oil. The American people have been using up these resources at an outrageous rate. We must learn to conserve our energy, or find substitutes, or new methods of satisfying our nation's need for heat, light, power, and the other

services that the energy from our natural resources provides us with.

Last year America suffered from a severe winter. This winter was one of the worst in our nation's recent history. The rate at which we consumed gas, oil and coal was tremendous. The President of the United States asked the American people to conserve as much energy as possible.

Many Americans scoffed and refused to lower their thermostats, because they simply refused to believe that there was, indeed, an energy crisis. Some felt that this was only another cheap political trick.

When it became apparent that we were, indeed, in an energy-crisis situation, the government tried to devise methods by which the American people could continue their comfortable way of life without diminishing our last ounce of natural resources.

One such method was solar energy, or energy from the sun. Some factories even went as far as to use water power once again. Many schools, stores, office buildings, movies, etc., that use gas heat, were closed for periods of time. These things helped conserve our energy, and also helped us to get through the winter more comfortably.

Many people feel that even if we run out of natural resources we will still have electricity. What many people do not realize is, without gas, coal, or oil the generators which produce our energy will not be of any use.

The government alone can not stop the waste of energy. It is up to us, the people of the United States, not to waste any energy, whether natural or man-made, and also to provide us with as little waste, and the lowest cost possible. The future of our environment, and the environment of our children depends upon this.

Agreeable to order,

The House resumed consideration of **House bill No. 1252, printer's No. 1972**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands by the United States to the several states for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

Section 7. The university shall upon admitting a first-year class to the Doctor of Medicine program, admit as many students in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the university.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 8, by striking out "7." and inserting 8.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 8, by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 10, by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class in the Doctor of Medicine program and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 8, page 10, line 11, by striking out "8." and inserting 9.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 24, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I apologize for the delay.

Basically, this amendment and the other amendments very simply provide that a medical school—in this case the Hershey Medical Center—that the first-year class that is admitted is assured that all of the facilities that are available to the medical school are used; namely, that there is no quota system; that there is not an artificially low first-class enrollment to keep the ratio of doctor-patients at a higher ratio, a favorable ratio to the doctors.

This amendment merely provides or insures that all first-year classes are full to its capacity in relationship to the physical facilities of the school. It also provides that the Department of Education would maintain data to establish that this requirement is lived up to, and that if we are going to give the medical schools state aid, that they are using the facilities to their maximum use.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Centre, Mrs. Wise.

Mrs. WISE. Mr. Speaker, will the author of the amendment stand for interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Greenleaf, stand for interrogation?

Mr. GREENLEAF. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Greenleaf, indicates that he will stand for interrogation. The lady, Mrs. Wise, is in order and may proceed.

Mrs. WISE. Mr. Speaker, do you have any indication that the first-year classes at Hershey have never been anything but full?

Mr. GREENLEAF. I am not saying that. I have done some investigation to determine the number of students and whether they are being used to their highest facility or requirements. Apparently, the response that I have gotten back is that all of the schools say that they are doing that.

Unfortunately, I, personally, and I think other members to whom I have spoken feel that the persistent feedback that I received, although this is not unanimous, is that occasionally that is not the case, that there is maintained, if it is not through the medical schools and through other means, an artificial ratio between the numbers of doctors and patients. If it is not the case, then this amendment would not have any effect on the schools. If it is the case, then we are assuring that the moneys that we are putting in the schools are being used to their most effective use.

Mrs. WISE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I make a brief comment, please?

The SPEAKER. The lady may proceed. She is in order.

Mrs. WISE. Mr. Speaker, I find this amendment difficult to

understand in its intent and, to some degree, very much unnecessary.

The auditor general now receives regularly a report from the medical colleges. If, for example, 111 are admitted and that is the total and somebody drops out in the middle of the year, that is reported to the auditor general and reimbursement is reduced on the basis of that. In order to determine the proposed budget, for example, for next year, they must submit 75, 76 and 77 enrollments.

I know, perhaps, with fact that the Hershey enrollments have always been filled. The first-class enrollments have always been filled. There is no quota system at Hershey, and I am not really sure what this is getting at. The reports are now made; they are made through the Department of Education to the auditor general and to the General Assembly.

All appropriations from the state to medical colleges are on a per capita basis, and it is the per capita enrollment which is then checked against actual dropout figures, so that if somebody should drop out in the middle of the first year, the per capita is reduced and you do not get as much.

If there is a specific problem that Mr. Greenleaf is trying to address, at some of the medical colleges, I understand that, but I do not see that as the problem at Hershey Medical School.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—103

Anderson	Gillette	McClatchy	Seltzer
Armstrong	Goebel	McGinnis	Shuman
Bittle	Gray	McIntyre	Sirianni
Brandt	Greenleaf	Mebus	Smith, E.
Brown	Grieco	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Burd	Hamilton	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Hasay	Moehlmann	Spitz
Butera	Haskell	Morris	Stairs
Caltagirone	Hayes, D. S.	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Mrkoncic	Taddonio
Cimini	Helfrick	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Davies	Honaman	Noye	Thomas
DeVerter	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Dietz	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Wagner
Dininni	Katz	Pancoast	Wass
Dorr	Kernick	Parker	Weidner
Doyle	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Fischer, R. R.	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
Fisher, D. M.	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilt
Foster, A.	Lehr	Pyles	Wright, D.
Foster, W.	Levi	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Livengood	Ritter	Yohn
Fryer	Lynch	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Gallen	Mackowski	Ryan	Zeller
Geesey	Madigan	Salvatore	Zord
George, C.	Manmiller	Scheaffer	

NAYS—86

Abraham	Dumas	Letterman	Scanlon
Arthurs	Englehart	Lincoln	Schmitt
Barber	Fee	Logue	Schweder
Bellomini	Flaherty	Manderino	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	McCall	Stapleton
Bennett	Gamble	McLane	Stuban

Berlin	Garzia	Milliron	Sweet
Berson	Gatski	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Bittinger	Geisler	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Borski	George, M.	Musto	Trello
Brunner	Giammarco	Novak	Valicenti
Caputo	Gleeson	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Cassidy	Goodman	O'Keefe	Wargo
Cianciulli	Greenfield	Oliver	Wiggins
Cohen	Harper	Petrarca	Williams
Cole	Hoeffel	Piccola	Wise
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsky	Yahner
DeMedio	Itkin	Pratt	Zitterman
DeWeese	Jones	Prendergast	Zwinkl
DiCarlo	Kelly	Ravenstahl	
Dombrowski	Kolter	Renwick	Irvis,
Duffy	Laughlin	Richardson	Speaker

NOT VOTING—11

Donatucci	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	O'Donnell	Rieger	White
Johnson	Rappaport	Scirica	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, for over the past 2 years I have been in contact with the administration of Penn State University about an equitable tuition fee structure that they have locally at Capitol Campus at Penn State University. I am trying to get that fee schedule revamped and have it worked out. It is unfair, not only for part-time students compared to full-time students but also for graduate students versus undergraduate students.

To this date I have been unsuccessful in resolving this problem after 2½ years. I also have very strong beliefs that Penn State University is ripping off, not only the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency — PHEAA — but I also believe that there are alleged violations against the Veterans Affairs Department of the United States in appropriating money for scholarships and also the BEOG — Basic Education Opportunity Grant — program.

I just want it to go on record that I am going to support this appropriation. I want members of this legislature to know that I have contacted PHEAA and they are investigating the Capitol Campus situation. I will also be awaiting that report and will be prepared to turn those results over to the Department of Justice for investigation and also for the auditor general to pursue an in-depth evaluation of the entire bookkeeping system at Penn State.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Mr. Speaker, this will be the last time—and I am sure it will make a lot of people happy—that I will get on this floor and make the statement I am going to make today in regard to the nonpreferreds.

I do intend to vote against this bill even though I am a graduate of Penn State, and the reason for this is quite simple. I tried to keep the \$300 million in the budget for these nonpreferreds and I was unsuccessful. Therefore, those people who removed the \$300 million will have to put it back on their own standards. So I intend to vote against it for that reason.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—166

Anderson	Freind	Manderino	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manmiller	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gallen	McCall	Schmitt
Barber	Garzia	McGinnis	Schweder
Bellomini	Gatski	McIntyre	Scirica
Beloff	Geisler	McLane	Seltzer
Bennett	George, C.	Mebus	Shuman
Berlin	George, M.	Meluskey	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gleeson	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bittle	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Borski	Gray	Morris	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Mowery	Stapleton
Brunner	Greenleaf	Mrkoncic	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Stuban
Butera	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Caputo	Harper	Musto	Taylor, E.
Cassidy	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Thomas
Cimini	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wagner
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Wansacz
Cowell	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wargo
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Weidner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wenger
DeWeese	Itkin	Piccola	White
DiCarlo	Johnson	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dietz	Jones	Pitts	Williams
Dininni	Katz	Polite	Wilson
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pratt	Wilt
Donatucci	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wise
Dorr	Knepper	Pyles	Wright, D.
Doyle	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Laughlin	Renwick	Yohn
Englehart	Lehr	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fee	Letterman	Rieger	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	
Flaherty	Mackowski	Ryan	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Madigan	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—29

Abraham	Geesey	Lynch	Stairs
Brown	Gillette	McClatchy	Taddonio
Burd	Goebel	Miscevich	Trello
Caltagirone	Haskell	Novak	Valicenti
DeVerter	Kernick	Noye	Wass
Foster, A.	Livengood	Pott	Zeller
Fryer	Logue	Smith, L.	Zord
Gamble			

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson Rappaport Rhodes Shelton
Milanovich

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may state it.

Mr. NOYE. I was recorded in the negative and I meant to vote in the affirmative on HB 1252.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House resumed consideration of **House bill No. 1253, printer's No. 1973**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess. P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; ***" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. MILLIRON offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 9. In addition to the requirements of section 6, the University of Pittsburgh shall, by March 1, 1978, submit to the Appropriations and Education Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives a breakdown of the funds budgeted for this fiscal year for the main campus and each branch campus including expenditures budgeted from this act and expenditures budgeted from moneys other than those appropriated by this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Milliron.

Mr. MILLIRON. Mr. Speaker, this is the same amendment that was accepted overwhelmingly this morning concerning the budgetary reports on the branch campuses that will be due by March 1 of next year.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Abraham	Fryer	Logue	Schweder
Anderson	Gallagher	Lynch	Seltzer
Armstrong	Gallen	Mackowski	Shuman
Arthurs	Gamble	Madigan	Shupnik
Bellomini	Garzia	Manderino	Sirianni
Beloff	Gatski	Manmiller	Smith, E.
Bennett	Geesey	McCall	Smith, L.
Berlin	Geisler	McClatchy	Spencer
Berson	George, C.	McGinnis	Spitz
Bittinger	Giammarco	McLane	Stairs
Bittle	Gillette	Mebus	Stapleton
Borski	Gleeson	Meluskey	Stewart
Brandt	Goebel	Miller	Stuban
Brown	Goodman	Milliron	Sweet
Brunner	Gray	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Burd	Greenfield	Morris	Taylor, E.
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Butera	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Tenaglio
Caputo	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Thomas
Cassidy	Harper	Novak	Trello
Cessar	Hasay	Noye	Valicenti
Cianciulli	Haskell	O'Brien, B.	Wagner
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Wansacz
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Wargo
Cole	Helfrick	O'Keefe	Wass
Cowell	Hoeffel	Oliver	Weidner
Davies	Honaman	Pancoast	Wenger
DeMedio	Hopkins	Parker	White
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Williams
Dietz	Itkin	Pievsky	Wilson
Dininni	Jones	Pitts	Wilt
Dombrowski	Katz	Polite	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Kelly	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kernick	Pyles	Yahner
Doyle	Klingaman	Reed	Yohn
Duffy	Knepper	Richardson	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kolter	Rieger	Zeller
Fee	Kowalyshyn	Ritter	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Ruggiero	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Ryan	Zwikl
Flaherty	Letterman	Salvatore	
Foster, A.	Levi	Scanlon	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Livengood	Scheaffer	Speaker
Freind			

NAYS—11

Caltagirone	McIntyre	Prendergast	Vroon
DiCarlo	Musto	Renwick	Wise
Lincoln	Pott	Schmitt	

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Johnson	O'Donnell	Rhodes
Dumas	Milanovich	Rappaport	Scirica
George, M.	Miscevich	Ravenstahl	Shelton
Halverson	Mullen, M. M.		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 9. Except where restricted by contract or by terms of an endowment, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or

regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the university shall present at least three academic lectures or five academic classroom presentations per academic year.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22, by striking out "9" and inserting 10

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, this is the same amendment for the University of Pittsburgh as we had placed in for the University of Penn State. This would require the same requirements for distinguished faculty and members in the matter of three lectures or five classroom presentations.

On the question recurring,

Will the House Agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—143

Abraham	Fryer	Logue	Scheaffer
Anderson	Gallagher	Lynch	Schmitt
Armstrong	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Arthurs	Gamble	Madigan	Seltzer
Barber	Garzia	Manmiller	Shuman
Bellomini	Gatski	McCall	Sirianni
Beloff	Geesey	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Bennett	Giammarco	McGinnis	Smith, L.
Berlin	Gillette	McIntyre	Spencer
Bittinger	Goebel	Mebus	Spitz
Bittle	Gray	Meluskey	Stairs
Borski	Greenfield	Miller	Stapleton
Brandt	Greenleaf	Milliron	Stewart
Brunner	Grieco	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Burd	Hamilton	Morris	Taylor, E.
Burns	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Butera	Haskell	Mrkonic	Tenaglio
Caltagirone	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Trello
Cessar	Helfrick	Noye	Valicenti
Cianciulli	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cimini	Hopkins	O'Connell	Wagner
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Weidner
Davies	Jones	Petrarca	Wenger
DeVerter	Katz	Pitts	Wilson
Dietz	Kelly	Polite	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pott	Wright, D.
Dorr	Klingaman	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Knepper	Pyles	Yahner
Duffy	Kolter	Reed	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Rieger	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Ritter	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Letterman	Ryan	Zord
Foster, W.	Levi	Salvatore	Zwikl
Freind	Livengood	Scanlon	

NAYS—50

Berson	George, C.	Mullen, M. M.	Shupnik
Brown	George, M.	Musto	Stuban
Caputo	Gleeson	O'Brien, B.	Sweet
Cowell	Goodman	Oliver	Wansacz
DeMedio	Harper	Pancoast	Wargo
DeWeese	Hoeffel	Piccola	White
DiCarlo	Itkin	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dininni	Johnson	Prendergast	Williams
Donatucci	Kowalshyn	Ravenstahl	Wise
Englehart	Lincoln	Renwick	Yohn

Fee	Manderino	Richardson	
Flaherty	McLane	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Geisler	Miscevich	Scirica	Speaker

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	O'Donnell	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

Section 7. The university shall upon admitting a first-year class, admit as many students for the Doctor of Medicine and dental programs in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the school.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 6 by striking out "7" and inserting 8

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 6 by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 8 by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class in the Doctor of Medicine and dental programs and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 8, page 10, line 9 by striking out "8" and inserting 9

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22 by striking out "9" and inserting 10

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker, this is a similar amendment to the one that was just adopted in regard to Penn State.

Just one comment: The study mentioned by the lady on the other amendment dealt with the situation of a cost study. But this amendment goes to the number of students and whether the facilities are being used to its maximum capacity. As I said, it is the same amendment that was just passed and amended to the appropriation bill for Penn State.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—117

Anderson	George, C.	McCall	Scirica
Armstrong	Gillette	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bellomini	Goebel	McGinnis	Shuman
Bittinger	Gray	McIntyre	Sirianni
Bittle	Greenfield	Mebus	Smith, E.
Brandt	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Brown	Grieco	Miller	Spencer
Burd	Hamilton	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Hasay	Morris	Stairs
Butera	Haskell	Mowery	Stapleton
Caltagirone	Hayes, D. S.	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Cimini	Helfrick	Noye	Taylor, E.

Cohen	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Davies	Hopkins	O'Connell	Vroon
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Wagner
Dietz	Katz	Pancoast	Wass
Donatucci	Kernick	Parker	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wenger
Doyle	Knepper	Pitts	Wiggins
Duffy	Kowalyshyn	Polite	Wilson
Fischer, R. R.	Lehr	Pott	Wilt
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Pyles	Wright, D.
Foster, A.	Livengood	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Foster, W.	Logue	Ritter	Yahner
Freind	Lynch	Ruggiero	Yohn
Fryer	Mackowski	Ryan	Zearfoss
Gallen	Madigan	Salvatore	Zeller
Gamble	Manmiller	Scheaffer	Zord
Geesey			

NAYS—74

Abraham	Fee	Letterman	Schmitt
Arthurs	Flaherty	Lincoln	Schweder
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Shupnik
Bennett	Garzia	McLane	Stuban
Berlin	Gatski	Milliron	Sweet
Berson	Geisler	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Borski	George, M.	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Brunner	Giammarco	Musto	Trello
Caputo	Gleeson	Novak	Valicenti
Cassidy	Goodman	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Harper	Oliver	Wargo
Cole	Hoeffel	Piccola	White
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsky	Williams
DeMedio	Itkin	Pratt	Wise
DeWeese	Johnson	Prendergast	Zitterman
DiCarlo	Jones	Ravenstahl	Zwinkl
Dininni	Kelly	Richardson	
Dombrowski	Kolter	Rhodes	Irvis,
Englehart	Laughlin	Scanlon	Speaker

NOT VOTING—9

Barber	Milanovich	Rappaport	Richardson
Dumas	O'Donnell	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or before January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is identical to the amendment that was passed to the previous bill. We urge its adoption.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, wish to interrogate? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin. Do you want to try?

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, Mr. O'Donnell asked to be called from his office if Mr. George got on the floor again, but I guess maybe he changed his mind.

The SPEAKER. No, here he comes; here he is.

For the information of the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. George has offered—

Mr. O'DONNELL. No.

The SPEAKER. The Chair has always had a very high regard for the intelligence and perceptions of the gentleman from Philadelphia.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—130

Abraham	Freind	Lehr	Ryan
Anderson	Fryer	Letterman	Seltzer
Arthurs	Gallen	Levi	Shuman
Beloff	Gamble	Livengood	Sirianni
Bennett	Garzia	Logue	Smith, E.
Berlin	Gatski	Lynch	Stairs
Bittinger	Geesey	Mackowski	Stewart
Bittle	George, C.	Manderino	Stuban
Brandt	Giammarco	McCall	Taddonio
Brown	Gillette	McClatchy	Taylor, F.
Burd	Gleeson	McGinnis	Taylor, F.
Burns	Goebel	Meluskey	Tenaglio
Butera	Goodman	Miller	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gray	Milliron	Trello
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Morris	Valicenti
Cessar	Grieco	Mrkonic	Wagner
Cimini	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Wansacz
Cohen	Harper	Novak	Wass
Cole	Hasay	Noye	Wenger
Cowell	Haskell	O'Brien, D.	White
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	O'Connell	Wiggins
DeMedio	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Williams
Dietz	Helfrick	Petrarca	Wilson
Donatucci	Honaman	Pievsky	Wilt
Dorr	Hopkins	Pitts	Wright, D.
Doyle	Hutchinson, W.	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Katz	Pratt	Yahner
Dumas	Kelly	Prendergast	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kernick	Pyles	Zeller
Fee	Klingaman	Reed	Zwinkl
Fischer, R. R.	Knepper	Renwick	
Flaherty	Kolter	Richardson	Irvis,
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—58

Armstrong	George, M.	Mowery	Schweder
Berson	Hoeffel	Mullen, M. M.	Scirica
Borski	Hutchinson, A.	Musto	Shupnik
Brunner	Itkin	O'Brien, B.	Smith, L.
Caputo	Johnson	Oliver	Spencer
Cianciulli	Jones	Pancoast	Spitz
DeVerter	Kowalyshyn	Parker	Stapleton
DeWeese	Lincoln	Piccola	Sweet
DiCarlo	Madigan	Polite	Vroon
Dininni	Manmiller	Ravenstahl	Wargo
Dombrowski	McIntyre	Rieger	Weidner
Fisher, D. M.	McLane	Ruggiero	Yohn
Foster, W.	Mebus	Salvatore	Zitterman
Gallagher	Miscevich	Scheaffer	Zord
Geisler	Moehlmann		

NOT VOTING—12

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Schmitt
Bellomini	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Greenfield	O'Donnell	Scanlon	Wise

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—154

Anderson	Freind	Madigan	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manderino	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gallen	Manmiller	Schmitt
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	Gatski	McGinnis	Scirica
Beloff	Geisler	McIntyre	Seltzer
Bennett	George, C.	McLane	Shupnik
Berlin	George, M.	Mebus	Sirianni
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gleeson	Milliron	Spencer
Bittle	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spitz
Borski	Gray	Morris	Stapleton
Brandt	Greenfield	Mowery	Stewart
Brunner	Greenleaf	Mrkonic	Sweet
Burns	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Butera	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Caputo	Harper	Musto	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cimini	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wargo
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Weidner
Cole	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wenger
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	White
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Johnson	Polite	Wilson
Dietz	Jones	Pratt	Wilt
Dininni	Katz	Prendergast	Wise
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pyles	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kolter	Reed	Yahner
Doyle	Kowalyshyn	Renwick	Yohn
Duffy	Laughlin	Richardson	Zearfoss
Dumas	Lehr	Rieger	Zitterman
Englehart	Letterman	Ritter	Zwilk
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ruggiero	
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ryan	Irvis,
Flaherty	Mackowski	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—40

Abraham	Gamble	Lynch	Smith, L.
Brown	Geesey	McClatchy	Stairs
Burd	Gillette	Meluskey	Stuban
Caltagirone	Goebel	Miscevich	Taylor, E.
DeVerter	Hasay	Novak	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Haskell	Noye	Trello
Fee	Kernick	Piccola	Valicenti

Foster, A.	Klingaman	Pitts	Wass
Foster, W.	Livengood	Pott	Zeller
Fryer	Logue	Shuman	Zord

NOT VOTING—6

Halverson	O'Donnell	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich	Rappaport		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1254, printer's No. 1477**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; ***" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris. Does the gentleman wish to offer his amendment?

