

Legislative Journal

THURSDAY, JULY 26, 1973

Session of 1973

157th of the General Assembly

Vol. 1, No. 65

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (Kenneth B. Lee) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REVEREND ALVIN J. SIMMONS, chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of Bethel A.M.E. Church, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Almighty God, Our Heavenly Father, the source of all that is beautiful and good in life, again we come to Thee, restless, seeking rest in Thee; weak, seeking strength from Thee; uncertain, seeking certainty in Thy presence.

Ofttimes the lesser things in life lay their hands upon us and cause us to major in minors. We have triumphed with trifles, yet Thou art with us endeavoring to lead us along the better way to life and to a greater life together.

O Lord, Our Father, give us the wisdom, knowledge and understanding to wisely deal with the many business problems that we must settle, not for ourselves alone, but for all of Thy people. May we go from this moment of prayer resolving in our hearts to serve Thee and our fellowmen with all of our mind and soul. May we through our service help to make the world a better place in which to live. All this we pray for these Thy servants. In Jesus' name and for His sake. Amen.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Wednesday, July 25, 1973, will be postponed until printed.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

By Messrs. YOHN, SPENCER, VIPOND, BUTERA, RIEGER, SAVITT, SHUPNIK, Mrs. KELLY, Mrs. TOLL, Messrs. McCLATCHY, SCIRICA, DAGER, KELLY, TADDONIO, Mrs. FAWCETT, Messrs. POLITE, FISCHER, MEBUS, ZEARFOSS and BEREN **HOUSE BILL No. 1306**

An Act amending the "Legislative Code of Ethics," approved July 10, 1968 (P. L. 316, No. 154), further defining member; further providing for standards of conduct and prohibitions; providing for economic interest statements; and further providing for penalties.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

By Messrs. NOYE, THOMAS, KAHLE, FRANKENBURG, SCHEAFFER and Mrs. WHITTLESEY **HOUSE BILL No. 1307**

An Act prohibiting the requirement, as a condition of

employment, to become or remain a member of a labor organization if such organization uses any of its funds for the purpose of supporting any political party or candidate.

Referred to Committee on Labor Relations.

By Messrs. WESTERBERG, R. W. WILT and SPENCER **HOUSE BILL No. 1308**

An Act amending the "Motor Vehicle Sales Finance Act," approved June 28, 1947 (P. L. 1110, No. 476), further providing for finance charges for commercial motor vehicles.

Referred to Committee on Business and Commerce.

By Messrs. MORRIS, MEBUS and FRANKENBURG **HOUSE BILL No. 1309**

An Act amending the "Real Estate Tax Sale Law," approved July 7, 1947 (P. L. 1368, No. 542), further regulating redemption of property.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

By Messrs. GALLAGHER, BERKES, PETRARCA, WISE, SHUPNIK and A. K. HUTCHINSON **HOUSE BILL No. 1310**

An Act authorizing the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency to pay the interest due on certain insured student loans during the period in which student borrowers are enrolled in programs of postsecondary education.

Referred to Committee on Education.

By Messrs. ZELLER, MALONEY, ECKENSBERGER, RITTER, LAUGHLIN and MORRIS **HOUSE BILL No. 1311**

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Justice for aid to local law enforcement.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

By Messrs. CESSAR and PARKER **HOUSE BILL No. 1312**

An Act amending the "Spirituous and Vinous Liquor Tax Law," approved December 5, 1933 (Ex. Session P. L. 38, No. 6), exempting servicemen and disabled veterans purchasing liquor at military installations from tax liability.

Referred to Committee on Liquor Control.

By Messrs. CESSAR, BURKARDT, ZORD and PARKER **HOUSE BILL No. 1313**

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), authorizing the board to sell at special prices to the United States Armed Forces facilities.

Referred to Committee on Liquor Control.

By Messrs. THOMAS, W. W. WILT, WESTERBERG, Mrs. CRAWFORD, Messrs. DeVERTER, RUANE,

KAHLE, KENNEDY, L. E. SMITH, SALOOM,
KLINGAMAN, BURKARDT, SHELHAMER,
YAHNER, WISE and HALVERSON

HOUSE BILL No. 1314

An Act amending "The Vehicle Code," approved April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58, No. 32), prohibiting disorderly practices with a vehicle, motor vehicle or tractor, providing for the disposition of fines therefrom and providing a penalty.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

SENATE MESSAGE

BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented for concurrence bills numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 167

An Act amending the act of June 15, 1951 (P. L. 586, No. 144), entitled "An act regulating the suspension, removal, furloughing and reinstatement of police officers in boroughs and townships of the first class having police forces of less than three members and in townships of the second class," further providing for notice of charges and for hearings on dismissals and creating commissions.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

SENATE BILL No. 68

An Act amending the act of September 9, 1965 (P. L. 498, No. 252), entitled, as amended, "An act exempting certain firemen, policemen, volunteer ambulance and rescue squad personnel and National Ski Patrol personnel from civil liability when rendering emergency care, first aid and rescue in the performance of their duties except in certain instances," further regulating liability of persons attempting to rescue or render aid at the scene of an accident or medical emergency.

