

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1976

Session of 1976

160th of the General Assembly

Vol. 1, No. 98

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t.

THE SPEAKER (Herbert Fineman) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REVEREND DOCTOR DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of St. Paul's Lutheran Church, McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

As the dawn of a new day has emerged victorious over the darkness of the past night, we approach Thee, O God, with the same confidence and assurance that we may also be conquerors over the dangers and conflicts of life which tend to threaten the very existence of man. So, we look to Thee with the realization that without Thy help and strength we are not able to withstand the trials which confront us, but with the power of Thy might and the strength of Thy love we may continue to stand straight and tall in that which Thou dost desire. Enable us to produce in our own lives the truth of Thy way, guide these workmen of Thine that they may always promote the power of Thy truth, and share with all men the fullness of Thy life. Amen.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Monday, February 2, 1976, will be postponed until printed.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEES

By Messrs. RHODES and SCIRICA

HOUSE BILL No. 2090

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), further providing for county public defenders to organize a State association and the payment of certain expenses thereof.

Referred to Committee on Urban Affairs.

By Messrs. RHODES and SCIRICA

HOUSE BILL No. 2091

An Act amending the "Second Class County Code," approved July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), further providing for county public defenders to organize a State association and for the payment of expenses thereof.

Referred to Committee on Urban Affairs.

By Messrs. RHODES and KISTLER

HOUSE BILL No. 2092

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Athletic Code," approved August 31, 1955 (P. L. 531, No. 131), further de-

fining "promoter" to include certain persons holding certain telecasts.

Referred to Committee on State Government.

By Messrs. RAPPAPORT and LEDERER

HOUSE BILL No. 2093

An Act amending the act of June 25, 1919 (P. L. 581, No. 274), entitled "An act for the better government of cities of the first class of this Commonwealth," further providing for the borrowing of money for capital purposes and for the planning, promotion and conduct of the celebration of the bicentennial anniversary of the founding of the United States.

Referred to Committee on Urban Affairs.

By Mr. HALVERSON

HOUSE BILL No. 2094

An Act prohibiting the Department of Transportation from using sprays to control the growth of grass and weeds along highways.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

By Mr. HALVERSON

HOUSE BILL No. 2096

An Act providing for the Department of Transportation to increase the height of fences erected along limited access highways.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

By Messrs. RICHARDSON, HAMMOCK and MORRIS

RESOLUTION No. 198

The Speaker of the House of Representatives appoint a bipartisan committee of seven members, four from the majority and three from the minority, to conduct a thorough investigation of the financial affairs of the City of Philadelphia.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor, being introduced, presented the following communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor, which was read:

APPROVAL OF HOUSE BILL No. 216

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office, Harrisburg

February 2, 1976

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 216, printer's No. 2640, entitled, "An Act [making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture to assist the Commonwealth's 4-H Club horse and pony show program by paying the ex-

penses of holding ten 4-H Club shows and in addition a Statewide show to be held in the fall of the year as preliminary to the Keystone International Livestock Show.] AMENDING THE ACT OF JUNE 30, 1975 (NO. 8-A), ENTITLED 'AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENTS OF THE COMMONWEALTH, THE PUBLIC DEBT AND FOR THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS FOR THE FISCAL PERIOD JULY 1, 1975 TO JUNE 30, 1976, AND FOR THE PAYMENT OF BILLS INCURRED AND REMAINING UNPAID AT THE CLOSE OF THE FISCAL PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 1975,' MAKING APPROPRIATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE TO ASSIST IN THE COMMONWEALTH'S 4-H CLUB HORSE AND PONY SHOW, AND TO THE PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY FOR REDEMPTION OF OUTSTANDING BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES AND UNFUNDED OBLIGATIONS; AND CHANGING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENTS OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, EDUCATION, AND REVENUE AND TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC TELEVISION NETWORK COMMISSION."

MILTON J. SHAPP
Governor

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 184, printer's No. 205**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission to acquire by gift on behalf of the Commonwealth the real property connected with the Fort Hunter Museum in Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County, providing for its control, management, supervision, restoration, improvement and maintenance; and receipt of certain funds in connection therewith.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 501, printer's No. 1517**, entitled:

An Act requiring the installation of ramps at crosswalks under certain conditions.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 567, printer's No. 2322**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), temporarily changing a fee.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 642, printer's No. 2643**, entitled:

An Act creating a Joint Legislative Committee for Internships and Fellowships for the purpose of establishing a program of internships and fellowships in the General Assembly; placing certain limitations upon such programs; creating an Advisory Board and making an appropriation.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1365, printer's No. 1838**, entitled:

An Act providing for the appointment of an independent auditor in incorporated towns and establishing the powers and duties of the independent auditor and elected auditors.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1404, printer's No. 2650**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Civil Service Act," approved August 5, 1941 (P. L. 752, No. 286), providing for the employment of severely handicapped, mentally retarded, developmentally disabled and physically handicapped through the State civil service system.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1464, printer's No. 1718**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1933 (P. L. 1172, No. 290), entitled "An act establishing certain streets in boroughs and incorporated towns as State highways and providing for their construction and maintenance at the expense of the Commonwealth," deleting a route in Hookstown Borough, Beaver County.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1465, printer's No. 1719**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594, No. 203), entitled "An act establishing certain township roads as State highways; ***", deleting Route 04052 in Beaver County.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1577, printer's No. 1908**, entitled:

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania removing certain restriction on educational assistance.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1644, printer's No. 2042**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), providing for an appropriation to certain tourist promotion agencies.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1645, printer's No. 2043**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), providing for an appropriation to certain tourist promotion agencies.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1758, printer's No. 2248**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to convey to the Borough of New Brighton, Beaver County, Pennsylvania, three tracts of land.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1852, printer's No. 2376**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 11, 1967 (P. L. 707, No. 331), entitled "An act providing for and regulating thoroughbred horse racing with pari-mutuel wagering ***," further defining "thoroughbred horse racing."

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1865, printer's No. 2394**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1959 (P. L. 1978, No. 728), referred to as the State Harness Racing Law, further providing for the employment of public employes.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **Senate bill No. 126, printer's No. 126**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the disposition of certain fines to cities, boroughs, towns or townships.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **Senate bill No. 851, printer's No. 1545**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 24, 1951 (P. L. 1304, No. 315), entitled, as amended, "Local Health Administration Law," providing for State grants to county departments of health and certain municipalities for environmental health services.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS AND HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like to ask for a recess of the House for the purpose of a caucus. There are a number of bills on the calendar today. There are some 24 pages of bills, most of which our caucus has not reviewed. The purpose of the recess is so that we can review these bills.

For the information of the members who are asking questions about what the schedule is for this week, we would intend to be in recess from now until about 2 o'clock, which will include lunch. We will return to the floor at 2 o'clock today and work until about 5:30 on the bills that we have caucused on. Tomorrow will be as well a full voting day, with caucuses as necessary to complete the calendar. We would like to clear the bills which are presently on the calendar and in a position for a vote from the calendar this week.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a recess of the House at this time until 2 p.m. and I would ask all members of the Democratic caucus to proceed immediately to the caucus room.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I request leaves of absence for Mr. IRVIS for yesterday and today's session, for Messrs. RHODES and LaMARCA for today's session, and for Mr. MORRIS for the balance of the week.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geesey.

Mr. GEESEY. Mr. Speaker, I request leaves of absence for Mr. CUMBERLAND for today and tomorrow, and for Mr. WAGNER for today's session.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leaves are granted.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair assumes that the Republican leadership will likewise want a caucus of their membership immediately in the Republican caucus room.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Fisher. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. FISHER. I rise to a question of personal privilege. The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. FISHER. Mr. Speaker, I was out of my seat yesterday when the vote was taken on concurrence in Senate amendments to House bill No. 216. Had I been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be noted for the record.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The Chair now declares the House in recess until 2 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

THE SPEAKER (Herbert Fineman) IN THE CHAIR

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HOUSE BILL No. 2071 By Mr. GEISLER

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1959 (P. L. 1978, No. 728), referred to as the State Harness Racing Law, further providing for distribution of funds and changing the maximum amount of funds that may be distributed to any one project.

Reported from Committee on State Government.

BILL REREPORTED

SENATE BILL No. 33 (Amended) By Mr. GEISLER

An Act amending the act of September 30, 1961 (P. L. 1778, No. 712), entitled "Lobbying Registration Act," revising the laws relating to lobbying and imposing penalties.

Rereported from Committee on State Government.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor, being introduced, presented the following communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor, which was read:

APPROVAL OF HOUSE BILL No. 1399

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Governor's Office, Harrisburg

February 2, 1976

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 1399, printer's No. 1637, entitled "An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, 'Second Class County Code,' revising the general fund and placing a limit on a certain tax levy in counties of the second class."

MILTON J. SHAPP Governor

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take today's master roll. The members will proceed to vote. Only those members in their seats are permitted to be recorded.

The roll was taken and was as follows:

YEAS—184

- Abraham Anderson, J. H. Arthurs Barber Bellommi Bennett Boren Berlin Berson Bittle Bonetto Bradley Brandt Brunner Geisler George Giammarco Gillespie Gillette Gleason Gleeson Goodman Green Greenfield Grieco Gring Halverson Hamilton, J. H. McCue McGinnis McGraw McIntyre McLane Mebus Menhorn Miller, M. E. Miller, M. E., Jr. Milliron Miscovich Mochlmann Mrkonjc Mullen, M. P. Salvatore Scheaffer Schweder Selrica Seltzer Shane Shelhamer Shelton Shuman Shupnik Siriannd Smith, E. Smith, L. Spencer

- Burns Butera Caputo Cessar Cimini Cohen Cole Cowell Crawford Davies Davis, D. M. DeMedio Deverter Dicarlo Dietz Dininni Dombrowski Dorr Doyle Eckensberger Englehart Fawcett Fee Fischer Fisher Flaherty Foster, A. Foster, W. Fryer Gallagher Gallen Garzia Geesey Hammock Hasay Haskell Hayes, D. S. Hayes, S. E. Hepford Hill Hopkins Hutchinson, A. Hutchinson, W. Itkin Johnson, J. Katz Kelly, A. P. Kelly, J. B. Kernick Kistler Klingaman Knepper Kolter Kowalshyn Kusse Laudadio Laughlin Lederer Lehr Letterman Lincoln Lynch Manderino Manmiller McCall McClatchy Mullen Musto Myers Novak Noye O'Brien O'Connell O'Donnell O'Keefe Oliver Pancoast Parker, H. S. Perri Petrarca Plevsky Pitts Polite Pratt Prendergast Pyles Rappaport Ravenstahl Reed Renninger Renwick Richardson Rieger Ritter Ross Ruggiero Ryan Saloom Stahl Stapleton Stout Taddonio Taylor Thomas Toll Trello Turner Ustynoski Valicenti Vroon Walsh, T. P. Wansacz Wargo Weidner Westerberg Whelan Wilson Wilt, R. W. Wilt, W. W. Wojdak Worrlow Wright Yahner Yohn Zearfoss Zeller Zwick Fineman, Speaker

NOT VOTING—13

- Cumberland DiDonato Dreibelbis Irvls LaMarca Levi Milanovich Morris Perry Rhodes Schmitt Wagner Zord

The SPEAKER. One hundred eighty-four members having indicated their presence, a master roll is established.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL No. 704

Mr. MANDERINO presented the report of the Committee of Conference on Senate bill No. 704.

The SPEAKER. The report will be laid over for printing under the rules.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Gleason. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GLEASON. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GLEASON. Mr. Speaker, my switch has been locked. I would like to have it unlocked or at least be recorded as being present.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's presence will be noted.

The clerk will do his best to unlock the voting switch of the gentleman, Mr. Gleason.

SENATE MESSAGE

TIME OF NEXT MEETING

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read:

In the Senate, February 3, 1976

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene

on Monday, February 9, 1976, and when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, February 9, 1976.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate? Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

JOINT SESSION

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read:

In the Senate, February 3, 1976

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That the Senate and House of Representatives meet in Joint Session at two o'clock P.M., Wednesday, February 4, 1976 for the purpose of hearing an address by His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a committee of three on the part of the Senate be appointed to act with a similar committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth to the Hall of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate? Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CALENDAR

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1601, printer's No. 1964**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Department of Environmental Resources for the payment of the Commonwealth's share of expenses and charges in connection with utilities expansions and construction by municipalities or municipal authorities and extensions to State institutions.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Abraham	Geisler	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Anderson, J. H.	George	McCue	Schweder
Arthur	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scirca
Barber	Gillespie	McGraw	Seltzer
Bellommi	Gillette	McLane	Shane
Bennett	Gleason	Mebus	Shelhamer

Beren	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shelton
Berlin	Goodman	Milanovich	Shuman
Berson	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirianni
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bradley	Gring	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Brandt	Halverson	Mochlmann	Spencer
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonic	Stahl
Burns	Hammock	Mullen	Stapleton
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Taylor
Cimmi	Hayes, S.E.	Noye	Thomas
Cohen	Hepford	O'Brien	Toll
Cole	Hill	O'Connell	Trello
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Turner
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Ustynoski
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Valicenti
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Deverter	Katz	Pievsky	Wansacz
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Pitts	Wargo
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Polite	Weidner
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pratt	Westerberg
Dorr	Kistler	Prendergast	Whelan
Doyle	Klingaman	Pyles	Wilson
Eckensberger	Knepper	Rappaport	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Wojdak
Fee	Kusse	Renninger	Worrilow
Fischer	Laudadio	Renwick	Wright
Fisher	Laughlin	Richardson	Yahner
Flaherty	Lederer	Rieger	Yohn
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ritter	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Letterman	Ross	Zeller
Fryer	Lincoln	Ruggiero	Zwiski
Gallagher	Lynch	Ryan	
Gallen	Manmiller	Saloom	Fineman
Garzia	McCall	Salvatore	Speaker
Geesey			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—18

Cumberland	LaMarca	Myers	Rhodes
Dicarlo	Levi	O'Donnell	Schmitt
DiDonato	Manderino	Perri	Wagner
Dreibelbis	McIntyre	Perry	Zord
Iris	Morris		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MANDERINO. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I desire to be recorded in the affirmative on House bill No. 1601.

The SPEAKER. Are there any further additions or corrections to the roll?

The Chair hears none.

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 1006, printer's No. 1183**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Korean Conflict Veterans' Compensation Fund to the Board of Finance and Revenue for the payment of the Loan and Transfer Agent of the Commonwealth.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman

from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski, for the purpose of offering an amendment.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I have been informed by the Appropriations Committee that my amendment is not germane to this bill, so I am withdrawing the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Abraham	Geesey	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Geisler	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Arthurs	George	McCue	Schweder
Barber	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scirica
Bellomini	Gillespie	McGraw	Seitzer
Bennett	Gillette	McIntyre	Shane
Beren	Gleason	McLane	Shelhamer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shelton
Berson	Goodman	Menhorn	Shuman
Bittle	Green	Milanovich	Shupnik
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Sirianni
Bradley	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Smith, E.
Brandt	Gring	Milliron	Smith, L.
Brunner	Halverson	Miscevich	Spencer
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Stahl
Butera	Hammock	Mrkonc	Stapleton
Caputo	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Cessar	Haskell	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Thomas
Cohen	Hayes, S.E.	Novak	Toll
Cole	Hepford	Noye	Trello
Cowell	Hill	O'Brien	Turner
Crawford	Hopkins	O'Connell	Ustynoski
Davis	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Valcenti
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Vroon
DeMedio	Itkin	Pancoast	Walsh, T. P.
Deverter	Johnson, J.	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Dicarlo	Katz	Petrarca	Wargo
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Pievsky	Weidner
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Pitts	Westerberg
Dombrowski	Kernick	Polite	Whelan
Dorr	Kistler	Pratt	Wilson
Doyle	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Eckensberger	Knepper	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Rappaport	Wojdak
Fawcett	Kowalshyn	Ravenstahl	Worrilow
Fee	Kusse	Reed	Wright
Fischer	Laudadio	Renninger	Yahner
Fisher	Laughlin	Renwick	Yohn
Flaherty	Lederer	Richardson	Zearfoss
Foster, A.	Lehr	Rieger	Zeller
Foster, W.	Letterman	Ritter	Zwikel
Fryer	Lincoln	Ross	
Gallagher	Lynch	Ruggiero	
Gallen	Manderino	Ryan	
Garzia	Manmiller	Saloom	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—16

Cumberland	LaMarca	O'Donnell	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	Perri	Taylor
Dreibelbis	Morris	Perry	Wagner
Irvis	Myers	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BILLS
ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1775, printer's No. 2278, entitled:

An Act amending "The Borough Code," approved February 1, 1966 (1965, P. L. 1656, No. 581), further regulating contracts, advertisements, specifications and bids for certain contracts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—157

Abraham	Garzia	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Anderson, J. H.	Geisler	McCue	Schweder
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scirica
Barber	Gillespie	McGraw	Seltzer
Bennett	Gillette	McLane	Shane
Beren	Gleason	Mebus	Shelhamer
Berlin	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shupnik
Berson	Goodman	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirianni
Bittle	Green	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Bradley	Grieco	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brandt	Gring	Mrkonc	Stahl
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen	Stapleton
Burns	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Butera	Haskell	Musto	Taddonio
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Taylor
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Thomas
Cimini	Hepford	O'Brien	Toll
Cohen	Hill	O'Connell	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Turner
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Ustynoski
Crawford	Itkin	Pancoast	Valcenti
Davis	Johnson, J.	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Davis, D. M.	Kelly, A. P.	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
DeMedio	Kelly, J. B.	Pievsky	Wansacz
Deverter	Kernick	Pitts	Weidner
Dicarlo	Kistler	Polite	Westerberg
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilson
Dorr	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Doyle	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Eckensberger	Kusse	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fawcett	Laudadio	Renninger	Wright
Fee	Laughlin	Richardson	Yohn
Fischer	Lederer	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fisher	Lehr	Ritter	Zeller
Flaherty	Lincoln	Ross	Zwikel
Foster, A.	Lynch	Ruggiero	
Foster, W.	Manderino	Ryan	Fineman,
Fryer	Manmiller	Saloom	Speaker
Gallagher	McCall	Salvatore	
Gallen			

NAYS—18

Dietz	Halverson	Miller, M. E.	Wargo
Dininni	Hasay	Reed	Whelan
Fischer	Hopkins	Renwick	Worrilow
Geesey	Katz	Shuman	Yahner
George	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—22

Bellomini	Knepper	Myers	Rhodes
Cumberland	LaMarca	O'Donnell	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	Perri	Shelton
Dreibelbis	McIntyre	Perry	Wagner
Englehart	Milanovich	Rappaport	Zord
Irvis	Morris		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1776, printer's No. 2655**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), further regulating contracts, advertisements, specifications and bids for certain contracts.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—157

Abraham	Gallagher	Manmiller	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	McCall	Saloom
Arthurs	Garzia	McClatchy	Salvatore
Barber	Geisler	McCue	Scheaffer
Bellomini	Giammarco	McGinnis	Schweder
Bennett	Gillespie	McGraw	Scirica
Beren	Gillette	McLane	Seltzer
Berlin	Gleason	Mebus	Shane
Berson	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Bittle	Goodman	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirianni
Bonetto	Green	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bradley	Greenfield	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Brandt	Grieco	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brunner	Gring	Mrkonic	Stahl
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Butera	Hammock	Mullen	Stout
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Taddonio
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Taylor
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Thomas
Cohen	Hepford	O'Brien	Toll
Cole	Hill	O'Connell	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Ustynoski
Crawford	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Valicenti
Davies	Itkin	Pancoast	Vroon
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, J.	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Weidner
Deverter	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Westerberg
Dicarlo	Kernick	Pievsky	Wilson
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pitts	Wilt, R. W.
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Wilt, W. W.
Doyle	Kolter	Pratt	Wojdak
Eckensberger	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Wright
Engelhart	Kusse	Pyles	Yohn
Fawcett	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Zeller
Fee	Laughlin	Renninger	Zwiski
Fisher	Lederer	Richardson	
Flaherty	Lehr	Rieger	
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ritter	
Foster, W.	Lynch	Ross	
Fryer	Manderino	Ruggiero	