Mr. MORRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have been sitting here today and several prior days cogitating in my mind as to whether to offer this amendment or not. On the grounds of consistency I suppose that I should offer it. Consistency is something which I think is subject to comment at times and—I would echo the poet Emerson—anytime.

On the grounds of fairness to the other state-related institutions, I suppose I should offer it, and I finally determined not to. Now the reason is this: It is not just because this happens to be a small college in my own county, but this college is in very, very serious financial straits at the moment. And I would go further than saying "straits" — financial trouble. Many, many troubles concerning the administration of this university have arisen because of this financial situation. Therefore, I am not going to offer the amendment at this time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendment:

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 6 through 30; page 4, lines 1 through 30; page 5, lines 1 through 30; page 6, lines 1 through 24, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 5. A report shall be submitted to the Governor and the Appropriations and Education Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives and shall include data for all programs except the Doctor of Medicine program. Each such report, to be submitted prior to November 1, 1978, shall cover the 12-month period beginning September 1, 1977 and shall include for each term during the period:

(1) The following counts and distributions:

(i) The definitions and numbers of full-time faculty members, of part-time faculty members, of full-time students enrolled in graduate courses, of full-time students enrolled in undergraduate courses, of part-time students enrolled in graduate courses, and of part-time students enrolled in undergraduate courses.

(ii) A distribution of part-time faculty members by the percentage of full-time employment.

(iii) Total numbers of undergraduate student credit hours, divided into lower division and upper division levels, and of graduate student credit hours divided into three levels—master's, first professional and doctoral.

(iv) Number of different courses scheduled by level of instruction, distributed by the number of sections scheduled in each course and the sections distributed by the number of students enrolled in each section.

(v) Number of terms scheduled and the dates thereof.

(2) A classification of faculty members or other professional employees by title including: professor, associate professor, assistant professor, instructor, lecturer, research associate, librarian, and academic administrator; faculty members or other professional employees under each title to be subdivided by type of assignment: undergraduate courses only, graduate courses only, or both graduate and undergraduate courses; and each such set of faculty members or other professional employees to be further subdivided by type of employment: full-time or part-time; and the following aggregates for each such subdivided classification:

(i) The number.

(ii) The sum of credits assigned to undergraduate courses and the sum of credits assigned to graduate courses taught, divided into lower division, upper division, master's, first professional and doctoral levels.

(iii) The sum of undergraduate student credit hours and the sum of graduate student credit hours generated; divided into lower division, upper division, master's, first professional and doctoral levels.

(iv) Total salary paid.

(v) Total salary paid from college or university funds.

(vi) Total salary paid from Federal funds.

(vii) Total salary paid from other funds.

(3) For each term of the period covered for each full-time faculty member identified by school, department and title:

(i) An analysis of the average hours per week spent in college or university-related activities, stating specifically hours spent in undergraduate classroom contact and graduate classroom contact, hours spent in preparation, hours spent in research and hours spent in public service.

(ii) The total salary paid and the salary paid from college or university funds.

In addition to the above requirements relative to this appropriation, each report covering the 12-month period beginning September 1, 1977, shall include for all programs of the university:

(1) Minimum number of credits required for a baccalaureate degree and for a master's degree.

(2) Number of bachelor's degrees, master's degrees, first professional degrees, and doctoral degrees awarded in 1975, 1976, 1977 and estimated 1978.

The university shall report its revenues and expenditures and present its financial statements required under the provisions of this act in accordance with Higher Education Finance Manual (1975).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, this is also an agreed-to amendment. All it does is make it the same as the other state-related universities, and it puts the Snyder amendment in the language.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Abraham	Fryer	Lynch	Scanlon
Anderson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gamble	Manderino	Schweder
Barber	Garzia	Manmiller	Seltzer
Bellomini	Gatski	McCall	Shuman
Beloff	Geesey	McClatchy	Shupnik
Bennett	Geisler	McGinnis	Sirianni
Berlin	George, C.	McIntyre	Smith, E.
Berson	George, M.	McLane	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Giammarco	Mebus	Spencer
Bittle	Gillette	Meliskey	Spitz
Borski	Gleeson	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Goebel	Milliron	Stapleton
Brown	Goodman	Miscevich	Stewart
Brunner	Gray	Moehmann	Stuban
Burd	Greenfield	Morris	Sweet
Burns	Greenleaf	Mowery	Taddonio
Butera	Grieco	Mrkonic	Taylor, E.
Caltagirone	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, F.
Caputo	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Cassidy	Hasay	Musto	Thomas
Cessar	Haskell	Novak	Vroon
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Wagner
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Cole	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Wargo
Cowell	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wass
Davies	Honaman	O'Keefe	Weidner
DeMedio	Hopkins	Oliver	Wenger
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	White
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wiggins
DiCarlo	Itkin	Petrarca	Williams
Dietz	Johnson	Piccola	Wilson
Dininni	Jones	Pievsky	Wilt
Dombrowski	Katz	Pitts	Wise
Donatucci	Kelly	Polite	Wright, D.
Dorr	Kernick	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Klingaman	Pratt	Yahner
Duffy	Knepper	Prendergast	Yohn
Dumas	Kolter	Pyles	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kowalyshyn	Reed	Zeller
Fee	Laughlin	Renwick	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Lehr	Richardson	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Letterman	Ritter	Zwinkl
Flaherty	Levi	Ruggiero	
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ryan	Irvis,
Freind	Livengood	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—2

Logue Ravenstahl

NOT VOTING—12

Cianciulli	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Foster, W.	O'Donnell	Rieger	Trello
Halverson	Rappaport	Scirica	Valicenti

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

Section 9. As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contracts for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 7, line 11, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

These are the same basic two amendments that went in the other bill, one on productivity in the matter of the three lectures and five classrooms presentations and the other on the matter of the mind-bending experiments at the university.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—120

Anderson	Gillette	McClatchy	Seltzer
Armstrong	Gray	McGinnis	Shuman
Bellomini	Greenfield	McLane	Sirianni
Bittinger	Greenleaf	Mebus	Smith, E.
Bittle	Grieco	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Brandt	Hamilton	Miller	Spencer
Brown	Hasay	Milliron	Spitz
Burd	Haskell	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Hayes, D. S.	Morris	Stapleton
Butera	Hayes, S. E.	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Helfrick	Mullen, M. P.	Suban
Cassidy	Hoeffel	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cessar	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Tenaglio
Davies	Katz	O'Keefe	Thomas
DeVerter	Kernick	Parker	Vroon
Dietz	Klingaman	Petrarca	Wagner
Dorr	Knepper	Pitts	Wansacz
Doyle	Kolter	Polite	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Pott	Weidner
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Pratt	Wenger
Foster, A.	Letterman	Pyles	Wilson
Foster, W.	Levi	Reed	Wilt
Freind	Livengood	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Fryer	Lynch	Ryan	Zearfoss
Gallen	Mackowski	Salvatore	Zeller
Garzia	Madigan	Scheaffer	Zitterman
Gatski	Manmiller	Schweder	Zord
Geesey	McCall	Scirica	Zwikl

NAYS—70

Abraham	Duffy	Jones	Richardson
Barber	Dumas	Kelly	Rieger
Beloff	Englehart	Kowalshyn	Ruggiero
Bennett	Fee	Lincoln	Scanlon
Berlin	Flaherty	Logue	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Sweet
Borski	Gamble	McIntyre	Valicenti
Brunner	Geisler	Miscevich	Wargo

Caputo	George, C.	Musto	White
Cianciulli	George, M.	Novak	Wiggins
Cole	Giammarco	O'Brien, B.	Williams
Cowell	Gleeson	Oliver	Wise
DeMedio	Goebel	Pancoast	Wright, D.
DeWeese	Goodman	Piccola	Yahner
DiCarlo	Harper	Pievsky	Yohn
Dininni	Hutchinson, A.	Prendergast	
Dombrowski	Itkin	Ravenstahl	Irvis,
Donatucci	Johnson	Renwick	Speaker

NOT VOTING—10

Arthurs	Mrkonic	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	O'Donnell	Schmitt	Trello
Milanovich	Rappaport		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

Section 9. Except where restricted by contract or by terms of an endowment, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the university shall present at least three academic lectures or five academic classroom presentations per academic year.

Amend Sec. 9, page 7, line 11, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. This, sir, is the same as we adopted for both Pitt and Penn State.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—134

Anderson	Geesey	McCall	Scirica
Armstrong	George, M.	McClatchy	Seltzer
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGinnis	Shuman
Bellomini	Gillette	McIntyre	Sirianni
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Goebel	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gray	Miller	Spencer
Brandt	Greenleaf	Milliron	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Hamilton	Morris	Stapleton
Butera	Hasay	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Suban
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Sweet
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, E.
Cohen	Honaman	O'Connell	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Tenaglio
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Thomas
DeVerter	Johnson	Parker	Valicenti
DeWeese	Jones	Petrarca	Vroon
Dietz	Katz	Pievsky	Wagner
Dorr	Kernick	Pitts	Wass

Doyle	Klingaman	Polite	Weidner
Duffy	Knepper	Pott	Wenger
Fischer, R. R.	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Fisher, D. M.	Laughlin	Prendergast	Wilt
Foster, A.	Lehr	Pyles	Wright, D.
Foster, W.	Letterman	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Levi	Ritter	Yohn
Fryer	Livengood	Ryan	Zearfoss
Gallen	Lynch	Salvatore	Zeller
Gamble	Mackowski	Scanlon	Zord
Garzia	Madigan	Scheaffer	Zwikl
Gatski	Manmiller		

NAYS—58

Abraham	Dumas	Lincoln	Schmitt
Barber	Englehart	Logue	Schweder
Beloff	Fee	Manderino	Shupnik
Bennett	Flaherty	McLane	Trello
Berson	Gallagher	Miscevich	Wansacz
Brown	Geisler	Mullen, M. M.	Wargo
Brunner	George, C.	Musto	White
Caputo	Goodman	O'Brien, B.	Wiggins
Cianciulli	Greenfield	Oliver	Williams
Cowell	Harper	Piccola	Wise
DeMedio	Hoeffel	Ravenstahl	Yahner
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, A.	Renwick	Zitterman
Dininni	Itkin	Richardson	
Dombrowski	Kelly	Rieger	Irvis,
Donatucci	Kowalyshyn	Ruggiero	Speaker

NOT VOTING—8

Borski	Milanovich	O'Donnell	Rhodes
Halverson	Mrkonic	Rappaport	Shelton

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I am wondering if the gentleman, Mr. Morris, would consent to brief interrogation.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Morris, stand for interrogation on the final passage of the bill?

The gentleman, Mr. Morris, indicates that he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. DiCarlo, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, does a Dr. Herman Branson assume the position of president of Lincoln University?

Mr. MORRIS. He is the president.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I wrote Dr. Branson on August 31 and asked him five specific questions about his institution so that I could make a decision on today's nonpreferred appropriation. Dr. Branson has not responded to my inquiry at all, and I am wondering if perhaps you could answer a couple of questions for me.

I am just wondering, can you tell me the total number of students at that institution?

Mr. MORRIS. No. I am sorry I cannot.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, could you tell me the number of full-time students from Pennsylvania versus the full-time students living outside of the Commonwealth who attend that university?

Mr. MORRIS. No; I cannot answer that either. In fact, my knowledge of the current affairs of Lincoln University is derived from the newspapers, and I do not recall seeing those figures in them.

Mr. DiCARLO. Then you also would not know if they charge a different rate for outside students as compared to inside residents at Lincoln?

Mr. MORRIS. No; I do not.

Mr. DiCARLO. And you would have no knowledge of the number of students who attend Lincoln University from Erie County?

Mr. MORRIS. No; I do not.

Mr. DiCARLO. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

With that in mind and the answers to the interrogation, I am voting "no" on this appropriation.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—140

Anderson	Gallen	McIntyre	Schmitt
Armstrong	Garzia	McLane	Schweder
Barber	Geisler	Mebus	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	Miller	Seltzer
Beloff	George, M.	Milliron	Shupnik
Bennett	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Berlin	Gleeson	Morris	Smith, E.
Berson	Goodman	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Bittinger	Gray	Mullen, M. M.	Spitz
Bittle	Greenfield	Musto	Stapleton
Borski	Greenleaf	O'Brien, B.	Stewart
Brandt	Grieco	O'Brien, D.	Sweet
Brunner	Hamilton	O'Connell	Taylor, E.
Burns	Harper	O'Donnell	Tenaglio
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Keefe	Thomas
Caputo	Helfrick	Oliver	Vroon
Cessar	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Honaman	Parker	Wargo
Cimini	Hopkins	Petrarca	Weidner
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsky	Wenger
Cole	Itkin	Pitts	White
Cowell	Johnson	Polite	Wiggins
Davies	Jones	Pratt	Williams
DeMedio	Katz	Prendergast	Wilson
DeWeese	Kelly	Pyles	Wilt
Dombrowski	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wise
Donatucci	Kolter	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kowalyshyn	Renwick	Yahner
Duffy	Laughlin	Richardson	Yohn
Dumas	Lehr	Rieger	Zearfoss
Englehart	Letterman	Ritter	Zitterman
Fee	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zwikl
Fisher, D. M.	Madigan	Ryan	
Flaherty	Manderino	Salvatore	Irvis,
Freind	McCall	Scanlon	Speaker
Gallagher	McGinnis		

NAYS—54

Abraham	Fryer	Logue	Shuman
Arthurs	Gamble	Lynch	Smith, L.
Brown	Gatski	Mackowski	Stairs
Burd	Geesey	Manmiller	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gillette	McClatchy	Taddonio
Cassidy	Goebel	Meluskey	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Hasay	Miscevich	Trello
DiCarlo	Haskell	Mowery	Valicenti
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Wagner
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	Noye	Wass
Dorr	Kernick	Piccola	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Pott	Zeller
Foster, A.	Levi	Scheaffer	Zord
Foster, W.	Livengood		

NOT VOTING—6

Halverson	Mrkoncic	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich	Rappaport		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1255, printer's No. 1976**, entitled:

A supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; ***" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MORRIS offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 27, by striking out "\$58,126,000" and inserting \$56,987,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 6, by striking out all of said line

Amend Sec. 10, page 8, by striking out "July 1, 1977." and inserting immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is consistent with the approach I had taken previously. It reduces the instructional appropriation back to what it was for 1976-77 instead of that given in the bill. It also reduces the dental clinics from \$600,000 to \$500,000, and it removes the appropriation for the hospital entirely which, when I had these drawn up, was in concurrence with the Governor's budget document.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—49

Arthurs	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Spitz
Brown	Hasay	Morris	Stewart
Burd	Helfrick	Mullen, M. M.	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hopkins	Novak	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Thomas
Dietz	Kernick	Pratt	Valicenti
Dorr	Lehr	Reed	Wagner
Fischer, R. R.	Lincoln	Ritter	Wenger
Foster, A.	Livengood	Scheaffer	Wright, D.
Freind	Logue	Schmitt	Zeller
Fryer	Manmiller	Shuman	Zord
Geesey	McGinnis	Sirianni	Zwickl
Gillette			

NAYS—143

Abraham	Foster, W.	Lynch	Ruggiero
Anderson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Scanlon
Bellomini	Gamble	Manderino	Schweder
Beloff	Garzia	McCall	Scirica
Bennett	Gatski	McClatchy	Seltzer
Berlin	Geisler	McIntyre	Shupnik
Berson	George, C.	McLane	Smith, E.
Bittinger	George, M.	Mebus	Smith, L.
Bittle	Giammarco	Miller	Spencer
Borski	Gleeson	Milliron	Stairs
Brandt	Goebel	Miscevich	Stapleton
Brunner	Goodman	Moehlmann	Sweet
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Taddonio
Butera	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Caputo	Grieco	Musto	Tenaglio
Cassidy	Hamilton	Noye	Trello
Cessar	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cianciulli	Haskell	O'Brien, D.	Wansacz
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	O'Connell	Wargo
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Donnell	Wass
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Weidner
Cowell	Honaman	Pancoast	White
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wiggins
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrarca	Williams
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wilson
DeWeese	Jones	Pievsky	Wilt
Dininni	Katz	Pitts	Wise
Dombrowski	Kelly	Polite	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pott	Yahner
Doyle	Knepper	Prendergast	Yohn
Duffy	Kolter	Pyles	Zearfoss
Dumas	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Zitterman
Englehart	Laughlin	Richardson	
Fee	Letterman	Rieger	Irvis,
Fisher, D. M.	Levi		Speaker
Flaherty			

NOT VOTING—8

Barber	Milanovich	Rappaport	Ryan
Halverson	Mrkoncic	Rhodes	Shelton

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GALLEN. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GALLEN. Through inadvertence, Mr. Speaker, I voted in the negative on the Morris amendments to HB 1255. I would

like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George, on the amendment.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you.

This amendment is identical to the two previously passed. I want to thank you and hope that you will cooperate, and we will adopt this one.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—131

Abraham	Gallen	Laughlin	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gamble	Lehr	Seltzer
Barber	Garzia	Letterman	Shuman
Bellomini	Gatski	Levi	Sirianni
Beloff	Geesey	Livengood	Smith, E.
Bennett	George, C.	Logue	Stairs
Berlin	Giammarco	Mackowski	Stewart
Bittinger	Gillette	Manderino	Stuban
Bittle	Gleeson	McCall	Taddonio
Brandt	Goebel	McGinnis	Taylor, E.
Brown	Goodman	Mebus	Taylor, F.
Burd	Gray	Meluskey	Tenaglio
Burns	Greenfield	Miller	Thomas
Butera	Greenleaf	Milliron	Trello
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Valicenti
Cassidy	Hamilton	Morris	Wagner
Cessar	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Wansacz
Cimini	Hasay	Novak	Wass
Cohen	Haskell	Noye	Wenger
Cole	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	White
Cowell	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Wiggins
Davies	Helfrick	Petrarca	Williams
DeMedio	Honaman	Pievsky	Wilson
Dietz	Hopkins	Pitts	Wilt
Donatucci	Hutchinson, A.	Pratt	Wright, D.
Doyle	Hutchinson, W.	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Johnson	Pyles	Yahner
Dumas	Jones	Reed	Zearfoss
Englehart	Katz	Renwick	Zeller
Fee	Kelly	Richardson	Zwinkl
Fischer, R. R.	Kernick	Ryan	
Flaherty	Klingaman	Salvatore	Irvis,
Freind	Kolter	Scanlon	Speaker
Fryer			

NAYS—60

Anderson	Foster, W.	Mowery	Scheaffer
----------	------------	--------	-----------

Armstrong	Gallagher	Musto	Schweder
Berson	Geisler	O'Brien, B.	Scirica
Borski	George, M.	O'Connell	Shupnik
Brunner	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Smith, L.
Caputo	Itkin	Oliver	Spencer
Cianciulli	Knepper	Pancoast	Spitz
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Parker	Stapleton
DeWeese	Lincoln	Piccola	Sweet
DiCarlo	Lynch	Polite	Vroon
Dininni	Madigan	Pott	Wargo
Dombrowski	Manmiller	Ravenstahl	Weidner
Dorr	McClatchy	Rieger	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	McIntyre	Ritter	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Moehlmann	Ruggiero	Zord

NOT VOTING—9

Halverson	Mrkonic	Rappaport	Shelton
McLane	Mullen, M. P.	Rhodes	Wise
Milanovich			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 9. As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contract for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, this amendment, A2391, is the same amendment we adopted for the other three universities. This would establish the same criteria for Temple.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. A point of clarification, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Davies has been offering two amendments in pairs, and I am confused as to which amendment is which.

Mr. DAVIES. Sir, this is A2391, which, of course, again, is the experimentation with the mind-bending drugs.