Referred to Committee on Health and Welfare.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has rejected the report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses and has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives on bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 262

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1858 (P. L. 622, No. 557), entitled "An act regulating the Rate of Interest," further regulating the rate of interest.

SENATE MESSAGE

**SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF
CONFERENCE ON HOUSE BILL No. 255**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on bill numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 255

An Act amending the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 847, No. 356), entitled "Banking Code of 1965," enlarging the lending and investment powers of institutions, the sur-

plus account requirements and the additional powers related to the conduct of the business of savings banks.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to Senate bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 634

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1972 (No. 17-A), entitled "The General Appropriation Act of 1972," increasing an appropriation to the Department of Transportation.

HOUSE BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor and the same being correct, the title was read as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 255

An Act amending the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 847, No. 356), entitled "Banking Code of 1965," enlarging the lending and investment powers of institutions, the surplus account requirements and the additional powers related to the conduct of the business of savings banks.

Whereupon,

The SPEAKER, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

SENATE BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 104

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), entitled "An act to consolidate, editorially revise and codify the public welfare laws of the Commonwealth," further providing for interstate placement of children, prescribing powers and duties of the Department of Public Welfare and providing penalties.

SENATE BILL No. 262

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1858 (P. L. 622, No. 557), entitled "An act regulating the Rate of Interest," further regulating the rate of interest.

SENATE BILL No. 458

An Act amending the act of April 13, 1972 (P. L. 184, No. 62), entitled "Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law," allowing a government study commission eighteen months to report if it proposes a home rule charter.

SENATE BILL No. 634

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1972 (No. 17-A), entitled "The General Appropriation Act of 1972," increasing an appropriation to the Department of Transportation.

Whereupon,

The SPEAKER, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

SENATE MESSAGE

**SENATE INSISTS ON AMENDMENTS NONCONCURRED
IN BY THE HOUSE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has insisted upon its amendments nonconcurring in by the House of Representatives to **HOUSE BILL No. 89**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58, No. 32), entitled "The Vehicle Code," providing for special commercial motor vehicle dealer's plates and establishing a fee and providing penalties therefor; and increasing and providing for certain other fees.

And has appointed Messrs. MAZZEI, AMMERMAN and MANBECK a committee to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives, (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

SENATE MESSAGE AND HOUSE BILL No. 89
LAI'D ON TABLE

Mr. WESTERBERG. Mr. Speaker, I move that House bill No. 89, printer's No. 1446, together with the Senate message, be laid upon the table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I have no requests for leaves of absence today.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Does the minority whip have any further leaves of absence?

Mr. IRVIS. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for a leave of absence for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. RAPPAPORT, for today's session, and for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. TAYOUN, for the rest of the week's session.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leaves are granted.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON FIRST CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **House bill No. 659, printer's No. 1631**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Vehicle Code," approved April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58, No. 32), authorizing the Secretary of Transportation to designate additional agents to issue temporary registration plates or markers.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **House bill No. 905, printer's No. 1635**, entitled:

An Act consolidating, revising and amending the divorce and annulment laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **House bill No. 926, printer's No. 1636**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Landlord and Tenant Act of 1951," approved April 6, 1951 (P. L. 69, No. 20), clarifying existing law as to security deposits and interest thereon or bonds in lieu of same.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **House bill No. 1182, printer's No. 1639**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Consolidated Pennsylvania Statutes," approved November 25, 1970 (No. 230), further regulating exceptions to carrying firearms without a license.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **House bill No. 1234, printer's No. 1637**, entitled:

An Act prohibiting the right to condemn prime agricultural lands for certain purposes.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of **Senate bill No. 736, printer's No. 1283**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 25, 1970 (No. 230), entitled "Consolidated Pennsylvania Statutes," further defining the offense of obscenity, redefining obscene, declaring certain unlawful acts relating to obscenity to be public nuisances and further providing for injunctions.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL ON
SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **Senate bill No. 564, printer's No. 1240**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation out of the Motor License Fund to the Department of Highways for the Appalachian Local Access Roads program.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. BUTERA requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, line 6 by striking out "HIGHWAYS" and inserting: Transportation