NAYS—21

Dietz	Hasay	Reed	Walsh, T. P.
Dininni	Hopkins	Renwick	Wargo
Fischer	Katz	Shuman	Whelan
Geesey	Letterman	Shupnik	Worriflow
George	Miller, M. E.	Turner	Yahner
Halverson			

NOT VOTING—19

Cumberland	LaMarca	Myers	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	O'Donnell	Shelton
Dreibelbis	McIntyre	Perry	Wagner
Irviss	Milanovich	Rappaport	Zearfoss
Knepper	Morris	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1777, printer's No. 2280**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), further regulating contracts as to advertising requirements.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—155

Abraham	Gallagher	Manderino	Ross
Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero
Arthurs	Garzia	McCall	Ryan
Barber	Geisler	McClatchy	Saloom
Bellomini	Giammarco	McCue	Scheaffer
Bennett	Gillespie	McGinnis	Schweder
Beren	Gillette	McGraw	Scirica
Berlin	Gleason	McLane	Seltzer
Berson	Gleeson	Mebus	Shane
Bittle	Goodman	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Green	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirianni
Bradley	Greenfield	Milliron	Smith, E.
Brandt	Grieco	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Brunner	Gring	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonic	Stahl
Butera	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen	Stout
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taylor
Cohen	Hepford	Noye	Thomas
Cole	Hill	O'Brien	Toll
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Trello
Crawford	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Ustynoski
Davies	Itkin	Oliver	Valicenti
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Vroon
DeMedio	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Deverter	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Weidner
Dicarlo	Kernick	Petrarca	Westerberg
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pievsky	Wilson
Dorr	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilt, R. W.
Doyle	Kolter	Polite	Wilt, W. W.
Eckensberger	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wojdak
Engelhart	Kusse	Prendergast	Wright
Fawcett	Laudadio	Pyles	Yohn
Fee	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Zeller
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Zwiski
Flaherty	Lehr	Richardson	
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Rieger	
Foster, W.	Lynch	Ritter	
Fryer			

NAYS—22

Dietz	Hasay	Renwick	Walsh, T. P.
Dininni	Hopkins	Salvatore	Wargo
Fischer	Katz	Shuman	Whelan
Geesey	Letterman	Shupnik	Worriflow
George	Miller, M. E.	Turner	Yahner
Halverson	Reed		

NOT VOTING—20

Cumberland	LaMarca	Myers	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	O'Donnell	Shelton
Dreibelbis	McIntyre	Perry	Wagner
Irviss	Milanovich	Rappaport	Zearfoss
Knepper	Morris	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1780, printer's No. 2283**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 27, 1953 (P. L. 244, No. 34), entitled "An act relating to and regulating the contracts of incorporated towns and providing penalties," further regulating contracts as to advertising requirements.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—159

- Abraham, Anderson, J. H., Arthurs, Barber, Bennett, Beren, Berlin, Berson, Bittle, Bonetto, Bradley, Brandt, Brunner, Burns, Butera, Caputo, Cessar, Cimini, Cohen, Cole, Cowell, Crawford, Davies, Davis, D. M., DeMedio, Deverter, Dicarolo, Dombrowski, Dorr, Doyle, Eckensberger, Englehart, Fawcett, Fee, Fisher, Flaherty, Foster, A., Foster, W., Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Garzia, Geisler, Giammarco, Gillespie, Gillette, Gleason, Gleeson, Goodman, Green, Greenfield, Grieco, Gring, Hamilton, J. H., Hammock, Haskell, Hayes, D. S., Hayes, S. E., Hepford, Hill, Hutchinson, A., Hutchinson, W., Itkin, Johnson, J., Katz, Kelly, A. P., Kelly, J. B., Kernick, Kistler, Klingaman, Kolter, Kowalysbyn, Kusse, Laudadio, Laughlin, Lederer, Lehr, Lincoln, Lynch, Manderino, Manmiller, McCall, McClatchy, McCue, McGinnis, McGraw, McLane, Mebus, Menhorn, Milanovich, Miller, M. E., Jr., Milliron, Misceovich, Moehlmann, Mrkonic, Mullen, M. P., Musto, Novak, Noye, O'Brien, O'Connell, O'Donnell, O'Keefe, Oliver, Pancoast, Parker, H. S., Perri, Petrarca, Pievsky, Pitts, Polite, Pratt, Prendergast, Pyles, Ravenstahl, Renninger, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Ross, Ruggiero, Ryan, Saloom, Scheaffer, Schweder, Scirca, Seitzer, Shane, Shelhamer, Siranni, Smith, E., Smith, L., Spencer, Stahl, Stapleton, Stout, Taddonio, Taylor, Thomas, Toll, Trello, Ustynoski, Valicenti, Vroon, Walsh, T. P., Wansacz, Weldner, Westerberg, Wilson, Wilt, R. W., Wilt, W. W., Wojdak, Wright, Yohn, Zearfoss, Zeller, Zwickl, Fineman, Speaker

NAYS—20

- Dietz, Dininni, Fischer, Geesey, George, Halverson, Hasay, Hopkins, Letterman, Miller, M. E., Reed, Renwick, Salvatore, Shuman, Shupnik, Turner, Wargo, Whelan, WorriLOW, Yahner

NOT VOTING—18

- Bellomini, Cumberland, DiDonato, Dreifelblis, Irvis, Knepper, LaMarca, Levi, McIntyre, Morris, Myers, Perry, Rappaport, Rhodes, Schmitt, Shelton, Wagner, Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1787, printer's No. 2290, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 6, 1936 (Sp. Sess.

P. L. 95, No. 38 1/2), entitled "An act to authorize and empower cities, boroughs, towns and townships separately or jointly to provide for protection against floods by erecting and constructing certain works and improvements located within or without the county in which situate; ***" further regulating purchases and bidding on such purchases.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

- Abraham, Anderson, J. H., Arthurs, Barber, Bellomini, Bennett, Beren, Berlin, Berson, Bittle, Bonetto, Bradley, Brandt, Brunner, Burns, Butera, Caputo, Cessar, Cimini, Cohen, Cole, Cowell, Crawford, Davies, Davis, D. M., DeMedio, Deverter, Dicarolo, Dietz, Dininni, Dombrowski, Dorr, Doyle, Eckensberger, Englehart, Fawcett, Fee, Fisher, Flaherty, Foster, A., Foster, W., Fryer, Gallagher, Gallen, Garzia, Geisler, Giammarco, Gillespie, Gillette, Gleason, Gleeson, Goodman, Green, Greenfield, Grieco, Gring, Hamilton, J. H., Hammock, Hasay, Haskell, Hayes, D. S., Hayes, S. E., Hepford, Hill, Hopkins, Hutchinson, A., Hutchinson, W., Itkin, Johnson, J., Katz, Kelly, A. P., Kelly, J. B., Kernick, Kistler, Klingaman, Kolter, Kowalysbyn, Kusse, Laudadio, Laughlin, Lederer, Lehr, Letterman, Lincoln, Lynch, Manderino, Manmiller, McCall, McClatchy, McCue, McGinnis, McGraw, McLane, Mebus, Menhorn, Milanovich, Miller, M. E., Jr., Milliron, Misceovich, Moehlmann, Mrkonic, Mullen, M. P., Musto, Myers, Novak, Noye, O'Brien, O'Connell, O'Donnell, O'Keefe, Oliver, Pancoast, Parker, H. S., Perri, Petrarca, Pievsky, Pitts, Polite, Pratt, Prendergast, Pyles, Ravenstahl, Renninger, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Ross, Ruggiero, Ryan, Salvatore, Scheaffer, Schweder, Scirca, Seitzer, Shane, Shelhamer, Shupnik, Siranni, Smith, E., Smith, L., Spencer, Stahl, Stapleton, Stout, Taddonio, Taylor, Thomas, Toll, Trello, Ustynoski, Valicenti, Vroon, Walsh, T. P., Wansacz, Wargo, Weldner, Westerberg, Whelan, Wilson, Wilt, R. W., Wilt, W. W., Wojdak, WorriLOW, Wright, Yohn, Zearfoss, Zeller, Zwickl, Fineman, Speaker

NAYS—10

- Fischer, Geesey, George, Halverson, Miller, M. E., Reed, Renwick, Saloom, Shuman, Yahner

NOT VOTING—16

- Cumberland, DiDonato, Dreifelblis, Irvis, Knepper, LaMarca, Levi, McIntyre, Morris, Perry, Rappaport, Rhodes, Schmitt, Shelton, Wagner, Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 488, printer's No. 873, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), entitled "The County Code," eliminating statutory residency requirements for deputy sheriffs.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—137

- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| Abraham | Foster, W. | Manderino | Seirica |
| Anderson, J. H. | Fryer | McClatchy | Seltzer |
| Arthurs | Gallagher | McCue | Shane |
| Barber | Gallen | McGinnis | Shuman |
| Bennett | Garzia | McLane | Smith, E. |
| Beren | Geesey | Mebus | Smith, L. |
| Berlin | Geisler | Menhorn | Spencer |
| Berson | George | Milanovich | Stahl |
| Bittle | Giammarco | Miller, M. E. | Stapleton |
| Bonetto | Gillette | Mullen | Stout |
| Bradley | Gleeson | Mullen, M. P. | Taddonio |
| Brandt | Green | Musto | Taylor |
| Brunner | Greenfield | Myers | Thomas |
| Burns | Grieco | Novak | Toll |
| Butera | Gring | Noye | Turner |
| Cessar | Hasay | O'Brien | Ustynoski |
| Cimmi | Haskell | O'Connell | Valicenti |
| Cohen | Hayes, D. S. | O'Donnell | Vroon |
| Cole | Hepford | Oliver | Walsh, T. P. |
| Cowell | Hill | Pancoast | Wargo |
| Crawford | Hopkins | Parker, H. S. | Weidner |
| Davis, D. M. | Johnson, J. | Perri | Westerberg |
| DeMedio | Katz | Pievsky | Whelan |
| Dicarlo | Kelly, A. P. | Pitts | Wilson |
| Dietz | Kelly, J. B. | Polite | Wilt, R. W. |
| Dombrowski | Kernick | Prendergast | Wojdak |
| Dorr | Kolter | Ravenstahl | Yahner |
| Eckensberger | Kowalyszyn | Renninger | Yohn |
| Englehart | Kusse | Renwick | Zearfoss |
| Fawcett | Laudadio | Rieger | Zeller |
| Fee | Lederer | Ross | Zwikel |
| Fischer | Lehr | Ruggiero | |
| Fisher | Letterman | Ryan | Fineman, Speaker |
| Flaherty | Lincoln | Saloom | |
| Foster, A. | Lynch | Scheaffer | |

NAYS—41

- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| Caputo | Hutchinson, A. | Milliron | Salvatore |
| Deverter | Hutchinson, W. | Miscevich | Schweder |
| Dininni | Itkin | Moehlmann | Shelhamer |
| Doyle | Kistler | Mrkonjc | Shupnik |
| Gillespie | Klingaman | O'Keefe | Sirianni |
| Gleason | Knepper | Petrarca | Trello |
| Goodman | Laughlin | Pratt | Wansacz |
| Halverson | Manmiller | Reed | Wilt, W. W. |
| Hamilton, J. H. | McCall | Richardson | Worrllow |
| Hammock | Miller, M. E., Jr. | Ritter | Wright |
| Hayes, S. E. | | | |

NOT VOTING—19

- | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Bellomini | Irvis | Morris | Schmitt |
| Cumberland | LaMarca | Perry | Shelton |
| Davies | Levi | Pyles | Wagner |
| DiDonato | McGraw | Rappaport | Zord |
| Dreibelbis | McIntyre | Rhodes | |

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 794, printer's No. 858, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965,

P. L. 1656, No. 581), entitled "The Borough Code," further regulating the filling of certain vacancies in office.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Abraham | Geesey | McCall | Salvatore |
| Anderson, J. H. | Geisler | McClatchy | Scheaffer |
| Arthurs | George | McCue | Schweder |
| Barber | Giammarco | McGinnis | Scirica |
| Bellomini | Gillespie | McGraw | Seltzer |
| Bennett | Gillette | McLane | Shane |
| Beren | Gleason | Mebus | Shelhamer |
| Berlin | Gleeson | Menhorn | Shuman |
| Berson | Goodman | Milanovich | Shupnik |
| Bittle | Green | Miller, M. E. | Sirianni |
| Bonetto | Greenfield | Miller, M. E., Jr. | Smith, E. |
| Bradley | Grieco | Milliron | Smith, L. |
| Brandt | Gring | Miscevich | Spencer |
| Brunner | Halverson | Moehlmann | Stahl |
| Burns | Hamilton, J. H. | Mrkonjc | Stapleton |
| Butera | Hammock | Mullen, M. P. | Stout |
| Caputo | Hasay | Mullen | Taddonio |
| Cessar | Haskell | Musto | Taylor |
| Cimmi | Hayes, D. S. | Novak | Thomas |
| Cohen | Hayes, S. E. | Noye | Toll |
| Cole | Hepford | O'Brien | Trello |
| Cowell | Hill | O'Connell | Turner |
| Crawford | Hopkins | O'Donnell | Ustynoski |
| Davis | Hutchinson, A. | O'Keefe | Valicenti |
| Davis, D. M. | Hutchinson, W. | Oliver | Vroon |
| DeMedio | Itkin | Pancoast | Walsh, T. P. |
| Deverter | Johnson, J. | Parker, H. S. | Wansacz |
| Dicarlo | Katz | Perri | Wargo |
| Dietz | Kelly, A. P. | Petrarca | Weidner |
| Dininni | Kelly, J. B. | Pievsky | Westerberg |
| Dombrowski | Kernick | Pitts | Whelan |
| Dorr | Kistler | Polite | Wilson |
| Doyle | Klingaman | Pratt | Wilt, R. W. |
| Eckensberger | Knepper | Prendergast | Wojdak |
| Englehart | Kolter | Pyles | Worrllow |
| Fawcett | Kowalyszyn | Reed | Wright |
| Fee | Kusse | Renninger | Yahner |
| Fischer | Laudadio | Renwick | Yohn |
| Fisher | Laughlin | Richardson | Zearfoss |
| Flaherty | Lederer | Rieger | Zeller |
| Foster, A. | Lehr | Ritter | Zwikel |
| Foster, W. | Letterman | Romanelli | |
| Fryer | Lincoln | Ross | Fineman, Speaker |
| Gallagher | Lynch | Ruggiero | |
| Gallen | Mandertno | Ryan | |
| Garzia | Manmiller | Saloom | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—16

- | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Cumberland | LaMarca | Myers | Schmitt |
| DiDonato | Levi | Perry | Shelton |
| Dreibelbis | McIntyre | Rappaport | Wagner |
| Irvis | Morris | Rhodes | Zord |

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 795, printer's No. 859, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), entitled "The First Class Township Code," further regulating the filling of a vacancy in the office of commissioner.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Abraham | Geisler | McClatchy | Salvatore |
| Anderson, J. H. | George | McCue | Scheaffer |
| Arthurs | Giammarco | McGinnis | Schweder |
| Barber | Gillespie | McGraw | Scirica |
| Bellomini | Gillette | McLane | Seltzer |
| Bennett | Gleason | Mebus | Shane |
| Beren | Gleeson | Menhorn | Shelhamer |
| Berlin | Goodman | Milanovich | Shuman |
| Berson | Green | Miller, M. E. | Shupnik |
| Bittle | Greenfield | Miller, M. E., Jr. | Sirianni |
| Bonetto | Grieco | Milliron | Smith, E. |
| Bradley | Gring | Miscevich | Smith, L. |
| Brandt | Halverson | Moehlmann | Spencer |
| Brunner | Hamilton, J. H. | Mrkonic | Stahl |
| Burns | Hammock | Mullen, M. P. | Stapleton |
| Butera | Hasay | Musto | Stout |
| Caputo | Haskell | Myers | Taddonio |
| Cimini | Hayes, D. S. | Novak | Taylor |
| Cohen | Hayes, S. E. | Noye | Thomas |
| Cole | Hepford | O'Brien | Toll |
| Cowell | Hill | O'Connell | Trello |
| Crawford | Hopkins | O'Donnell | Turner |
| Davies | Hutchinson, A. | O'Keefe | Ustynoski |
| Davis, D. M. | Hutchinson, W. | Oliver | Valicenti |
| DeMedio | Itkin | Pancoast | Vroon |
| Deverter | Johnson, J. | Parker, H. S. | Walsh, T. P. |
| Dicarlo | Katz | Perri | Wansacz |
| Dietz | Kelly, A. P. | Petrarca | Wargo |
| Dininni | Kelly, J. B. | Pievsky | Weidner |
| Dombrowski | Kernick | Pitts | Westerberg |
| Dorr | Kistler | Polite | Whelan |
| Doyle | Klingaman | Pratt | Wilson |
| Eckensberger | Knepper | Prendergast | Wilt, R. W. |
| Englehart | Kolter | Pyles | Wilt, W. W. |
| Fawcett | Kowalyshyn | Ravenstahl | Wojdak |
| Fee | Kusse | Reed | Worrilow |
| Fischer | Laudadio | Renninger | Wright |
| Fisher | Laughlin | Richardson | Yahner |
| Flaherty | Lederer | Riegar | Yohn |
| Foster, A. | Lehr | Ritter | Zearfoss |
| Foster, W. | Letterman | Ritter | Zeller |
| Fryer | Lincoln | Ross | Zwickl |
| Gallagher | Lynch | Ruggiero | |
| Gallen | Manderino | Ryan | Fineman, Speaker |
| Garzia | Manmiller | Saloom | |
| Geesey | McCall | | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—17

- | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Cessar | LaMarca | Mullen | Schmitt |
| Cumberland | Levi | Perry | Shelton |
| DiDonato | McIntyre | Rappaport | Wagner |
| Dreibelbis | Morris | Rhodes | Zord |
| Irvis | | | |

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 796, printer's No. 860, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 27, 1953 (P. L. 249, No. 35), entitled "An act providing that the town councils of incorporated towns shall have the right to declare vacant the seats of councilmen or presidents of town councils for failure to qualify and for failure to attend meetings

or vote upon questions before the council," providing for the filling of certain vacancies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Abraham | Geisler | McClatchy | Saloom |
| Anderson, J. H. | George | McCue | Salvatore |
| Arthurs | Giammarco | McGinnis | Scheaffer |
| Barber | Gillespie | McGraw | Schweder |
| Bennett | Gillette | McLane | Scirica |
| Beren | Gleason | Mebus | Seltzer |
| Berlin | Gleeson | Menhorn | Shane |
| Berson | Goodman | Milanovich | Shelhamer |
| Bittle | Green | Miller, M. E. | Shuman |
| Bradley | Greenfield | Miller, M. E., Jr. | Shupnik |
| Brandt | Grieco | Milliron | Sirianni |
| Bonetto | Gring | Miscevich | Smith, E. |
| Brunner | Halverson | Moehlmann | Smith, L. |
| Burns | Hamilton, J. H. | Mrkonic | Spencer |
| Butera | Hammock | Mullen, M. P. | Stahl |
| Caputo | Hasay | Musto | Stapleton |
| Cessar | Haskell | Myers | Stout |
| Cimini | Hayes, D. S. | Novak | Taddonio |
| Cohen | Hayes, S. E. | Noye | Taylor |
| Cowell | Hepford | O'Brien | Thomas |
| Crawford | Hill | O'Connell | Toll |
| Davies | Hopkins | O'Donnell | Trello |
| Davis, D. M. | Hutchinson, A. | O'Keefe | Turner |
| DeMedio | Hutchinson, W. | Oliver | Ustynoski |
| Deverter | Itkin | Pancoast | Valicenti |
| Dicarlo | Johnson, J. | Parker, H. S. | Vroon |
| Dietz | Katz | Perri | Walsh, T. P. |
| Dininni | Kelly, A. P. | Petrarca | Wansacz |
| Dombrowski | Kelly, J. B. | Pievsky | Wargo |
| Dorr | Kernick | Pitts | Weidner |
| Doyle | Kistler | Polite | Westerberg |
| Eckensberger | Klingaman | Pratt | Whelan |
| Englehart | Knepper | Prendergast | Wilson |
| Fawcett | Kolter | Pyles | Wilt, R. W. |
| Fee | Kowalyshyn | Ravenstahl | Wilt, W. W. |
| Fischer | Kusse | Reed | Wojdak |
| Fisher | Laudadio | Renninger | Worrilow |
| Flaherty | Laughlin | Richardson | Wright |
| Foster, A. | Lederer | Riegar | Yahner |
| Foster, W. | Lehr | Ritter | Yohn |
| Fryer | Letterman | Ritter | Zearfoss |
| Gallagher | Lincoln | Ross | Zeller |
| Gallen | Lynch | Ruggiero | Zwickl |
| Garzia | Manderino | Ryan | Fineman, Speaker |
| Geesey | Manmiller | Saloom | |
| | McCall | | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—17

- | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Bellomini | LaMarca | Perry | Shelton |
| Cumberland | Levi | Rappaport | Stahl |
| DiDonato | McIntyre | Rhodes | Wagner |
| Dreibelbis | Morris | Schmitt | Zord |
| Irvis | | | |

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

JUDICIARY BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1818, printer's No. 2327, entitled:

An Act amending Title 20 (Decedents Estates and Fiduciaries) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the acknowledgment by testator and affidavits of witnesses to wills and their effect.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

INTERROGATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Kowalyszyn.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask whether the principal sponsor would agree to a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Berson, consent to interrogation?