Mr. ITKIN. Okay. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—157

Anderson	Garzia	Logue	Schmitt
Armstrong	Gatski	Lynch	Schweder

Arthurs	Geesey	Madigan	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	Manmiller	Seltzer
Berlin	George, M.	McCall	Shuman
Berson	Giammarco	McClatchy	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gillette	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gleeson	McLane	Smith, L.
Borski	Goebel	Mebus	Spencer
Brandt	Gray	Meluskey	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brunner	Greenleaf	Milliron	Stapleton
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Hamilton	Morris	Stuban
Butera	Hasay	Mowery	Sweet
Caltagirone	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Helfrick	Noye	Tenaglio
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	O'Connell	Trello
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Donnell	Valicenti
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Vroon
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wagner
DeVerter	Itkin	Parker	Wansacz
Dietz	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Dombrowski	Jones	Pievsky	Weidner
Dorr	Katz	Pitts	Wenger
Doyle	Kelly	Polite	Wiggins
Duffy	Kernick	Pott	Wilson
Fee	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilt
Fischer, R. R.	Knepper	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Fisher, D. M.	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Flaherty	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yohn
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Ritter	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Lehr	Ruggiero	Zeller
Freind	Letterman	Ryan	Zitterman
Fryer	Levi	Salvatore	Zord
Gallen	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zwinkl
Gamble			

NAYS—35

Abraham	Dumas	Mullen, M. M.	Shupnik
Barber	Englehart	O'Brien, B.	Wargo
Beloff	Gallagher	Oliver	White
Bennett	Geisler	Piccola	Williams
Caputo	Goodman	Ravenstahl	Wise
Cianciulli	Harper	Renwick	Yahner
DeWeese	Lincoln	Richardson	
DiCarlo	Manderino	Rieger	Irvis,
Dininni	Miscevich	Scanlon	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING—8

Halverson	McIntyre	Mrkonic	Rhodes
Mackowski	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 9. Except where restricted by contract or by terms of an endowment, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the university shall present at least three academic lectures or five academic classroom presentations per academic year.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. This is amendment A2471. For clarification and understanding, these are the ones, again, which would require the three lectures and the five academic classroom presentations for this institution as well.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—117

Anderson	Gillette	McCall	Seltzer
Armstrong	Goebel	McClatchy	Shuman
Arthurs	Gray	McGinnis	Sirianni
Bittinger	Greenfield	Mebus	Smith, E.
Bittle	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Brandt	Grieco	Miller	Spencer
Brunner	Hamilton	Milliron	Spitz
Burd	Hasay	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Haskell	Morris	Stapleton
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	Mowery	Stuban
Cassidy	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Cessar	Helfrick	Novak	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Tenaglio
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Thomas
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Trello
Dietz	Katz	Parker	Valicenti
Dorr	Kernick	Petrarca	Vroon
Doyle	Klingaman	Pitts	Wagner
Duffy	Knepper	Polite	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Lehr	Pott	Weidner
Fisher, D. M.	Letterman	Pratt	Wenger
Foster, A.	Levi	Prendergast	Wilson
Foster, W.	Livengood	Pyles	Wilt
Freind	Logue	Reed	Wright, D.
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Gallen	Mackowski	Ryan	Zearfoss
Gamble	Madigan	Salvatore	Zord
Garzia	Manmiller	Scheaffer	Zwinkl
Geesey			

NAYS—76

Abraham	Englehart	Lincoln	Schmitt
Barber	Fee	Manderino	Schweder
Bellomini	Flaherty	McIntyre	Scirica
Beloff	Gallagher	McLane	Shupnik
Bennett	Gatski	Miscevich	Stewart
Berlin	Geisler	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Berson	George, C.	Musto	Wansacz
Brown	George, M.	O'Brien, B.	Wargo
Caltagirone	Giammarco	O'Donnell	White
Caputo	Gleeson	Oliver	Wiggins
Cianciulli	Goodman	Pancoast	Williams
Cole	Harper	Piccola	Wise
Cowell	Hoeffel	Pievsky	Yahner
DeMedio	Itkin	Ravenstahl	Yohn
DeWeese	Johnson	Renwick	Zeller
DiCarlo	Jones	Richardson	Zitterman
Dininni	Kelly	Rieger	
Dombrowski	Kolter	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Donatucci	Kowalyszyn	Scanlon	Speaker
Dumas	Laughlin		

NOT VOTING—7

Borski	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Mrkonic	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 6, page 9, line 26, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES OR STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 2, by striking out "STATE COLLEGES AND STATE-OWNED"

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 3, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the first time and inserting its

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 3, by striking out "THEIR" where it appears the last time and inserting its

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is also agreed to. It is a grammatical change. I urge support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Abraham	Freind	Livengood	Scanlon
Anderson	Fryer	Logue	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Lynch	Schmitt
Arthurs	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Scirica
Bellomini	Garzia	Manderino	Seltzer
Beloff	Gatski	Manmiller	Shuman
Bennett	Geesey	McCall	Shupnik
Berlin	Geisler	McClatchy	Sirianni
Berson	George, C.	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bittinger	George, M.	McLane	Smith, L.
Bittle	Giammarco	Mebus	Spencer
Borski	Gillette	Meluskey	Spitz
Brown	Gleeson	Miller	Stairs
Brunner	Goebel	Milliron	Stapleton
Burd	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Gray	Morris	Stuban
Butera	Greenfield	Mowery	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Caputo	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Hamilton	Musto	Tenaglio
Cessar	Harper	Noye	Thomas
Cianciulli	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cimini	Haskell	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Wargo
Cowell	Helfrick	Oliver	Wass
Davies	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Weidner
DeMedio	Honaman	Parker	Wenger
DeVerter	Hopkins	Petrarca	White
DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wiggins
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Williams
Dietz	Itkin	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Johnson	Polite	Wilt
Dombrowski	Jones	Pott	Wise
Donatucci	Katz	Pratt	Wright, D.
Dorr	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kernick	Pyles	Yahner
Duffy	Klingaman	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Dumas	Knepper	Reed	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kolter	Renwick	Zeller

Fee	Kowalyszyn	Richardson	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Laughlin	Rieger	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Ritter	Zwinkl
Flaherty	Letterman	Ruggiero	
Foster, A.	Levi	Ryan	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—4

McIntyre	Miscevich	Novak	Valicenti
----------	-----------	-------	-----------

NOT VOTING—10

Brandt	Mrkonc	Rhodes	Taylor, E.
Halverson	O'Donnell	Shelton	Trello
Milanovich	Rappaport		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

Section 7. The university shall upon admitting a first-year class, admit as many students in that class to the Doctor of Medicine and Dental Programs as can be accommodated by the facilities of the school.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 6 by striking out "7." and inserting 8.

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 6 by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out this act

Amend Sec. 7, page 10, line 8 by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class in the Doctor of Medicine and dental programs and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 8, page 10, line 9 by striking out "8." and inserting 9.

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 22 by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker, this is the same amendment that was adopted on two previous appropriation bills. It merely insures that the medical schools will be used to their full capacity.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—125

Anderson	Giammarco	Lynch	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gillette	Mackowski	Schmitt
Bellomini	Gleeson	Madigan	Seltzer
Bittinger	Goebel	Manderino	Shuman
Bittle	Goodman	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Gray	McCall	Smith, E.
Brown	Greenfield	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Burd	Greenleaf	McGinnis	Spencer
Burns	Grieco	Mebus	Spitz
Butera	Hamilton	Meluskey	Stairs

Caltagirone	Hasay	Miller	Stapleton
Cessar	Haskell	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Morris	Taddonio
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Mowery	Taylor, E.
Davies	Helfrick	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Honaman	Noye	Tenaglio
Dietz	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Dorr	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Vroon
Doyle	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Wagner
Duffy	Jones	Pancoast	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Katz	Parker	Weidner
Fisher, D. M.	Kernick	Petrarca	Wenger
Foster, A.	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Foster, W.	Knepper	Pitts	Wilson
Freind	Kolter	Polite	Wilt
Fryer	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wright, D.
Gallen	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Gamble	Levi	Pyles	Yohn
Garzia	Lincoln	Reed	Zearfoss
Gatski	Livengood	Ruggiero	Zeller
Geesey	Logue	Ryan	Zord
George, C.			

NAYS—67

Abraham	Dombrowski	McLane	Scirica
Arthurs	Donatucci	Milliron	Shupnik
Barber	Dumas	Miscevich	Stuban
Beloff	Englehart	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Bennett	Fee	Musto	Trello
Berlin	Flaherty	Novak	Valicenti
Berson	Gallagher	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Borski	Geisler	Oliver	Wargo
Brunner	George, M.	Piccola	White
Caputo	Harper	Prendergast	Williams
Cassidy	Hoeffel	Ravenstahl	Wise
Cianciulli	Itkin	Renwick	Yahner
Cole	Johnson	Richardson	Zitterman
Cowell	Kelly	Rieger	Zwikel
DeMedio	Laughlin	Ritter	
DeWeese	Letterman	Scanlon	Irvis,
DiCarlo	McIntyre	Schweder	Speaker
Diminni			

NOT VOTING—8

Halverson	Mrkonic	Rappaport	Salvatore
Milanovich	O'Donnell	Rhodes	Shelton

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Hoeffel.

Mr. HOEFFEL. I intend to vote in favor of this appropriation. I would just like to make a few brief remarks about a situation that I think concerns the taxpayers of the state.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order. The bill is on final passage, and he may proceed.

Mr. HOEFFEL. For many years there has been an agreement

between the Montgomery County Community College and Temple University in that Temple will accept for credits in their school of business some business courses that are offered at the Montgomery County Community College. Now, recently, without any adequate explanation, Temple has stopped that agreement and has decided not to accept the courses for credits that have been taken by students at Montgomery County Community College, even though those students were promised when they matriculated that that agreement would be in effect and that those credits would be extended to them when they transferred to Temple.

Now, the reason this concerns me is that both of these institutions are supported by taxpayers' dollars. The community college, of course, is funded one-third by the county commissioners and one-third by the state, and we see here that we are giving Temple many millions of dollars in state support. I think it is unfortunate that this level of competition is developing between two institutions that are serving the same area.

To make matters worse, Temple is developing a campus in Ambler, Montgomery County. I certainly welcome the expansion of Temple University into Montgomery County, but it is very closely situated to the current campus of the community college. I am saddened to see that competition and a lack of cooperation is developing between these two institutions.

I think it is a waste of taxpayers' dollars to make college students repeat courses that ought to be acceptable at both institutions. We have not been able to receive adequate responses from Temple to the problem in Montgomery County Community College. I hope in the future these differences can be resolved.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, on final passage, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak in opposition to this bill, HB 1255, and I do so, Mr. Speaker, based on several comments that we have received from Temple University. Last year I stood on this same floor and attempted at that time to discuss what I felt were some very serious issues.

Regardless of what some individuals might feel, there still has not been an improvement in the charges or allegations of racism that have been brought to the attention of this body. In fact, just within the last week there have been attempts on the part of the administration to move, at the request of the vice president, to attach the checks of certain employees in order to pay for school credit. They are dealing with some individuals who are employees at Temple University who have been working there for so many years and who also attended school at some point and who have some outstanding bills.

The problem that I have, Mr. Speaker, is that Temple University, on its own initiative and without checking with anyone, decided to arbitrarily attach these persons' paychecks, without even notifying those persons whose paychecks they were taking.

I find that to be very strange, Mr. Speaker, due to the fact that only the Federal Government can attach anyone's pay, and only the courts, as far as a marriage relationship and the problems that exist. There should have been some attempt to at

least notify the individuals that they have an outstanding bill, and to check with them to find out whether or not a bill has been paid.

For instance, there was a situation where those individuals who went to school for whatever credit they were going to school for evidently had made a loan from the school and had to repay it. At some point the school decided that there should be some initiative taken on the part of the school itself to make the loan be paid back.

Last week there was such a big mess about it that we received five phone calls ourselves plus a letter indicating that there was great concern about this. The letter was sent to the president of the college as well as to a few Representatives and also the vice president of the institution.

Now, Mr. Speaker, since last year our concern has been that there is certainly a problem in the minority staff and faculty there at the institution. I want to indicate that that problem has not been resolved nor has our own Education Committee dealt with that since last year when on June 30, I stood on the floor to protest that.

So, Mr. Speaker, without going into any further details on it this year so as not to be stopped in the middle of what we feel is a very elongated problem, I would urge the members of the House to vote against this bill and this appropriation until such time as we have an opportunity to investigate Temple University.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—153

Anderson	Freind	Manderino	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manmiller	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	Garzia	McGinnis	Scirica
Beloff	Geisler	McIntyre	Seltzer
Bennett	George, C.	McLane	Shupnik
Berlin	George, M.	Mebus	Sirianni
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gleeson	Milliron	Spencer
Bittle	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spitz
Borski	Gray	Morris	Stapleton
Brandt	Greenfield	Mowery	Stewart
Brunner	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Burns	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hamilton	Musto	Tenaglio
Caputo	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cimini	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Weidner
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Wenger
Cole	Hopkins	Pancoast	White
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wiggins
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Williams
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievsky	Wilson
DeWeese	Johnson	Pitts	Wilt
Dietz	Jones	Polite	Wise
Dininni	Katz	Pratt	Wright, D.
Dombrowski	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pyles	Yahner
Dorr	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Doyle	Kolter	Reed	Zearfoss

Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Zitterman
Dumas	Laughlin	Rieger	Zwikl
Englehart	Lehr	Ritter	
Fee	Lettermann	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ryan	Speaker
Flaherty	Mackowski	Salvatore	
Foster, W.	Madigan	Scanlon	

NAYS—42

Abraham	Gatski	McClatchy	Stairs
Arthurs	Geesey	Meluskey	Stuban
Brown	Gillette	Miscevich	Taddonio
Burd	Goebel	Novak	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Noye	Trello
DeVerter	Haskell	Piccola	Valicenti
DiCarlo	Kernick	Pott	Wagner
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Richardson	Wass
Foster, A.	Livengood	Shuman	Zeller
Fryer	Logue	Smith, L.	Zord
Gamble	Lynch		

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Mrkonjic	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich	Rappaport		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1262, printer's No. 1974**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MORRIS offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 12, by striking out "\$7,063,000" and inserting \$6,357,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 17, by striking out "2,882,000" and inserting 2,810,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out "600,000" and inserting 500,000

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 17, by striking out "July 1, 1977." and inserting immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Mr. Speaker, I am a graduate of the law school of this university. One of my sons attended the college and graduated in good shape. I, nevertheless, feel that in the interest of the taxpayers, a diminution is necessary in this appropriation. What this amendment calls for is last year's appropriation less 10 percent thereof for instruction and, with the medical school, a reduction from last year's appropriation of 2.5 percent.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support Representative Morris on this amendment.

I would just like to inform the House of a couple facts that I received from James E. Shada, assistant vice president for Commonwealth Relations at the University of Pennsylvania. From that institution I had requested the number of full-time Pennsylvania residents and the number of full-time students at that school who were from outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Students residing in Pennsylvania are 6,424. Nonresidents attending that institution are 9,021.

I also asked that individual what the tuition disbursement was at the University of Pennsylvania. I have to inform the House that they are charging the same tuition for out-of-state students as they do Pennsylvania residents. The only area where there is a differential is in their School of Veterinary Medicine, and there is a \$1,000 additional fee that out-of-state students do pay.

So I would support the Morris amendment on this appropriation. And I would suggest to the University of Pennsylvania that they perhaps do as our other nonpreferred appropriations institutions with which we deal, and that is, assess the out-of-state Pennsylvania residents.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—58

Armstrong	Fryer	Livengood	Schmitt
Bittinger	Gallen	Logue	Shuman
Brown	Gatski	Lynch	Spitz
Burd	Gillette	Mackowski	Stewart
Burns	Gray	Manmiller	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hasay	Meluskey	Trello
Cassidy	Haskell	Milliron	Weidner
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	Morris	Wilson
DeWeese	Honaman	Mullen, M. M.	Wilt
Dietz	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wright, D.
Dininni	Kernick	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kolter	Pyles	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Kowalysbyn	Reed	Zord
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ritter	Zwikl
Freind	Levi		

NAYS—135

Abraham	Gamble	McGinnis	Schweder
Anderson	Garzia	McIntyre	Scirica
Arthurs	Geesey	McLane	Seltzer
Barber	Geisler	Mebus	Shupnik
Bellomini	George, C.	Miller	Sirianni
Beloff	George, M.	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Bennett	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Berlin	Gleeson	Mowery	Spencer
Berson	Goebel	Mullen, M. P.	Stairs
Bittle	Goodman	Musto	Stapleton
Borski	Greenfield	Novak	Sweet
Brandt	Greenleaf	Noye	Taddonio
Brunner	Grieco	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hamilton	O'Connell	Taylor, F.
Caputo	Harper	O'Donnell	Tenaglio
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	O'Keefe	Thomas
Cianciulli	Helfrick	Oliver	Valicenti
Cimini	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Vroon
Cohen	Hopkins	Parker	Wagner
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wansacz

Davies	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pitts	Wass
DeVerter	Jones	Polite	Wenger
DiCarlo	Katz	Pott	White
Dombrowski	Kelly	Prendergast	Wiggins
Donatucci	Klingaman	Ravenstahl	Williams
Doyle	Knepper	Renwick	Wise
Duffy	Laughlin	Richardson	Yahner
Dumas	Letterman	Rieger	Yohn
Englehart	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Fee	Madigan	Ryan	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Manderino	Salvatore	
Flaherty	McCall	Scanlon	Irvis,
Foster, W.	McClatchy	Scheaffer	Speaker
Gallagher			

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	Mrkonc	Rappaport	Shelton
Milanovich	O'Brien, B.	Rhodes	

The question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Brien. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. B. F. O'BRIEN. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. B. F. O'BRIEN. Mr. Speaker, my button is not working. I want to be recorded as voting "no" on the Morris amendment to HB 1262.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, will be recorded in the negative, is that correct?

Mr. B. F. O'BRIEN. That is correct.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

Section 5. As a condition to receiving these appropriations, the university shall not establish or conduct or cooperate with any agency to establish or conduct any research or experiments which have the potential to interfere with thought processes of any human being without the informed consent of such individual. The General Assembly may withhold part or all of any appropriation if the university is found to be violating the provisions of this section. This section shall not apply to any contracts for research or experimentation which are in effect on the effective date of this act.

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 16, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, this is the last one of the mind benders. This is A2474 and would apply to the University of Pennsylvania as well.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—153

Anderson	Garzia	Lynch	Schmitt
Armstrong	Gatski	Mackowski	Schweder
Arthurs	Geesey	Madigan	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	Manderino	Seltzer
Berson	George, M.	Manmiller	Shuman
Bittinger	Giammarco	McCall	Sirianni
Bittle	Gillette	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Borski	Gleeson	McGinnis	Smith, L.
Brandt	Goebel	McLane	Spencer
Brown	Goodman	Mebus	Spitz
Brunner	Gray	Meluskey	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Stapleton
Burns	Greenleaf	Milliron	Stewart
Butera	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hasay	Morris	Sweet
Cassidy	Haskell	Mowery	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Helfrick	Noye	Tenaglio
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Thomas
Cowell	Honaman	O'Keefe	Trello
Davies	Hopkins	Pancoast	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Vroon
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wagner
DiCarlo	Itkin	Pievsky	Wansacz
Dietz	Johnson	Pitts	Wass
Dininni	Jones	Polite	Weidner
Dombrowski	Katz	Pott	Wenger
Dorr	Kernick	Pratt	Wilson
Doyle	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wilt
Fee	Knepper	Pyles	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Kowalyshyn	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Fisher, D. M.	Laughlin	Ritter	Yahner
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ruggiero	Yohn
Foster, W.	Letterman	Ryan	Zearfoss
Freind	Levi	Salvatore	Zeller
Fryer	Lincoln	Scanlon	Zord
Gallen	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zwikl
Gamble			

NAYS—36

Abraham	Englehart	Mullen, M. P.	Wargo
Barber	Flaherty	Musto	White
Bennett	Gallagher	O'Brien, B.	Wiggins
Berlin	Geister	Oliver	Williams
Caputo	Harper	Piccola	Wise
Cianciulli	Kelly	Renwick	Zitterman
DeMedio	Kolter	Richardson	
Donatucci	Logue	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	McIntyre	Shupnik	Speaker
Dumas	Miscevich		

NOT VOTING—11

Beloff	Mrkoncic	Rappaport	Rhodes
Halverson	O'Brien, D.	Ravenstahl	Shelton
Hamilton	O'Donnell		
Milanovich			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. DAVIES offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

Section 5. Except where restricted by contract or by terms of an endowment, distinguished faculty members in writing, lecturing, audio-visual techniques or recognized on a national or regional level as an expert in a specific discipline at the uni-

versity shall present at least three academic lectures or five academic classroom presentations per academic year.