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1 by striking out "HIGHWAYS" and inserting: Transportation

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

Amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on second consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

Ordered, that the bill as amended be prepared for third consideration.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1087, printer's No. 1594**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Consolidated Pennsylvania Statutes," approved November 25, 1970 (No. 230), providing for the right of arrest without a warrant for theft offenses.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1107, printer's No. 1595**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 of the Consolidated Pennsylvania Statutes approved November 25, 1970 (No. 230), redefining the offense of robbery and adding an additional grade for the offense.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 920, printer's No. 1267**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 12, 1973 (P. L. No. 11-A), entitled "An act to provide for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1973 to June 30, 1974, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1973," itemizing appropriations required from the Federal Revenue Sharing Trust Fund for the proper operations of the several departments of the Commonwealth authorized to spend Federal Revenue Sharing Trust Fund moneys.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that Senate bill No. 920, printer's No. 1267, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McMonagle.

Mr. McMONAGLE. Mr. Speaker, I would hope that by some means you could reconsider Senate bill No. 920. I think it is very important since we have not had Senate bill No. 1035 released for the appropriation to restore the mental health and retardation figure of \$13 million, when people in institutions in Woodville, Mayview and western psychiatric hospitals, and also children need the appropriations that they might have the education that they are entitled to.

I had hoped that we would have had an opportunity to vote on Senate bill No. 1035 and I would beg the leadership on both sides to reconsider Senate bill No. 920.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Butera, has moved that Senate bill No. 920 be placed upon the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of order.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. DiCARLO. Can we ask for a roll call on Senate bill No. 920?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman would be in order to request a roll call on Senate bill No. 920.

Mr. DiCARLO. Again, Mr. Speaker, I am asking because of the urgency of some of these programs. It seems that this House, in the last three or four weeks, has been operating in a crisis-oriented situation. I will not go back home to my county when I have the senior citizens unable to operate their agencies and when we have youth forestry camps throughout the Commonwealth still pending for funds.

I think that our job down here is to be responsible legislators, and this is what I feel we should do.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has requested that we have a roll call on the motion to reconsider the motion to postpone.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I understand the gentleman's frustration and I share it.

I do not know if he is aware of the fact that the Senate has adjourned until September 17 as of last evening. We can go through the battle of the budget again, and then do it again when we come back in September, and it just seems to me to be a vain act.

The state government is funded; it can operate; it is operating at full speed. I think we would just be going through motions that we can better postpone without hurting anyone until we come back in September and do it in the context in which it should be done, and that is a resolution of the entire problem. We cannot solve that problem today.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to reconsider, those voting "aye" vote to reconsider; those voting "no" vote against reconsideration.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. DiCARLO and BUTERA and were as follows:

YEAS—84

Arthurs	Fee	Laudadio	Richardson
Barber	Fenrich	Laughlin	Rieger
Bellomini	Fineman	Letterman	Ritter
Bennett	Frankenburg	Lincoln	Romanelli
Berkes	Fryer	Malady	Ruggiero
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Scanlon
Blackwell	Geisler	McCue	Schmitt
Bonetto	Gillette	McGraw	Shane
Brunner	Gleeson	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Caputo	Goodman	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shelton
Comer	Greenfield	Morris	Shuman
Davis, D. M.	Hammock	Mullen, M. P.	Shupnik
Davis, R.	Hayes, D. S.	Murtha	Stout
DeMedio	Homer	Musto	Toll
Dicarlo	Hutchinson, A.	Myers	Vipond
Dombrowski	Irvis	Novak	Walsh, J. T.
Doyle	Itkin	O'Brien	Walsh, T. P.
Dreibelbis	Kelly, A. P.	Perry	Wargo
Early	Kolter	Petrarca	Wise
Eckensberger	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Yahner
Engelhart	LaMarca	Renwick	Zeller