Mr. BERSON. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Mr. Speaker, the bill provides that the affidavit supporting the self-made will, if it is under the laws of the state where execution occurs, that is, other than the State of Pennsylvania, will be evidenced by the officer's certificate. Would you kindly explain what that means?

Mr. BERSON. Normally a notary records his or her certificate with a clerk of courts. In Pennsylvania, the notary records the appointment with the prothonotary's office and upon request the prothonotary will produce a seal attesting to the fact that that notary has been duly appointed and was a notary at the time the affidavit was taken. Similar practices exist in, I think, all the states where the notary records the appointment of office with a clerk of courts who, in turn, will issue a seal or certification that that person is indeed a notary entitled to take oaths.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Does this mean that along with the will itself at the time it is executed, there will be attached this prothonotary's certificate that the notary is a notary?

Mr. BERSON. No. If your question is whether the court seal certificate is necessary at the time the will is executed, the answer is that my interpretation is "No." My interpretation is that at the time the will is offered for probate, if the register of wills so requires, then that notarial seal will have to be certificated by the clerk of courts if it was executed in some state other than Pennsylvania.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Well, is it not true that the uniform law regarding acceptance of official documents in other states which are notarized ordinarily do require a certificate from the prothonotary that the notary was in fact the notary whose name appears?

Mr. BERSON. Yes, but that may be obtained whenever that action is required. In other words, once the notary's appointment has been recorded in the clerk of courts' office, at subsequent date it can always be attested to that that person was indeed a notary on the date they notarized the affidavits to the deed.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Now is it not true that if this is the case, a prothonotary's certificate will have to accompany a will offered for probate here in Pennsylvania.

a prothonotary's certificate from some county outside the state, that it will depend upon the availability of such a certificate and it may be that a number of years have elapsed since the notary in fact served as a notary and there may be a problem securing such a certificate?

Mr. BERSON. Well, unless the person dies within a very short time of making the will, it is possible that a period of time will elapse between the making of the will and the probating of the will, yes.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Now I would like to ask you about the reverse situation. Is it the intent to make use of this kind of a will for possible probate in other states?

Mr. BERSON. Well, no. Obviously we cannot pass legislation that will control the probate procedure in other states; we can only control the probate procedure here in Pennsylvania.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. Yes. I am only directing your attention please to the requirement of support that the affidavit is that of a valid notary, namely, supported by a prothonotary's certificate, and my question specifically is: Would it not be better to add as an additional requirement that a certificate of the prothonotary accompany these affidavits at the time of execution of the will?

Mr. BERSON. No, I do not think so because they are always available. Once a notary records his appointment with a clerk of courts, as they are uniformly required to do, that is a permanent court record which exists indefinitely into the future. Therefore, a certificate is always available to attest to the fact that that person was indeed a notary on the date they notarized this particular affidavit.

Mr. KOWALYSHYN. All right. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—180

Abraham	Geisler	McCue	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	George	McGinnis	Saloom
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGraw	Scheaffer
Barber	Gillespie	McIntyre	Schweder
Bennett	Gillette	McLane	Seitica
Beren	Gleeson	Mebus	Seltzer
Berlin	Goodman	Menhorn	Shane
Berson	Green	Milanovich	Shelhamer
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Bonetto	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shupnik
Bradley	Gring	Milliron	Sirianni
Brandt	Halverson	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Mrkonic	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen	Stapleton
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Stout
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Novak	Taylor
Cole	Hill	Noye	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Brien	Toll
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	O'Keefe	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Oliver	Valicenti
Deverter	Katz	Pancoast	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. E.	Perri	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Petrarca	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pievscky	Wegner
Dorr	Klingaman	Pitts	Westenberg
Doyle	Knepper	Polite	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Engelhart	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Witt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Pyles	Witt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Rappaport	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Worrlow

Fisher	Lederer	Reed	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renninger	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Renwick	Zearfos
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Richardson	Zeller
Fryer	Lynch	Rieger	Zwikel
Gallagher	Manderino	Ritter	
Gallen	Manmiller	Ross	Fineman.
Garzia	McCall	Ruggiero	Speaker
Geesey	McClatchy		

NAYS—3

Gleason	Salvatore	Yohn
---------	-----------	------

NOT VOTING—14

Bellomini	Irvis	Perry	Shelton
Cumberland	LaMarca	Rhodes	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Schmitt	Zord
Dreibelbis	Morris		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2030, printer's No. 2661, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, changing the penalties for theft of services and the passing of bad checks.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the prime sponsor of this bill?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell, respond to interrogation on House bill No. 2030?

Mr. O'DONNELL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, could you explain to the members of this House exactly what this bill means? I am a little disturbed with the contents of the piece of legislation which indicate that a person who has a bad check or services a bad check to the bank would then be confined to 1 year imprisonment and a fine not exceeding \$2,500. I am not quite clear on the bill and what it means.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, basically the bill does two things: It changes the penalties on two types of crimes—bad checks and thefts of services.

The theft-of-services provision applies only to services, the value being under \$50. It changes it from a misdemeanor to a summary offense. The bad-check limitation for summary offenses is raised to \$1,000.

Now the purpose of the bill is to take a category of offenses which has been regarded as minimal and place them within the summary offense procedure rather than the misdemeanor procedure, and the rationale for that is this: We, at least in Philadelphia, have had an increasing volume of these cases. The courts have been tied down dealing with these matters, whereas they could be dealt with far more efficiently by being put in front

of a district justice or the municipal court in Philadelphia who would be much better able to handle the volume.

Second, we anticipate a much higher volume in this type of crime during the coming year with all the Bicentennial visitors.

The third rationale is this: In cases where the matter is not considered significant by the district attorney who has to allocate his time and he has a bad-check offense or a theft of services offense and also a rape, assault and battery, et cetera, he will be likely to either nol pros or get rid of or plea bargain these small offenses, leaving the offended person with no real remedy. But if we can get these offenses quickly in front of the municipal court or a district justice, then we can have restitution and a quick resolution of the case.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(William Shane) IN THE CHAIR**

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I am concerned about the amount of penalties that are serviced in this particular bill under a summary offense. One, I would like to know what your definition of a "bad check" is in relationship to how the courts proceed on it. It seems to me that what you are saying and how folks are reading it—or at least as it was brought to my attention—is that if they write a bad check and it bounces, they are brought into court.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, the effect of this bill—and it only has one effect—is to reduce the penalty for two types of offenses—small bad checks and small thefts of services. The only effect of this bill is to reduce the penalty from misdemeanor to summary offense. That is it. It in no way changes the definition of the law; it does not change the nature of a bad check; it does not have any effect other than to reduce the penalty and the class of offense. It reduces the penalty.

Mr. RICHARDSON. So you are indicating that this is already the law and you are just changing the status of offenses?

Mr. O'DONNELL. Right. Just changing from a misdemeanor to a summary offense.

Mr. RICHARDSON. We thought that this had something specifically to do with a person who wrote a bad check, that they would automatically receive this kind of fine.

Mr. O'DONNELL. No, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Okay. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—112

Abraham	Gallagher	Lincoln	Rieger
Arthurs	Garzia	Manderino	Ritter
Barber	Geisler	McCall	Ross
Bellomini	Giannararo	McCue	Ruggiero
Bennett	Gillespie	McGraw	Schweder
Beren	Gillette	McIntyre	Scirica
Bertin	Gleason	McLane	Shane
Berson	Gleason	McBus	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Green	Milanovich	Shupnik
Bradley	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Sirlanni

Brandt	Hammock	Milliron	Stapleton
Brunner	Haskell	Miscevich	Taylor
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	Mrkonjc	Thomas
Cessar	Hill	Mullen	Toll
Cohen	Hopkins	Mullen, M. P.	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Musto	Valicenti
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Myers	Walsh, T. P.
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, J.	Novak	Wansacz
Dicarlo	Katz	O'Donnell	Wargo
Dombrowaki	Kelly, A. P.	O'Keefe	Whelan
Dorr	Kelly, J. B.	Oliver	Wilt, R. W.
Doyle	Kernick	Parker, H. S.	Wojdak
Eckensberger	Kistler	Petrarca	Zearfoss
Englehart	Knepper	Pievsky	Zeller
Fee	Kolter	Pratt	Zwinkl
Fischer	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	
Fisher	Laudadio	Rappaport	Fineman,
Flaherty	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Fryer	Lederer		

NAYS—69

Anderson, J. H.	Halverson	Noye	Shuman
Bittle	Hamilton, J. H.	O'Brien	Smith, E.
Burns	Hasay	O'Connell	Smith, L.
Butera	Hayes, S. E.	Pancoast	Spencer
Cimini	Hepford	Perri	Stahl
Crawford	Itkin	Pitts	Taddonio
Davies	Klingaman	Polite	Turner
Deverter	Kusse	Pyles	Ustynoski
Dietz	Lehr	Reed	Vroon
Dininni	Letterman	Renninger	Weidner
Fawcett	Lynch	Renwick	Westerberg
Foster, A.	Manmiller	Richardson	Wilson
Gallen	McClatchy	Ryan	Wilt, W. W.
Geesey	McGinnis	Saloom	Worrilow
George	Menhorn	Salvatore	Wright
Goodman	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Scheaffer	Yahner
Grieco	Moehlmann	Seltzer	Yohn
Gring			

NOT VOTING—16

Cumberland	Foster, W.	Morris	Shelton
DeMedio	Irvis	Perry	Stout
DiDonato	LaMarca	Rhodes	Wagner
Dreibelbis	Levi	Schmitt	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

STATE GOVERNMENT BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 499, printer's No. 553, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of Property and Supplies, with approval of the Governor and the Secretary of Transportation, to sell and convey certain lots or tracts of ground with improvements thereon situate in the Borough of Tarentum, Allegheny County.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Abraham	George	McGinnis	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Giammarco	McGraw	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gillespie	McIntyre	Schweder
Barber	Gillette	McLane	Scirica

Bennett	Gleason	Mebus	Seltzer
Beran	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shane
Berlin	Goodman	Milanovich	Shelhamer
Berson	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shelton
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shuman
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Shupnik
Bradley	Gring	Miscevich	Sirianni
Brandt	Halverson	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonjc	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Mullen	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Stapleton
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Stout
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Noye	Taylor
Cole	Hill	O'Brien	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Connell	Toll
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	Oliver	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Valicenti
Deverter	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Pievsky	Wargo
Dombrowaki	Kistler	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Westerberg
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilson
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Reed	Worrilow
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zeller
Gallagher	Manderino	Ross	Zwinkl
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	
Garzia	McCall	Ryan	Fineman,
Geesey	McClatchy	Saloom	Speaker
Geisler	McCue		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Bellomini	Irvis	Morris	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zord
Dreibelbis			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1474, printer's No. 1728, entitled:

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing additional contract areas for the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Abraham	George	McGinnis	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Giammarco	McGraw	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gillespie	McIntyre	Schweder
Barber	Gillette	McLane	Scirica
Bennett	Gleason	Mebus	Seltzer

Beren	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shanc
Berlin	Goodman	Milanovich	Shelhamer
Berson	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shelton
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shuman
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Shupnik
Bradley	Gring	Miscevich	Sirianni
Brandt	Halverson	Mochlmann	Smith, E.
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonic	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Mullen	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Stapleton
Cassar	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Stout
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Noye	Taylor
Cole	Hill	O'Brien	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Connell	Toll
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	Oliver	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Valicenti
Deverter	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Pievsky	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Westenberg
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilson
Engelhart	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Reed	Worrilow
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zeller
Gallagher	Manderino	Ross	Zwtkl
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	
Garzia	McCall	Ryan	Fineman, Speaker
Geesey	McClatchy	Saloom	
Geisler	McCue		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Bellommi	Irvia	Morris	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zord
Dreibelbis			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1690, printer's No. 2126, entitled:**

An Act amending the "City Property Sale Regulation Law," approved July 27, 1955 (P. L. 288, No. 104), making the act available to cities of the second class A, boroughs, towns and townships.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, I have amendments for that bill. I gave a copy to Mr. Manderino. I have given a copy to Mr. Bittle.

I discussed my amendment in the Republican caucus, but I do not know if they have been discussed in the Democratic caucus. They are really quite simple, and I will be happy to describe them on the floor, if need be.

DECISION OF CHAIR RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair reconsiders its

decision as to the bill having been agreed to the third time.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MEBUS requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, line 17, by removing the period after "townships" and inserting: and authorizing a fee for certificates.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 3), page 5, by inserting between lines 12 and 13:

(c) The municipality may charge a fee not to exceed ten dollars for the issuance of the certificate. The certificate shall be valid for a period of one hundred eighty days from date of issuance.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, House bill No. 1690 deals with permitting the municipalities to pass an ordinance requiring that a certificate be issued indicating what, if any, violations of building codes, et cetera, exist on a given property prior to its transfer. It is not unlike that which is permitted in first, second, and third class cities at the present time. However, this amendment puts a limitation as to the fee that a municipality can charge for the issuing of such certificate.

The limitation indicated in this amendment is a fee of \$10, which I do believe is not inconsistent with that charged by Philadelphia, though it is lower than some of those charged in other municipalities in the Commonwealth.

I think that it is proper to charge a fee for administrative costs, but I do not believe that it is proper for any municipality to use this as a fund raiser, and for that reason I am asking that this amendment be adopted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I had no idea that the gentleman was going to offer this amendment. I do not know what my municipality charges for those same fees. If the gentleman wants to offer the amendment today, I would ask that the bill and the amendment be placed on the table.

Mr. MEBUS. I have no objection.

I was not aware that the bill was necessarily going to be called up today. When this matter arose I had the amendment prepared, but I do not think that is an unreasonable request on the part of the gentleman from Lehigh. I will be happy to discuss it with him between now and then.

The amendment has not been distributed, so I think that is a perfectly reasonable request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman withdraw his amendments?

Mr. MEBUS. It was Mr. Ritter's suggestion that the amendment and the bill—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. —go over in order and be taken up tomorrow.

Mr. MEBUS. That would be satisfactory with me if it is with the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

HOUSE BILL No. 1690 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill, together with the amendment, will go over in order.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1752, printer's No. 2654, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of Property and Supplies, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Environmental Resources, to convey a tract of land in Lower Yoder Township, Cambria County, in exchange for another tract located in the same township.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Abraham	George	McGinnis	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Giammarco	McGraw	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gillespie	McIntyre	Schweder
Barber	Gillette	McLane	Scirica
Bennett	Gleason	Mebus	Seltzer
Beren	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shane
Berlin	Goodman	Milanovich	Shelhamer
Berson	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shelton
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shuman
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Shupnik
Bradley	Gring	Miscevich	Sirianni
Brandt	Halverson	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonic	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Mullen	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Stapleton
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Stout
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Noye	Taylor
Cole	Hill	O'Brien	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Connell	Toll
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Ikin	Pancoast	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Parker, H. S.	Valcenti
Deverter	Katz	Perri	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Pievscky	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Pitts	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kistler	Polite	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Pratt	Westerberg
Doyle	Knepper	Prendergast	Wilson
Eckensberger	Kolter	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fee	Laudadio	Reed	Worrlow
Fischer	Laughlin	Renninger	Wright
Fisher	Lederer	Renwick	Yahner
Flaherty	Lehr	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, A.	Letterman	Rieger	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Ritter	Zeller
Fryer	Lynch	Ross	Zwikel
Gallagher	Manderino	Ruggiero	
Gallen	Manmiller	Ryan	Fineman, Speaker
Garzia	McCall	Saloom	
Geesey	McClatchy		
Geisler	McCue		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Bellomini	Irvs	Morris	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zord
Dreibelbis			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted

in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1753, printer's No. 2223, entitled:

An Act validating certain tax sales and county treasurer's deeds where there was no personal service on the owner.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—177

Abraham	George	McCall	Ruggiero
Anderson, J. H.	Giammarco	McClatchy	Saloom
Arthurs	Gillespie	McCue	Salvatore
Barber	Gillette	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Bennett	Gleason	McGraw	Schweder
Beren	Gleeson	McIntyre	Scirica
Berlin	Goodman	McLane	Seltzer
Berson	Green	Mebus	Shane
Bittle	Greenfield	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Grieco	Milanovich	Shelton
Bradley	Gring	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Brunner	Halverson	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shupnik
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Milliron	Sirianni
Butera	Hammock	Mochlmann	Smith, E.
Cessar	Hasay	Mrkonic	Smith, L.
Cimini	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen	Stahl
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	Musto	Stapleton
Cowell	Hepford	Myers	Stout
Crawford	Hill	Novak	Taddonio
Davies	Hopkins	Noye	Taylor
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien	Thomas
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Toll
Deverter	Ikin	O'Keefe	Trello
Dicarlo	Johnson, J.	Oliver	Turner
Dietz	Katz	Pancoast	Ustynoski
Dininni	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Valcenti
Dombrowski	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Vroon
Dorr	Kernick	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Doyle	Kistler	Pievscky	Wansacz
Eckensberger	Klingaman	Pitts	Wargo
Englehart	Knepper	Polite	Weidner
Fawcett	Kolter	Pratt	Westerberg
Fee	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Wilson
Fischer	Kusse	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fisher	Laudadio	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Flaherty	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Foster, A.	Lehr	Reed	Worrlow
Foster, W.	Lehr	Renninger	Wright
Fryer	Letterman	Renwick	Yahner
Gallagher	Lincoln	Richardson	Yohn
Gallen	Lynch	Rieger	Zearfoss
Garzia	Manderino	Ritter	Zeller
Geesey	Manmiller	Ross	Zwikel
Geisler			

NAYS—1

Zearfoss

NOT VOTING—19

Bellomini	Irvs	O'Donnell	Wagner
Brandt	LaMarca	Perry	Zord
Caputo	Levi	Rhodes	
Cumberland	Miscevich	Ryan	Fineman, Speaker
DiDonato	Morris	Schmitt	
Dreibelbis			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted

in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. CAPUTO. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, I was locked out on the last vote, on House bill No. 1753, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The clerk will record the gentleman's affirmative vote.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Fineman.