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 16, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. This is A2476 and would require of the University of Pennsylvania to have a minimum of three lectures or five classroom presentations.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Anderson	Gamble	Manmiller	Seltzer
Armstrong	Geesey	McCall	Shuman
Arthurs	Gillette	McClatchy	Sirianni
Bittinger	Goebel	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gray	Mebus	Smith, L.
Brandt	Greenfield	Meluskey	Spencer
Burd	Greenleaf	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Grieco	Milliron	Stairs
Butera	Hamilton	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Caltagirone	Hasay	Morris	Taddonio
Cassidy	Haskell	Mowery	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Tenaglio
Cohen	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Davies	Honaman	O'Connell	Trello
DeVerter	Hopkins	Petrarca	Valicenti
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Pitts	Vroon
Dietz	Jones	Polite	Wagner
Dininni	Katz	Pott	Wass
Dorr	Kernick	Pratt	Weidner
Doyle	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wenger
Fee	Lehr	Pyles	Wilson
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Reed	Wilt
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ritter	Wright, D.
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ryan	Wright, J. L.
Foster, W.	Logue	Salvatore	Zearfoss
Freind	Lynch	Scanlon	Zeller
Fryer	Mackowski	Scheaffer	Zord
Gallen	Madigan	Schmitt	Zwikl

NAYS—76

Abraham	Flaherty	Lincoln	Ruggiero
Barber	Gallagher	Manderino	Schweder
Beloff	Garzia	McIntyre	Scirica
Bennett	Gatski	McLane	Shupnik
Berlin	Geisler	Miscevich	Stewart
Berson	George, C.	Mullen, M. P.	Stuban
Borski	George, M.	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Brown	Giammarco	Musto	Wansacz
Brunner	Gleeson	O'Brien, B.	Wargo
Caputo	Goodman	O'Keefe	White
Cianciulli	Harper	Oliver	Wiggins
Cole	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Williams
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wise
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Yahner
DiCarlo	Johnson	Pievsky	Yohn
Dombrowski	Kelly	Ravenstahl	Zitterman
Donatucci	Knepper	Renwick	
Duffy	Kolter	Richardson	Irvis,
Dumas	Kowalyshyn	Rieger	Speaker
Englehart	Laughlin		

NOT VOTING—8

Bellomini	Milanovich	O'Donnell	Rhodes
Halverson	Mrkonich	Rappaport	Shelton

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 6, by inserting after "student." As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is identical to the others that we have had the wisdom to pass. I urge its adoption.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—127

Abraham	Garzia	Logue	Seltzer
Barber	Gatski	Lynch	Shuman
Bellomini	Geesey	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bennett	George, C.	Manderino	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Giammarco	McCall	Spencer
Bittle	Gillette	McGinnis	Stairs
Brandt	Gleeson	McIntyre	Stewart
Brown	Goebel	Mebus	Stuban
Brunner	Goodman	Meluskey	Taddonio
Burd	Gray	Miller	Taylor, F.
Burns	Greenfield	Milliron	Tenaglio
Butera	Greenleaf	Morris	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Trello
Cassidy	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Valicenti
Cessar	Harper	Novak	Wagner
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Wansacz
Cohen	Haskell	O'Brien, D.	Wass
Cole	Hayes, D. S.	O'Donnell	Wenger
Cowell	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	White
Davies	Helfrick	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeMedio	Honaman	Pievsky	Williams
Dietz	Hutchinson, A.	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	Pratt	Wilt
Donatucci	Katz	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Doyle	Kernick	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Klingaman	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Kolter	Renwick	Zearfoss
Englehart	Laughlin	Richardson	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Lehr	Ryan	Zwinkl
Foster, A.	Letterman	Salvatore	
Freind	Levi	Scanlon	Irvis,
Fryer	Livengood	Schmitt	Speaker
Gamble			

NAYS—63

Anderson	Gallagher	Moehlmann	Schweder
Armstrong	Geisler	Mullen, M. M.	Scirica
Beloff	George, M.	Musto	Shupnik
Berlin	Hoeffel	O'Brien, B.	Smith, L.
Berson	Hopkins	O'Connell	Spitz
Borski	Itkin	Oliver	Stapleton
Caputo	Jones	Pancoast	Sweet
Cianciulli	Kelly	Parker	Taylor, E.
DeVerter	Knepper	Piccola	Vroon
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Polite	Wargo
DiCarlo	Lincoln	Pott	Weidner
Dombrowski	Madigan	Ravenstahl	Wise
Dorr	Manmiller	Rieger	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	McClatchy	Ritter	Zitterman
Flaherty	McLane	Ruggiero	Zord
Foster, W.	Miscevich	Scheaffer	

NOT VOTING—10

Arthurs	Halverson	Mrkonich	Rhodes
Fee	Johnson	Rappaport	Shelton
Gallen	Milanovich		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MEBUS. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. MEBUS. I voted incorrectly on the George amendment to HB 1262. I meant it to be in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Salvatore.

Mr. SALVATORE. I rise in opposition to this bill for two reasons: One, it has been stated by Representative DiCarlo that out-of-state students receive the same benefits as Pennsylvania students. They do not pay anymore tuition. While we provide moneys and state funds for Pennsylvania students, out-of-state students enjoy the same privileges. Now we are allowing \$17 million to go to the University of Pennsylvania this year, and out-of-state students will enjoy a lot of the benefits of the taxpayers' moneys from Pennsylvania.

The second reason is that they have had a strike down there and University of Pennsylvania has fired 343 members of a union of housekeeping services and hired subcontractors claiming that they could save money by doing this. But in effect what they are doing is, they are going to impose more burdens

on the taxpayers of Pennsylvania because the bulk of these 343 people are blacks, most of them are over 50 years of age and most of them will wind up on the welfare rolls because they will not be able to find jobs. Therefore, we will be burdened with more additional funds in our welfare department to be expended.

The rest of the employees of the University of Pennsylvania have received a 5-percent pay increase and the University of Pennsylvania is the largest employer in the city of Philadelphia, employing 15,000 employees.

So I think, Mr. Speaker, that we should not pass this appropriation for the University of Pennsylvania until they sit down and negotiate with Local 115 and restore those 343 jobs.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I also rise in opposition to HB 1262 and I do so, Mr. Speaker, because of the fact that we have received calls and information from students as well as those who are nonstudents that on the weekends it is very difficult for students to get into the library to deal effectively with having any kind of education at all. They are told they are not allowed into the library at all on the weekends. It seems to me that any institution that we pay moneys to should at least afford the opportunity to those from the outside, those high school students and anyone else who want to come in to gather information. They should not be denied.

I just want to concur with Mr. Salvatore that we have also received the same information concerning the union and the problems concerning the 343 persons who were laid off, a majority of whom were black. I, therefore, ask the members of this House to vote in opposition to the appropriation for the University of Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Schweder.

Mr. SCHWEDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise today in support of this piece of legislation. I believe that several of the reasons that were given are not really appropriate to the discussion of this piece of legislation. To begin with, the University of Pennsylvania is not a state-related but it is state-aided university. It is a private institution. The tuitions charged the students of that university are done on the same basis as the vast majority of colleges in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

I see on the list also that we have a grant going to Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture in Doylestown. There is no difference there because that is also a private college. There is a difference here. No one was concerned when we gave to all of the state colleges in the budget approximately \$1,900 a student. It did not matter whether they were Pennsylvania students or whether they were out-of-state students. Their money was given to the state colleges at that point.

I have been concerned in the Education Committee with discussions in regard to the School Code, that we get involved with mandating different things that should be part of collective bargaining in negotiations among individuals. I do not think a discussion or a dispute between the university and any-

one who worked for it is proper grounds for us to consider whether we vote for or against legislation. I would urge all of my colleagues today to vote in support of this. It is probably the finest institution of higher education that we have in the Commonwealth.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation. I do not think it is proper that the General Assembly be dragged into a labor dispute. I think the fact that the university employs 15,000 individuals in Philadelphia is important to the city. If we deny them these appropriations, we will see more people laid off in Philadelphia.

I rise specifically to speak on behalf of the Veterinary School. This is the only veterinary school in the state. It means a great deal to the agricultural community. It is one of the finest schools in this country and in the world with the type of research they are doing there. They do charge out-of-state students more than Pennsylvania students. So I think that we should support this appropriation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I did not intend to speak on this, but Mr. Salvatore's comments evoke mine.

I met with the teamsters' union representative and heard his side of the story of the labor dispute down there. Frankly, I do sympathize with those employees. But I agree with Mr. Schweder that we as the General Assembly cannot enter and takes sides in that particular labor dispute.

This is a private institution. This University is the second single largest employer in the city of Philadelphia. There are some 15,000 employees there and they will all suffer; every student there will suffer if this appropriation is not passed.

As much as I would like to indicate that I think the university ought to sit down and talk reasonably on the problem that they have, I do not think any of the members of this Assembly or of this House should let that particular labor dispute cloud his judgment on this bill. I think we ought to support the bill and, hopefully, some of the remarks made here might help in getting both parties to see things reasonably. But I think we ought to support the appropriation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I am wondering if either the majority leader or the chairman of the Appropriations Committee would consent to a brief interrogation.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Schweder, made some comments about the independent status of the University of Pennsylvania. Is that status different from the status of the University of Pittsburgh?

Mr. PIEVSKY. Yes, it is.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, under the independent status that it has, is the University of Pennsylvania also entitled to

the institutional assistance grants that we give other private colleges in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?

Mr. PIEVSKY. I will yield to the majority leader on that one, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MANDERINO. The answer is yes.

Mr. DiCARLO. All right, Mr. Speaker. Can you tell this General Assembly, especially those of us who have many small independent private colleges in our districts which receive no nonpreferred appropriation and have a great percentage of Pennsylvania residents going to school there, what the total amount of the IAG — Institutional Assistance Grant — grant is to the University of Pennsylvania?

Mr. MANDERINO. I do not know that I have that figure. I do not agree that that should be done. It was not a decision made by this General Assembly. It was a decision that was made at PHEAA — Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency and with the Board, frankly, that placed this institution on the list of institutions which receive the IAG grants. That is my understanding.

In fact, when we dealt on the conference committee, Mr. DiCarlo, with this problem, we attempted, I think, with language, but we were not able to prevail, to stop IAG grants to the university since they received direct aid.

Mr. DiCARLO. Is it not true, Mr. Speaker, that the University of Pennsylvania not only gets a \$17-million special appropriation but they get an IAG grant also based on 15,000 students which is in the millions of dollars? That is a true fact. No matter who did it or how they did it, that occurs.

Mr. MANDERINO. That is true. As I recall it amounts to—let me check myself—it amounts to a couple of hundred thousand dollars. My estimates are between \$200,000 and \$300,000.

Mr. DiCARLO. No, Mr. Speaker. I would have to challenge that because I have institutions much smaller than that that came to that same amount of money—unless someone there has some appropriate figure. I do not want to argue that fact with you. But the fact is they, in my estimate, are double dipping. They are here for a nonpreferred appropriation and they are also receiving aid through the form of institutional assistance grants, and a great many of us have the small private institutions which are facing bankruptcy which do not have the benefit of a nonpreferred appropriation.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the amount of the IAG grant is \$424,000 at the university. If you do not want that to take place and you review in your mind that it is unfair, I would suggest you look hard at the IAG bill when it comes up and consider an amendment to the same.

Mr. DiCARLO. I will do that, Mr. Speaker. But I would also assume that in the issue of fairness that the Appropriations Committee perhaps should have addressed that problem. That is what we are doing now.

But, again, my biggest concern is that this institution does not have a small balance of out-of-state students but over 60 percent of the students in that institution are from outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, over 60 percent. There is no tuition difference except in the School of Veterinary Medicine.

You are asking this General Assembly and, more importantly, you are asking the taxpayers of Pennsylvania to subsidize

this. I think it is wrong. I think we ought to vote “no” against this appropriation until the adjustments are made.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Just briefly. The point that the gentleman raises is valid. Let me express to you, though, that this university has been held at the same level of Commonwealth assistance for the last 2 years. One of the reasons that it has been held is because of the action taken which allows them also to get aid out of the IAG money. That is one of the reasons that we have held them for 2 consecutive years now at the same amount of money. We are taking that into consideration and the total appropriation has been adjusted to reflect that. So I want you to know that it is a problem, it is there, but that is one of the reasons they are being held at the level they are held at.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose HB 1262. I feel that if the University of Pennsylvania can give their faculty a raise, they certainly can give the people at the bottom of the ladder, the poor working people, a raise and keep them working.

Also, I question the safety at the University of Pennsylvania. Until they get their house in order, I think we should hold their appropriation.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Giammarco.

Mr. GIAMMARCO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose HB 1262 for numerous reasons. Just today I received eight letters from constituents of mine in my district relating to the problems down there, people who have been down there from 10 years on up who have been laid off or fired now because of new contractors that are coming in. One contractor is from Wilmington, Delaware, and the other contractor is from New Jersey. Now here are people coming in from out of the state moving into our state taking jobs.

I am still waiting for answers as to who imported the two busloads of Mexicans who were put up at the Sheraton Hotel for two nights at \$30 a head. I cannot get any answers about the people who were supposedly put on the campus to work on behalf of the people who were fired. This is one reason I will continue to oppose HB 1262 until these problems are resolved.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Mr. Speaker, we heard before when the medical schools were the topic of conversation about the concern of rural Pennsylvania for medical doctors.

The University of Pennsylvania has the only veterinary medical school in the state, on the northeast coast, as a matter of fact. We cannot provide the kind of medical care necessary to the animal husbandry of Pennsylvania's agricultural contingent that is necessary at this point. The cost of this education is every bit as expensive as human medicine and sometimes more. The persons who are graduated from this veterinary school are in research as well as in the practice of veteri-

nary medicine.

The cost to the university is far in excess of the appropriation they ask of us here in the General Assembly. Great sums of money are raised from outside sources to support this particular school within the university. For us to cut this appropriation or not to act on it at this point would be shortsighted for a very significant population of Pennsylvania and all of eastern United States.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Mr. Speaker, I offered an amendment to reduce this appropriation.

I have problems that I have not mentioned here this year. I have mentioned them in the past where some of the University policies do not have anything to do with academic affairs.

I am going to vote for this appropriation, not because the Veterinary School is absolutely essential to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, but also because this institution provides a tremendous amount of education to a great many people with many, many of them on scholarships from within Philadelphia itself. I have heard Mr. Butera speak of this many times. I am going to vote for the bill.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—138

Anderson	Garzia	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Geisler	McLane	Schmitt
Arthurs	Mebus	Schweder	
Bellomini	George, C.	Miller	Scirica
Bennett	George, M.	Milliron	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleeson	Miscevich	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gray	Morris	Smith, E.
Bittle	Greenfield	Mowery	Spencer
Borski	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Spitz
Brandt	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Stapleton
Brunner	Hamilton	Musto	Stewart
Butera	Hasay	Noye	Sweet
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, E.
Cassidy	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Thomas
Cessar	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Valicenti
Cimini	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Wagner
Cole	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wansacz
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wargo
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Weidner
Dietz	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pievsky	Wiggins
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilt
Dorr	Knepper	Polite	Wise
Doyle	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, D.
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Engelhart	Laughlin	Pyles	Yahner
Fee	Lehr	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	Letterman	Reed	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Levi	Renwick	Zitterman
Foster, A.	Mackowski	Rieger	Zwinkl
Foster, W.	Madigan	Ritter	
Freind	Manderino	Ruggiero	
Gallagher	Manmiller	Ryan	Irvis,
	McCall		Speaker

NAYS—53

Abraham	Fryer	Lincoln	Shuman
Barber	Gallen	Livengood	Smith, L.
Beloff	Gamble	Logue	Stairs
Brown	Gatski	Lynch	Stuban
Burd	Geesey	McClatchy	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Giammarco	McGinnis	Taylor, F.
Cianciulli	Gillette	Meluskey	Tenaglio
Cowell	Goebel	Novak	Trello
DeVerter	Harper	O'Brien, D.	Wass
DeWeese	Haskell	Pott	White
DiCarlo	Jones	Richardson	Williams
Dininni	Katz	Salvatore	Zeller
Dumas	Kernick	Scanlon	Zord
Fischer, R. R.			

NOT VOTING—9

Burns	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Mrkonic	Rhodes	Wilson
Johnson			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL
ON FINAL PASSAGE

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the consideration on final passage of House bill No. 1274, printer's No. 1975, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University of Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Mr. GEORGE requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

(c) As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978, the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is identical to those that we have passed previously. I urge your adoption of them.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—122

Abraham	Gallen	Laughlin	Ryan
Arthurs	Gamble	Lehr	Salvatore
Bellomini	Garzia	Letterman	Scanlon
Bennett	Gatski	Levi	Schmitt
Berlin	Geesey	Livengood	Seltzer
Berson	George, C.	Logue	Shuman
Bittinger	Gillette	Lynch	Sirianni
Brandt	Gleeson	Mackowski	Smith, E.
Burd	Goebel	Manderino	Stairs
Burns	Goodman	McCall	Stewart
Butera	Gray	McGinnis	Suban
Caltagirone	Greenfield	McIntyre	Taddonio
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Mebus	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Grieco	Meluskey	Tenaglio
Cimini	Harper	Miller	Thomas
Cohen	Hasay	Milliron	Wagner
Cole	Haskell	Morris	Wansacz
Cowell	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Wass
Davies	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. M.	Wenger
DeMedio	Helfrick	Musto	Wiggins
Dietz	Honaman	Novak	Wilson
Dombrowski	Hopkins	Noye	Wilt
Donatucci	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wright, D.
Duffy	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Johnson	Petrarca	Yahner
Fee	Jones	Pievsky	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Katz	Pratt	Zeller
Foster, A.	Kelly	Pyles	Zwinkl
Freind	Kernick	Reed	
Fryer	Klingaman	Renwick	Irvis,
Gallagher	Kolter	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—58

Anderson	Hamilton	O'Connell	Smith, L.
Armstrong	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Spencer
Bittle	Itkin	O'Keefe	Spitz
Borski	Knepper	Pancoast	Stapleton
Brunner	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Sweet
Caputo	Lincoln	Polite	Trello
DeVerter	Madigan	Pott	Valicenti
DeWeese	Manmiller	Prendergast	Vroon
DiCarlo	McClatchy	Ritter	Wargo
Dininni	McLane	Ruggiero	Weidner
Dorr	Miscevich	Scheaffer	Wise
Fisher, D. M.	Moehlmann	Schweder	Yohn
Foster, W.	Mowery	Scirica	Zitterman
Geisler	O'Brien, B.	Shupnik	Zord
George, M.	O'Brien, D.		

NOT VOTING—20

Barber	Dumas	Mrkonc	Richardson
Beloff	Flaherty	Pitts	Shelton
Brown	Giammarco	Rappaport	Taylor, E.
Cianciulli	Halverson	Ravenstahl	White
Doyle	Milanovich	Rhodes	Williams

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. TRELLO. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently pushed my switch the wrong way. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on the George amendment to HB 1274.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Doyle.