NAYS—91

Anderson, J. H.	Gleason	Maloney	Spencer
Beren	Grieco	McCurdy	Stahl
Bittle	Gring	McGinnis	Taddonio
Brandt	Halverson	Mebus	Thomas
Burkarot	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Turner
Burns	Harrier	Noye	Ustynoski
Butera	Hasay	O'Connell	Volpe
Byerly	Haskell	Parker, H. S.	Wagner
Cessar	Hayes, S. E.	Perri	Weidner
Checchio	Hepford	Pitts	Westerberg
Crawford	Hill	Polite	Whittlesey
Deverter	Hopkins	Renninger	Wilson
Dininni	Jones	Rowe	Wilt, R. W.
Dorr	Kahle	Ruane	Wilt, W. W.
Dorsey	Katz	Ryan	Worriolow
Fawcett	Kelly, J. B.	Salvatore	Wright
Fischer	Kennedy	Scheaffer	Yohn
Foor	Kester	Scirica	Zearfoss
Foster, A.	Kistler	Seltzer	Zimmerman
Foster, W.	Klingaman	Semanoff	Zord
Fox	Knepper	Smith, C.	
Gallen	Kusse	Smith, E.	Lee, Speaker
Geesey	Lehr	Smith, L.	
Gekas			

NOT VOTING—26

Bixler	Martino	Saloom	Vacca
Dager	McClatchy	Savitt	Valicenti
Gelfand	Pancoast	Schulze	Vann
Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Sullivan	Wells
Johnson, J.	Piper	Tayoun	Williams
Lederer	Rappaport	Trusio	Wojdak
Lynch, Frank	Rhodes		

So the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I am glad that at least the record will show that this House on this side of the aisle and some of my colleagues on the other side are ready to do business.

It bothers me, again, that we let the other House dictate the policies and the operations in this state.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 705, printer's No. 1437**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), permitting advertisement of the titles and summarizations in lieu of the entire text of proposed ordinances and certain resolutions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 705, printer's No. 1437, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 706, printer's No. 814**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Borough Code," approved February 1, 1966 (P. L. 1656, No. 581), permitting advertisement of the titles and summarizations in lieu of the entire text of proposed ordinances.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 706, printer's No. 814, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 707, printer's No. 1438**, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), permitting advertisement of the titles and summarizations in lieu of the entire text of proposed ordinances and certain resolutions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 707, printer's No. 1438, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 708, printer's No. 1439**, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), permitting advertisement of the titles and summarizations in lieu of the entire text of proposed ordinances and certain resolutions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 708, printer's No. 1439, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 709, printer's No. 817**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Third Class City Code," approved June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), permitting advertisement of the titles and summarizations in lieu of the entire text of ordinances.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 709, printer's No. 817, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 710, printer's No. 818**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1949 (P. L. 443, No. 73), entitled "An act providing for the publication of ordinances and resolutions of a legislative character of incorporated towns," further permitting advertisement of

the title and summarization in lieu of the entire text of any proposed ordinance.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 710, printer's No. 818, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it. Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, my switch is locked. Would you please release it?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's switch will be unlocked.

Agreeable to order, The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, entitled:

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), further providing for special occasion permits.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. This is the first roll call of the day and will serve as the master roll call.

On the question recurring, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—110

Table listing names of members who voted 'Yeas' (110 total). Includes Barber, Bellomini, Bennett, Beren, Berkes, Berson, Blackwell, Bonetto, Brunner, Burns, Butera, Caputo, Checchio, Comer, Dager, Davis, D. M., DeMedio, Dicarolo, Dorsey, Doyle, Eckensberger, Englehart, Geisler, Gillette, Gleason, Gleason, Goodman, Greenfield, Grieco, Hayes, D. S., Homer, Hutchinson, A., Hutchinson, W., Irvis, Itkin, Jones, Kelly, A. P., Kelly, J. B., Kester, Klingaman, Knepper, Kolter, Kowalyszyn, Kusse, Manderino, McClatchy, McCurdy, McGinnis, McGraw, McMonagle, Mebus, Miller, M. E., Miller, M. E., Jr., Morris, Mullen, M. P., Murtha, Musto, Novak, O'Brien, O'Connell, Perry, Prendergast, Renninger, Renwick, Rieger, Ritter, Scirica, Semanoff, Shelton, Shupnik, Stout, Taddonio, Toll, Trusio, Turner, Ustynoski, Vann, Vipond, Volpe, Wagner, Walsh, J. T., Wargo, Wells, Whittlesey, Wilson, Worrilow, Wright, Yahner.

Table listing names of members who were present or absent during the first question. Includes Fawcett, Fee, Fenrich, Fineman, Foster, W., Gallagher, Laudadio, Laughlin, Letterman, Lincoln, Malady, Maloney, Romanelli, Ruane, Ruggiero, Salvatore, Scanlon, Schmitt, Yohn, Zearfoss, Zeller, Lee, Speaker.