Mr. FINEMAN. Mr. Speaker, I was inadvertently locked out and would likewise like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1772, printer's No. 2275, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of Property and Supplies, with the approval of the Department of Public Welfare and the Governor, to convey to the Township of Newton 7.091 acres of land more or less situate in the Township of Newton, Lackawanna County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

Abraham, George, McGinnis, Scheaffer, Anderson, J. H., Giammarco, McGraw, Schweder, Arthurs, Gillespie, McIntyre, Scirica, Barber, Gillette, McLane, Seltzer, Bennett, Gleason, Mebus, Shane, Beren, Gleeson, Menhorn, Shelhamer, Berlin, Goodman, Milanovitch, Shelton, Berson, Green, Miller, M. E., Shuman, Bittle, Greenfield, Miller, M. E., Jr., Shupnik, Bonetto, Grieco, Milliron, Sirianni, Bradley, Gring, Mischevich, Smith, E., Brandt, Halverson, Moechmann, Smith, L., Brunner, Hamilton, J. H., Mrkonie, Spencer, Burns, Hammock, Mullen, M. P., Stahl, Butera, Hasay, Musto, Stapleton, Caputo, Haskell, Myers, Stout, Cessar, Hayes, D. S., Novak, Taddonio, Cimini, Hayes, S. E., Taylor, Thomas, Cohen, Hepford, O'Brien, Toll, Cole, Hill, O'Connell, Trello, Cowell, Hopkins, O'Donnell, Turner, Crawford, Hutchinson, A., O'Keefe, Turney, Davis, D. M., Hutchinson, W., Oliver, Ustynoski, DeMedio, Itkin, Pancoast, Valicenti, Deverter, Johnson, J., Parker, H. S., Vroon, Dicarlo, Katz, Perri, Walsh, T. P.

Dietz, Kelly, A. P., Petrarca, Wansacz, Dininni, Kelly, J. B., Pievsky, Wargo, Dombrowski, Kernick, Pitts, Weldner, Dorr, Kistler, Polite, Westerberg, Doyle, Klingaman, Pratt, Whelan, Eckensberger, Kolter, Prendergast, Wilson, Englehart, Kowalyshyn, Pyles, Wilt, R. W., Fawcett, Kusse, Rappaport, Wilt, W. W., Fee, Laudadio, Ravenstahl, Wojdak, Fischer, Laughlin, Reed, Worrlow, Fisher, Lederer, Renninger, Wright, Flaherty, Lehr, Renwick, Yahner, Foster, A., Letterman, Richardson, Yohn, Foster, W., Lincoln, Rieger, Zearfoss, Fryer, Lynch, Ritter, Zeller, Gallagher, Manderino, Ross, Zwick, Gallen, Manmiller, Ruggiero, Fineman, Garzia, McCall, Ryan, Speaker, Geesey, McClatchy, Saloom, Geisler, McCue

NAYS—1

Knepper

NOT VOTING—16

Bellommi, Dreibelbis, Morris, Salvatore, Cumberland, Irvis, Mullen, Schmitt, Davies, LaMarca, Perry, Wagner, DiDonato, Levi, Rhodes, Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2039, printer's No. 2662, entitled:

An Act providing for the acceptance by the Governor of jurisdiction relinquished by the United States to the Commonwealth over lands within the Commonwealth's boundaries.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Abraham, Giammarco, McGinnis, Salvatore, Anderson, J. H., Gillespie, McGraw, Scheaffer, Arthurs, Gillette, McIntyre, Schweder, Barber, Gleason, McLane, Scirica, Bennett, Gleeson, Mebus, Seltzer, Beren, Goodman, Menhorn, Shane, Berlin, Milanovitch, Shelhamer, Berson, Greenfield, Miller, M. E., Shelton, Bittle, Grieco, Miller, M. E., Jr., Shuman, Bonetto, Gring, Milliron, Shupnik, Bradley, Halverson, Mischevich, Sirianni, Brandt, Hamilton, J. H., Moechmann, Smith, E., Brunner, Hammock, Mrkonie, Smith, L., Burns, Hasay, Mullen, M. P., Spencer, Butera, Haskell, Mullen, Stahl, Caputo, Hayes, D. S., Musto, Stapleton, Cessar, Hayes, S. E., Myers, Stout, Cimini, Hepford, Novak, Taddonio, Cohen, Hill, Noye, Taylor, Cole, Hopkins, O'Brien, Thomas, Cowell, Hutchinson, A., O'Connell, Toll, Crawford, Hutchinson, W., O'Donnell, Trello, Davies, Itkin, O'Keefe, Turner, Davis, D. M., Johnson, J., Oliver, Ustynoski, DeMedio, Katz, Pancoast, Valicenti, Deverter, Kelly, A. P., Parker, H. S., Vroon

Dicarlo	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kernick	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dininni	Kistler	Pievsky	Wargo
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Knepper	Polite	Westerberg
Doyle	Kolter	Pratt	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Wilson
Englehart	Kusse	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Laudadio	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laughlin	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Lederer	Reed	WorriLOW
Fisher	Lehr	Renninger	Wright
Foster, A.	Letterman	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Richardson	Yohn
Flaherty	Lynch	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fryer	Manderino	Ritter	Zeller
Gallagher	Manmiller	Ross	Zwilk
Garzia	McCall	Ruggiero	
Geesey	McClatchy	Ryan	Fineman,
Geisler	McCue	Saloom	Speaker
George			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—14

Bellomini	Gallen	Morris	Schmitt
Cumberland	Irvis	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	LaMarca	Rhodes	Zord
Dreibelbis	Levi		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

THE SPEAKER (Herbert Fineman) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Shane, for ably presiding temporarily.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 575, printer's No. 606**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 26, 1785 (2 Sm. L. 299, Cr. MCXXXIV), entitled "An act for the limitation of actions to be brought for the inheritance or possession of real property or upon penal acts of Assembly," reducing certain age requirements.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Abraham	George	McCue	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Gillespie	McGraw	Schweder
Barber	Gillette	McIntyre	Scirica
Bennett	Gleason	McLane	Seltzer
Beren	Gleeson	Mebus	Shanc
Berlin	Goodman	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Berson	Green	Milanovich	Shelton
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Bonetto	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shupnik
Bradley	Gring	Milliron	Sirianni
Brandt	Halverson	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Mrkenic	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Mullen	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Stout
Cimint	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Novak	Taylor

Cole	Hill	Noye	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Brien	Toib
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	Oliver	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Valicenti
Deverter	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Pievsky	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Westerberg
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilson
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Reed	WorriLOW
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zeller
Gallagher	Manderino	Ross	Zwilk
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	
Garzia	McCall	Ryan	Fineman,
Geesey	McClatchy	Saloom	Speaker
Geisler			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—14

Bellomini	Irvis	O'Donnell	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zord
Dreibelbis	Morris		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

MRS. CRAWFORD REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. The Chair asks the lady, Mrs. Crawford, to temporarily preside.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Patricia A. Crawford) IN THE CHAIR

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 576, printer's No. 607**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 27, 1903 (P. L. 105, No. 84), entitled "An act rendering women eligible to the office of commissioner to take acknowledgment of deeds and instruments of writing under seal," reducing certain age requirements.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Abraham	Geisler	McClatchy	Saloom
Anderson, J. H.	George	McCue	Salvatore
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Barber	Gillespie	McGraw	Schweder
Bennett	Gillette	McIntyre	Scirica
Beren	Gleason	McLane	Seltzer

Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shane
Berson	Goodman	Menhorn	Sheilhamer
Bittle	Green	Milanovich	Shelton
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Bradley	Gring	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shupnik
Brandt	Halverson	Milliron	Sirianni
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Burns	Hammock	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Butera	Hasay	Mrkoncic	Spencer
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen	Stahl
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Musto	Stout
Cohen	Hepford	Myers	Taddonio
Cole	Hill	Novak	Taylor
Cowell	Hopkins	Noye	Thomas
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien	Toll
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Trello
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	O'Keefe	Turner
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Oliver	Ustynoski
Deverter	Katz	Pancoast	Valicenti
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dininni	Kernick	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pitts	Wargo
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Weidner
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Westerberg
Eckensberger	Kolter	Prendergast	Whelan
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Reed	Worrlow
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zeller
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zwikel
Gallagher	Manderino	Ross	
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	Fineman, Speaker
Garzia	McCall	Ryan	
Geesey			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—18

Bellomini	Irvis	Perry	Wagner
Cumberland	LaMarca	Plevsky	Wilson
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zearfoss
Dreibelbis	Morris	Schmitt	Zord
Grieco	O'Donnell		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 615, printer's No. 1526, entitled:**

An Act amending the act of April 29, 1937 (P. L. 487, No. 115), entitled, as amended, "The Permanent Registration Act for Cities of the Second Class, Cities of the Second Class A, Cities of the Third Class, Boroughs, Towns and Townships," further providing for the registration of electors.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

SENATE BILL No. 615 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Armstrong, Mr. McCue. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. McCUE. Madam Speaker, would the Chair pass over this bill? I would like to prepare amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill will go over in order.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 977, printer's No. 1134, entitled:**

An Act amending the act of July 14, 1970 (P. L. 485, No. 165), entitled "An act removing for a limited time the statutory limits imposed upon interest rates and interest costs to be paid on obligations issued by State and local governments, authorities, agencies and instrumentalities," changing the time limit.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

SENATE BILL No. 977 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RAPPAPORT. To ask that the bill go over. I would like to prepare an amendment to the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill will go over in order.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

CONSUMER PROTECTION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 649, printer's No. 2631, entitled:**

An Act repealing clause (5) of subsection (e) of section 208, of "The Insurance Department Act of one thousand nine hundred and twenty-one," approved May 17, 1921 (P. L. 789, No. 285), further providing for transactions in this Commonwealth involving group or blanket insurance or group annuities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Abraham	Geisler	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Anderson, J. H.	George	McCue	Schweder
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scirica
Barber	Gillespie	McGraw	Seitzer
Bennett	Gillette	McIntyre	Shane
Beren	Gleason	McLane	Sheilhamer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shelton
Berson	Goodman	Menhorn	Shuman
Bittle	Green	Milanovich	Shupnik
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Sirianni
Bradley	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Smith, E.
Brandt	Gring	Milliron	Smith, L.
Brunner	Halverson	Miscevich	Spencer
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Stahl
Butera	Hammock	Mrkoncic	Stapleton
Caputo	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Cessar	Haskell	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taylor
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Thomas
Cole	Hepford	Novak	Toll
Cowell	Hill	Noye	Trello
Crawford	Hopkins	O'Brien	Turner
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Ustynoski
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Valicenti
DeMedio	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Deverter	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Walsh, T. P.
Dicarlo	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Wargo
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Weidner
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pitts	Westerberg
Dorr	Kistler	Polite	Whelan
Doyle	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilson
Eckensberger	Knepper	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.

Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Rappaport	Wojdak
Fee	Kusse	Ravenstahl	Worrlow
Fischer	Laudadio	Reed	Wright
Fisher	Laughlin	Renninger	Yahner
Flaherty	Lederer	Renwick	Yohn
Foster, A.	Lehr	Richardson	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Letterman	Ritter	Zeller
Fryer	Lincoln	Ross	Zwilk
Gallagher	Lynch	Ruggiero	
Gallen	Manderino	Ryan	Fineman,
Garzia	Manmiller	Saloom	Speaker
Geesey	McCall	Salvatore	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—16

Bellomini	Irvis	O'Donnell	Rieger
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	Pievsky	Wagner
Dreibelbis	Morris	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Wilt. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. W. W. WILT. I rise to a point of inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. W. W. WILT. Madam Speaker, there is a rumor going around in this area that there is a deal between Speaker Fineman and yourself, that if he would permit you to serve as temporary Speaker, you would withdraw as a candidate for state treasurer. Is this correct?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Wilt, it is true there is a deal, but that is not the deal.

Mr. WILT. Was the "Sunshine" law obeyed when this deal was made?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin and Cumberland, Mr. Bittle.

Mr. BITTLE. Madam Speaker, I just want to inquire whether the real Speaker would like to respond?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All right, if you all want to know, according to the provisions of the "Sunshine" Act, the deal is that Herb is going to be my first assistant.

URBAN AFFAIRS BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1373, printer's No. 1602, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), regulating the suspension, removal and reinstatement of county detectives.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—57

Barber	Geisler	McGraw	Ross
Berlin	Giammarco	McIntyre	Ruggiero
Berson	Green	McLane	Saloom
Brunner	Greenfield	Mullen, M. P.	Shane
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen	Shelton
Caputo	Hutchinson, A.	Musto	Shupnik
Davis, D. M.	Katz	O'Brien	Taylor
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Toll
Dombrowski	Kernick	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Fawcett	Kolter	Pratt	Wargo
Fee	Laudadio	Prendergast	Wheelan
Fischer	Lederer	Reed	Wilson
Flaherty	Letterman	Renninger	Wojdak
Gallagher	Lincoln	Rieger	Wright
Garzia			

NAYS—121

Abraham	Gillespie	McCue	Shelhamer
Anderson, J. H.	Gillette	McGinnis	Shuman
Arthurs	Gleason	Mebus	Sirianni
Bennett	Gleeson	Menhorn	Smith, E.
Bittle	Goodman	Milanovich	Smith, L.
Bonetto	Grieco	Miller, M. E.	Spencer
Bradley	Gring	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Stahl
Brandt	Halverson	Milliron	Stapleton
Butera	Hammock	Muscevic	Stout
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taddonio
Cimini	Haskell	Mrkonic	Thomas
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Trello
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Turner
Cowell	Hepford	Noye	Ustynoski
Crawford	Hill	O'Connell	Valicenti
Davies	Hopkins	O'Keefe	Vroon
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Wansacz
Deverter	Itkin	Parker, H. S.	Weidner
Dietz	Johnson, J.	Pitts	Westerberg
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Polite	Wilt, R. W.
Dorr	Kistler	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Doyle	Klingaman	Rappaport	Worrlow
Eckensberger	Knepper	Renwick	Yahner
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Richardson	Yohn
Fisher	Kusse	Ritter	Zearfoss
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Ryan	Zeller
Foster, W.	Lehr	Salvatore	Zwilk
Fryer	Lynch	Scheaffer	
Gallen	Manmiller	Schweder	Fineman,
Geesey	McCall	Scirca	Speaker
George	McClatchy	Seltzer	

NOT VOTING—19

Bellomini	Irvis	O'Donnell	Rhodes
Beren	LaMarca	Oliver	Schmitt
Cumberland	Levi	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Manderino	Pievsky	Zord
Dreibelbis	Morris	Ravenstahl	

Less than the majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2004, printer's No. 2660, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), providing that no elected county officer or county solicitor in counties of the third class shall at the same time hold any other elected county office.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—143

Abraham	Giammarco	McGinnis	Ruggiero
Arthurs	Gillespie	McGraw	Ryan
Barber	Gillette	McIntyre	Saloom
Bellomini	Gleason	McLane	Salvatore
Bennett	Gleeson	Mebus	Scheaffer
Beren	Goodman	Menhorn	Schweder
Berlin	Greenfield	Milanovich	Scitrica
Berson	Green	Milliron	Shane
Bonetto	Gring	Miscevich	Shelton
Bradley	Halverson	Moehlmann	Shuman
Brandt	Hammock	Mrkonje	Shupnik
Brunner	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Sirianni
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen	Smith, E.
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Stapleton
Cohen	Hill	Myers	Stout
Cole	Hopkins	Novak	Taylor
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Thomas
Crawford	Hutchinson, W.	O'Brien	Toll
Davies	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Trello
Davis, D. M.	Katz	O'Donnell	Valicenti
DeMedio	Kelly, A. P.	O'Keefe	Vroon
Dininni	Kistler	Oliver	Walsh, T. P.
Dombrowaki	Klingaman	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dorr	Kolter	Perri	Wargo
Doyle	Kowalshyn	Petrarea	Weidner
Eckensberger	Kusse	Pitts	Whelan
Fawcett	Laudadio	Polite	Wilt, R. W.
Fee	Lederer	Pratt	Wilt, W. W.
Fischer	Lehr	Pyles	Wojdak
Flaherty	Letterman	Rappaport	Worrlow
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Foster, W.	Lynch	Reed	Zearfoss
Gallagher	Manderino	Renwick	Zwikl
Garzia	Manmiller	Richardson	
Geesey	McCall	Rieger	Fineman,
Geisler	McClatchy	Ross	Speaker
George			

NAYS—37

Anderson, J. H.	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Stahl
Bittle	Hayes, S. E.	Parker, H. S.	Taddonio
Burns	Hepford	Prendergast	Turner
Butera	Itkin	Renninger	Ustynoski
Cimini	Kelly, J. B.	Ritter	Westerberg
Dietz	Kernick	Seltzer	Wilson
Fisher	Knopper	Shelhamer	Wright
Fryer	Laughlin	Smith, L.	Yohn
Gallen	McCue	Spencer	Zeller
Grieco			

NOT VOTING—17

Cumberland	Englehart	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Rhodes
Deverter	Irvis	Morris	Schmitt
Dicarlo	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Pievsky	Zord
Dreibelbis			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. I rise to a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RITTER. Madam Speaker, on the bill that we just acted on, House bill No. 2004, the title of the bill says that no elected county officer, et cetera, shall, at the same time, hold any other elected county office, and yet in the last line of the bill, on page 1, it says "nor, as a member of any board of health". Now, it seems to me that boards of health are not necessarily elected, and I

am just wondering if there is a defect in the title of the bill. It is misleading, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. If the gentleman had raised the point of order seasonably, it would have been proper to have considered it, but the vote has already been taken and it is now too late. Therefore, the point of order is not well taken.

THE SPEAKER (Herbert Fineman) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to extend its thanks to the lady for presiding so ably.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I think a number of us voted with a misapprehension on that bill and, perhaps, if we take another vote, it will save a lot of us from getting up and changing our vote.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Ritter, present a written motion for reconsideration of the vote to the Chair, properly seconded, and the question will be put again.

LABOR RELATIONS BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1431, printer's No. 1684, entitled:

An Act amending the "Child Labor Law," approved May 13, 1915 (P. L. 286, No. 177), changing the applicability of the act to members of volunteer fire companies in certain instances.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

HOUSE BILL No. 1431 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Ruggiero.

Mr. RUGGIERO. Mr. Speaker, my amendment is not yet ready. I would like to have the bill passed over.

The SPEAKER. All right. This bill will be temporarily passed over.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1971, printer's No. 2530, entitled:

An Act amending the "Unemployment Compensation Law," approved December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp. Sess. 1937, P. L. 2897, No. 1), changing the period required for certain decisions to become final.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. VALICENTI requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 18 and 19:

Section 1. Subsections (c) and (e) of section 501 and section 502, act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp. Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), known as the "Unemployment Compensation Law," subsection (c) of section 501, amended May 29, 1945 (P. L. 1145, No. 408) and June 6, 1975 (No. 3), subsection (e) of section 501, amended September 27, 1971 (P. L. 460, No. 108) and section 502, amended December 5, 1974 (P. L. 771, No. 262), are amended to read: Section 501. Determination of Compensation Appeals.

—* * *

(c) The department shall promptly examine each claim for compensation and on the basis of the facts found by it shall determine whether or not the claim is valid.

Notice of such determination need not be given to the claimant if the claim is determined valid, but if the claim is determined invalid, notice shall be given by the department in writing to the claimant stating that the claim is invalid and the reason therefor.

Notice of such determination need not be given to any base-year employer or last employer of the claimant unless such base-year employer or last employer has filed with the department information in writing which might raise a question as to the eligibility of the claimant for any reason other than his failure to comply with the provisions of section four hundred one (a), in which event notice shall be given as provided herein.

If an employer files with the department such information within [ten] fifteen days after notice required under section five hundred one (a) or (b) was delivered to him personally, or was mailed to his last known post office address, the department shall issue to such employer (i) a notice in writing of its determination with respect to each claim which is filed by the claimant for a week, the first day of which is on or before the date on which such information is filed, and (ii) a notice in writing of its determination with respect to the first valid claim which is filed by the claimant during the claimant's benefit year for a week, the last day of which is subsequent to the date on which such information is filed.