Mr. DOYLE. Mr. Speaker, I could not get back to my seat in time. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative on the George amendment to HB 1274.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Mr. GREENLEAF requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 4. The university shall, upon admitting a first-year class to the Doctor of Medicine program, admit as many students in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the university for that program.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 7, by striking out "4." and inserting 5.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 9, by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class in the Doctor of Medicine program and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 10, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 16, by striking out "6." and inserting 7.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker, this amendment does the same thing as the previous amendment did, and that is, to insure the full use of the medical facilities for the maximum number of students.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—136

Abraham	Garzia	Mackowski	Schmitt
Anderson	Gatski	Madigan	Scirica
Armstrong	Geesey	Manmiller	Seltzer
Arthurs	George, C.	McCall	Shuman
Bellomini	Giammarco	McClatchy	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gillette	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gleeson	McIntyre	Smith, L.
Borski	Goebel	Mebus	Spencer
Brandt	Gray	Meluskey	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brunner	Greenleaf	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Burd	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Hasay	Mowery	Taddonio
Butera	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Caltagirone	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Musto	Tenaglio
Cimini	Helfrick	Novak	Thomas
Cohen	Honaman	Noye	Trello
Davies	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Vroon
Dietz	Johnson	O'Keefe	Wagner

Dombrowski	Jones	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dorr	Kernick	Parker	Wass
Doyle	Klingaman	Petrarca	Weidner
Duffy	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
Fee	Kolter	Polite	Wilson
Fischer, R. R.	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilt
Fisher, D. M.	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D.
Foster, A.	Lehr	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Foster, W.	Lettermann	Pyles	Yohn
Freind	Levi	Reed	Zearfoss
Fryer	Livengood	Ruggiero	Zeller
Gallen	Logue	Ryan	Zord
Gamble	Lynch	Scheaffer	Zwikl

NAYS—49

Beloff	Englehart	McLane	Schweder
Bennett	Gallagher	Milliron	Shupnik
Berlin	George, M.	Miscevich	Stuban
Berson	Goodman	O'Brien, B.	Sweet
Caputo	Hamilton	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cassidy	Harper	Oliver	Wiggins
Cole	Hoeffel	Piccola	Wise
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsy	Yahner
DeMedio	Itkin	Ravenstahl	Zitterman
DeWeese	Katz	Renwick	
DiCarlo	Kelly	Ritter	Irvis,
Dininni	Lincoln	Salvatore	Speaker
Donatucci	Manderino	Scanlon	

NOT VOTING—15

Barber	Geisler	Rappaport	Shelton
Cianciulli	Halverson	Rhodes	White
Dumas	Milanovich	Richardson	Williams
Flaherty	Mrkoncic	Rieger	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—139

Anderson	Gallagher	McCall	Schweder
Armstrong	Geisler	McGinnis	Scirica
Arthurs	George, C.	McIntyre	Seltzer
Barber	George, M.	McLane	Shupnik
Bellomini	Giammarco	Mebus	Sirianni
Beloff	Gleeson	Miller	Smith, E.
Bennett	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Berlin	Gray	Morris	Spitz
Berson	Greenfield	Mowery	Stapleton
Bittinger	Greenleaf	Mrkoncic	Stewart
Bittle	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Borski	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, E.
Brandt	Hasay	Musto	Tenaglio
Brunner	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Burns	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Butera	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wansacz
Caputo	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cimini	Hopkins	Oliver	Weidner
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Wenger
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	White
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
Davies	Johnson	Pievsy	Williams
DeMedio	Jones	Pitts	Wilson
DeWeese	Kelly	Polite	Wilt

Dininni	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wise
Dombrowski	Knepper	Pyles	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yahner
Duffy	Laughlin	Renwick	Yohn
Dumas	Lehr	Rieger	Zearfoss
Englehart	Lettermann	Ruggiero	Zitterman
Fee	Lincoln	Ryan	
Fisher, D. M.	Mackowski	Salvatore	Irvis,
Flaherty	Madigan	Scanlon	Speaker
Foster, W.	Manderino	Schmitt	
Freind	Manmiller		

NAYS—54

Abraham	Gamble	Lynch	Shuman
Brown	Garzia	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Burd	Gatski	Meluskey	Stairs
Caltagirone	Geesey	Milliron	Stuban
Cassidy	Gillette	Miscevich	Taddonio
Cessar	Goebel	Novak	Taylor, F.
DeVertor	Hamilton	Noye	Trello
DiCarlo	Haskell	O'Keefe	Valicenti
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Piccola	Wagner
Doyle	Katz	Pott	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Kernick	Pratt	Zeller
Foster, A.	Levi	Ritter	Zord
Fryer	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zwikl
Gallen	Logue		

NOT VOTING—7

Cianciulli	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport	Richardson	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS ON
THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1250, printer's No. 1473**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts of Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—116

Anderson	Gatski	McIntyre	Schmitt
Barber	Geisler	McLane	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	Mebus	Seltzer
Beloff	George, M.	Miller	Shupnik
Bennett	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Sirianni

Berlin	Gleeson	Mrkonc	Smith, E.
Berson	Goodman	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Bittinger	Gray	Mullen, M. M.	Spitz
Borski	Greenfield	Musto	Stapleton
Brandt	Hamilton	O'Brien, B.	Stewart
Brunner	Harper	O'Brien, D.	Sweet
Burns	Helfrick	O'Connell	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tenaglio
Caputo	Honaman	Oliver	Thomas
Cohen	Hopkins	Pancoast	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wansacz
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Johnson	Pitts	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Jones	Polite	Williams
Donatucci	Katz	Prendergast	Wise
Duffy	Kelly	Pyles	Wright, D.
Dumas	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Kolter	Renwick	Yahner
Fee	Kowalyshyn	Rieger	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Laughlin	Ryan	Zitterman
Foster, W.	Lehr	Salvatore	Irvis,
Freind	Lincoln	Scanlon	Speaker
Gallagher	Manderino	Scheaffer	
Garzia	McGinnis		

NAYS—77

Abraham	Fryer	Mackowski	Schweder
Armstrong	Gallen	Madigan	Shuman
Arthurs	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L.
Bittle	Geesey	McCall	Stairs
Brown	Gillette	McClatchy	Stuban
Burd	Goebel	Meluskey	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Greenleaf	Milliron	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Grieco	Miscevich	Trello
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Valicenti
Cimini	Haskell	Mowery	Wagner
Cowell	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Wass
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Weidner
DiCarlo	Kernick	O'Keefe	Wenger
Dietz	Klingaman	Piccola	Wilson
Dininni	Letterman	Pott	Wilt
Dorr	Levi	Pratt	Yohn
Doyle	Livengood	Reed	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Logue	Ritter	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Lynch	Ruggiero	Zwikl
Foster, A.			

NOT VOTING—7

Cianciulli	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport	Richardson	

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1251, printer's No. 1474**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Division of Education of the Philadelphia Museum of Art Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—108

Anderson	Garzia	McLane	Scirica
Barber	Geisler	Mebus	Seltzer
Bellomini	George, M.	Miller	Shupnik
Beloff	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Bennett	Gleeson	Mullen, M. P.	Smith, E.
Berlin	Goodman	Mullen, M. M.	Spencer
Berson	Gray	Musto	Spitz
Bittinger	Greenfield	O'Brien, B.	Stapleton
Borski	Hamilton	O'Brien, D.	Sweet
Brandt	Harper	O'Connell	Taylor, E.
Brunner	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Tenaglio
Burns	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Thomas
Butera	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Caputo	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wargo
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	White
Davies	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Williams
Dombrowski	Jones	Pitts	Wilson
Donatucci	Katz	Polite	Wise
Doyle	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Knepper	Pyles	Yahner
Dumas	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Englehart	Kowalyshyn	Renwick	Zearfoss
Fee	Laughlin	Ryan	Zitterman
Flaherty	Lincoln	Salvatore	
Foster, W.	Madigan	Scheaffer	Irvis,
Freind	Manderino	Schmitt	Speaker
Gallagher	McGinnis		

NAYS—84

Abraham	Fryer	Logue	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallen	Lynch	Schweder
Arthurs	Gamble	Mackowski	Shuman
Bittle	Gatski	Manmiller	Smith, L.
Brown	Geesey	McCall	Stairs
Burd	George, C.	McClatchy	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gillette	McIntyre	Stuban
Cassidy	Goebel	Meluskey	Taddonio
Cessar	Greenleaf	Milliron	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Grieco	Morris	Trello
Cole	Hasay	Mowery	Valicenti
Cowell	Haskell	Mrkonc	Wagner
DeVerter	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Wansacz
DeWeese	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Wass
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Weidner
Dietz	Kernick	Pott	Wenger
Dininni	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilt
Dorr	Lehr	Reed	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Rieger	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ritter	Zord
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—8

Cianciulli	Milanovich	Rappaport	Richardson
Halverson	Miscevich	Rhodes	Shelton

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter, For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RITTER. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1251 I voted in the negative. I even had my calendar marked and I did want to vote in the affirmative on that bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1256, printer's No. 1479**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 9, by inserting after "program." As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this bill is indential to the bills related to these programs that we have just passed.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Would the gentleman, Mr. George, consent to a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. George, indicates that he will consent to be interrogated. The gentleman, Mr. DiCarlo, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, have you been in contact with the Philadelphia School of Osteopathy about the particular problems of assessability and availability of medical practitioners? Have you talked to them at all about what they are doing?

Mr. GEORGE. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker, I did not hear you.

Mr. DiCARLO. Have you been in contact with the Philadelphia School of Osteopathy to discuss the problems that you are trying to deal with in your amendment to find out if they, indeed, are doing anything to address those problems?

Mr. GEORGE. No; I have not contacted them directly.

Mr. DiCARLO. Are you aware if the institution is or is not providing such rural internship and health-care facilities in the rural areas?

Mr. GEORGE. I am aware of that.

The SPEAKER. Would you like to continue, David? Go right ahead. I do not get very many moments of amusement up here.

Mr. DiCARLO. Thank you, I know you are enjoying every second of it.

The SPEAKER. When you get tired, we have Mr. O'Donnell over there waiting.

Mr. DiCARLO. As a country legislator who professes to know

something about the delivery of health care in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I would just like to inform members of this General Assembly that in setting the problems, I am, at least, thoroughly convinced that the osteopathic profession in this state is such that this institution has made great strides to provide that primary care not only to all constituents of Pennsylvania but especially to those depressed areas, whether they are urban settings or rural settings.

One other fact, too, is that in the osteopathic schools that we are now dealing with, 83 percent of its total enrollment is, indeed, made up of Pennsylvania residents. They are involved in preceptorship programs which take third- and fourth-year medical students. They put them in these rural areas and they are perhaps one of the forerunners in trying to solve the primary care of the health-delivery problem that we are having in Pennsylvania. Although I wholeheartedly disagree with the Camille approach, I doubt if it will have any impact on the votes today. I would just like to inform my colleague, Mr. George, that they are, indeed, addressing this most serious problem in Pennsylvania.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly accept what you say as I am aware that you researched that this amendment will not hurt that institution and I am glad that you agree with me.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. I am just wondering, perhaps, if Mr. O'Donnell and myself can be put together so that we can discuss these issues ahead of time from now on.

The SPEAKER. I would suggest that there be other volunteers who want to take Mr. George on. We might form a coterie, but I would think with the answers that he has been giving this afternoon, there ought to be at least 10 or 12 of you before you start out.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—112

Abraham	Garzia	Levi	Seltzer
Arthurs	Gatski	Livengood	Sirianni
Bellomini	Geesey	Logue	Smith, E.
Bennett	George, C.	Mackowski	Stairs
Bittinger	Gillette	Manderino	Stewart
Brandt	Gleeson	McCall	Stuban
Burd	Goebel	McIntyre	Taylor, E.
Burns	Goodman	Morris	Taylor, F.
Butera	Gray	Mrkonc	Tenaglio
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Thomas
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Musto	Trello
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Valicenti
Cimini	Harper	Noye	Wagner
Cole	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Cowell	Haskell	O'Connell	Wass
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	O'Keefe	Wenger
DeMedio	Hayes, S. E.	Petrarca	Wiggins
Dietz	Helfrick	Pievsky	Wilson
Doyle	Honaman	Pitts	Wilt
Duffy	Hopkins	Pratt	Wright, D.
Englehart	Hutchinson, A.	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Fee	Hutchinson, W.	Pyles	Yahner

Fischer, R. R.	Jones	Reed	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Kernick	Renwick	Zeller
Foster, A.	Klingaman	Rieger	Zwikl
Freind	Kolter	Ryan	
Fryer	Laughlin	Scanlon	Irvis,
Gallen	Lehr	Schmitt	Speaker
Gamble	Letterman		

NAYS—74

Anderson	Gallagher	Meluskey	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Geisler	Miller	Schweder
Berlin	George, M.	Milliron	Scirica
Berson	Giammarco	Miscevich	Shuman
Bittle	Hamilton	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Borski	Hoeffel	Mowery	Smith, L.
Brown	Itkin	O'Brien, D.	Spencer
Brunner	Johnson	O'Donnell	Spitz
Caputo	Katz	Oliver	Stapleton
Cohen	Kelly	Pancoast	Sweet
DeVerter	Knepper	Parker	Taddonio
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Piccola	Vroon
DiCarlo	Lincoln	Polite	Wargo
Dininni	Lynch	Pott	Weidner
Dombrowski	Madigan	Ravenstahl	Wise
Donatucci	Manmiller	Ritter	Yohn
Dorr	McClatchy	Ruggiero	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	McLane	Salvatore	Zord
Foster, W.	Mebus		

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Beloff	McGinnis	Rhodes	White
Cianciulli	Milanovich	Richardson	Williams
Dumas	Mullen, M. M.		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

Section 3. The college shall, upon admitting a first-year class, admit as many students in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the school.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 15, by striking out "3." and inserting 4.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 16, by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 18, by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 1, by striking out "4." and inserting 5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 7, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker, this amendment does the same thing as the previous amendments do in regard to the full enrollment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—131

Abraham	George, C.	Manderino	Scheaffer
Anderson	Gillette	Manmiller	Schmitt
Armstrong	Goebel	McCall	Scirica
Bellomini	Goodman	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bittinger	Gray	McIntyre	Sirianni
Bittle	Greenfield	Mebus	Smith, E.
Brandt	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Spencer
Brown	Grieco	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Hamilton	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Hasay	Morris	Stapleton
Butera	Haskell	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Hayes, D. S.	Mrkoncic	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Helfrick	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Honaman	Novak	Tenaglio
Cole	Hopkins	Noye	Thomas
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien, D.	Trello
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Valicenti
Dietz	Jones	O'Keefe	Vroon
Dombrowski	Katz	Pancoast	Wagner
Dorr	Kernick	Parker	Wass
Doyle	Klingaman	Petrarca	Weidner
Duffy	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
Fischer, R. R.	Kolter	Polite	Wilson
Fisher, D. M.	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilt
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D.
Foster, W.	Lehr	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Levi	Pyles	Yohn
Fryer	Livengood	Reed	Zearfoss
Gallen	Logue	Rieger	Zeller
Gamble	Lynch	Ruggiero	Zord
Garzia	Mackowski	Ryan	Zwikl
Geesey	Madigan	Salvatore	

NAYS—55

Arthurs	Fee	McLane	Shuman
Bennett	Flaherty	Milliron	Shupnik
Berlin	Gallagher	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Berson	Gatski	Musto	Stuban
Borski	Geisler	O'Brien, B.	Sweet
Brunner	George, M.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Caputo	Giammarco	Oliver	Wargo
Cassidy	Gleeson	Piccola	Wiggins
Cowell	Harper	Pievsky	Wise
DeMedio	Hoeffel	Ravenstahl	Yahner
DeWeese	Itkin	Renwick	Zitterman
DiCarlo	Johnson	Ritter	
Dininni	Kelly	Scanlon	Irvis,
Donatucci	Lincoln	Schweder	Speaker
Englehart			

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Beloff	Letterman	Rhodes	White
Cianciulli	McGinnis	Richardson	Williams
Dumas	Milanovich		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three dif-

ferent days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—140

Anderson	Gallagher	Madigan	Scanlon
Armstrong	Garzia	Manderino	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gatski	Manmiller	Schmitt
Barber	Geisler	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	George, C.	McGinnis	Scirica
Bennett	George, M.	McIntyre	Seltzer
Berlin	Giammarco	McLane	Shupnik
Berson	Gleeson	Mebus	Sirianni
Bittinger	Goodman	Miller	Smith, E.
Bittle	Gray	Moehlmann	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	Morris	Spitz
Brandt	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stapleton
Brunner	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Stewart
Burns	Hamilton	Musto	Taylor, E.
Butera	Harper	Noye	Tenaglio
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cessar	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wagner
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Cowell	Hopkins	Oliver	Wargo
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Weidner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wenger
DeWeese	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
DiCarlo	Johnson	Pievsky	Wilson
Dininni	Jones	Pitts	Wise
Dombrowski	Katz	Polite	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Klingaman	Pyles	Yahner
Duffy	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Dumas	Kolter	Reed	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Zitterman
Fee	Laughlin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Ruggiero	
Flaherty	Letterman	Ryan	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Salvatore	Speaker
Freind	Mackowski		

NAYS—50

Abraham	Gamble	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Brown	Geesey	Milliron	Stairs
Burd	Gillette	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Goebel	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cassidy	Hasay	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cimini	Haskell	Novak	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Trello
Dietz	Kernick	Piccola	Valicenti
Doyle	Levi	Pott	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Pratt	Wilt
Foster, A.	Logue	Ritter	Zeller
Fryer	Lynch	Shuman	Zord
Gallen	McClatchy		

NOT VOTING—10

Beloff	Milanovich	Richardson	White
Cianciulli	Rappaport	Shelton	Williams
Halverson	Rhodes		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1257, printer's No. 1480, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Textiles and Science.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—138

Anderson	Freind	McCall	Scanlon
Armstrong	Gallagher	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Garzia	McIntyre	Schmitt
Barber	Geisler	McLane	Schweder
Bellomini	George, C.	Mebus	Scirica
Beloff	George, M.	Miller	Seltzer
Bennett	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Berlin	Gleeson	Morris	Sirianni
Berson	Goodman	Mowery	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gray	Mrkonic	Spencer
Bittle	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Spitz
Borski	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. M.	Stapleton
Brandt	Grieco	Musto	Sweet
Brunner	Hamilton	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, E.
Burns	Harper	O'Brien, D.	Tenaglio
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Connell	Thomas
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cessar	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wagner
Cianciulli	Honaman	Oliver	Wansacz
Cimini	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wargo
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Weidner
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Wenger
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	White
DeMedio	Jones	Pitts	Wiggins
Dininni	Katz	Polite	Williams
Dombrowski	Kelly	Prendergast	Wilson
Donatucci	Knepper	Pyles	Wise
Doyle	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Laughlin	Renwick	Yohn
Englehart	Lehr	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fee	Lincoln	Rieger	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Madigan	Ruggiero	
Flaherty	Manderino	Ryan	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Manmiller	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—57

Abraham	Gamble	Logue	Smith, L.
Brown	Gatski	Lynch	Stairs
Burd	Geesey	Mackowski	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gillette	McClatchy	Stuban
Cassidy	Goebel	Meluskey	Taddonio
Davies	Hasay	Milliron	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Haskell	Miscevich	Trello
DeWeese	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Valicenti
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Noye	Wass
Dietz	Kernick	Piccola	Wilt
Dorr	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Pratt	Zeller
Foster, A.	Levi	Ritter	Zord
Fryer	Livengood	Shuman	Zwinkl
Gallen			

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Rappaport	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1258, printer's No. 1481**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—139

Anderson	Flaherty	Mackowski	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, W.	Madigan	Salvatore
Arthurs	Freind	Manderino	Scanlon
Barber	Gallagher	Manmiller	Scheaffer
Bellomini	Garzia	McCall	Schmitt
Beloff	Geisler	McGinnis	Schweder
Bennett	George, M.	McIntyre	Scirica
Berlin	Giammarco	McLane	Seltzer
Berson	Gleeson	Mebus	Shupnik
Bittinger	Goodman	Miller	Sirianni
Bittle	Gray	Milliron	Smith, E.
Borski	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brandt	Greenleaf	Morris	Spitz
Brunner	Grieco	Mrkonc	Stapleton
Burns	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Butera	Harper	Musto	Tenaglio
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cessar	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cimini	Honaman	O'Keefe	Weidner
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wenger
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	White
Cowell	Itkin	Parker	Wiggins
Davies	Johnson	Petrarca	Williams
DeMedio	Jones	Pievsy	Wilson
Dininni	Katz	Pitts	Wise
Dombrowski	Kelly	Polite	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Klingaman	Prendergast	Yahner
Dorr	Knepper	Pyles	Yohn
Doyle	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Zearfoss
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Reed	Zitterman
Dumas	Laughlin	Renwick	
Englehart	Lehr	Richardson	Irvis,
Fee	Lincoln	Rieger	Speaker
Fisher, D. M.			

NAYS—52

Abraham	Gillette	Mowery	Stuban
Brown	Goebel	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet

Burd	Hasay	Novak	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Haskell	Noye	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Kernick	Piccola	Trello
DiCarlo	Letterman	Pott	Valicenti
Dietz	Levi	Pratt	Wagner
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Ritter	Wass
Foster, A.	Logue	Ruggiero	Wilt
Fryer	Lynch	Shuman	Wright, D.
Gamble	McClatchy	Smith, L.	Zeller
Gatski	Meluskey	Stairs	Zord
Geesey	Miscevich	Stewart	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—9

DeWeese	Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes
Gallen	Hopkins	Rappaport	Shelton
George, C.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GEORGE. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GEORGE. When HB 1258 was voted upon, my switch was locked. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1259, printer's No. 1482**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Medical College of Pennsylvania East Falls Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 9 by inserting after "program." As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978 the medical school shall, when accepting first year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Members of the House, we ask you to pass this amendment. It is identical to those that we have passed on previous bills.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—124

Abraham	Gatski	Logue	Sirianni
Arthurs	George, C.	Lynch	Smith, E.
Bellomini	Giammarco	Mackowski	Stairs
Beloff	Gillette	Manderino	Stapleton
Bennett	Gleeson	McCall	Stewart
Bittinger	Goebel	McGinnis	Stuban
Bittle	Goodman	Meluskey	Taylor, E.
Brandt	Gray	Miller	Taylor, F.
Brown	Greenfield	Milliron	Tenaglio
Burd	Greenleaf	Miscevich	Thomas
Burns	Grieco	Morris	Trello
Butera	Hamilton	Mrkonic	Valicenti
Caltagirone	Harper	Mullen, M. P.	Vroon
Cassidy	Hasay	Mullen, M. M.	Wagner
Cessar	Haskell	Musto	Wansacz
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Wass
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Wenger
Cole	Helfrick	O'Connell	White
Cowell	Honaman	Petrarca	Wiggins
Davies	Hopkins	Pitts	Wilson
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pratt	Wilt
Donatucci	Hutchinson, W.	Pyles	Wright, D.
Duffy	Johnson	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Kernick	Reed	Yahner
Fee	Klingaman	Renwick	Yohn
Fischer, R. R.	Knepper	Ryan	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Kolter	Salvatore	Zeller
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Scanlon	Zwikl
Freind	Lehr	Schmitt	
Fryer	Lettermann	Scirica	Irvis,
Gamble	Levi	Seltzer	Speaker
Garzia	Livengood		

NAYS—62

Anderson	Foster, W.	Moehlmann	Ruggiero
Armstrong	Gallagher	Mowery	Scheaffer
Berlin	Geisler	Noye	Schweder
Berson	George, M.	O'Brien, B.	Shuman
Borski	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Shupnik
Brunner	Itkin	O'Keefe	Smith, L.
Caputo	Jones	Oliver	Spencer
DeVerter	Kelly	Pancoast	Spitz
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Parker	Sweet
DiCarlo	Lincoln	Piccola	Taddonio
Dietz	Madigan	Polite	Wargo
Dininni	Manmiller	Pott	Weidner
Dombrowski	McClatchy	Prendergast	Wise
Dorr	McIntyre	Rieger	Zitterman
Doyle	McLane	Ritter	Zord
Fisher, D. M.	Mebus		

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Geesey	Pievsky	Richardson
Cianciulli	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Dumas	Katz	Rhodes	Williams
Gallen	Milanovich		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 14 and 15 Section 3. The college, shall, upon admitting a first-year

class, admit as many students in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the school.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 15, by striking out "3" and inserting 4

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 15, by inserting after "information" as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act.