NAYS—63

Table listing names of members who voted 'Nays' (63 total). Includes Anderson, J. H., Arthurs, Bittle, Bixler, Brandt, Burkardt, Byerly, Crawford, Davis, R., Deverter, Dininni, Dombrowski, Dorr, Dreifelbis, Early, Fischer, Foor, Foster, A., Fox, Frankenburg, Gallen, Geesey, Gekas, Gring, Halverson, Hamilton, J. H., Hammock, Harrier, Haskell, Hayes, S. E., Hepford, Hill, Hopkins, Kahle, Katz, Kennedy, Kistler, Lehr, McCue, Parker, H. S., Perri, Pitts, Polite, Rowe, Ryan, Scheaffer, Seltzer, Shane, Shelhamer, Shuman, Smith, E., Smith, L., Spencer, Stahl, Thomas, Walsh, T. P., Weidner, Westerberg, Wilt, R. W., Wilt, W. W., Wise, Zimmerman, Zord.

NOT VOTING—28

Table listing names of members who did not vote (28 total). Includes Cessar, Fryer, Gelfand, Hasay, Johnson, J., LaMarca, Lederer, Lynch, Frank, Martino, Myers, Noye, Pancoast, Petrarca, Pievsky, Piper, Rappaport, Rhodes, Richardson, Saloom, Savitt, Schulze, Smith, C., Sullivan, Tayoun, Vacca, Valicenti, Williams, Wojdak.

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. FRYER. I rise to a question of personal privilege. The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. FRYER. I wish to be recorded on the master roll. I missed the vote on House bill No. 797. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record, and the gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Lynch.

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, may I be placed on the master roll, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Brandt.

Mr. BRANDT. I would like to have my switch unlocked, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be placed upon the master roll.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P. L. 1368, No. 542), entitled "Real Estate Tax Sale Law," clarifying certain conflicting prior amendments, providing for accounting system and payment over purchase of property by county commissioners and sale of certain properties by the bureau, and further regulating costs of bureau op-

eration, priority of liens, certain returns, docketing of claims and certain sales notices.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—172

Anderson, J. H.	Foster, W.	Letterman	Semanoff
Arthurs	Fox	Lincoln	Shane
Barber	Frankenburg	Lynch, Frank	Shelhamer
Bellomini	Fryer	Malady	Shelton
Bennett	Gallagher	Maloney	Shuman
Beren	Gallen	Manderino	Shupnik
Berkes	Geesey	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Berson	Geisler	McCue	Smith, L.
Bittle	Gekas	McCurdy	Spencer
Bixler	Gillette	McGinnis	Stahl
Blackwell	Gleason	McGraw	Stout
Bonetto	Gleason	McMonagle	Taddonio
Brandt	Goodman	Mebus	Thomas
Brunner	Greenfield	Miller, M. E.	Toll
Burkardt	Grieco	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Trusto
Burns	Gring	Morris	Turner
Butera	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen, M. P.	Ustynoski
Byerly	Hammock	Murtha	Vann
Caputo	Harrier	Musto	Vipond
Checchio	Hayes, D. S.	Novak	Volpe
Comer	Hayes, S. E.	O'Brien	Wagner
Crawford	Hepford	O'Connell	Walsh, J. T.
Dager	Hill	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, T. P.
Davis, D. M.	Homer	Perri	Wargo
Davis, R.	Hopkins	Perry	Weidner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pitts	Wells
Deverter	Hutchinson, W.	Polite	Westerberg
Dicarlo	Irvis	Prendergast	Whittlesey
Dininini	Itkin	Renninger	Wilson
Dombrowski	Jones	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Dorr	Kahle	Rieger	Wilt, W. W.
Dorsey	Katz	Ritter	Wise
Doyle	Kelly, A. P.	Romanelli	WorriLOW
Dreibelbis	Kelly, J. B.	Rowe	Wright
Early	Kennedy	Ruane	Yahner
Eckensberger	Kistler	Ruggiero	Yohn
Englehart	Klingaman	Ryan	Zearfoss
Fawcett	Knepper	Salvatore	Zeller
Fee	Kolter	Scanlon	Zimmerman
Fenrich	Kowalyshyn	Scheaffer	Zord
Fineman	Kusse	Schmitt	
Fischer	Laudadio	Scirica	Lee,
Foor	Laughlin	Seltzer	Speaker
Foster, A.	Lehr		

NAYS—2

Halverson	Haskell
-----------	---------

NOT VOTING—27

Cessar	Martino	Rappaport	Sullivan
Gelfand	Myers	Rhodes	Tayoun
Hasay	Noye	Richardson	Vacca
Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Saloom	Valicenti
Kester	Petrarca	Savitt	Williams
LaMarca	Pievsky	Schulze	Wojdak
Lederer	Piper	Smith, C.	