If an employer files with the department such information more than [ten] fifteen days after notice required under section five hundred one (a) or (b) was delivered to him personally, or was mailed to his last known post office address, the department shall only issue to such employer (i) a notice in writing of its determination with respect to each claim which is filed by the claimant for a week, the first day of which is within the thirty-day period which immediately precedes the date on which such information is filed, and (ii) a notice in writing of its determination with respect to the first valid claim which is filed by the claimant during the claimant's benefit year for a week, the last day of which is subsequent to the date on which such information is filed.

* * *

(e) Unless the claimant or last employer or base-year employer of the claimant files an appeal with the board from the determination contained in any notice required to be furnished by the department under section five hundred and one (a), (c) and (d), within [ten (10)] fifteen calendar days after such notice was delivered to him personally, or was mailed to his last known post office address, and applies for a hearing, such determination of the department, with respect to the particular facts set forth in such notice, shall be final and compensation shall be paid or denied in accordance therewith.

Section 502. Decision of Referee; Further Appeals and Reviews.—Where an appeal from the determination or revised determination, as the case may be, of the department is taken, a referee shall, after affording the parties and the department reasonable opportunity for a fair hearing, affirm, modify, or reverse such findings of fact and the determination or revised determination, as the case may be, of the department as to him shall appear just and proper. The parties and the department shall be duly notified of the referee's decision, and the reasons therefor, which shall be deemed the final decision of the board, unless within [ten] fifteen days after the date of such decision the board acts on its own motion, or upon application, permits any of the parties or the department to institute a further appeal before the board. A memorandum of testimony of any hearing before any referee shall be made and be preserved for a period of ninety days following expiration of the period for filing an appeal from the final decision rendered in the case.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 19, by striking out "1." and inserting: 2.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 19 to 21, by striking out "act of December 5, 1936 (2nd)" in line 19, all of line 20 and "Compensation Law," in line 21.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 23, by striking out "2." and inserting: 3.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Valicenti.

Mr. VALICENTI. These amendments are agreed to, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman explain the amendments briefly? The rules of the House require a brief explanation of amendments when offered.

Can someone briefly explain the amendments?

Will the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, briefly explain the amendments?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I will, Mr. Speaker, but I believe that they may be the same as I was to offer yesterday, and that is my inquiry.

Mr. VALICENTI. They are the same, Mr. O'Connell. They are the same, the ones that you offered yesterday and the ones that we have.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Okay. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Are they the same amendments offered by the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, yesterday?

Mr. Valicenti, are they the same amendments?

Mr. VALICENTI. They are the same, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Were the gentleman's amendments, Mr. O'Connell, explained yesterday? Did Mr. O'Connell explain his amendments yesterday?

Well, there seems to be some confusion. Will the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, briefly explain these amendments?

Mr. O'CONNELL. They are the same, Mr. Speaker. I understand what they do is make the appeal procedures uniform throughout the entire statute and extends them to 15 days uniformly.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?
Amendments were agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Abraham	Geisler	McCue	Scheaffer
Anderson, J. H.	George	McGinnis	Schweder
Arthurs	Giammarco	McGraw	Scirica
Barber	Gillespie	McIntyre	Seltzer
Bellomini	Gillette	McLane	Shane
Bennett	Gleason	Mebus	Shephamer
Beren	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shelton
Berlin	Goodman	Milanovich	Shuman
Berson	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Bittle	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirjanni
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bradley	Gring	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Brandt	Halverson	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonjc	Stahl
Burns	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Caputo	Hasay	Mullen	Stout
Cessar	Haskell	Musto	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Taylor
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Thomas
Cole	Hepford	Nove	Toll
Cowell	Hill	O'Brien	Trello
Crawford	Hopkins	O'Connell	Turner
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Ustynoski
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Valicenti

DeMedio	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Deverter	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Walsh, T. P.
Dicarlo	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Perri	Wargo
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Weidner
Dombrowski	Kernick	Pitts	Westerberg
Dorr	Kistler	Polite	Whelan
Doyle	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilson
Eckensberger	Knepper	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Wojdak
Fee	Kusse	Ravenstahl	Worrilow
Fischer	Laudadio	Reed	Wright
Fisher	Laughlin	Renninger	Yahner
Flaherty	Lederer	Renwick	Yohn
Foster, A.	Lehr	Richardson	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Letterman	Rieger	Zeller
Fryer	Lincoln	Ritter	Zwilk
Gallagher	Lynch	Ross	Fineman,
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	Speaker
Garzia	McCall	Saloom	
Geesey	McClatchy	Salvatore	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—16

Butera	Irvs	Morris	Ryan
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	Plevsky	Wagner
Dreibelbis	Mandertino	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

TRANSPORTATION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1463, printer's No. 1717, entitled:

An Act repealing the act of April 24, 1929 (P. L. 653, No. 279), entitled "An act regulating the operation of certain boats upon lakes wholly within the Commonwealth by requiring the use of lights on all boats at certain hours.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Abraham	Geesey	McClatchy	Saloom
Anderson, J. H.	Geisler	McCue	Salvatore
Arthurs	George	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Barber	Giammarco	McGraw	Schweder
Bellommi	Gillespie	McIntyre	Scirca
Bennett	Gillette	McLane	Seltzer
Beren	Gleason	Mebus	Shane
Berlin	Gleeson	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Berson	Goodman	Milanovich	Shelton
Bittle	Green	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shupnik
Bradley	Grieco	Milliron	Sirianni
Brandt	Gring	Miscevich	Smith, E.
Brunner	Halverson	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonie	Spencer
Butera	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Mullen	Stapleton
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Stout
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Taddonio
Cohen	Hepford	Novak	Taylor
Cole	Hill	Noye	Thomas
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Brien	Toil

Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Trello
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Turner
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	O'Keefe	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Oliver	Valicenti
Deverter	Katz	Pancoast	Vroon
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Wansacz
Dininni	Kernick	Petrarca	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kistler	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Klingaman	Polite	Westerberg
Doyle	Knepper	Pratt	Whelan
Eckensberger	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilson
Englehart	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kusse	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Fischer	Laughlin	Reed	Worrilow
Fisher	Lederer	Renninger	Wright
Flaherty	Lehr	Renwick	Yahner
Foster, A.	Letterman	Richardson	Yohn
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Rieger	Zeller
Fryer	Lynch	Ritter	Zwilk
Gallagher	Manderino	Ross	Fineman,
Gallen	Manmiller	Ruggiero	Speaker
Garzia	McCall	Ryan	

NAYS—2

Hasay Zearfoss

NOT VOTING—13

Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Schmitt
DiDonato	Levi	Plevsky	Wagner
Dreibelbis	Morris	Rhodes	Zord
Irvs			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE ON HOUSE BILL No. 2004

Mr. RITTER moved that the House reconsider the vote on final passage of HOUSE BILL No. 2004, printer's No. 2660.

Mr. M. E. MILLER, JR. seconded the motion.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

HOUSE BILL No. 2004 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, first to repeat my parliamentary inquiry: In the title of the bill, it talks only about "shall not at the same time hold any other elected county office" and the bill itself specifies also that he shall not serve as a member of any board of health. I do not know of any boards of health where the members are elected and I am wondering if there is any inconsistency there?

The SPEAKER. The Chair will afford the gentleman an opportunity to check with the Legislative Reference Bureau on the accuracy of the way the title appears and the bill will go over in order for today.

TRANSPORTATION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 952, printer's No. 1095, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965, P. L. 1656, No. 581), entitled "The Borough Code," providing for an audit report to be filed with the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

- Anderson, J. H. Gelsler
Arthurs George
Barber Giammarco
Bellomini Gillespie
Bennett Gillette
Beren Gleason
Berlin Gleeson
Berson Goodman
Bittle Green
Bonetto Greenfield
Bradley Grieco
Brandt Gring
Brunner Halverson
Burns Hamilton, J. H.
Butera Hammock
Caputo Hasay
Cessar Haskell
Cimini Hayes, D. S.
Cohen Hayes, S. E.
Cole Hepford
Cowell Hill
Crawford Hopkins
Davies Hutchinson, A.
Davis, D. M. Hutchinson, W.
DeMedio Itkin
Deverter Katz
Dicarlo Kelly, A. P.
Dietz Kelly, J. B.
Dininni Kernick
Dombrowski Kistler
Dorr Klingaman
Doyle Knepper
Eckensberger Kolter
Engelhart Kowalshyn
Fawcett Kusse
Fee Laudadio
Fischer Laughlin
Fisher Lederer
Flaherty Lehr
Foster, A. Letterman
Foster, W. Lincoln
Fryer Lynch
Gallagher Manmiller
Gallen McCall
Garzia McClatchy
Geesey McCue

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—17

- Abraham Johnson, J.
Cumberland LaMarca
DiDonato Levi
Dreibelbis Manderino
Irvs Morris
Perry
Pievsky
Pyles
Rhodes
Schmitt
Wagner
Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

MR. M. E. MILLER REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. M. E. Miller, come to the desk for the purpose of temporarily presiding?

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (M. E. Miller) IN THE CHAIR

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Polite. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. POLITE. I rise to a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. POLITE. Mr. Speaker, in caucus we were told that there was an error on today's calendar, that these bills on page 9 were on second consideration. Is that correct?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I am informed, Mr. Polite, that Senate bills Nos. 1050 and 1052 are in error but the others are correctly listed.

Mr. POLITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 163, printer's No. 168, entitled:

An Act amending the "Unit Property Act," approved July 3, 1963 (P. L. 196, No. 117), regulating the submission of existing apartment buildings to the provisions of the act and mandating uniform requirements for units in certain cases.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—115

- Barber Gleason
Bellomini Gleeson
Bennett Goodman
Beren Green
Berlin Greenfield
Berson Halverson
Bonetto Hamilton, J. H.
Bradley Hammock
Brandt Hill
Brunner Hopkins
Butera Hutchinson, A.
Caputo Itkin
Cohen Johnson, J.
Cowell Katz
Davis, D. M. Kelly, A. P.
DeMedio Kernick
Dicarlo Kolter
Dombrowski Kowalshyn
Eckensberger Laudadio
Engelhart Laughlin
Fee Lederer
Fischer Letterman
Flaherty Lincoln
Foster, A. Lynch
Foster, W. Manderino
Fryer Manmiller
Gallagher McCall
Gallen McClatchy
Garzia McCall
Geisler McGinnis
George McGraw
Giammarco McIntyre
Gillespie
Gillette

NAYS—66

- Anderson, J. H. Foster, W.
Arthurs Fryer
Lehr
Manmiller
Richardson
Rieger
Ritter
Ross
Ruggiero
Saloom
Salvatore
Schweder
Shane
Shelton
Shupnik
Stahl
Stapleton
Stout
Taylor
Toll
Trello
Valicenti
Walsh, T. P.
Wansacz
Wargo
Whelan
Wojdak
Yahner
Yohn
Zwickl
Fineman, Speaker

Bittle	Gallen	McClatchy	Spencer
Burns	Geesey	McCue	Taddonio
Cessar	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Thomas
Cimini	Gring	Moehlmann	Turner
Cole	Hasay	Noye	Ustynoski
Crawford	Haskell	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Davies	Hayes, D. S.	Pitts	Weidner
Deverter	Hayes, S. E.	Pyles	Westerberg
Dietz	Hepford	Ryan	Wilson
Dintnnt	Hutchinson, W.	Scheaffer	Wilt, R. W.
Dorr	Kelly, J. B.	Seltzer	Wilt, W. W.
Doyle	Kistler	Shelhamer	Worriow
Fawcett	Klingaman	Shuman	Wright
Fisher	Knepper	Sirianni	Zeller
Foster, A.	Kusse		

NOT VOTING—16

Abraham	Irvis	Perry	Scirica
Cumberland	LaMarca	Pievsky	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zearfoss
Dreibelbis	Morris	Schmitt	Zord

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. McCLATCHY. I inadvertently voted "no." I wanted to vote "yes" on House bill No. 163, printer's No. 163.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will appear in the record.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently voted in favor of that bill. I intended to vote in the negative on House bill No. 163.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger.

Mr. RENNINGER. Mr. Speaker, I am a sponsor on House bill No. 163 and I would like to have my name withdrawn as a sponsor. I would like to be recorded in the negative on that bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Zearfoss.

Mr. ZEARFOSS. I inadvertently did not vote. I would like to be recorded in the negative on House bill No. 163 also.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I believe that is the first time that has ever occurred to you, Mr. Zearfoss. We will certainly spread that upon the record.

REVOTE REQUESTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I wonder, in light of the

many changes, if we could run that again and get a true count on just what the feeling of this General Assembly is on that bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. If the gentleman wishes to make a motion to reconsider, please send it to the desk.

Mr. RYAN. Consider it done. I will bring it right up, Mr. Speaker.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1619, printer's No. 2002, entitled:

An Act amending the act of September 1, 1965 (P. L. 459, No. 235), entitled "An act requiring that certain buildings and facilities constructed with Commonwealth funds adhere to certain principles ***," further providing for limited exemptions from the provisions of this act.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

Anderson, J. H.	Gillespie	McGinnis	Ryan
Arthurs	Gillette	McGraw	Saloom
Barber	Gleason	McIntyre	Salvatore
Bellomini	Gleeson	McLane	Scheaffer
Bennett	Goodman	Mebus	Schweder
Beren	Green	Menhorn	Scirica
Berlin	Greenfield	Milanovich	Seltzer
Berson	Grieco	Miller, M. E.	Shane
Bonetto	Gring	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shelhamer
Bradley	Hamilton, J. H.	Milliron	Shelton
Brandt	Hammock	Miscevich	Shupnik
Brunner	Hasay	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Butera	Haskell	Mrkonic	Smith, E.
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Smith, L.
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen	Spencer
Cohen	Hepford	Musto	Stahl
Cole	Hill	Myers	Stapleton
Cowell	Hopkins	Novak	Stout
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Taddonio
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Brien	Taylor
Davis, D. M.	Itkin	O'Connell	Thomas
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	O'Donnell	Toll
Deverter	Katz	O'Keefe	Trello
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Oliver	Turner
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Pancoast	Ustynoski
Dombrowski	Kernick	Parker, H. S.	Vaicenti
Dorr	Kistler	Perri	Vroon
Doyle	Klingaman	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Eckensberger	Knepper	Pievsky	Wansacz
Englehart	Kolter	Pitts	Wargo
Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Polite	Weidner
Fee	Kusse	Pratt	Westerberg
Fischer	Laudadio	Prendergast	Whelan
Fisher	Laughlin	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Flaherty	Lederer	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ravenstahl	Wojdak
Foster, W.	Letterman	Reed	Worriow
Gallagher	Lincoln	Renninger	Yahner
Gallen	Lynch	Renwick	Yohn
Garzia	Manderino	Richardson	Zeller
Geesey	Manmiller	Rieger	Zwick
Geisler	McCall	Ritter	
George	McClatchy	Ross	Fineman,
Giammarco	McCue	Ruggiero	Speaker

NAYS—8

Burns	Fryer	Shuman	Wright
Dietz	Halverson	Wilson	Zearfoss

NOT VOTING—15

Abraham	DiDonato	Levi	Schmitt
---------	----------	------	---------

Bittle	Drefbelbs	Morris	Wagner
Caputo	Irvis	Perry	Zord
Cumberland	LaMarca	Rhodes	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE ON
HOUSE BILL No. 163

Mr. RYAN moved that the House reconsider the vote on final passage of HOUSE BILL No. 163, printer's No. 163.

Mr. BUTERA seconded the motion.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

HOUSE BILL No. 163 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I have been requested by members on this side of the aisle, as well as a member on the other side of the aisle, to request of the Chair that this House bill No. 163 be held over until tomorrow. The purpose, Mr. Speaker, among other things, is that the chief sponsor of the bill is not present in the hall of the House and I wanted to interrogate Mr. Schmitt on this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, House bill No. 163 will be passed over and considered at a later date.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger.

Mr. RENNINGER. Mr. Speaker, on this whole page 9, my understanding was commensurate with Mr. Polite's, that these were second consideration bills and consequently we did not caucus on them, and I would like very much to have that opportunity before voting on any of them.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has been informed that they are Senate bill No. 1050 and Senate bill No. 1052 and they are being held over, Mr. Renninger.

Mr. RENNINGER. I understand that, Mr. Speaker.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1106, printer's No. 1528, entitled:

An Act providing for the protection of Pennsylvania corporations, shareholders, employees and the public and to prevent fraud and deception by requiring certain persons purchasing equity securities of any corporation incorporated in Pennsylvania or having its principal office and substantial assets located in this Commonwealth to make a full and fair disclosure to offerees of all material information in regard to takeover offers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hepford.

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, as I advised the Chair

yesterday, I had an amendment to Senate bill No. 1106 and there are some other amendments before the House and we have asked that they be distributed. I do not know if it has been done.

Although it is a very minor amendment, we could explain it to the members of the House, if they wish. I do not know as to the other amendments that are technical amendments whether they have been prepared and whether they are available or not.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Do the members have the amendments on their desks?

Mr. HEPFORD. The amendments, I understand, are agreed to, if you wish to take those first.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman send them to the desk, please?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. HEPFORD requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendment, which was read:

Amend Sec. 16, page 17, line 24 by striking out "immediately." and inserting: April 1, 1976.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, would the Chair indicate to me the business before the House at the moment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We are now on Senate bill No. 1106, printer's No. 1528, and the gentleman, Mr. Hepford, submitted what he thought to be agreed-to amendments.

Mr. BENNETT. I beg to differ with the Chair, Mr. Speaker. In conversation with Mr. Hepford, it was my understanding that he had agreed to withdraw his amendments and take the amendments that were offered by myself that were agreed to. Am I incorrect in that?

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman is totally incorrect. As I was presenting these amendments, I was advised by him that there were other amendments submitted to the Chair which have been agreed to. My amendment is not agreed to, and the purpose of my statement was to ask the Chair, if he wished to consider the agreed-to amendments first and then take up my amendment which is not agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you, Mr. Hepford.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Hepford, withdraw his amendment?

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, I temporarily withdraw my amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RITTER. I rise to a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, have any amendments thus far been accepted as agreed to?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Not at this point, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, under the rules of the House, I can appreciate the fact that the amendments may have been agreed to, but I think that we are at least entitled to an explanation of those amendments even if they have been agreed to. I so request, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BENNETT requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Sec. 3, page 3, line 14, by striking out "registered"

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 15, by inserting after "[act,]": (vi)

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 23, by striking out "OR"

Amend Sec. 5, page 9, line 16, by striking out "(8)" and inserting: (9)

Amend Sec. 10, page 13, line 5, by striking out "LEAST" and inserting: less than

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, the amendments that are offered by myself at this point on Senate bill No. 1106 are by my understanding agreed to by the majority and minority parties. They are purely technical in nature; however, I will explain them. They are on the desks of the members.

It strikes out the word "registered" in section 3, page 3, line 14. It strikes out the word "etc" on page 4, line 15. It strikes out the word "or".

They are purely technical in nature, Mr. Speaker, and as I have indicated are agreed-to amendments.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

Amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT REOFFERED

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. HEPFORD requested and obtained unanimous consent to reoffer the following amendment which was read:

Amend Sec. 16, page 17, line 24 by striking out "immediately." and inserting: April 1, 1976.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hepford.

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, if you will look at Senate bill No. 1106, you will see that it is a 17-page bill, and particularly on page 14 and 15 that you are creating a new crime in Pennsylvania, subject to 1 year in prison and \$1,000 fine or both. You are also subjecting citizens in Pennsylvania to crimes if they acquire any stock of a corporation with the idea that later on they are going to acquire that corporation, whether they be domestic, foreign or whatever; and we are imposing penalties and making acts not heretofore acts which will become crimes.