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 17, by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 4, page 1, line 18, by striking out "4" and inserting 5

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 6, by striking out "5" and inserting 6

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker this is an amendment similar to the previous amendments that have been adopted in regard to medical college enrollment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—143

Anderson	Gatski	Lynch	Scirica
Armstrong	Geesey	Mackowski	Seltzer
Arthurs	George, C.	Madigan	Shuman
Bellomini	Giammarco	Manderino	Sirianni
Bennett	Gillette	Manmiller	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gleeson	McCall	Smith, L.
Bittle	Goebel	McClatchy	Spencer
Brandt	Goodman	McGinnis	Spitz
Brown	Gray	Mebus	Stairs
Brunner	Greenfield	Meluskey	Stapleton
Burd	Greenleaf	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Butera	Hamilton	Morris	Sweet
Caltagirone	Hasay	Mowery	Taddonio
Cessar	Haskell	Mrkonic	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Cole	Helfrick	Noye	Thomas
Davies	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	Trello
DeVerter	Hopkins	O'Connell	Valicenti
DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Vroon
Dietz	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wagner
Dininni	Johnson	Parker	Wass
Dorr	Jones	Petrarca	Weidner
Duffy	Katz	Pitts	Wenger
Englehart	Kernick	Polite	Wilson
Fee	Klingaman	Pott	Wilt
Fischer, R. R.	Knepper	Pratt	Wright, D.
Fisher, D. M.	Kolter	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Flaherty	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Yahner
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Reed	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lehr	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Freind	Lettermann	Ryan	Zeller
Fryer	Levi	Salvatore	Zord
Gamble	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zwikl
Garzia	Logue	Schmitt	

NAYS—44

Abraham	Doyle	Miscevich	Scanlon
Beloff	Gallagher	Musto	Schweder

Berlin	Geisler	Novak	Shupnik
Berson	George, M.	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Borski	Harper	Oliver	Wargo
Caputo	Hoeffel	Piccola	Wiggins
Cassidy	Itkin	Pievsky	Wise
Cowell	Kelly	Ravenstahl	Zitterman
DeMedio	Lincoln	Renwick	
DiCarlo	McIntyre	Rieger	Irvis,
Dombrowski	McLane	Ritter	Speaker
Donatucci	Milliron		

NOT VOTING—13

Barber	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Cianciulli	Milanovich	Rhodes	White
Dumas	O'Donnell	Richardson	Williams
Gallen			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—142

Anderson	Freind	Madigan	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manderino	Schmitt
Arthurs	Garzia	McCall	Schweder
Barber	Geisler	McGinnis	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	McIntyre	Seltzer
Beloff	George, M.	McLane	Shupnik
Bennett	Giammarco	Mebus	Sirianni
Berlin	Gleeson	Miller	Smith, E.
Berson	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Bittinger	Gray	Morris	Spitz
Bittle	Greenfield	Mowery	Stapleton
Borski	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Stewart
Brandt	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Brunner	Hamilton	Musto	Tenaglio
Burns	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wagner
Cessar	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Honaman	Oliver	Wargo
Cimini	Hopkins	Pancoast	Weidner
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wenger
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	White
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
DeMedio	Jones	Polite	Wilson
DeWeese	Katz	Prendergast	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pyles	Wise
Donatucci	Klingaman	Ravenstahl	Wright, D.
Dorr	Knepper	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Renwick	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalshyn	Richardson	Yohn
Englehart	Laughlin	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fee	Lehr	Ruggiero	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lettermann	Ryan	
Flaherty	Lincoln	Salvatore	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Mackowski	Scanlon	Speaker

NAYS—52

Abraham	Gamble	Manmiller	Shuman
Brown	Gatski	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Burd	Geesey	Meluskey	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gillette	Milliron	Stuban
Cassidy	Goebel	Miscevich	Taddonio
DeVertter	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E.
DiCarlo	Haskell	Novak	Taylor, F.
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Trello
Dininni	Kernick	O'Keefe	Valicenti
Doyle	Levi	Piccola	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Pott	Zeller
Foster, A.	Logue	Pratt	Zord
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zwinkl

NOT VOTING—6

Gallen	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1260, printer's No. 1483**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson School of Technology of Scranton Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—142

Abraham	Gallagher	McCall	Scanlon
Anderson	Garzia	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gatski	McIntyre	Schmitt
Arthurs	Geisler	McLane	Schweder
Barber	George, C.	Mebus	Scirica
Bellomini	George, M.	Miller	Seltzer
Beloff	Giammarco	Miscevich	Shupnik
Bennett	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Berlin	Goodman	Morris	Smith, E.
Berson	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Bittinger	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Spitz
Borski	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Brandt	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Stewart
Brunner	Harper	Musto	Taylor, E.
Burns	Hasay	Novak	Tenaglio
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Caputo	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
Cessar	Helfrick	O'Connell	Vroon
Cianciulli	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cimini	Honaman	O'Keefe	Wansacz
Cohen	Hopkins	Oliver	Wargo
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Weidner
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wenger
Davies	Itkin	Petrarca	White

DeMedio	Johnson	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Jones	Pitts	Williams
Donatucci	Katz	Polite	Wilson
Doyle	Kelly	Prendergast	Wise
Duffy	Klingaman	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yohn
Fee	Laughlin	Renwick	Zearfoss
Fisher, D. M.	Lettermann	Richardson	Zitterman
Flaherty	Mackowski	Rieger	
Foster, W.	Madigan	Ryan	Irvis,
Freind	Manderino	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—50

Bittle	Gamble	Lynch	Smith, L.
Brown	Geesey	Manmiller	Stairs
Burd	Gillette	McClatchy	Stuban
Caltagirone	Goebel	Meluskey	Taddonio
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Milliron	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Haskell	Noye	Trello
DeWeese	Kernick	Piccola	Wass
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, D.
Dininni	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zord
Foster, A.	Livengood	Shuman	Zwikl
Fryer	Logue		

NOT VOTING—8

Dorr	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton
Gallen	Milanovich	Rhodes	Sweet

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DORR. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, for some reason I was not recorded on HB 1260. I would like to be recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, I think you may be interested in the information the Chair is about to give you.

It is the intention of the Chair to continue running the calendar on the nonpreferreds until we have voted on each one of the nonpreferreds. It is now 4:45 p.m. The Chair estimates that within the next hour we should be finished at the rate we are progressing. Therefore, it will not be necessary for us to be in session tomorrow.

So if you will be patient and stay with us for at least another hour, I think we can get you out of here tonight.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1261, printer's No. 1484**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture at Doylestown Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—145

Anderson	Freind	Mackowski	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	Madigan	Scanlon
Arthurs	Garzia	Manderino	Scheaffer
Barber	Geisler	McCall	Schmitt
Bellomini	George, C.	McGinnis	Schweder
Beloff	George, M.	McIntyre	Scirica
Bennett	Giammarco	McLane	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Miller	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gray	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Borski	Greenfield	Morris	Spencer
Brandt	Greenleaf	Mowery	Spitz
Brunner	Grieco	Mrkoncic	Stapleton
Burns	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Butera	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Caputo	Hasay	Musto	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Wagner
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Wansacz
Cimini	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wargo
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Weidner
Cole	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Wenger
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	White
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wiggins
DeMedio	Itkin	Petrarca	Williams
DeWeese	Johnson	Pievsky	Wilson
Dombrowski	Jones	Pitts	Wilt
Donatucci	Katz	Polite	Wise
Dorr	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Klingaman	Pyles	Yahner
Duffy	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Dumas	Kolter	Reed	Zearfoss
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Zitterman
Fee	Laughlin	Richardson	
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Rieger	Irvis,
Flaherty	Lettermann	Ruggiero	Speaker
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Ryan	

NAYS—48

Abraham	Gamble	McClatchy	Stewart
Bittle	Gatski	Meluskey	Stuban
Brown	Geesey	Milliron	Sweet
Burd	Gillette	Miscevich	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Goebel	Novak	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Haskell	Piccola	Trello
DiCarlo	Kernick	Pott	Valicenti
Dietz	Levi	Pratt	Wass
Dininni	Livengood	Ritter	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Logue	Shuman	Zeller
Foster, A.	Lynch	Smith, L.	Zord
Fryer	Manmiller	Stairs	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—7

Gallen	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Parker	Rhodes	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1263, printer's No. 1486**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—145

Anderson	Gallagher	Manmiller	Scanlon
Armstrong	Garzia	McCall	Schmitt
Arthurs	Geisler	McGinnis	Schweder
Barber	George, C.	McIntyre	Scirica
Bellomini	George, M.	McLane	Seltzer
Beloff	Giammarco	Mebus	Shupnik
Bennett	Gleeson	Miller	Sirianni
Berlin	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Berson	Gray	Morris	Smith, L.
Bittinger	Greenfield	Mowery	Spencer
Borski	Greenleaf	Mrkoncic	Spitz
Brandt	Hamilton	Mullen, M. P.	Stewart
Brunner	Harper	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Burns	Hasay	Musto	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Tenaglio
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Cessar	Hoeffel	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cianciulli	Honaman	O'Connell	Wagner
Cimini	Hopkins	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wargo
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Weidner
Cowell	Itkin	Parker	Wenger
Davies	Johnson	Petrarca	White
DeMedio	Jones	Piccola	Wiggins
DeWeese	Katz	Pievsky	Williams
DiCarlo	Kelly	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Klingaman	Polite	Wilt
Dombrowski	Knepper	Prendergast	Wise
Donatucci	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, D.
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Laughlin	Reed	Yahner
Englehart	Lehr	Renwick	Yohn
Fee	Letterman	Richardson	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Rieger	
Flaherty	Mackowski	Ruggiero	Iris, Speaker
Foster, W.	Madigan	Ryan	
Freind	Manderino	Salvatore	

NAYS—47

Abraham	Gamble	McClatchy	Stapleton
Bittle	Gatski	Meluskey	Stuban
Brown	Geesey	Milliron	Taddonio
Burd	Gillette	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Goebel	Novak	Trello
Cassidy	Haskell	O'Keefe	Valicenti

DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Pott	Wass
Dietz	Kernick	Pratt	Zearfoss
Doyle	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zord
Foster, A.	Logue	Shuman	Zwikl
Fryer	Lynch	Stairs	

NOT VOTING—8

Dorr	Grieco	Milanovich	Rhodes
Gallen	Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DORR. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, for some reason I was not recorded on HB 1263. I would like to have the record show that I would have voted in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1264, printer's No. 1487**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of Drexel University of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—138

Anderson	Freind	Manderino	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manmiller	Scanlon
Arthurs	Gallen	McCall	Scheaffer
Barber	Garzia	McGinnis	Schmitt
Bellomini	Geisler	McIntyre	Schweder
Beloff	George, C.	McLane	Scirica
Bennett	George, M.	Mebus	Seltzer
Berlin	Giammarco	Miller	Shupnik
Berson	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Bittinger	Goodman	Morris	Smith, E.
Borski	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Brandt	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Spitz
Brunner	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. M.	Stapleton
Burns	Grieco	Musto	Sweet
Butera	Hamilton	O'Brien, B.	Taylor, E.
Caputo	Harper	O'Brien, D.	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Hayes, D. S.	O'Connell	Thomas
Cimini	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Wansacz

Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Wargo
Cowell	Hopkins	Pancoast	Weidner
Davies	Itkin	Parker	Wenger
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrarca	White
DeWeese	Jones	Pievsky	Wiggins
Dininni	Katz	Pitts	Williams
Dombrowski	Kelly	Polite	Wilson
Donatucci	Knepper	Prendergast	Wise
Dorr	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kowalyshyn	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Duffy	Laughlin	Reed	Yohn
Dumas	Lehr	Renwick	Zearfoss
Fee	Letterman	Richardson	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Rieger	Irvis,
Flaherty	Mackowski	Ruggiero	Speaker
Foster, W.	Madigan	Ryan	

NAYS—55

Abraham	Gatski	McClatchy	Stewart
Bittle	Geesey	Meluskey	Stuban
Brown	Gillette	Milliron	Taddonio
Burd	Goebel	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Mrkonc	Trello
Cassidy	Haskell	Novak	Valicenti
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Wagner
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wass
DiCarlo	Kernick	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Klingaman	Pratt	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Foster, A.	Livengood	Shuman	Zord
Fryer	Logue	Smith, L.	Zwikl
Gamble	Lynch	Stairs	

NOT VOTING—7

Englehart	Hutchinson, A.	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1265, printer's No. 1488**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Carnegie Museum at Pittsburgh Pennsylvania for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus supplies and equipment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—119

Anderson	Gallagher	McCall	Schmitt
Barber	Garzia	McGinnis	Scirica
Bellomini	Geisler	McIntyre	Seltzer
Beloff	Giammarco	McLane	Shupnik
Bennett	Gleeson	Mebus	Sirianni

Berlin	Goodman	Miller	Smith, E.
Berson	Gray	Moehlmann	Spencer
Bittinger	Greenfield	Mrkonc	Spitz
Borski	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Brandt	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Brunner	Harper	Musto	Thomas
Burns	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Butera	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Caputo	Hoeffel	O'Connell	Wagner
Cessar	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Hopkins	Oliver	Wargo
Cimini	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	White
Cohen	Itkin	Parker	Wiggins
Cowell	Jones	Petrarca	Williams
Davies	Katz	Pievsky	Wilson
DeMedio	Kelly	Polite	Wilt
DeWeese	Knepper	Prendergast	Wise
Donatucci	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Duffy	Letterman	Renwick	Yohn
Dumas	Lincoln	Rieger	Zearfoss
Englehart	Logue	Ritter	Zitterman
Fee	Mackowski	Ryan	
Fisher, D. M.	Madigan	Salvatore	Irvis,
Flaherty	Manderinc	Scanlon	Speaker
Freind			

NAYS—75

Abraham	Gallen	Lynch	Schweder
Armstrong	Gamble	Manmiller	Shuman
Arthurs	Gatski	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Bittle	Geesey	Meluskey	Stairs
Brown	George, C.	Milliron	Stapleton
Burd	George, M.	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gillette	Morris	Stuban
Cassidy	Goebel	Mowery	Taddonio
Cole	Greenleaf	Novak	Taylor, E.
DeVerter	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Haskell	O'Keefe	Trello
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Piccola	Wass
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	Pitts	Weidner
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pott	Wenger
Dorr	Klingaman	Pratt	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Kowalyshyn	Reed	Zeller
Foster, A.	Lehr	Richardson	Zord
Foster, W.	Levi	Ruggiero	Zwikl
Fryer	Livengood	Scheaffer	

NOT VOTING—6

Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Johnson	Rappaport		

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1266, printer's No. 1489**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center Philadelphia Pennsylvania for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus supplies and equipment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—102

Anderson	Gallagher	McGinnis	Salvatore
Barber	Garzia	McIntyre	Scanlon
Bellomini	Geisler	McLane	Scheaffer
Beloff	George, M.	Mebus	Scirica
Bennett	Giammarco	Miller	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Mullen, M. P.	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gray	Mullen, M. M.	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	Musto	Sweet
Brandt	Hamilton	O'Brien, B.	Tenaglio
Brunner	Harper	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Burns	Helfrick	O'Connell	Vroon
Butera	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Caputo	Hopkins	Oliver	Wargo
Cianciulli	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	White
Cohen	Itkin	Parker	Wiggins
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Williams
DeMedio	Jones	Pievsky	Wilson
Donatucci	Katz	Polite	Wise
Doyle	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Pyles	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalyszyn	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Englehart	Laughlin	Renwick	Zitterman
Fee	Lincoln	Richardson	
Flaherty	Manderino	Rieger	Irvis,
Freind	McCall	Ryan	Speaker

NAYS—93

Abraham	Gallen	Lynch	Shuman
Armstrong	Gamble	Mackowski	Sirianni
Arthurs	Gatski	Madigan	Smith, L.
Bittle	Geesey	Manmiller	Spitz
Brown	George, C.	McClatchy	Stairs
Burd	Gillette	Meluskey	Stapleton
Caltagirone	Goebel	Milliron	Stewart
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Miscevich	Stuban
Cessar	Grieco	Morris	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, E.
Cole	Haskell	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Trello
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Valicenti
DeWeese	Honaman	O'Keefe	Wagner
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wass
Dietz	Kernick	Pitts	Weidner
Dininni	Klingaman	Pott	Wenger
Dombrowski	Knepper	Pratt	Wilt
Dorr	Lehr	Reed	Wright, D.
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ruggiero	Zeller
Foster, A.	Livengood	Schmitt	Zord
Foster, W.	Logue	Schweder	Zwikl
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Rappaport	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich			

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1267, printer's No. 1490**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Uni-

versity of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—97

Anderson	George, M.	Mullen, M. P.	Seltzer
Bennett	Gleeson	Mullen, M. M.	Shupnik
Berlin	Goodman	Musto	Smith, E.
Berson	Gray	O'Brien, B.	Spencer
Bittinger	Greenfield	O'Brien, D.	Spitz
Borski	Greenleaf	O'Connell	Stapleton
Brandt	Hamilton	O'Donnell	Sweet
Brunner	Harper	O'Keefe	Tenaglio
Burns	Helfrick	Oliver	Thomas
Butera	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Vroon
Caputo	Hopkins	Parker	Wagner
Cianciulli	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Cohen	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Katz	Polite	Wiggins
Donatucci	Kelly	Prendergast	Wilson
Doyle	Kolter	Pyles	Wise
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Laughlin	Renwick	Yahner
Fee	Manderino	Rieger	Yohn
Flaherty	McGinnis	Ryan	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	McIntyre	Salvatore	Zitterman
Freind	McLane	Scanlon	
Gallagher	Mebus	Scheaffer	Irvis,
Garzia	Miller	Schweder	Speaker
Geisler	Moehlmann	Scirica	

NAYS—96

Abraham	Fisher, D. M.	Levi	Ritter
Armstrong	Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ruggiero
Arthurs	Fryer	Livengood	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Logue	Shuman
Bellomini	Gamble	Lynch	Sirianni
Bittle	Gatski	Mackowski	Smith, L.
Brown	Geesey	Madigan	Stairs
Burd	George, C.	Manmiller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Giammarco	McCall	Stuban
Cassidy	Gillette	McClatchy	Taddonio
Cessar	Goebel	Meluskey	Taylor, E.
Cimini	Grieco	Milliron	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hasay	Miscevich	Trello
Cowell	Haskell	Morris	Valicenti
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	Mowery	Wass
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Mrkonic	Weidner
DeWeese	Honaman	Novak	Wenger
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Noye	White
Dietz	Jones	Piccola	Williams
Dininni	Kernick	Pitts	Wilt
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D.
Dorr	Knepper	Pratt	Zeller
Dumas	Lehr	Reed	Zord
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—7

Beloff	Johnson	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes	

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having

voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1268, printer's No. 1491**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia at Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—113

Anderson	Garzia	McIntyre	Salvatore
Barber	Geisler	McLane	Scanlon
Bellomini	George, C.	Mebus	Scheaffer
Beloff	George, M.	Miller	Scirica
Bennett	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mullen, M. P.	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Mullen, M. M.	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Gray	Musto	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	O'Brien, B.	Spitz
Brandt	Hamilton	O'Brien, D.	Stapleton
Brunner	Harper	O'Connell	Sweet
Burns	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hoeffel	O'Keefe	Tenaglio
Caputo	Hopkins	Oliver	Thomas
Cianciulli	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wagner
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Davies	Johnson	Pievsky	White
DeMedio	Jones	Pitts	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Katz	Polite	Williams
Donatucci	Kelly	Prendergast	Wilson
Doyle	Knepper	Pyles	Wise
Duffy	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yahner
Fee	Laughlin	Renwick	Yohn
Flaherty	Manderino	Richardson	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Manmiller	Rieger	Zitterman
Freind	McGinnis	Ryan	
Gallagher			Irvis,
			Speaker

NAYS—81

Abraham	Gallen	Logue	Schweder
Armstrong	Gamble	Lynch	Shuman
Arthurs	Gatski	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bittle	Geesey	Madigan	Smith, L.
Brown	Gillette	McCall	Stairs
Burd	Goebel	McClatchy	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Stuban
Cassidy	Grieco	Milliron	Taddonio
Cessar	Hasay	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Haskell	Morris	Trello
Cole	Hayes, D. S.	Mowery	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Mrkonic	Wansacz
DeWeese	Honaman	Novak	Wass
DiCarlo	Kernick	Noye	Weidner
Dietz	Klingaman	Piccola	Wenger
Dininni	Lehr	Pott	Wilt
Dorr	Letterman	Pratt	Wright, D.

Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zord
Foster, A.	Livengood	Schmitt	Zwikl
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—6

Dumas	Milanovich	Rhodes	Shelton
Halverson	Rappaport		

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1269, printer's No. 1492**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia Musical Academy Philadelphia Pennsylvania for maintenance and general operation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—110

Anderson	Garzia	Mebus	Scirica
Barber	Geisler	Miller	Seltzer
Bellomini	George, C.	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Beloff	George, M.	Mullen, M. P.	Sirianni
Bennett	Giammarco	Mullen, M. M.	Smith, E.
Berlin	Gleeson	Musto	Spencer
Berson	Goodman	O'Brien, B.	Spitz
Bittinger	Gray	O'Connell	Stapleton
Borski	Greenfield	O'Donnell	Sweet
Brandt	Hamilton	O'Keefe	Taylor, E.
Brunner	Harper	Oliver	Tenaglio
Burns	Helfrick	Pancoast	Thomas
Butera	Hoeffel	Parker	Vroon
Cessar	Honaman	Petrarca	Wagner
Cianciulli	Hopkins	Pievsky	Wargo
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pitts	White
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Polite	Wiggins
DeMedio	Itkin	Prendergast	Williams
Donatucci	Johnson	Pyles	Wilson
Doyle	Jones	Ravenstahl	Wise
Duffy	Katz	Reed	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Kolter	Renwick	Yahner
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Richardson	Yohn
Fee	Laughlin	Rieger	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Mackowski	Ryan	Zitterman
Foster, W.	Manderino	Salvatore	
Freind	McGinnis	Scanlon	Irvis,
Gallagher	McIntyre	Scheaffer	Speaker

NAYS—82

Abraham	Fryer	Logue	Schweder
Armstrong	Gallen	Lynch	Shuman
Arthurs	Gamble	Madigan	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gatski	Manmiller	Stairs
Brown	Geesey	McCall	Stewart
Burd	Gillette	McClatchy	Stuban

Caltagirone	Goebel	McLane	Taddonio
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Meluskey	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Grieco	Milliron	Trello
Cole	Hasay	Miscevich	Valicenti
Davies	Haskell	Morris	Wansacz
DeVerter	Hayes, D. S.	Mowery	Wass
DeWeese	Hayes, S. E.	Mrkonic	Weidner
DiCarlo	Kernick	Novak	Wenger
Dietz	Klingaman	Noye	Wilt
Dininni	Knepper	Piccola	Wright, D.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pott	Zeller
Dorr	Letterman	Pratt	Zord
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	
Foster, A.	Livengood	Schmitt	

NOT VOTING—8

Caputo	Kelly	O'Brien, D.	Rhodes
Halverson	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1270, printer's No. 1493**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh for the general maintenance and operation of the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—155

Abraham	Freind	McGinnis	Scirica
Anderson	Gallagher	McLane	Seltzer
Armstrong	Garzia	Mebus	Shupnik
Arthurs	Geisler	Miller	Sirianni
Barber	George, C.	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bellomini	George, M.	Miscevich	Spencer
Beloff	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Spitz
Bennett	Gleeson	Morris	Stapleton
Berlin	Goodman	Mowery	Stewart
Berson	Gray	Mrkonic	Sweet
Bittinger	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Borski	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Taylor, F.
Brandt	Hamilton	Musto	Tenaglio
Brunner	Harper	Novak	Thomas
Burns	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, B.	Trello
Butera	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, D.	Valicenti
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Connell	Vroon
Cassidy	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cessar	Honaman	Oliver	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wargo
Cimini	Itkin	Parker	Weidner
Cohen	Johnson	Petrarca	Wenger
Cole	Jones	Piccola	White
Cowell	Katz	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Kelly	Pitts	Williams

DeMedio	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kowalyshyn	Prendergast	Wise
Dietz	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, D.
Dininni	Lehr	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reed	Yahner
Donatucci	Levi	Renwick	Yohn
Doyle	Lincoln	Richardson	Zitterman
Duffy	Livengood	Rieger	Zwinkl
Englehart	Logue	Ryan	
Fee	Mackowski	Salvatore	Irvis,
Fischer, R. R.	Madigan	Scanlon	Speaker
Fisher, D. M.	Manderino	Scheaffer	
Flaherty	Manmiller	Schmitt	
Foster, W.	McCall	Schweder	

NAYS—38

Bittle	Gatski	Lynch	Shuman
Brown	Geesey	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Burd	Gillette	McIntyre	Stairs
Caltagirone	Goebel	Meluskey	Stuban
DeVerter	Greenleaf	Noye	Taddonio
Dorr	Hasay	O'Keefe	Wass
Foster, A.	Haskell	Pott	Zearfoss
Fryer	Hutchinson, W.	Ritter	Zeller
Gallen	Kernick	Ruggiero	Zord
Gamble	Klingaman		

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Hutchinson, A.	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Milanovich	Rhodes	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1271, printer's No. 1494**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the City of Harrisburg Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—113

Barber	Freind	Mackowski	Ryan
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Salvatore
Bennett	Gallen	Manmiller	Scheaffer
Berlin	Garzia	McCall	Schweder
Berson	Geisler	McGinnis	Scirica
Bittinger	George, M.	McLane	Seltzer
Borski	Gleeson	Mebus	Shupnik
Brandt	Goodman	Miller	Smith, E.
Brunner	Gray	Milliron	Spencer
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stewart
Butera	Grieco	Morris	Sweet

Caputo	Hamilton	Mowery	Tenaglio
Cassidy	Harper	Mrkonic	Thomas
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Wansacz
Cohen	Helfrick	Mullen, M. M.	Wargo
Cole	Hoeffel	Musto	Weidner
Davies	Honaman	Noye	Wenger
DeMedio	Hopkins	O'Brien, B.	White
DiCarlo	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Wiggins
Dininni	Itkin	Pancoast	Williams
Donatucci	Johnson	Piccola	Wilson
Doyle	Jones	Pievsky	Wise
Duffy	Katz	Polite	Wright, D.
Dumas	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Knepper	Pyles	Zitterman
Fee	Kolter	Ravenstahl	
Fisher, D. M.	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Irvis,
Flaherty	Laughlin	Richardson	Speaker
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Ritter	

NAYS—80

Abraham	Gamble	Madigan	Smith, L.
Anderson	Gatski	McClatchy	Spitz
Armstrong	Geesey	McIntyre	Stairs
Arthurs	George, C.	Meluskey	Stapleton
Bellomini	Giammarco	Miscevich	Stuban
Bittle	Gillette	Novak	Taddonio
Brown	Goebel	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, E.
Burd	Greenleaf	O'Keefe	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Parker	Trello
Cessar	Haskell	Petrarca	Valicenti
Cianciulli	Hayes, S. E.	Pitts	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Pott	Wagner
DeVerter	Kernick	Pratt	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Renwick	Wilt
Dietz	Lehr	Rieger	Yahner
Dombrowski	Letterman	Ruggiero	Yohn
Dorr	Levi	Scanlon	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Schmitt	Zeller
Foster, A.	Logue	Shuman	Zord
Fryer	Lynch	Sirianni	Zwinkl

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	O'Connell	Rappaport	Shelton
Milanovich	Oliver	Rhodes	

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Bittinger. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. BITTINGER. Mr. Speaker, I am just wondering, with this vote where does this leave the Capitol for fire protection?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Bittinger, the Chair is well aware of the dangers but decided not to alert the citizenry of this Commonwealth with the possibilities.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1272, printer's No. 1495**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Buhl Planetarium and Institute of Popular Science Pittsburgh Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker will you kindly strike the vote and let me have a few words please?

The SPEAKER. The Chair would suggest that after he strikes the vote that the gentleman ask for unanimous consent.

The clerk will strike the vote.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, could I have unanimous consent to address the House?

The SPEAKER. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, I have never heard you be fairer.

Members of the House, I do not want to belabor the point but I do not know if you are aware that the Buhl Planetarium in Pittsburgh happens to take care of all the young people of western Pennsylvania as far as the only observatory in that entire end of the state. We have a hundred thousand children go through there every year from different high schools throughout our area and to deprive them of this aid and this help is certainly not in line with what the House has been practicing over the years. I hope that you will consider that when you vote this again.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. It is no good to be recognized unless he recognizes me.

In line with what Mr. Laughlin just said, we voted down a few minutes ago a bill numbered 1268, which is for the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia. There is nothing quite like that anywhere in Pennsylvania. They have maintained some exhibits and other educational instruments there not to be found elsewhere in the world, and we are just playing games with some of these things.

If we are going to cut some things out, there are other places where it should be done. Not with Buhl Planetarium, not with the Carnegie Museum, not with the Franklin Institute, not with the Academy of Natural Sciences, and it is really a silly game.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Geesey.

Mr. GEESEY. Mr. Speaker, the only silly game we are playing here is the fact that we are voting the bills at all. We could, if we so choose, amend these bills to make a billion-dollar appropriation and pass them. The fact of the matter is that there is not one red cent to pay for any of them, and until there is money to pay for them, I am not going to vote for one of them. It is that simple.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—138

Abraham	Garzia	McCall	Scanlon
Anderson	Geisler	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Armstrong	George, C.	McIntyre	Schmitt
Barber	George, M.	McLane	Schweder
Bellomini	Giammarco	Mebus	Scirica
Beloff	Gleeson	Miller	Shuman
Bennett	Goodman	Miscevich	Shupnik
Berlin	Gray	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Berson	Greenfield	Morris	Spencer
Bittinger	Grieco	Mowery	Spitz
Borski	Hamilton	Mrkonich	Sweet
Brandt	Harper	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, F.
Brunner	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Burns	Helfrick	Musto	Thomas
Butera	Hoeffel	Novak	Trello
Caputo	Honaman	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Cessar	Hopkins	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Wagner
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Davies	Itkin	Oliver	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Pancoast	Weidner
DeWeese	Jones	Parker	White
Dininni	Katz	Petrarca	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Kelly	Piccola	Williams
Donatucci	Knepper	Pievsky	Wilson
Doyle	Kolter	Polite	Wilt
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Wise
Dumas	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Englehart	Lehr	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Fee	Lincoln	Reed	Yohn
Fisher, D. M.	Logue	Renwick	Zearfoss
Flaherty	Mackowski	Richardson	Zitterman
Foster, W.	Madigan	Rieger	
Freind	Manderino	Ryan	Irvis,
Gallagher	Manmiller	Salvatore	Speaker

NAYS—56

Arthurs	Foster, A.	Letterman	Sirianni
Bittle	Fryer	Levi	Smith, L.
Brown	Gallen	Livengood	Stairs
Burd	Gamble	Lynch	Stapleton
Caltagirone	Gatski	McClatchy	Stewart
Cassidy	Geesey	Meluskey	Stuban
Cianciulli	Gillette	Milliron	Taddonio
Cimini	Goebel	Noye	Taylor, E.
Cole	Greenleaf	O'Keefe	Wass
DeVerter	Hasay	Pitts	Wenger
DiCarlo	Haskell	Pott	Wright, D.
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Pratt	Zeller
Dorr	Kernick	Ritter	Zord
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Ruggiero	Zwinkl

NOT VOTING—6

Halverson	Rappaport	Seltzer	Shelton
Milanovich	Rhodes		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

VOTING MACHINE OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from

Dauphin, Mr. Dininni. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DININNI. To ask you to keep a close eye on that machine because as I have it, it has been jumping from 127 to 55 and all of a sudden it switches to 137. I do not think the machine is operating properly yet.

The SPEAKER. We will check immediately. I hope we are not going to have another machine problem.

Mr. DININNI. Not that I have any objections to this bill passing, because I voted for it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands.

Will the Chief Clerk advise the Speaker if the machine is operating properly?

The Chair has had it brought to his attention that some member obviously has brought with him from Philadelphia an application for notary public, and we do not have the name of the member but we do have—

I am informed by the chief clerk that the machine is in operation. The totals are correct. We will watch it because it has been erratic. But apparently it is functioning correctly.

The machine is obviously erratic, jumping from 133 to 124 and back up to 127, and there are not many votes being switched on this board.

The Chair does desire to finish voting on the calendar today so that the members can go home for the week.

The House will be at ease. We do have at this time an expert who is in attendance and not in Richmond, Virginia. Perhaps we will find out what is wrong with that totalizer.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess for a period of 15 minutes.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker has been informed and believes that the machine is now operating correctly. And for the information of the members, we have had the totals, not the running totals, but the bottom line totals checked on the last 10 votes, I believe it is. Those totals are accurate. Apparently the disparity was in the running total which appears on the board rather than on the printed total on the sheets.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1273, printer's No. 1496**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—137

Armstrong	Gallagher	McCall	Schmitt
Arthurs	Garzia	McGinnis	Schweder
Barber	Geisler	McIntyre	Scirica
Bellomini	George, C.	McLane	Seltzer
Beloff	George, M.	Mebus	Shupnik
Bennett	Giammarco	Miller	Sirianni
Berlin	Gleeson	Milliron	Smith, E.
Berson	Goodman	Morris	Spitz
Bittinger	Gray	Mowery	Stapleton
Borski	Greenfield	Mrkoncic	Stewart
Brandt	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Brunner	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Burns	Hamilton	Musto	Thomas
Butera	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Caputo	Hasay	O'Brien, D.	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cianciulli	Helfrick	Oliver	Wansacz
Cimini	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Wargo
Cohen	Hopkins	Parker	Weidner
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	White
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wiggins
Davies	Itkin	Pievsky	Williams
DeMedio	Johnson	Polite	Wilson
DeWeese	Jones	Pratt	Wilt
Dininni	Katz	Prendergast	Wise
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pyles	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Yohn
Engelhart	Laughlin	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fee	Letterman	Rieger	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	
Flaherty	Madigan	Ryan	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Manderino	Salvatore	Speaker
Freind	Manmiller	Scanlon	

NAYS—58

Abraham	Gallen	Lynch	Shuman
Anderson	Gamble	Mackowski	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gatski	McClatchy	Spencer
Brown	Geesey	Meluskey	Stairs
Burd	Gillette	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Goebel	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Cassidy	Haskell	Novak	Taylor, E.
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Honaman	O'Connell	Trello
Dietz	Kernick	O'Keefe	Wass
Dorr	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Doyle	Lehr	Pott	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zord
Foster, A.	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zwikl
Fryer	Logue		

NOT VOTING—5

Halverson	Rappaport	Rhodes	Shelton
Milanovich			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill**

No. 1275, printer's No. 1498, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute of the State of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett, to speak on the bill. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak in support of HB 1275, which is a \$400,000 appropriation to the Franklin Institute.

I certainly hope, Mr. Speaker, that my efforts will not be an exercise in futility. I realize the temper of the House, realize the hour is late, but I would call to the attention of the members of this body an incident that happened some year or so ago in the city of Philadelphia when this House of Representatives met in a Bicentennial session and was addressed by the President of the great country of France.

Following that momentous occasion of this House meeting in Philadelphia, the birthplace of freedom, the members of this House were invited to a great institution. I am sure, Mr. Speaker, that the members of this House, as well as I, recall with excitement the scientific and educational displays that were shown to the members of this body at that very same Franklin Institute. Following that momentous occasion, we returned later in the evening to that great hall and were greeted by one Benjamin Franklin.

Mr. Speaker, I do not mean to be melodramatic about this, but that Franklin Institute is an integral part of the lives of literally hundreds of thousands of children and adults in this great Commonwealth. My home is in the extreme western part of this state, and I and my children may not reap the benefit of the Franklin Institute. Perhaps the members of the constituency that I serve may never see this, but if only one of those people sees this great institution and is benefited by what is brought forth by the Franklin Institute, then I believe that my vote will mean something.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask all the members of this body to support HB 1275.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—140

Anderson	Freind	Manderino	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Manmiller	Schmitt
Barber	Garzia	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	Geisler	McGinnis	Scirica
Beloff	George, C.	McIntyre	Seltzer
Bennett	George, M.	McLane	Shupnik
Berlin	Giammarco	Mebus	Sirianni
Berson	Gleeson	Miller	Smith, E.

Bittinger	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Borski	Gray	Morris	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Mowery	Stapleton
Brunner	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Burns	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Butera	Hamilton	Musto	Thomas
Caputo	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cole	Honaman	O'Keefe	Weidner
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wenger
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	White
DeMedio	Itkin	Parker	Wiggins
DeWeese	Johnson	Petrarca	Williams
DiCarlo	Jones	Pievsy	Wilson
Dininni	Katz	Polite	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kelly	Prendergast	Wise
Donatucci	Klingaman	Pyles	Wright, D.
Dorr	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kolter	Reed	Yahner
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Yohn
Dumas	Laughlin	Richardson	Zearfoss
Englehart	Lehr	Rieger	Zitterman
Fee	Letterman	Ryan	
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Salvatore	Irvis,
Flaherty	Logue	Scanlon	Speaker
Foster, W.	Madigan		

NAYS—52

Abraham	Gallen	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Arthurs	Gamble	Meluskey	Stairs
Bittle	Geesey	Milliron	Stewart
Brown	Gillette	Miscevich	Stuban
Burd	Goebel	Novak	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E.
Cassidy	Haskell	Piccola	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Pitts	Trello
DeVerter	Hopkins	Pott	Valicenti
Dietz	Kernick	Pratt	Wass
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Foster, A.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Zord
Fryer	Lynch	Shuman	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—8

Gatski	Mackowski	Mrkonc	Rhodes
Halverson	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1276, printer's No. 1499**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

(c) As a condition of receiving its appropriation, on or after January 1, 1978, the medical school shall, when accepting first

year students for the next term, set aside 10% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon the completion of their medical training, they shall engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area termed medically deprived by the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is identical to the rest of the medical bill. I urge its adoption.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—133

Abraham	Fryer	Lincoln	Scanlon
Arthurs	Gallagher	Livengood	Schmitt
Bellomini	Gallen	Logue	Seltzer
Beloff	Gamble	Lynch	Shuman
Bennett	Garzia	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berlin	Geesey	Manderino	Sirianni
Berson	George, C.	McCall	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Giammarco	McGinnis	Stairs
Brandt	Gleeson	McLane	Stewart
Brown	Goebel	Meluskey	Taylor, E.
Burns	Goodman	Miller	Tenaglio
Butera	Gray	Milliron	Thomas
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Trello
Cassidy	Greenleaf	Morris	Valicenti
Cessar	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Wagner
Cimini	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Wansacz
Cohen	Harper	Musto	Wargo
Cole	Hasay	Novak	Wass
Cowell	Haskell	Noye	Wenger
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	White
DeMedio	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Wiggins
DeWeese	Honaman	Oliver	Williams
Dietz	Hopkins	Parker	Wilson
Donatucci	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wilt
Doyle	Johnson	Pievsy	Wright, D.
Duffy	Jones	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Katz	Pratt	Yahner
Englehart	Kelly	Prendergast	Zearfoss
Fee	Klingaman	Pyles	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Zwikl
Fisher, D. M.	Laughlin	Reed	
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Irvis,
Foster, A.	Letterman	Ryan	Speaker
Freind	Levi	Salvatore	

NAYS—58

Anderson	Geisler	Mowery	Smith, L.
Armstrong	George, M.	O'Brien, B.	Spencer
Bittle	Gillette	O'Connell	Spitz
Borski	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Stapleton
Brunner	Hoeffel	Pancoast	Stuban
Burd	Itkin	Piccola	Sweet
Caputo	Kernick	Polite	Taddonio
Cianciulli	Knepper	Pott	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Rieger	Vroon
DiCarlo	Madigan	Ritter	Weidner
Dininni	Manmiller	Ruggiero	Wise
Dombrowski	McClatchy	Scheaffer	Yohn
Dorr	McIntyre	Schweder	Zeller
Foster, W.	Mebus	Scirica	Zord
Gatski	Moehlmann		

NOT VOTING—9

Barber	Milanovich	Rappaport	Richardson
Halverson	Mrkoncic	Rhodes	Shelton
Hutchinson, A.			

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. ZELLER. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. ZELLER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded "yes" on the George amendment to HB 1276.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Zeller's remarks are to be placed on the record, indicating that he intended to vote "yes" on the George amendment.

For what purpose does the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Kernick, rise?

Mrs. KERNICK. Mr. Speaker, since I do not want to be one of those monkeys on Mr. George's rope, I would like the record to show that I wanted to be voted in the affirmative on this amendment to HB 1276.

The SPEAKER. The lady from Allegheny's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Why does the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Hayes, rise?

Mr. S. E. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be voted in the affirmative on the George amendment to HB 1276.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, is to be recorded in the affirmative. His remarks will be placed upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, I request for the record that I be recorded in the affirmative on the George amendment to HB 1276.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. GREENLEAF offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 2 and 3 Section 3. The college shall, upon admitting a first-year class, admit as many students in that class as can be accommodated by the facilities of the school.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 3, by striking out "3." and inserting 4.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 3, by inserting after "information" as may be required to carry out the provisions of this act.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 5, by inserting after "prescribe." The department shall annually report to the General Assembly such data as will show the number of students in each class and the facilities available for them.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 6, by striking out "4." and inserting 5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 2, line 12, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Greenleaf.