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gelfand. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GELFAND. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GELFAND. Mr. Speaker, may I have my name added to the master roll? If I were in my seat, I would have voted "aye" on Senate bill No. 920 and on Senate bill No. 20.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. HASAY. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. HASAY. Mr. Speaker, could you please have my name added to the master roll? If I were in my seat when Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20, was voted on, I would have voted in the affirmative.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

HOUSE BILL NO. 62 TAKEN FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, I move that House bill No. 62 be taken off the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. HOMER requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 6 and 7, by striking out "after referendum approval thereof AND" and inserting a comma
Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 1, line 15, by striking out "Referendum for Adoption of Elected"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 1, lines 18 to 21; page 2, lines 1 to 24, by striking out "Approving Such" in line 18, all of lines 19 to 21, page 1; all of lines 1 to 24, page 2, and inserting: —(a) Composition of School Board.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 2, lines 25 to 27, by striking out "the voters" in line 25, all of line 26 and "provided," in line 27

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 3, line 9, by striking out "(c)" and inserting: (b)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 3, line 24, by striking out "(d)" and inserting: (c)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 3, lines 25 to 27, by striking out "the voters" in line 25, all of line 26 and "provided," in line 27

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 4, lines 20 and 21, by striking out "the election in which such referendum has been approved," and inserting: enactment of this act,

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 4, line 23, by striking out "thirty" and inserting: sixty

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 4, line 24, by striking out "a preliminary" and inserting: an

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 4, lines 25 to 30; page 5, lines 1 to 5, by striking out "county board of elections. The" in line 25, all of lines 26 to 30, page 4; all of lines 1 to 4 and "(4) Any" in line 5, page 5, and inserting: Chief Clerk of each House of the General Assembly. Within thirty days thereafter, the General Assembly shall approve or disapprove the plan and return it to the commission. If the plan is disapproved, it shall be returned to the commission with the recommendations of the General Assembly. Within thirty days, the commission shall review the plan and the recommendations, amend the plan and resubmit the revised plan to the General Assembly as hereinbefore provided. Upon final approval

by the General Assembly, the apportionment plan shall be filed with the county board of elections and submitted to the voters of the district at the next municipal primary election occurring not less than thirty days after the plan is filed with the county board and at which election the candidates for members of the school board are nominated.

(4) Within thirty days after approval by the General Assembly, any

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 6, line 12, by striking out "preliminary"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 6, line 13, by striking out "preliminary"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 6, line 27, by inserting after "act": and approved by the General Assembly

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 7, by inserting between lines 6 and 7: (10) The county board of elections shall place upon the ballot to be submitted to the voters of each first class A school district under the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), known as the "Pennsylvania Election Code," the following question:

Shall the apportionment plan submitted by the School Director District Apportionment Commission for the election of members of the Board of Public Education of the School District of be approved?	Yes
	No

In the event the voters shall reject the apportionment plan, the nomination of school directors under this section shall be void and the present board shall continue, but a second referendum, upon the petition of fifteen per cent of the registered voters of the school district, may be held after two years from the date of the first election.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 10, lines 18 to 20, by striking out "Upon the approval by" in line 18, all of lines 19 and 20 and inserting: After the

Amend Sec. 3, page 13, line 13, by inserting after "ACT": , excluding section 2,

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, many of us from Allegheny County and particularly the delegation from the city of Pittsburgh met yesterday and we worked something out which we feel most of us could accept, and that basically is this amendment. What it does is keep the bill virtually intact the way it was. It keeps the home rule concept in Pittsburgh to reapportion the city and Mount Oliver into districts for school board member representation, that is totally elected school board representation. But what it does is provide an additional safeguard that once the district is reapportioned by the commission before it becomes official and an election is held, the districting itself must come back to the General Assembly, both Houses, for a look at, a review and approval before it becomes effective. So with this safeguard in, I think that most of us can support this bill, and I would urge all of us to support this amendment. Then I am going to ask that the rules be suspended, finally, and we have a final vote on the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I want to be very clear about the record on this, and I do not want to make any inflammatory statements, but I want everyone to understand how I feel about this matter.

The amendments offered by Mr. Homer improve the bill. It is still my position, however, that any tampering

with the school situation in the school district of Pittsburgh at this time is premature.