All I am asking is that when the ink dries on this bill

in the Governor's office, it does not become immediately effective and that the next morning anybody who does the act that we make a crime is guilty of a crime. I ask that it be postponed to be effective in less than 60 days from the date the ink dries, so that the citizens of this Commonwealth, foreign companies or anyone else know that we in the General Assembly have made certain acts which heretofore were not crimes, criminal offenses, under this Commonwealth. To me, you owe this to the citizens of Pennsylvania and to anyone else who is coming into Pennsylvania to do certain acts. If you are going to make it a crime, at least let them publish the law, circulate the law, and have it on the books, and not have it take effect immediately when the ink dries from the Governor's pen. I respectfully urge you to vote in favor of this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendments offered by my colleague, Mr. Hepford, and I oppose them on these grounds, Mr. Speaker.

Although I appreciate and understand the concern as expressed by Mr. Hepford, I respectfully suggest, to the members of this House that there is a great need for this legislation immediately.

Our Business and Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives has had three public hearings relative to the proposed take-over disclosure legislation. If one will recall not too many weeks ago of reading in the Wall Street Journal and in other newspapers across this Commonwealth of the proposed takeover of the Copper Weld Corporation by Societe' Inetal, which is a French holding company controlled by the Rothschilds.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, has my friend from Mercer finished?

I am very sorry. I yield to the gentleman from Mercer.

Mr. BENNETT. Thank you, kind sir.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, if I may continue. There is an immediate need for Senate bill No. 1106. This is not hastily contrived legislation. It is legislation that has been given a great deal of thought and a great deal of concerted effort by a great many people.

Our own majority leader of this House of Representatives, K. Leroy Irvis, and my colleague on the other side of the aisle, H. Sheldon Parker, had introduced House bill No. 1870, also being aware of the need for this legislation.

I understand the concerns of Mr. Hepford, Mr. Speaker; however, I would suggest that the members of this House should defeat his amendment. For indeed there have already been attempts by out-of-state as well as out-of-country corporations to take over Pennsylvania corporations. If these things would happen, Mr. Speaker, we would lose jobs here in Pennsylvania.

There is a great threat that corporations would leave this state. As a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, there are corporations at this time, I am informed, that are ready to come into this Commonwealth, into our sorely depress-

ed economy, and thus create new jobs immediately upon the passage and the signing of this legislation by the Governor. I would respectfully ask all the members of this House to oppose the amendments offered by Mr. Hepford.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Dauphin raised a problem about this being a new statute and that people will not know the provisions of it and therefore will inadvertently violate it. Might I suggest to the gentleman that this is not a provision of the Motor Vehicle Code or something like that. The people who are involved in this sort of activity are highly sophisticated and can afford and pay for very expensive and very competent counsel. I assure the House that the day this bill is signed by the Governor, hopefully, everybody who is in any way concerned with it will know about it probably within an hour of the Governor's signature. The business community and the financial community is well aware of the fact that this bill is presently pending in Pennsylvania, and therefore I am not afraid of a broker with a major Wall Street firm not knowing that this bill has become law and inadvertently violating it.

If I may extend on some of the remarks made by the gentleman from Mercer: Because of today's economy many corporations in Pennsylvania have stock that is selling for less than the book value of the corporation. It pays a corporate rater to buy the stock, if he can get it at the right price, and pay more than what the market price is right now, and liquidate the corporation. That is a money-making proposition. The fact that several hundred or several thousand Pennsylvania workers will be put out of work is merely incidental to that particular type of operation. It is already happening to two corporations in Pennsylvania at this very moment. All this bill does is require notice in order to protect the economy of this state and to give the stockholders the opportunity to properly evaluate any tender offer.

At the present time, on a large tender offer, the offer or the rater, at 5 o'clock on a Friday afternoon files a notice with the SEC—Securities and Exchange Commission—and then has an ad in the Wall Street Journal the next day, a full-page ad, making the tender offer. Management must be ready at any instant to run a proxy fight. It is as if our elections were called on 24 hours' notice. Our opponents would know when the election is going to be called, but we would not. We would have to be ready at any moment to get off and running. This is the dilemma faced by management. There is no question that inefficient management should be thrown out, but let us do it in an orderly process. To delay the implementation of this bill would give a 60-day period of chaos in this state and allow several other tender offers to be made. Mr. Speaker, I would urge that the amendment be defeated.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith, but I might add, parenthetically, not his patron saint with reference to weather forecasting.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. I am sorry, I did not hear the question, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I say the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Smith, but parenthetically, I might add,

not his patron saint with reference to weather forecasting.

Mr. L. E. SMITH. No. No way.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, would like to ask the members to oppose this amendment.

Mr. Hepford cites the many Pennsylvanians who will be in some jeopardy if this bill were to become law immediately. I would like to point out that in most cases this law will not affect Pennsylvanians; it will affect people from out of the state or from other nations. I do not think we should be as concerned about their welfare as we are about the corporate structure of Pennsylvania. I would respectfully urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Parker.

Mr. PARKER. Mr. Speaker, what the author of the amendment proposes makes theoretical sense but lacks merit when the realities are considered. This amendment is an invitation to corporations that want to rate Pennsylvania businesses, but they have until April 1 to make their tender offers and to take over without adequate disclosure.

During the time which this bill has been considered by the Senate and by the House Business and Commerce Committee, some Pennsylvania corporations have been taken over and others are undoubtedly in the works if we provide additional time.

This amendment or invitation to move in on our Pennsylvania enterprises defeats the main thrust of the bill which passed the Senate 44 to 4. Both House and Senate committees held exhaustive public hearings on this measure which were extensively reported in the media. The parties concerned with this legislation, as Representative Rappaport indicated so ably, have received sufficient notice since the introduction of this bill on October 2, 1975.

Mr. PARKER. In closing, Mr. Speaker, it is essential that the House reject this amendment which favors the corporate rater and speak up for the Pennsylvania corporations, shareholders, employees, and the public. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Misceovich.

Mr. MISCEVICH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call the members' attention to the fact that the Copper Weld takeover is the portion that I represent. It affected a lot of people; it affected their minds more than it did their jobs. Since that time Copper Weld has been taken over, and we are concerned that more companies will be taken over.

I urge that they vote "no" on the amendment and pass the bill on final passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hepford.

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, there seems to be some impression that I am opposed to this legislation. I am not in any way opposed to the legislation. I favor the legislation. This amendment would not benefit those who are not Pennsylvanians. When you look at the criminal penalties on page 14, you say "officers or any controlling person of the target company." These are Pennsylvania citizens, and you are saying to these people, you are guilty of a crime and you are going to be guilty of it

unless you comply with this law immediately when the ink is dry before you have time to print it in the books and spread it throughout the Commonwealth. It does not make sense, if you want to sever the criminal provisions. In addition to that, on the next page you have civil liabilities imposed on the target company officers and others in addition to all penalties provided by law or in equity.

What I am saying to you is, when this argument was made. I was amazed to hear someone say in caucus that, if they could, they would make it retroactive and punish people for offenses that were not crimes before. Why, it would penalize the very officers of the company, who did not do anything, who were innocent. The target companies are Pennsylvania companies. This is good legislation, but we should not make these offenses of our own companies and their officers crimes for their failure to comply with the law and make it a crime before you even have it on the book.

This legislation was introduced on October 2. All I am saying is, it is going back to the Senate for concurrence and other amendments and when the ink is dry in the Governor's office, then have it effective April 1 and decimate it among all corporations in the Commonwealth. I favor this legislation, but I say to you, do not make offenses of crimes that have not heretofore been crimes and make them instantaneous crimes when the ink dries. Give at least the Commonwealth about 40 days to circulate and mail it out and become aware of what the law is and what the crimes are. I respectfully urge you to vote "aye" on the amendment and "aye" on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny. Mr. MISCEVICH.

Mr. MISCEVICH. Mr. Speaker, this bill has gotten quite a lot of publicity. Every politician in Pennsylvania has been recognized of the fact that Copper Weld is going to be taken over and there was quite a lot of publicity. And I am sure that all the corporations that are involved in stock transfers are quite prepared to take the full responsibility for what the law says after this bill is passed. I urge a "no" vote on the amendment and "yes" for the bill.

On the question recurring.
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. HEPFORD and BENNETT and were as follows:

YEAS—51

Table with 4 columns listing names of members who voted 'YEAS'.

NAYS—126

Table with 4 columns listing names of members who voted 'NAYS'.

Table with 4 columns listing names of members who were present but did not vote.

NOT VOTING—20

Table with 4 columns listing names of members who did not vote.

So the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

THE SPEAKER (Herbert Fineman) IN THE CHAIR

On the question recurring.
Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Table with 4 columns listing names of members who voted 'YEAS'.

Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Kusse	Rappaport	Wojdak
Fischer	Laudadio	Ravenstahl	Worrilow
Fisher	Laughlin	Reed	Wright
Flaherty	Lederer	Renninger	Yahner
Foster, A.	Lehr	Renwick	Yohn
Foster, W.	Letterman	Richardson	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lincoln	Rieger	Zeller
Gallagher	Lynch	Ritter	Zwilk
Gallen	Manderino	Ross	
Garzia	Manmiller	Ryan	Fineman.
Geesey	McCall	Saloom	Speaker
Geisler	McClatchy	Salvatore	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—16

Barber	Dreibelbis	Morris	Ruggiero
Cohen	Irvis	Perry	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Plevsky	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Zord

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of Senate bill No. 1050, printer's No. 1247, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 8, 1937 (P. L. 262, No. 66), entitled, as amended, "Consumer Discount Company Act," changing the amount and duration of loans or advances.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of Senate bill No. 1052, printer's No. 1249, entitled:

An Act repealing the act of June 17, 1915 (P. L. 1012, No. 432), entitled, as amended, "Small Loans Act."

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

ROBIN ROBERTS PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time would like to break into the calendar, without objection, for a very pleasant interlude.

Those of you who are sports enthusiasts, at least those of you who have followed the fortunes of the Philadelphia Phillies over the years, will recognize the personality who is sitting at the front dais. This gentleman is unquestionably one of the all-time pitching greats in the National League and certainly the greatest pitcher in the history of the Philadelphia Phillies. He was recently admitted, after many years of unnecessary and, in my opinion, unjustifiable delay, to the Hall of Fame, and we have a resolution to honor and take appropriate note of his admission, following the adoption of which we are going to ask the gentleman if he will not be kind enough to say hello to the membership of this House. I refer to Mr. Robin Roberts.

Mr. Roberts is here today, as the guest of the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Beren, who presents the following resolution, which the clerk will read:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Robin E. Roberts has been recently elected by the Baseball Writers Association of America to baseball's Hall of Fame. During his eighteen-year big league career, Mr. Roberts won two hundred eighty-six games and accumulated twenty or more victories for six consecutive years; and

WHEREAS, Robin E. Roberts won more games for the Philadelphia Phillies baseball team than any other pitcher. Mr. Roberts' first twenty-win season in 1950 helped the Phillies win their only pennant in sixty years; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania congratulates Robin E. Roberts on his election to baseball's Hall of Fame, and wishes him good luck and good fortune; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be delivered to Mr. Robin E. Roberts, 307 Williams Road, Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034.

DANIEL E. BEREN

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—179

Abraham	Geesey	Manmiller	Salvatore
Anderson, J. H.	Geisler	McCall	Scheaffer
Arthurs	George	McClatchy	Schweder
Barber	Giammarco	McCue	Scirica
Bellomini	Gillespie	McGinnis	Seltzer
Bennett	Gillette	McGraw	Shane
Beren	Gleason	McLane	Shehamer
Berlin	Gleeson	Mebus	Shelton
Berson	Goodman	Menhorn	Shuman
Bittle	Green	Milanovich	Shupnik
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Sirianni
Bradley	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Smith, E.
Brandt	Gring	Milliron	Smith, L.
Brunner	Halverson	Miscevich	Spencer
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Stahl
Butera	Hammock	Mrkonic	Stapleton
Caputo	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Cessar	Haskell	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taylor
Cohen	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Thomas
Cole	Hepford	Novak	Tou
Cowell	Hill	Noye	Trello
Crawford	Hopkins	O'Brien	Turner
Davies	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Ustynoski
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Valicenti
DeMedio	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Deverter	Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Walsh, T. P.
Dicarlo	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Petrarca	Wargo
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Pitts	Weidner
Dombrowski	Kernick	Polite	Westerberg
Dorr	Kistler	Pratt	Whelan
Doyle	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wilson
Eckensberger	Knepper	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Reed	Worrilow
Fee	Kusse	Renninger	Wright
Fischer	Laudadio	Renwick	Yahner
Fisher	Laughlin	Richardson	Yohn
Flaherty	Lederer	Rieger	Zearfoss
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ritter	Zeller
Foster, W.	Letterman	Ross	Zwilk
Fryer	Lincoln	Ruggiero	
Gallagher	Lynch	Ryan	Fineman.
Gallen	Manderino	Saloom	Speaker
Garzia			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—18

Cumberland	Levi	Perry	Schmitt
DiDonato	McIntyre	Plevsky	Wagner

Dreibelbis
Irvis
LaMarca

Morris
O'Donnell
Perri

Rappaport
Rhodes

Wojdak
Zord

So the question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was unanimously adopted.

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to present to our distinguished guest, Mr. Robin Roberts, the resolution in question and invites the gentleman to come to the mike to address the House.

Mr. ROBERTS. I thought that maybe a sportswriter would vote "no," but they did not.

Thank you so very much for having me down. I appreciate Danny Beren inviting me down.

As you can imagine, I am now 49 years old and I have been out of baseball for about 10 years. I was sitting around getting heavy and working for a living and watching television, and they all of a sudden voted me into baseball's Hall of Fame.

Since then I have been running around like you guys do before an election. I was in Boston Thursday night; I was in Bethlehem Friday night; I was in New York Sunday night; I was in Dover last night; I am going home tonight. I am going back to work. Enough is enough. Right? Once you are elected, you do not have to do that anymore, I guess.

Since I am in such a good mood, I would like to commend you people for the job you do in running our state government. Most of us voters do not give you much credit. On occasion we get on you and criticize you, which I guess is our prerogative. But I would, in my tremendous thrill of being in the Hall of Fame, take a moment to thank you for the job you do in the State of Pennsylvania.

I have traveled quite a bit in my baseball career. I have been around the country. It is a beautiful country. But I am always happy to come back to a state which my wife Mary and I adopted when we came with the Phillies. We are very proud of being Pennsylvanians, and we hope you continue to do a good job running it with our assent.

I will say one thing now: I think in my representing baseball in the Hall of Fame, no one has entered who represents more a group of guys than I do. The Phillies of the early fifties were quite an ambitious young bunch of ball players. We were not good enough to win it but 1 year, but being voted in and when I go into Cooperstown on August 9 and actually am inducted into the Hall of Fame, I will be representing a team that was for about 8 years representative of the city of Philadelphia.

Thank you very much. I appreciate your having me here. It was quite a thrill to be with you, and go back to punching your buttons or whatever you do.

The SPEAKER. There is a man who is just as much at home with a microphone as he was on the mound.

**PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE BILLS
ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1711, printer's No. 2156, entitled:**

An Act amending the Barbers' License Law approved June 19, 1931 (P. L. 589, No. 202), deleting the training requirement for applicants licensed by another country.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I am not going to make a long speech on this. I simply suggest to the members that they realize the impact of this.

I think it a bad precedent that we recognize licensees from other countries without testing here in Pennsylvania. I do not think we do it in any other professional field and I think it would be a mistake to do it even at this level.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, as a professional barber, I can certainly tell you people that we have enough trouble. If you go around and look at all the long hair we have had to put up with and some of the barbers trying to survive—well, we have tried to survive.

Another thing: We have given the right to beauty shops now to cut hair. They have not had to be tested. We still require that a barber go and take a professional test. Nobody else has to do that.

I think we have really mistreated barbers long enough and I think it is time we quit. I certainly ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, as the Supreme Orator of the Order of the Sons of Italy in America and a man whose life has been totally reformed by the expertise of an Italian barber—notice the haircut—I have looked at this bill and I have found out that this bill gives no special exceptions to any person who has acquired the skills of being a barber. They must still take the test. They are handicapped in some ways by the language barrier, but they still must take the test.

I realize that Mr. Ryan referred to the fact that we do not recognize licensees from any other country. I think he said from any other place. I would submit that the professional licensing bureau does recognize the skills of other people in other states within our country and afford them the opportunity to practice their particular profession in this state without taking any tests where they have qualified in other states in this United States. I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—69

Barber
Bellomint
Bennett
Berlin
Berson
Bonetto
Brunner

Geisler
Giammarco
Gleason
Gleason
Greenfield
Hammock
Itkin

Menhorn
Milanovich
Mrkonje
Mullen, M. P.
Mullen
Musto
O'Brien

Ross
Ruggiero
Salvatore
Scirica
Smith, E.
Stout
Toll

Butera	Johnson, J.	Oliver	Trello
Caputo	Kelly, A. P.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Cohen	Kernick	Pievsky	Wargo
Crawford	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Whelan
DeMedio	Laudadio	Prendergast	Wojdak
Dicarlo	Lederer	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lincoln	Ravenstahl	Zeller
Fee	Manderino	Reed	
Fischer	McClatchy	Renwick	Fineman,
Flaherty	McIntyre	Richardson	Speaker
Gallagher	McLane	Rieger	

NAYS—111

Abraham	George	Manmiller	Seltzer
Anderson, J. H.	Gillespie	McCall	Shane
Arthurs	Gillette	McCue	Shelhamer
Bittle	Goodman	McGinnis	Shuman
Bradley	Green	McGraw	Shupnik
Brandt	Grieco	Mebus	Sirianni
Burns	Gring	Miller, M. E.	Smith, L.
Cessar	Halverson	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Spencer
Cimmi	Hamilton, J. H.	Milliron	Stahl
Cole	Hasay	Miscevich	Stapleton
Cowell	Haskell	Moehlmann	Taddonto
Davis	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Taylor
Davis, D. M.	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Thomas
Deverter	Hill	Noye	Turner
Dietz	Hopkins	O'Connell	Ustynoski
Dininni	Hutchinson, A.	O'Keefe	Valicenti
Dorr	Hutchinson, W.	Pancoast	Vroon
Doyle	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, T. P.
Eckensberger	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Weidner
Englehart	Kistler	Pitts	Westerberg
Fawcett	Klingaman	Polite	Wilson
Fisher	Knepper	Pyles	Wilt, R. W.
Foster, A.	Kolter	Renninger	Wilt, W. W.
Foster, W.	Kusse	Ritter	Wright
Fryer	Laughlin	Ryan	Worrilow
Gallen	Lehr	Saloom	Zearfoos
Garzia	Letterman	Scheaffer	Zwinkl
Geesey	Lynch	Schweder	

NOT VOTING—17

Beren	Iris	O'Donnell	Shelton
Cumberland	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Yahner
Dreibelbis	Morris	Schmitt	Zord
Hepford			

Less than the majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1883, printer's No. 2415**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 40 (Insurance) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for chiropractic services.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

HOUSE BILL No. 1883 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Are we on House bill No. 1883, printer's No. 2415?

The SPEAKER. That is right.

Mr. O'CONNELL. We ask that that go over, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, House bill No. 1883 will go over in order.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 600, printer's No. 635**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of January 14, 1952 (P. L. 1998, No. 522), entitled, as amended, "Funeral Director Law," providing for corporate licenses and providing penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Mr. SALOOM requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, line 23, by striking out "or trust"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, lines 24 and 25, by striking out "for the duration of the administration of such estate or trust."

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, line 28, by striking out "or trust"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 4, line 2, by striking out "trust,"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I believe the amendments are agreed to. It is just holding a portion of the law to the original statute.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

Amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, I respectfully ask the Chair to reconsider its decision. It was my understanding that Senate bill No. 600 was to be held and I respectfully ask the Chair to reconsider its decision that the bill is called up.

The SPEAKER. The Chair was advised that this bill had been marked for action. Is that incorrect?

Mr. BENNETT. I believe that is incorrect, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Is there any opposition to the amendments?

Mr. BENNETT. I believe, Mr. Speaker, that there would be opposition to the amendments if we were given time to consider the amendments.