Mr. GREENLEAF. Mr. Speaker, this is the same amendment that was adopted on previous medical school appropriations in regard to insuring that the school facilities are used to their utmost in regard to enrollment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—140

Anderson	Garzia	Lynch	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gatski	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Geesey	Madigan	Seltzer
Bellomini	George, C.	Manmiller	Shuman
Berlin	Gillette	McCall	Sirianni
Berson	Gleeson	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Goebel	McGinnis	Smith, L.
Bittle	Goodman	Mebus	Spencer
Brandt	Gray	Meluskey	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brunner	Greenleaf	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Burns	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Butera	Hamilton	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Cessar	Haskell	Mullen, M. M.	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taylor, E.
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taylor, F.
Davies	Helfrick	Noye	Tenaglio
DeMedio	Honaman	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
DeVerter	Hopkins	O'Connell	Trello
DeWeese	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Valicenti
Dietz	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Vroon
Dorr	Katz	Pancoast	Wagner
Dumas	Kelly	Parker	Wass
Fee	Kernick	Petrarca	Weidner
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Fisher, D. M.	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
Flaherty	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
Foster, A.	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wright, D.
Foster, W.	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Freind	Lehr	Reed	Yahner
Fryer	Letterman	Renwick	Yohn
Gallagher	Levi	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Gallen	Livengood	Ryan	Zeller
Gamble	Logue	Salvatore	Zwikl

NAYS—49

Abraham	Dombrowski	McIntyre	Schweder
Arthurs	Donatucci	McLane	Scirica
Beloff	Doyle	Milliron	Shupnik
Bennett	Englehart	Miscevich	Wansacz
Borski	Geisler	O'Brien, B.	Wargo
Burd	George, M.	Oliver	Wiggins
Caputo	Harper	Piccola	Wise
Cassidy	Hoefel	Pievsky	Zitterman
Cianciulli	Itkin	Prendergast	Zord
Cole	Johnson	Ravenstahl	
Cowell	Jones	Rieger	Irvis,
DiCarlo	Lincoln	Ritter	Speaker
Dininni	Manderino	Scanlon	

NOT VOTING—11

Duffy	Milanovich	Rhodes	White
Giammarco	Mrkoncic	Richardson	Williams
Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton	

The question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—140

Anderson	Gallagher	Manderino	Schmitt
Armstrong	Geisler	Manmiller	Schweder
Arthurs	George, C.	McCall	Scirica
Barber	George, M.	McIntyre	Seltzer
Bellomini	Giammarco	McLane	Shupnik
Beloff	Gleeson	Mebus	Sirianni
Bennett	Goodman	Miller	Smith, E.
Berlin	Gray	Moehlmann	Spencer
Berson	Greenfield	Morris	Spitz
Bittinger	Greenleaf	Mowery	Stapleton
Borski	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Stewart
Brandt	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Brunner	Harper	Musto	Taylor, E.
Burns	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Tenaglio
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Connell	Vroom
Cianciulli	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cimini	Honaman	Oliver	Wansacz
Cohen	Hopkins	Pancoast	Wargo
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wenger
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	White
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievskey	Wiggins
DeWeese	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
DiCarlo	Jones	Polite	Wilson
Dininni	Katz	Pyles	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kelly	Ravenstahl	Wise
Donatucci	Klingaman	Reed	Wright, D.
Dorr	Knepper	Renwick	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Kolter	Richardson	Yahner
Dumas	Kowalshyn	Rieger	Yohn
Englehart	Laughlin	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Fee	Lehr	Ryan	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Letterman	Salvatore	
Flaherty	Lincoln	Scanlon	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Mackowski	Scheaffer	Speaker
Freind	Madigan		

NAYS—53

Abraham	Gallen	Lynch	Shuman
Bittle	Gamble	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Brown	Garzia	McGinnis	Stairs
Burd	Gatski	Meluskey	Stuban
Caltagirone	Geesey	Milliron	Taddonio
Cassidy	Gillette	Miscevich	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Goebel	Novak	Trello
Davies	Haskell	Noye	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	O'Keefe	Wass
Dietz	Kernick	Piccola	Weidner
Doyle	Levi	Pott	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Pratt	Zord
Foster, A.	Logue	Ritter	Zwilk
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	Mrkonic	Rappaport	Shelton
Milanovich	Prendergast	Rhodes	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1277, printer's No. 1500**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Dickinson School of Law Carlisle, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Scheaffer.

Mr. SCHEAFFER. Mr. Speaker, it seems as if when these school bills have come up there has been some concern about how much of this money was going for tuition breaks to in-state students.

For the benefit of the membership, I would like to say that practically dollar for dollar this \$99,000 that goes to the Dickinson School of Law is given as a tuition break to in-state students in the amount of \$300. And 63 of 67 counties are represented at the Dickinson School of Law, and over 85 percent of the graduating classes represents residents of Pennsylvania who stay here and take their bar examinations.

Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—129

Anderson	Freind	McCall	Schweder
Arthurs	Gallagher	McGinnis	Scirica
Barber	Gallen	McIntyre	Seltzer
Beloff	Geisler	McLane	Shupnik
Berlin	Giammarco	Mebus	Sirianni
Berson	Gleeson	Miller	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Spitz
Brandt	Greenleaf	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Brunner	Grieco	Musto	Taylor, E.
Burns	Hamilton	Noye	Tenaglio
Butera	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Vroom
Cessar	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wagner
Cianciulli	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Cohen	Hopkins	Oliver	Wargo
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Weidner

Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Parker	Wenger
Davies	Itkin	Piccola	White
DeMedio	Jones	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Katz	Pitts	Williams
Dietz	Kelly	Polite	Wilson
Dininni	Knepper	Prendergast	Wilt
Donatucci	Kolter	Pyles	Wise
Dorr	Kowalyszyn	Ravenstahl	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Laughlin	Reed	Yahner
Dumas	Lehr	Richardson	Yohn
Englehart	Levi	Rieger	Zitterman
Fee	Mackowski	Ryan	
Fisher, D. M.	Madigan	Salvatore	Irvis,
Flaherty	Manderino	Scanlon	Speaker
Foster, W.	Manmiller	Scheaffer	

NAYS—63

Abraham	Gamble	Logue	Shuman
Armstrong	Garzia	Lynch	Stairs
Bellomini	Gatski	McClatchy	Stapleton
Bennett	Geesey	Meluskey	Stewart
Brown	George, C.	Milliron	Stuban
Burd	George, M.	Miscevich	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Gillette	Morris	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Goebel	Novak	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Keefe	Valicenti
DeVerter	Hayes, S. E.	Petrarca	Wass
DiCarlo	Hoeffel	Pott	Wright, D.
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pratt	Zearfoss
Doyle	Klingaman	Renwick	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Ritter	Zord
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zwikl
Fryer	Livengood	Schmitt	

NOT VOTING—8

Halverson	Johnson	Mrkoncic	Rhodes
Haskell	Milanovich	Rappaport	Shelton

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1278, printer's No. 1501**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Philadelphia College of Art Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to speak in support of this appropriation. This institution is a teaching institution which teaches, very largely, commercial art. It does a very fine job for its students, including a lot of students who could not get this type of education were it not for the help that this institution gives them. It puts them on the road to being wage earners and so on.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—109

Anderson	Garzia	McLane	Schmitt
Arthurs	Geisler	Mebus	Scirica
Barber	George, M.	Miller	Seltzer
Bellomini	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Beloff	Gleeson	Morris	Sirianni
Bennett	Goodman	Mowery	Smith, E.
Berlin	Gray	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Berson	Greenfield	Mullen, M. M.	Spitz
Bittinger	Hamilton	Musto	Stapleton
Borski	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Sweet
Brandt	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Tenaglio
Brunner	Helfrick	O'Connell	Thomas
Burns	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Vroon
Butera	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Wargo
Caputo	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Wenger
Cianciulli	Itkin	Pancoast	White
Cohen	Johnson	Parker	Wiggins
Cole	Jones	Petrarca	Williams
Davies	Katz	Pievsky	Wilson
DeMedio	Kelly	Polite	Wise
Donatucci	Knepper	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yohn
Dumas	Laughlin	Richardson	Zitterman
Englehart	Levi	Rieger	
Fee	Manderino	Ryan	Irvis,
Freind	McGinnis	Salvatore	Speaker
Gallagher	McIntyre	Scanlon	

NAYS—83

Abraham	Foster, W.	Livengood	Schweder
Armstrong	Fryer	Logue	Shuman
Bittle	Gallen	Lynch	Smith, L.
Brown	Gamble	Mackowski	Stairs
Burd	Gatski	Madigan	Stewart
Caltagirone	Geesey	Manmiller	Taddonio
Cassidy	George, C.	McCall	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Gillette	McClatchy	Taylor, F.
Cimini	Goebel	Meluskey	Trello
Cowell	Greenleaf	Milliron	Valicenti
DeVerter	Grieco	Miscevich	Wagner
DeWeese	Hasay	Novak	Wansacz
DiCarlo	Haskell	Noye	Wass
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Piccola	Weidner
Dininni	Honaman	Pitts	Wilt
Dombrowski	Hopkins	Pott	Wright, D.
Dorr	Kernick	Pratt	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Renwick	Zeller
Fisher, D. M.	Lehr	Ritter	Zord
Flaherty	Letterman	Ruggiero	Zwikl
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Scheaffer	

NOT VOTING—8

Halverson	Mrkoncic	Rappaport	Shelton
Milanovich	Prendergast	Rhodes	Stuban

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, I should like to speak a word for

Berean Institute and tell the members that—

The SPEAKER. The bill has not been called to the floor. The Chair will remember the lady's request and recognize her in due time. The Chair did not mean to embarrass the lady. There are a number of members who make that error.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1279, printer's No. 1502**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair now recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I should like to speak a word for Berean Institute and tell the members of the House that this little school is located in a very poor district. I know a large number of students who have finished that school and were able to get off relief. They mainly teach secretarial training or beauty culture and that sort of training. If you are interested in helping poor people, you will vote for the appropriation for the Berean Institute.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—137

Abraham	Freind	Manmiller	Schmitt
Anderson	Gallagher	McCall	Schweder
Armstrong	Gallen	McGinnis	Scirica
Arthurs	Garzia	McIntyre	Shupnik
Barber	Geisler	McLane	Sirianni
Bellomini	George, C.	Mebus	Smith, E.
Beloff	George, M.	Miller	Spencer
Bennett	Giammarco	Milliron	Spitz
Berlin	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Berson	Goodman	Morris	Stewart
Bittinger	Gray	Mrkonic	Sweet
Borski	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor, E.
Brandt	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Tenaglio
Brunner	Hamilton	Musto	Thomas
Burns	Harper	O'Brien, B.	Vroon
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Caputo	Helfrick	O'Connell	Wansacz
Cianciulli	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Wargo
Cimini	Honaman	Oliver	Wenger
Cohen	Hopkins	Pancoast	White
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wiggins
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Williams
Davies	Johnson	Pievsky	Wilson
DeMedio	Jones	Pitts	Wilt
DeWeese	Katz	Polite	Wise
Dombrowski	Kelly	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Donatucci	Knepper	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Yahner

Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yohn
Dumas	Laughlin	Renwick	Zearfoss
Englehart	Letterman	Richardson	Zitterman
Fee	Lincoln	Rieger	
Fisher, D. M.	Livengood	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Flaherty	Mackowski	Salvatore	Speaker
Foster, W.	Manderino	Scanlon	

NAYS—56

Bittle	Gamble	Logue	Scheaffer
Brown	Gatski	Lynch	Shuman
Burd	Geesey	Madigan	Smith, L.
Caltagirone	Gillette	McClatchy	Stairs
Cassidy	Goebel	Meluskey	Stuban
Cessar	Greenleaf	Miscevich	Taddonio
DeVerter	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Haskell	Novak	Trello
Dietz	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Valicenti
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Wass
Dorr	Kernick	Piccola	Weidner
Fischer, R. R.	Klingaman	Pott	Zeller
Foster, A.	Lehr	Pratt	Zord
Fryer	Levi	Ritter	Zwikl

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	Rappaport	Ryan	Shelton
Milanovich	Rhodes	Seltzer	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1283, printer's No. 1506**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School Downingtown Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—139

Anderson	Freind	Manmiller	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	McCall	Scanlon
Arthurs	Gallen	McGinnis	Schmitt
Barber	Garzia	McIntyre	Schweder
Bellomini	Geisler	McLane	Scirica
Beloff	George, C.	Mebus	Seltzer
Bennett	George, M.	Miller	Shupnik
Berlin	Giammarco	Milliron	Sirianni
Berson	Gleeson	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Bittinger	Goodman	Morris	Spitz
Borski	Gray	Mrkonic	Stapleton
Brandt	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Stewart
Brunner	Grieco	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Burns	Hamilton	Musto	Taylor, E.
Butera	Hasay	O'Brien, B.	Tenaglio

Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Cassidy	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Vroon
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cimini	Hoefel	O'Keefe	Wansacz
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Wargo
Cole	Hopkins	Pancoast	Weidner
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Parker	Wenger
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	White
DeMedio	Itkin	Pievsky	Wiggins
DeWeese	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
Dombrowski	Jones	Polite	Wilson
Donatucci	Katz	Prendergast	Wise
Dorr	Kelly	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Doyle	Knepper	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Yohn
Dumas	Laughlin	Renwick	Zearfoss
Fee	Lehr	Richardson	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Rieger	
Flaherty	Madigan	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Foster, A.	Manderino	Ryan	Speaker
Foster, W.			

NAYS—51

Abraham	Gatski	McClatchy	Stairs
Bittle	Geesey	Meluskey	Stuban
Brown	Gillette	Miscevich	Taddonio
Burd	Goebel	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Greenleaf	Novak	Trello
Cessar	Haskell	Noye	Valicenti
DeVerter	Kernick	Piccola	Wass
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Letterman	Pratt	Wright, D.
Dininni	Levi	Ritter	Zeller
Fischer, R. R.	Livengood	Scheaffer	Zord
Fryer	Logue	Shuman	Zwikl
Gamble	Lynch	Smith, L.	

NOT VOTING—10

Englehart	Kolter	Rappaport	Shelton
Halverson	Mackowski	Rhodes	Spencer
Harper	Milanovich		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

APPROPRIATION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 250, printer's No. 1304**, entitled:

A supplement to the act of _____ entitled "An act to provide for the expenses of the Executive Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal period July 1 1977 to June 30 1978 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30 1977" making an additional appropriation to the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency for the purpose of making institutional assistance grants directing the Budget Secretary to perform certain program audits; requiring the Governor to submit the results of the program audits to the General Assembly and imposing additional duties on the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the roll call will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Anderson	Gallen	Madigan	Salvatore
Armstrong	Garzia	Manderino	Scanlon
Arthurs	Gatski	Manmiller	Scheaffer
Barber	Geisler	McCall	Schmitt
Bellomini	George, C.	McGinnis	Schweder
Beloff	George, M.	McIntyre	Scirica
Bennett	Giammarco	McLane	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Miller	Sirianni
Bittinger	Gray	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bittle	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Spitz
Borski	Greenleaf	Morris	Stairs
Brandt	Grieco	Mowery	Stapleton
Brunner	Hamilton	Mrkoncic	Stewart
Burns	Harper	Mullen, M. P.	Stuban
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. M.	Sweet
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Taddonio
Cassidy	Hayes, D. S.	Noye	Taylor, E.
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien, B.	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Helfrick	O'Brien, D.	Thomas
Cimini	Hoefel	O'Connell	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wagner
Cole	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Wansacz
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Wargo
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Weidner
DeMedio	Itkin	Parker	Wenger
DeWeese	Johnson	Petrarca	White
DiCarlo	Jones	Piccola	Wiggins
Dininni	Katz	Pievsky	Williams
Dombrowski	Kelly	Pitts	Wilson
Donatucci	Klingaman	Polite	Wilt
Dorr	Knepper	Pratt	Wise
Doyle	Kolter	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Duffy	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wright, J. L.
Dumas	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Englehart	Lehr	Reed	Yohn
Fee	Letterman	Renwick	Zearfoss
Fischer, R. R.	Levi	Richardson	Zitterman
Fisher, D. M.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zwikl
Flaherty	Livengood	Ritter	
Foster, W.	Logue	Ruggiero	Irvis,
Freind	Lynch	Ryan	Speaker
Gallagher	Mackowski		

NAYS—25

Abraham	Fryer	McClatchy	Taylor, F.
Brown	Gamble	Meluskey	Trello
Burd	Geesey	Miscevich	Valicenti
Caltagirone	Gillette	Novak	Wass
DeVerter	Goebel	Pott	Zeller
Dietz	Kernick	Shuman	Zord
Foster, A.			

NOT VOTING—7

Halverson	Rappaport	Shelton	Spencer
Milanovich	Rhodes	Smith, L.	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with

information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which concurrence of the Senate is requested.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WASS. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, on the last vote that was cast, I voted in the negative and I would like to change my vote to be in the positive on SB 250.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Education Committee will meet Thursday, September 29, at 10:30 a.m., presumably in the Education Committee room. The Education Committee will meet at 10:30 a.m. tomorrow in room 401.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

INTERROGATION

Mr. PYLES requested and obtained unanimous consent to interrogate Mr. PIEVSKY.

Mr. PYLES. Mr. Speaker, we have been running the special appropriations, nonpreferreds, today. There is one special appropriation I do not see on the calendar. I would like the chairman of the Appropriations Committee to tell me what is happening to SB 696, which is the appropriation for the Housing and Redevelopment Authority? It is one of the special appropriations which seems to have gotten lost in the shuffle.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, the committee has not acted on that one yet but we will be dealing with it in the near future.

Mr. PYLES. You have not reported it out of committee yet?

Mr. PIEVSKY. Not as yet, no, but it will be on the agenda in the near future.

Mr. PYLES. Thank you.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. We have with us Mr. Donald King, Mr. Thomas Padden and Mr. John McCarthey of the Scranton School District as the guests of the delegation from Lackawanna County.

The Chair, at this time, is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House, Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Ludwig; Mr. and Mrs. Eric Edmonds. Mr. and Mrs. Eric Edmonds are here visiting from London, England.

The two couples are here as the guests of the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Meluskey.

The Chair recognizes at this time two guests of Representative Sam Hayes and Representative Dietz. The guests are

Dick Diehl and Robert Moskulyak, who are correctional employees at the Huntingdon Correctional Institution and residents of Huntingdon County.

The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House Mr. Thomas F. Dietzler from Valley Forge, who is the guest of the distinguished minority leader, Mr. Butera.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. WASS moved that this House do now adjourn until Monday, October 3, 1977, at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—155

Anderson	Fryer	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	Madigan	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	McCall	Schweder
Bellomini	Gamble	McClatchy	Scirica
Bennett	Garzia	McGinnis	Seltzer
Berlin	Gatski	McIntyre	Shuman
Berson	Geesey	McLane	Shupnik
Bittinger	Geisler	Mebus	Smith, E.
Bittle	George, C.	Meluskey	Smith, L.
Borski	George, M.	Miller	Spitz
Brandt	Gleeson	Milliron	Stairs
Brown	Goebel	Moehlmann	Stapleton
Brunner	Goodman	Mowery	Stewart
Burd	Gray	Mrkonic	Stuban
Burns	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Sweet
Butera	Greenleaf	Musto	Taylor, E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	O'Brien, D.	Taylor, F.
Cassidy	Harper	O'Connell	Tenaglio
Cianciulli	Hasay	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cimini	Haskell	O'Keefe	Vroon
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	Oliver	Wagner
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	Pancoast	Wansacz
Cowell	Helfrick	Petrarca	Wass
Davies	Hoeffel	Piccola	Weidner
DeMedio	Honaman	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Hopkins	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	Polite	Williams
Dietz	Itkin	Pott	Wilt
Dombrowski	Jones	Pratt	Wise
Donatucci	Kernick	Prendergast	Wright, D.
Dorr	Klingaman	Pyles	Yahner
Doyle	Kolter	Reed	Yohn
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Renwick	Zearfoss
Dumas	Laughlin	Rieger	Zeller
Fee	Lehr	Ritter	Zitterman
Fischer, R. R.	Letterman	Ruggiero	Zwinkl
Flaherty	Levi	Ryan	
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Salvatore	Irvis,
Foster, W.	Lynch	Scanlon	Speaker
Freind			

NAYS—3

Dininni	Livengood	Manmiller
---------	-----------	-----------

NOT VOTING—42

Abraham	Hamilton	Mullen, M. M.	Sirianni
Arthurs	Hutchinson, A.	Novak	Spencer
Beloff	Johnson	Noye	Taddonio
Caputo	Katz	O'Brien, B.	Trello
Cessar	Kelly	Parker	Valicenti
DiCarlo	Knepper	Rappaport	Wargo
Englehart	Logue	Ravenstahl	White

Fisher, D. M.	Manderino	Rhodes	Wilson
Giammarco	Milanovich	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Gillette	Miscevich	Shelton	Zord
Halverson	Morris		

The question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to, and (at 6:35 p.m., e.d.t.) the House adjourned.