What is needed in the city of Pittsburgh is not a confrontation of hotheads, whether the heads be white or black; what is needed is a time period to sit down and work out the problems, so that the eventuation of an elected school board does not result in battle. That was the essence of my statement yesterday; it is the essence of my position today.

The gentlemen who support House bill No. 62 are friends of mine and they are quite sincere. I understand some of the pressures they are under. They may differ from my approach to things philosophically, but they are honest men and they are doing what they consider to be right. I will not claim to a similar honesty, because I know myself better than I know them.

I am doing what I sincerely think is needed and is right for the city of Pittsburgh, not just for the black citizens of the city of Pittsburgh but the white citizens also. At the current time, the black citizenry expects that the whites are trying to form lynching parties. The white citizenry is uneasy and restless, feeling that the blacks are invading their territory and trying to run things.

In such situations in the past in which I have found myself—and I have found myself in the middle many times over the years—I have always found that if I could buy time, sit down and talk with people on both sides, I could reason with them. It is my contention that we need that time now.

The Senate has adjourned. Any passage by this House right now cannot be consummated any earlier than September. And as I said yesterday, I would like the time for the citizenry of Pittsburgh; I would like a period of two years so that we could prepare them against what is inevitable and make sure that when it came, it would come in peace, rather than an act of war.

Consequently, I am going to vote against the amendments, and if the amendments go in, I intend to vote against the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, the minority whip has indicated the benefits that these amendments would provide for the bill. I do not want to debate the minority whip on the merits of the bill at this time, but I think he has indicated to this House that the amendments are worthy of inclusion in the bill.

I would, therefore, suggest to the House that we adopt the amendments because I think they do considerably improve the bill, and let us discuss the merits of the bill at the time the bill is considered before the House. Therefore, I would hope that we would all support the amendments and discuss the bill on its merits when it comes up for final passage.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, just one brief remark or two.

This "compromise" was worked out in the office of the minority whip. I assumed at the time that it was basically agreed to to make the bill much better.

Mr. Irvis has said this morning that he feels a two-year period is needed. That will be the subject of the

next amendment which is going to be offered by the gentleman, Mr. Rhodes. Perhaps it might take two years to implement this bill without Mr. Rhodes' amendment. Perhaps it could be implemented by next year. We do not know exactly. We will face that issue when the next amendment is offered.

This amendment, I believe everyone agrees, does improve the bill, and I would hope that we would vote for this amendment and then face the two-year issue following it.

Thank you.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Savitt. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. SAVITT. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. SAVITT. May my name be added to the master roll, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rhodes.

Mr. RHODES. May my name be added to the master roll, also?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. HOMER and IRVIS and were as follows:

YEAS—129

Bellomini	Gillette	Manderino	Shupnik
Beren	Gleason	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Berson	Gleeson	McCurdy	Smith, L.
Bittle	Goodman	McGinnis	Spencer
Bonetto	Greenfield	McGraw	Stahl
Brunner	Grieco	McMonagle	Stout
Burkardt	Gring	Mebus	Taddonio
Butera	Haskell	Miller, M. E.	Toll
Byerly	Hayes, D. S.	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Trusio
Caputo	Hayes, S. E.	Morris	Turner
Comer	Hepford	Murtha	Ustynoski
Crawford	Homer	Musto	Vipond
Dager	Hopkins	Novak	Volpe
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien	Walsh, J. T.
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Walsh, T. P.
Dicarlo	Itkin	Parker, H. S.	Wells
Dininni	Jones	Polite	Westerberg
Dombrowski	Kahle	Prendergast	Whittlesey
Dorsey	Kelly, A. P.	Renninger	Wilson
Doyle	Kelly, J. B.	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Dreibelbis	Kester	Romanelli	Wise
Early	Kistler	Rowe	Worrilow
Eckensberger	Kolter	Ruane	Wright
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Ruggiero	Yahner
Fawcett	Knepper	Ryan	Yohn
Fenrich	Kusse	Salvatore	Zearfoss
Fischer	Laudadio	Savitt	Zeller
Foster, W.	Laughlin	Scanlon	Zimmerman
Fox	Letterman	Schmitt	Zord
Frankenburg	Lincoln	Scirica	
Fryer	Lynch, Frank	Seltzer	Lee,
Geisler	Malady	Semanoff	Speaker
Gekas	Maloney	Shelhamer	

NAYS—48

Anderson J. H.	Fee	Hill	Rieger
Arthurs	Fineman	Irvis	Ritter
Barber	Foor	Katz	Scheaffer
Bennett	Foster, A.	Kennedy	Shane
Berkes	Gallagher	Klingaman	Shelton
Blackwell	Gallen	Lehr	Shuman
Brandt	Geesey	McCue	Thomas
Burns	Gelfand	Mullen, M. P.	Vann
Checchio	Halverson	Perri	Wagner

Davis, R.	Hamilton, J. H.	Perry	Wargo
Deverter	Hammock	Pitts	Weidner
Dorr	Harrier	Rhodes	Wilt, W. W.