DECISION RECONSIDERED

SENATE BILL No. 600 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair reconsiders its decision as to the amendments being agreed to and likewise reconsiders its decision as to this bill having been agreed to, and the bill will go over in order.

LAW AND JUSTICE BILLS
ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1945, printer's No. 2497**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Lethal Weapons Training Act," approved October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), extending the effective date.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BURNS requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, line 6, by inserting after "penalties," "prohibiting the use of bogus firearms and

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 9 and

10: Section 1. The act of October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), known as the "Lethal Weapons Training Act," is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 8.1. Imitation or Bogus Firearms.—No privately employed agents shall, as an incidence of their employment, carry any bogus firearm.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by striking out "1." and inserting: 2.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 10 and 11, by striking out "act of October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), known as the "Lethal Weapons Training Act,"" and inserting: of the act,

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 17, by striking out "2." and inserting: 3.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. Are these amendments contested?

Mr. BURNS. I do not know, Mr. Speaker. I do not think very many people in the House know about them.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. Englehart, familiar with the amendments being offered by the gentleman, Mr. Burns, to House bill No. 1945, which is the Lethal Weapons Training Act?

Mr. ENGLEHART. I have not seen them, Mr. Speaker. I will be glad to look at them.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman send a copy of the amendments over to Mr. Englehart immediately, please?

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns. Will the gentleman withdraw his amendments?

Mr. BURNS. Yes, I will, based on the comments of Mr. Miller and Mr. Eckensberger.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. O'CONNELL requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 9 and 10: Section 1. Section 3, act of October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), known as the "Lethal Weapons Training Act," is amended to read:

Section 3. Definitions.—As used in this act:

"Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Pennsylvania State Police.

"Lethal weapons" include but are not limited to firearms [mace] and other weapons calculated to produce death or serious bodily harm, [A concealed billy club is a lethal weapon.] but the term shall not include mace or billy clubs.

"Privately employed agents" include any person employed for the purpose of providing watch guard, protective patrol, detective or criminal investigative services either for another for a fee or for his employer. Privately employed agents do not include local, State or Federal Government employees.

For the education and training purposes of this act, privately employed agents shall be classified as follows with duties and education and prescribed training status:

Class	Duties	Education and Training Required
1	Those who carry weapons in connection with duties	Full program prescribed
2	Security guards who do not carry weapons but deal with people	All training except lethal weapons training
3	Nightwatchmen; all privately employed agents who have had the training and education prescribed and can furnish proof thereof	Exempt; taking part or all of course voluntarily

"Program" means the education and training program established and administered or approved by the commissioner in accordance with this act.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10 by striking out "1" and inserting: 2

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 10 and 11 by striking out "act of October 10, 1974 (P. L. 705, No. 235), known as the "Lethal Weapons Training Act,"" and inserting: of the act,

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 17 by striking out "2" and inserting: 3

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, I had a discussion with Mr. Rappaport and I understand the problem, but I would like to, if I may, present my amendments at least for consideration and so some of this would at least be part of the record.

Basically, it is a two-part amendment. The first part of it excludes billy clubs and Mace from the definition of lethal weapons.

The second provision that is important in the amendment is that it sets up three categories of training. For those who carry weapons in connection with their duties, the full training is prescribed. For security guards who do not carry weapons but deal with people, all training except the lethal-weapons-training portion of it is prescribed. However, those who are employed as night watchmen and agents who do not carry lethal weapons and who have had the previous training would be exempted from taking the course under any consideration in this particular proposal.

This would exclude the problem that they are having at the present time in regard to policemen who have completed a higher level of training being involved or not being exempted from this particular provision. I would respectfully request favorable consideration of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Eckensberger.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, I rise reluctantly to oppose the amendment. I oppose it principally and solely at this point on procedural grounds, not because of the substance of the amendment.

The intention of the bill before us is to extend the effective date of this act from December 31 of last year to July 1 of 1976, this year. We would like to do that so that, first of all, the State Police, who are the chief administrators of the program, can be placed in a better position to make available the type of training that is required by the act.

I am aware of the fact, as are many other members, that the Lethal Weapons Training Act should be amended in other respects, and perhaps the amendment that is offered by the gentleman is an amendment that would be a good one to help us to better administer the program. However, it seems to me that our first order of business should be to extend the effective date of the act, and then during the period of time that the act becomes effective, we will have, as a legislative body, a better opportunity to look at the act as a whole and try to amend it appropriately. Therefore, I would ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. O'CONNELL and ECKENBERGER and were as follows:

YEAS—92

Anderson, J. H.	Geesey	McClatchy	Shelhamer
Beren	George	McCue	Shuman
Bittle	Gleason	McGinnis	Siranni
Brandt	Grieco	Mebus	Smith, E.
Burns	Gring	Militron	Smith, L.
Butera	Halverson	Moehmann	Spencer
Cessar	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen, M. P.	Stahl
Cimini	Bassy	Noye	Tadonio
Cowell	Haskell	O'Connell	Thomas
Crawford	Hayes, D. S.	Pancoast	Turner
Davies	Hayes, S. E.	Parker, H. S.	Ustynoski
Deverter	Hepford	Perri	Voon
Dietz	Hill	Pitts	Weldner
Dininni	Hopkins	Polite	Westerberg
Dorr	Hutchinson, W.	Pyles	Whelan
Fawcett	Katz	Renninger	Wilson
Fischer	Kelly, J. B.	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Fisher	Klingaman	Ryan	Wilt, W. W.
Foster, A.	Knepper	Salvatore	Worrlow
Foster, W.	Kuse	Scheaffer	Wright
Fryer	Lehr	Schweder	Yahner
Gallagher	Lynch	Seirica	Yohn
Gallen	Manniller	Seltzer	Zearfoss

NAYS—86

Abraham	Geisler	McGraw	Richardson
Arthurs	Giammarco	McIntyre	Rieger
Bellomini	Gillespie	McLane	Ritter
Bennett	Gillette	Menhorn	Ruggiero
Berlin	Gleason	Milanovich	Salcom
Berson	Goodman	Miller, M. E.	Shane
Bonetto	Green	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shelton
Bradley	Greenfield	Miscevich	Shupnik
Brunner	Hutchinson, A.	Mrkonc	Stapleton
Caputo	Itkin	Mullen	Stout
Cohen	Johnson, J.	Myers	Taylor
Cole	Kelly, A. P.	Novak	Toll
Davis, D. M.	Kernick	O'Brien	Treito
DeMedio	Kistler	O'Donnell	Vaudenti
Dicarlo	Kolter	O'Keefe	Walsh, T. P.
Dombrowski	Kowalishyn	Petrarca	Wansacz
Doyle	Laudadio	Pievsky	Wargo
Eckensberger	Laughlin	Pratt	Zelner
Engelhart	Letterman	Prendergast	Zwickl
Fee	Lincoln	Rappaport	
Flaherty	Manderino	Kavonstahl	Fineman,
Garzia	McCall	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—19

Barber	Irvic	Musto	Schmitt
Cumberland	LaMarca	Oliver	Wagner
DiDonato	Lederer	Perry	Wojdak
Dreibiblis	Levi	Rhodes	Zord
Hammonck	Morris	Ross	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. W. W. WILT. Are we going to vote this bill now?

The SPEAKER. Yes, we are.

Mr. W. W. WILT. I was of the opinion that Mr. Laudadio had some remarks with regard to this bill. Could we possibly pass it over temporarily or pass it over until tomorrow?

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to dispose of this bill today because of the time factor that gave rise to the bill in the first place.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, in the caucus I thought that the word we got was that the bill was going to be run without amendments. I was having amendments prepared and, because of that, I canceled those amendments.

The SPEAKER. That is correct. That was the agreement in the Democratic caucus, but obviously Mr. O'Connell is not bound by the agreements in the Democratic caucus.

Mr. RITTER. I realize that, Mr. Speaker. What I was saying was that I then canceled the amendments that I was going to have prepared. I would therefore ask at least some time to get them prepared.

I want to specifically exclude municipal police officers. I do not think Mr. O'Connell's amendment does that.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, just a few minutes ago I withdrew the amendments that I had planned to offer. However, on consultation with Mr. Eckensberger now, since the O'Connell amendments did pass, he suggested I do offer the amendments. So I would like to do that at this time.

DECISION RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair reconsiders its decision as to this bill having been agreed to for the third time.

CONSIDERATION OF BURNS AMENDMENT RESUMED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, this amendment would simply outlaw any private detective agency from requiring that guards wear bogus firearms. It seems to be a practice with some agencies to strap play guns on these gentlemen's hips and have them appear as if they are, indeed, armed.

In my opinion and in the opinion of many people whom I have spoken to who are familiar with this situation, this places an unnecessary danger on that particular security guard, because any criminal coming in, looking at that man, thinks that that man is armed and then in a moment of panic takes out his own weapon possibly and fires at the guard because he thinks maybe that is what the guard is going to do to him. This is just, in my opinion, good common sense not to have people going around carrying phony arms and having their lives placed in a lot of danger.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Shelhamer.

Mr. SHELHAMER. Mr. Speaker, might I interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Burns?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns, consent to interrogation?

Mr. BURNS. Yes, I will, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. SHELHAMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as I read this amendment, it says "No privately employed agents shall, as an incidence of their employment, . . ." Does this mean that the man could wear a bogus weapon if he wanted to by himself but he could not be required to wear one?

Mr. BURNS. No. The intent of the amendment—and as I asked to have it written and I was hoping that is what

it did—would be that that man could not be allowed to wear a bogus firearm.

Mr. SHELHAMER. That is not the way I read the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Would you read section 8.1 again, please?

Mr. BURNS. "No privately employed agents shall, as an incidence of their employment, carry any bogus firearm."

I see what you are getting at. One of the things that is happening now is that, of course, these companies are requiring them to wear a bogus firearm. I personally do not feel that they would wear one, from the information I have, if they were not required to.

Mr. SHELHAMER. It is your intention then that they would not be allowed to wear one or they would be in violation of the act. Is that correct?

Mr. BURNS. That is correct.

Mr. SHELHAMER. Thank you.

The question recurs,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

MOTION TO TABLE HOUSE BILL No. 1945

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to table this bill with the amendments for the following reason: As some of the members may know, several of us in this House, including Mrs. Kelly, Mr. Hammock and myself, have taken a great interest in this legislation because of problems in our districts.

The original bill that we passed had many problems in it, and we were aware of them. For instance, we required a psychological test before someone could carry a firearm and found that the psychologists refused to give the examinations.

Many people in the industry on all sides and police officials gathered together and worked out a series of amendments which were introduced today in the other body by Senator Arlene, who has also taken an interest in this matter. That bill has been agreed to by everybody who is at all concerned in this problem, and the amendments would solve most of the problems raised by the amendments that are being introduced today.

I understand hopefully that bill will pass the Senate within the next week or so and be over to us. Therefore, I move to table this bill with its amendments until that other bill comes over here and we can look at both of them and hopefully amend the Senate bill so that we can get this bill passed quickly.

I would oppose any further delay of this bill. We have postponed the effective date once already, and many of us have been the victim or the target of allegations that we have been the subject of various influences with regard thereto. I would therefore suggest that we table this bill and I so move, and get the whole problem solved when both bills are in front of us.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, I would oppose the motion to table for any number of reasons.

I have been in contact with the gentleman on a number of occasions in regard to this particular legislation, and I have a letter dated January 22, when I raised my last

inquiry with Mr. Rappaport in regard to this. He suggested that I call the State Police.

I understand the problem and I favored the bill and voted favorably on it in its final passage when it was before us originally. What I am taking exception to here is the interpretation that the State Police have used in promulgating the rules and regulations. I think this is something that every one of us, as legislators, ought to finally concern ourselves with. I would also suggest to you that this bill is not going anywhere in the Senate, but I think we have to bring it to their attention and I think we have to present the facts to them.

In spite of everything they say about the training, the State Police is not set up to provide the training. The classes are not available, and the part-time people have a difficult time in presenting themselves for the training because of this disorganization. I would like to suggest to you that when this bill was presented to this House, it was suggested that there were 16,000 people out there who might be subjected to this training. As of just a month ago, only 132 of them had been certified in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. That very clearly indicates that there is a deficiency and that there is something radically wrong. Yet, we have not, as of this time, addressed ourselves to that problem. I spoke to the State Police innumerable times about it and have never gotten any cooperation.

I would suggest that we do two things: Oppose the tabling of it and vote on the bill, using this as a two-by-four to get their attention to the fact that something need be done in this area. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Hammock, rise?

Mr. HAMMOCK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate Mr. O'Connell.

The SPEAKER. I would remind the members of the House that we are now on a motion to table, which is not debatable. The Chair did allow the gentleman, Mr. Rappaport, to make a statement, and in fairness to the opposing point of view, then allowed Mr. O'Connell to make a reply or a response to that statement. But the Chair will not allow any further breach of the rules, and the matter is now submitted to the House for determination.

Mr. HAMMOCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. RAPPAPORT and O'CONNELL and were as follows:

YEAS—53

Arthurs	Eckensberger	Laudadio	Richardson
Barber	Englehart	Laughlin	Shane
Bellomint	Fee	Lincoln	Stout
Bennett	Fisher	McGraw	Toll
Bersani	Flaherty	McLane	Walsh, T. P.
Bonetto	Gallagher	Milanovich	Wansacz
Bradley	George	Novak	Wargo
Brunner	Giammarco	O'Donnell	Whelan
Burns	Gillespie	Praff	Wilson
Cohen	Gillette	Rappaport	Wilt, W. W.
DeMedio	Hammock	Reed	Wright
Dicarlo	Itkin	Renninger	Yahner
Dombrowski	Kolter	Renwick	Zwick
Doyle			

NAYS—125

Anderson, J. H.	Grieco	Mebus	Salvatore
Beren	Gring	Menhorn	Scheaffer
Berlin	Halverson	Miller, M. E.	Schweder

Bittle	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Scirica
Brandt	Hassay	Milliron	Seitzer
Butera	Haskell	Moehlmann	Shelhamer
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	Mrkonje	Shuman
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Mullen, M. P.	Shupnik
Cimini	Hepford	Mullen	Sirianni
Cole	Hill	Musto	Smith, E.
Cowell	Hopkins	Myers	Smith, L.
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	Noye	Spencer
Davies	Hutchinson, W.	O'Brien	Stahl
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Stapleton
Deverter	Katz	O'Keefe	Taddonio
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Oliver	Taylor
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Pancoast	Thomas
Dorr	Kernick	Parker, H. S.	Trello
Fawcett	Kistler	Perri	Turner
Fischer	Klingaman	Petrarca	Ustynski
Foster, A.	Knepper	Pievsky	Vroon
Foster, W.	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Weidner
Fryer	Kusse	Polite	Westerberg
Gallen	Lederer	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Garzia	Lehr	Pyles	Worrlow
Geesey	Lynch	Ravenstahl	Yohn
Getzler	Manderino	Rieger	Zearfoss
Gleason	Manniller	Ritter	Zeller
Gleeson	McCall	Ross	
Goodman	McClatchy	Ruggiero	Fineman,
Green	McCue	Ryan	Speaker
Greenfield	McGinnis	Saloom	

NOT VOTING—19

Abraham	LaMarca	Morris	Valicenti
Cumberland	Letterman	Perry	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Wojdak
Dreibelbis	McIntyre	Schmitt	Zord
Irvis	Miscevich	Shelton	

So the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, Mr. Burns, the Chair now has in front of it a motion for reconsideration of the O'Connell amendment, offered by the gentlemen, Mr. Hammock and Mr. Richardson. Would the gentleman be agreeable to deferring a vote on his amendment until this is disposed of, because the Chair would assume that if your amendment is adopted, that would also precipitate a reconsideration motion?

Mr. BURNS. I will, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE
ON AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL No. 1945

Mr. HAMMOCK moved that the vote by which the O'Connell amendments were agreed to on this day be reconsidered.

Mr. RICHARDSON seconded the motion.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Hammock.

Mr. HAMMOCK. Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the reconsideration motion?

The SPEAKER. The reconsideration motion has been adopted. We are now on the question of adopting the O'Connell amendment. The gentleman may address himself to the O'Connell amendment.

Mr. HAMMOCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The reason that I have asked that we reconsider the O'Connell amendment is very simple. During last session and for almost two complete years, several members of this House, as Mr. Rappaport indicated earlier, fought

very long and very hard to try to do something about security guards in this state who were carrying, in fact, lethal weapons.

We had a special committee established by this House to look into the entire situation of the security guard industry. As a part of that investigation, there was a bill passed by this House to require that those people who are security guards in fact be given training—the first time in this state that this legislature or any organ of government required that security guards be given training—and that bill was supposed to have become effective July 1, 1975. This House then decided, in agreement by myself and others of that committee, to postpone the effective date of that bill, of that act, until January 1, 1976. So we gave more time for that bill to become implemented and to follow the procedures in accordance with that bill. I, for one, do not see why we need more time, and that is why I wanted to ask Mr. O'Connell a few questions.

I have been working very closely with the State Police on this matter. We realize that there were some problems getting geared up. The State Police had a very difficult time, not because of the State Police themselves but because of the Attorney General's office coming up with the requisite and adequate laws for the State Police to follow in terms of its implementation. Now things are starting to smooth out. I think it would be a step backwards for this legislature now to require that these security guards not be licensed until another 6 months. I think that we are going in the opposite direction.

The security guards—at least the ones in Philadelphia—and the agencies—at least the ones that I have spoken to in Philadelphia—all say that this is for the betterment of the industry. Guards who are walking around, who do not know how to use a firearm, walking around with big Magnums strapped on their hips, now have to learn how to shoot that gun, or else they will not be certified by the State Police. I think it is time for us to face that issue. And to create more time to allow that to happen only means, Mr. Speaker, a very simple thing is going to happen. We are going to find more guys out in that street who are going to be walking around with guns, hopping from agency to agency for the next 6 or 7 months. Hopefully, no more killings will take place.

I think that it is remiss in our obligation, having gone on record to do something, to open the door to this Pandora's box and go backwards again. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, I recognize the gentleman's problem and I did support it because of the presentation that was presented to us originally when we were considering it. However, there are a number of things that have come up since that time that concern us.

The State Police—I do not know whether the members are aware of it—have actually deferred it in effect anyhow, and I wonder whether or not they have the authority to do it. What they have indicated is that if I have made a valid application to be considered as a security guard, then I have met the intent of the law. I would like to suggest to the gentleman that that does not give him or anyone else the protection that is desired or needed.

I would also like to suggest that the committee has done a good job, and I do support the legislation, and I am acutely aware of the problem. However, the interpreta-

tion again is something that I am concerned about. It cost \$255 for a guy to even present himself totally for this training, and a heck of a lot of it just absolutely is not necessary. And it goes in between the \$50 cost—the \$15 medical, the \$35 for psychological training—and in addition to that, the educational courses and costs. I think that that provision should have been addressed long before this particular time. There is no question in my mind that it presents a problem when out of the proposed 16,000, as of today there are less than 150 who are actually certified, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Hammock.

Mr. HAMMOCK. Mr. Speaker, may I just respond? I will be very brief.

Mr. Speaker. I certainly appreciate the problem that the bill presents in terms of a guard being certified to use that firearm. It means that the guy who hires that guard is going to have to require that guard to have some training and pass that cost on to the customer. And if the agency passes that cost on to the customer, they can do it. But most of these agencies—and unfortunately we have too many small agencies with four or five guards—do not want to meet that responsibility, sir. They do not want to require these guards to have training, because what is in fact happening in all too many places across this Commonwealth is that they will go out and hire a former private detective or a former police officer, and many police officers, especially over in Montgomery County, have not been trained. I was just looking at a documentary these past several weeks where police officers in Montgomery County have not even been trained to handle firearms, and some of these same police officers end up moonlighting as security guards. Now that may be only a part of the problem, but I think that the cost can really be passed on to the clients.

I think that it is incumbent upon this legislature to do something about the proliferation of firearms out in the streets when guys do not know how to use guns. I think we have taken that step, and I think we are being remiss in our obligation if we turn around now and open the door. I think it is time for us to meet that responsibility. We have passed the legislation, and let us stick with it and let us require it. The State Police are moving. They are moving quickly now because they have the law; they have the personnel; they have the ranges to do the testing and to do the training, and I do not see where we need to go back and take off, in effect, what we have already done.

I think it is a good bill and we ought to stick with it, and we ought to stick with the timetable, because it is the projection that by the end of 1976 we will have three-fourths to 90 percent of these guards certified to use their firearms. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, submit to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell, consent to interrogation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, where in your amendment—and I am talking now about municipal police officers, for instance, in the city of Allentown where I come from,

where they have already had municipal police training. Where in your amendment—would they be exempted from having to go through the training program, take the psychological examination, and so on?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Under that section 3, Mr. Speaker, it says, "Nightwatchmen; all privately employed agents who have had the training and education prescribed and can furnish proof thereof," which would include the Municipal Training Act. They would be excluded from further training. However, it does not ever exclude them from firing their weapons. They have to meet that requirement under the Municipal Training Act.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, if a police officer moonlights as a guard at a shopping center or as a police officer present at a basketball game, wherein he was hired privately by the school district, and he is in uniform and he is carrying a weapon, how would you consider him to be a night watchman if, in fact, he is there to protect the people who are at the basketball game, for instance, or the people at the shopping center?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Unfortunately, you may be right; that may be degrading, Mr. Speaker. But that was not the intent of it. That was the way the Legislative Reference Bureau drew that. My emphasis was on the night-watchman person who does not carry a lethal weapon, and the interpretation of that particular section is that it is inclusive enough to exclude those who have received that training under the Municipal Training Act.

Mr. RITTER. I thank the gentleman, Mr. Speaker.

I really do not know what to do with the amendment. As I said before, I think that the intent was never to require municipally trained police officers to have to go through this rigmarole to get a permit, but I am not so sure that the gentleman's amendment does that.

One further question, Mr. Speaker: Your amendment does not remove the July 1 date, does it or does it not?

Mr. O'CONNELL. No; my amendment does not affect that at all. I was not primarily concerned with that aspect, except this is the vehicle that I sought to bring to the attention of this House and some others what I deemed to be a very critical problem.

Mr. RITTER. I thank the gentleman, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. O'CONNELL and RITTER and were as follows:

YEAS—109

Anderson, J. H.	Gleason	McGinnis	Seltzer
Beren	Goodman	McGraw	Shelhamer
Bittle	Grieco	Mebus	Shuman
Brandt	Gring	Milliron	Sirianni
Brunner	Halverson	Moehlmann	Smith, E.
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mrkonjc	Smith, L.
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Cessar	Haskell	Noye	Stahl
Cimint	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien	Stout
Cole	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Thomas
Cowell	Hepford	Pancoast	Turner
Crawford	Hill	Parker, H. S.	Ustynoski
Davies	Hopkins	Perri	Vroom
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Deverter	Hutchinson, W.	Pitts	Wansacz
Dietz	Katz	Polite	Weidner
Dininni	Kernick	Prendergast	Westerberg
Dorr	Kistler	Pyles	Whelan
Englehart	Klingaman	Renninger	Wilson
Fawcett	Knepper	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Fischer	Kusse	Ritter	Wilt, W. W.
Foster, A.	Laudadio	Ryan	Worrlow
Foster, W.	Lehr	Saloom	Wright
Fryer	Lynch	Salvatore	Yahner

Gallagher	Manmiller	Scheaffer	Yohn
Gallen	McClatchy	Schweder	Zeller
Geesey	McCue	Scirica	Zwick
George			

NAYS—71

Arthurs	Geisler	Manderino	Ravenstahl
Barber	Giammarco	McCall	Reed
Bellomini	Gillespie	McIntyre	Richardson
Bennett	Gillette	McLane	Rieger
Berlin	Gleeson	Menhorn	Ross
Berson	Green	Milanovich	Ruggiero
Bonetto	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Shane
Bradley	Hammock	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shelton
Caputo	Itkin	Miscevich	Shupnik
Cohen	Johnson, J.	Mullen	Stapleton
Davis, D. M.	Kelly, A. P.	Musto	Taddonio
Dicarlo	Kelly, J. B.	Myers	Taylor
Dombrowski	Kolter	Novak	Toll
Doyle	Kowalshyn	O'Keefe	Trelio
Eckensberger	Laughlin	Oliver	Wargo
Fee	Lederer	Pievsky	
Fisher	Letterman	Pratt	Fineman,
Flaherty	Lincoln	Rappaport	Speaker
Garzia			

NOT VOTING—17

Abraham	LaMarca	Perry	Wagner
Cumberland	Levi	Rhodes	Wojdak
DiDonato	Morris	Schmitt	Zearfoss
Dreibelbis	O'Donnell	Vallenti	Zord
Irvic			

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns. The gentleman has already explained his amendment.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, if I may, I want to clarify my previous remarks with one further statement: After consulting with Mr. Eckensberger and Mr. O'Connell—and I am sorry that I have not consulted with Mr. Hammock—it is my understanding that this bill is going nowhere anyway. This is one of the items that is addressed in the Senate bill, and with the hope that the Senate bill finally becomes law, I withdraw the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—151

Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Manderino	Salvatore
Arthurs	Geesey	Manmiller	Scheaffer
Barber	Geisler	McClatchy	Schweder
Bellomini	Giammarco	McCue	Scirica
Bennett	Gillespie	McGinnis	Shane
Beren	Gleason	McGraw	Shelhamer
Berlin	Gleeson	McIntyre	Shuman
Berson	Goodman	McLane	Shupnik
Bittle	Greenfield	Mebus	Sirianni
Bonetto	Grieco	Milliron	Smith, E.
Bradley	Gring	Moehlmann	Smith, L.
Brandt	Halverson	Mrkonic	Spencer
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen	Stahl
Butera	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Caputo	Haskell	Musto	Stout
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Myers	Taylor
Cimini	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Thomas

Cohen	Hepford	Noye	Toll
Cole	Hill	O'Brien	Trelio
Cowell	Hopkins	O'Connell	Turner
Crawford	Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Ustynoski
Davis	Hutchinson, W.	Parker, H. S.	Vroon
Davis, D. M.	Katz	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Deverter	Kelly, A. P.	Petrarca	Wansacz
Dicarlo	Kelly, J. B.	Pitts	Wargo
Dietz	Kernick	Polite	Weidner
Dininni	Kistler	Pratt	Westerberg
Dorr	Klingaman	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Doyle	Knepper	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Eckensberger	Kolter	Ravenstahl	Worrlow
Engelhart	Kowalshyn	Reed	Yahner
Fawcett	Kusse	Renninger	Yohn
Fee	Laudadio	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fischer	Laughlin	Ritter	Zeller
Flaherty	Lederer	Ross	Zwick
Foster, A.	Lehr	Ruggiero	
Foster, W.	Lincoln	Ryan	Fineman,
Fryer	Lynch	Saloom	Speaker
Gallagher			

NAYS—25

Burns	Green	Milanovich	Renwick
DeMedio	Hammock	Miller, M. E.	Richardson
Dombrowski	Itkin	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Taddonio
Fisher	Letterman	O'Keefe	Whelan
Garzia	McCall	Oliver	Wilson
George	Menhorn	Rappaport	Wright
Gillette			

NOT VOTING—21

Abraham	LaMarca	Perry	Shelton
Cumberland	Levi	Pievsky	Vallenti
DiDonato	Miscevich	Rhodes	Wagner
Dreibelbis	Morris	Schmitt	Wojdak
Irvic	O'Donnell	Seltzer	Zord
Johnson, J.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 911, printer's No. 2199, entitled:**

An Act prescribing certain powers and duties on the Commissioner of the Pennsylvania State Police concerning victims of rape.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Anderson, J. H.	Geesey	McCall	Ryan
Arthurs	Geisler	McClatchy	Saloom
Barber	George	McCue	Salvatore
Bellomini	Giammarco	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Bennett	Gillespie	McGraw	Schweder
Beren	Gillette	McIntyre	Scirica
Berlin	Gleason	McLane	Seltzer
Berson	Gleeson	Mebus	Shane
Bittle	Goodman	Menhorn	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Green	Milanovich	Shuman
Bradley	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Brandt	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Sirianni
Brunner	Gring	Milliron	Smith, E.
Burns	Halverson	Miscevich	Smith, L.
Butera	Hamilton, J. H.	Moehlmann	Spencer
Caputo	Hammock	Mrkonic	Stahl
Cessar	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stapleton
Cimini	Haskell	Mullen	Stout
Cohen	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taddonio

Cole	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Taylor
Cowell	Hepford	Novak	Thomas
Crawford	Hill	Noye	Toll
Davies	Hopkins	O'Brien	Trello
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, A.	O'Connell	Turner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	O'Keefe	Ustynoski
Deverter	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Dicarlo	Katz	Pancoast	Walsh, T. P.
Dietz	Kelly, A. P.	Parker, H. S.	Wansacz
Dininni	Kelly, J. B.	Perri	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kernick	Petrarca	Weidner
Dorr	Klistler	Pitts	Westerberg
Doyle	Klingaman	Polite	Whelan
Eckensberger	Knepper	Pratt	Wilson
Englehart	Kolter	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Fawcett	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Kusse	Rappaport	Worrlow
Fischer	Laudadio	Navenstahl	Wright
Fisher	Laughlin	Reed	Yahner
Flaherty	Lederer	Renninger	Yohn
Foster, A.	Lehr	Renwick	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Letterman	Richardson	Zeller
Fryer	Lincoln	Rieger	Zwtkl
Gallagher	Lynch	Ritter	
Gallen	Manderino	Ross	
Garzia	Manmiller	Ruggiero	Fineman, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—19

Abraham	Johnson, J.	Perry	Valicenti
Cumberland	LaMarca	Pievsky	Wagner
DiDonato	Levi	Rhodes	Wojdak
Dreibelbis	Morris	Schmitt	Zord
Irviss	O'Donnell	Shelton	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **Senate bill No. 883, printer's No. 1546**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), entitled "Public Welfare Code," changing provisions relating to Food Stamp Computations.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **Senate bill No. 1154, printer's No. 1529**, entitled:

An Act authorizing development of rural and intercity common carrier surface transportation.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. For the information of the membership of the House, there will be no more votes today. The House is informed that there will be a joint session tomorrow for the purpose of the Governor presenting the budget message. The joint session will commence at 2 o'clock. We will go into session, however, at 9:30 and proceed immediately to dispose of the balance of the calendar.

It is our hope to dispose of all of the calendar by the close of session tomorrow. Next week and the week thereafter will be committee weeks. There will be no

floor sessions except for any emergencies that might arise.

The third week will be a week when we hope to return to the floor and devote our attention exclusively to the Motor Vehicle Code. That will be the week of February 23, and we are putting aside an entire week for debate on that bill, hopefully, to dispose of it.

The following week we will deal with the Education Code in the same manner, taking an entire week to deal with that code and and to dispose of it finally.

The Chair is in error. Will the members please retain their seats? There are just a couple of items yet.

Page 7, House bill No. 1431, printer's No. 1684.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Ruggiero, have his amendments ready?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Ruggiero.

Mr. RUGGIERO. Mr. Speaker, the principal sponsor has agreed that this bill should go over today. I will have my amendments tomorrow.

HOUSE BILL No. 1431 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. All right. The bill will go over in order.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL No. 600 RESUMED

The SPEAKER. Page 10, Senate bill No. 600, printer's No. 635.

The gentleman, Mr. Bennett, advises me he was in error about this bill not proceeding today.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, maybe Mr. Bennett advised you that he was in error, but I was a participant in the same discussion when it was decided by the caucus chairman that this bill would be held over.

The SPEAKER. Is there any serious objection to the bill being held over?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I think that everybody who has been concerned with this particular legislation is ready for the amendments and ready for any debate there might be on the bill and I would object to really holding the bill over. I think the amendments I am offering have been agreed to.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Saloom, agree to the amendments being handled and the bill being prepared for final passage so that the members may look at the bill in its amended form tomorrow?

Mr. SALOOM. That would be fine, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. SALOOM requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, line 23, by striking out "or trust ["

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, lines 24 and 25, by striking out ", for the duration of the administration of such estate or trust,"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 3, line 28, by striking out "or trust"

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 8), page 4, line 2, by striking out "trust,"

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?
Amendments were agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

Ordered, that the bill as amended be prepared for final passage.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Shuman.

Mr. SHUMAN. Mr. Speaker, as a matter for the record, our caucus did not agree to the amendments. There was some objection in our caucus.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman desire to have a roll call on the amendments?

The gentleman does not indicate that he wants a roll call.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, would the Chair consider taking up House bill No. 783, page 16, concurrence in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. This bill was not caucused upon as best I know.

Mr. CAPUTO. It was caucused on, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Can the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, advise the Chair, on page 16, House bill No. 783, if your caucus looked at that bill?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Run that one by me again, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Page 16, House bill No. 783. Did your caucus examine that bill?

Mr. O'CONNELL. No, Mr. Speaker, we did not.

HOUSE BILL No. 783 PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. This bill will go over until tomorrow.

BILL REREPORTED

SENATE BILL No. 1041 By Mr. BONETTO

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594, No. 203), entitled "Township State Highway Law," deleting routes 49048 and 49125 in Northumberland County and Route 30105 in Greene County.

Rereported from Committee on Appropriations.

RULES SUSPENDED TO CONSIDER BILL SECOND TIME

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Bonetto.

Mr. BONETTO. Mr. Speaker, may I ask for a waiver of the rules in order to have this bill read for the third time today? Do I have to make a motion to that effect? It is on second reading now, and I would like to have it read for the third time today, if possible.

The SPEAKER. But it was on second reading only after the House agreed to the bill. Is this a rereport?

Mr. BONETTO. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Bonetto, has moved that the rules of the House be temporarily suspended so that Senate bill No. 1041 may be considered a second time.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. BONETTO and MANDERINO and were as follows:

YEAS—159

Anderson, J. H.	George	Manmiller	Ross
Arthurs	Giannmarco	McCall	Ruggiero
Barber	Gillespie	McClatchy	Saloom
Bellomina	Gillette	McCue	Salvatore
Bennett	Gleason	McGinnis	Scheaffer
Berlin	Gleeson	McGraw	Schweder
Bonetto	Goodman	McIntyre	Shane
Bradley	Green	McLane	Sheihamer
Brandt	Greenfield	Mebus	Shelton
Brunner	Grieco	Menhorn	Shuman
Burns	Gring	Milanovich	Shupnik
Butera	Halverson	Miller, M. E.	Sirianni
Caputo	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Smith, E.
Cassar	Hammock	Millron	Smith, L.
Cimini	Hansay	Miscevich	Spencer
Cohen	Haskell	Mullen	Stahl
Coie	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Stapleton
Cowell	Hayes, S. E.	Myers	Taddonio
Crawford	Hepford	Novak	Taylor
Davies	Hill	Noye	Thomas
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien	Toll
Deventer	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Trello
Dicarlo	Itkin	O'Keefe	Turner
Dietz	Katz	Oliver	Ustynoski
Dinnin	Kelly, A. P.	Pancoast	Vroon
Dombrowski	Kelly, J. B.	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, T. P.
Doyle	Kernick	Petrarca	Wansacz
Eckensberger	Klingaman	Plevsky	Wargo
Englenart	Knepper	Pitts	Weidner
Fawcett	Kolter	Polite	Westerberg
Fee	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Whelan
Fischer	Kusse	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Fisher	Laudadio	Pyles	Worriflow
Flaherty	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright
Foster, A.	Lederer	Ravenstahl	Yahner
Foster, W.	Lehr	Reed	Zeller
Fryer	Letterman	Renwick	Zwick
Gallen	Lincoln	Richardson	
Garzia	Lynch	Rieger	Fineman,
Geesey	Manderino	Ritter	Speaker
Geisler			

NAYS—4

Dorr	Moehlmann	Wilson	Zearfoss
------	-----------	--------	----------

NOT VOTING—34

Abraham	Hopkins	O'Donnell	Seltzer
Boren	Irvis	Perri	Stout
Berson	Johnson, J.	Perry	Valicenti
Bittle	Kistler	Reininger	Wagner
Cumberland	LaMarca	Rhodes	Wilt, W. W.
Davis, D. M.	Levi	Ryan	Wojdak
DiDonato	Morris	Schmitt	Yohn
Dreibelbis	Mironic	Scirica	Zord
Gallagher	Mullen, M. P.		

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

RECONSIDERATION DEFERRED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I sent to the desk a reconsideration motion on House bill No. 2030 with the proper signatures.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman consent to hold his reconsideration motion until tomorrow? It will be in order tomorrow.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Okay.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES

HOUSE BILL No. 1036 (Amended) By Mr. BRUNNER
An Act amending the "Local Tax Collection Law," ap-

proved May 25, 1945 (P. L. 1050, No. 394), requiring the purchase of certain tax collectors' bonds through bidding procedures.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1751 (Amended) By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Fuel Use Tax Act," approved January 14, 1952 (P. L. 1965, No. 550), further providing for exemptions from the tax.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1841 By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Intangible Personal Property Tax Law," approved June 17, 1913 (P. L. 507, No. 335), further providing for counties to determine whether or not to impose the taxes permitted under this act.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1932 (Amended) By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending "The Local Tax Enabling Act," approved December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257, No. 511), requiring reports by collectors of certain taxes.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1955 (Amended) By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further providing for the exclusion of certain residential vertical or inclined conveyancing devices.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1986 By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), to provide for the Commonwealth's payment of interest on refunds, credits, recoupment and offset of tax overpayments.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

HOUSE BILL No. 1987 By Mr. BRUNNER

An Act providing for the payment of interest on tax overpaid to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Reported from Committee on Finance.

AMENDMENTS DEFERRED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Lederer.

Mr. LEDERER. Mr. Speaker, I have amendments to Senate bill No. 600.

The SPEAKER. The Chair will entertain the amendments tomorrow.

Mr. LEDERER. Okay.

LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Green.

Mr. GREEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce that the subcommittee of the State Government Committee charged to prepare the enabling legislation for the volunteer fire companies and the \$10-million bond referendum is introducing that legislation today.

The legislation is on the desk, and any members who care to sign this legislation can do so today.

RESOLUTION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Lincoln.

Mr. LINCOLN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce a resolution which will empower the House Labor Relations Committee to hold public hearings concerning the consent decree which was signed in 1974 by the three different parties involved—the courts, the nine major steel companies, and the steel unions. There have been some very bad inequities which have arisen out of this particular consent decree. Anyone interested in signing the resolution may do so at this time.

Thank you.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. FEE. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. FEE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote on House bill No. 1373, printer's No. 1602, to "no."

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be noted for the record.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to welcome the following exchange students: Silvia Ruiz Esquide from Chile, Debbie Lob Helish from Chile, and Irene Sinnecker from Brazil. They are here with Mr. and Mrs. Donald Holbert and their daughter Lori and Mary Lou Scott. They are the guests of Messrs. Dininni and Manmiller.

In addition, there is with us, as the guests of Mrs. Kernick, the president of Concerned Taxpayers of Allegheny County, Mr. Eugene Miles, and the former president of that same organization, Dortha Charles.

Finally, visitors from Robertshaw Controls Company—Mr. Edward MaHood of Greensburg and Mr. Jack Zink of Youngwood, who are here as the guests of Mr. A. K. Hutchinson; Mr. William Splendore of Mount Pleasant, the guest of Mr. Saloom; and Mr. Richard A. Theis of New Stanton, the guest of Mr. Mandrino.

The Chair would also like to welcome 12 students from Thompson Institute, who are here with Miss Beverly Houser. They are the guests of the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Reed.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. COWELL moved that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, February 4, 1976, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and (at 4:37 p.m., e.s.t.) the House adjourned.