NOT VOTING—24

Bixler	Martino	Piper	Sullivan
Cessar	Myers	Rappaport	Tayoun
Hasay	Noye	Richardson	Vacca
Johnson, J.	Pancoast	Saloom	Valicenti
LaMarca	Petrarca	Schuize	Williams
Lederer	Pievsky	Smith, C.	Wojdak

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. RHODES requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 10, line 17, by striking out "CODE." and inserting: Code," but the initial election of school directors under this section shall not be held prior to 1975.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302.1), page 11, line 13, by striking out "the" where it appears the last time and inserting: 1975 or in any subsequent

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rhodes.

Mr. RHODES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be brief for the benefit of the members of the House.

The object of this amendment is to guarantee that no action is taken to establish an elected school board in the city of Pittsburgh until 1975, the fall of 1975. The purpose behind this amendment is simple.

In line with what Mr. Irvis said yesterday, it is the feeling of those opposed to the concept of elected school boards being imposed now that this is the wrong time to do this. We are not philosophically opposed to the concept of elected school boards per se. One could not be opposed to such a thing per se; what we are opposed to is the imposition at this precise time, a time of stress and disorder and anguish in the city of Pittsburgh around the school system.

Therefore, this amendment would guarantee to all parties that we would have a cooling-off period of two years after the establishment of an elected school board, if this is what is to happen, to enable the city to get back to an orderly process around the school system and to avoid the kind of conflagration and conflict and tension that we have now around this very hotly contested issue of the imposition of an elected school board at this time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Irvis.

Mr. IRVIS. Again, Mr. Speaker, as I addressed the House a few minutes ago, if I had my way, if it were my decision alone, at this moment I would do nothing about the school board in the city of Pittsburgh. I would spend the next two years, if I were the mayor of the city of Pittsburgh, talking to the people in the districts, trying to calm them down, trying to reassure them. I would not have taken sides in the issue. I would consider that the welfare of my city was more important than political

advantage. But I am not the mayor; I am not on the school board; I have only one vote.

If this bill is to be passed—and I shall vote against it under all circumstances because I feel that it does threaten the peace of the city of Pittsburgh—then it certainly ought not to be passed without the amendment offered by the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rhodes. If the bill is to become law, it certainly ought not become law unless it contains the language he submits, which would at least reassure the people of the city of Pittsburgh who are against the elected school board, who fear it, that they would not have to face it for at least two years. That would give us at least a period of two years to talk to them.

I do not wish to mislead anyone. Even if you vote for the amendment, I am still going to be against this bill because, basically, I think any tampering with the school situation right now is pernicious. Therefore, while I urge your support of the amendment, I do not want you to be surprised if you pass the amendment to find me still against the bill. I want you clearly to understand my position.

I think what we do today could well be dangerous, and I want you to understand on the record how I stand, but I support the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Scanlon.

Mr. SCANLON. I gather from what Mr. Irvis has said that no matter when it is decided that Pittsburgh shall elect a school board, he, apparently, is against it.

I can see some very minor quarters of the city where this is an emotional issue. But I live in that city, too, and I do not think that it is as dangerous as some people would have us believe. There is a very small group on both sides of this issue who tend to antagonize it and I do not think that they will change their attitudes by 1975 or 1977 or 1979 or 1999. Those types of people have a definite attitude and time will not change it.

I really sincerely request that Pittsburgh face this issue now, and I ask everyone to vote against these amendments.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rhodes.

Mr. RHODES. Mr. Speaker, I do not want to belabor the point, but there are two things that ought to be pointed out.

One, this issue which has been debated in the city of Pittsburgh in the past year has, in fact, been debated on the two sides by a broad coalition of organizations. I have never seen such a coalition on both sides of the issue as has formed around this issue. So any attempt to dismiss this as a minor issue and one not capturing the imagination and concern of all citizens in the city is a misrepresentation.

Secondly, I have been holding back debating this issue, but there is one thing I hope the House will take into consideration. We have a very good school board in Pittsburgh. We do not run deficits. We do not have the kind of opposition to the school board that you have been hearing on the floor except from a very narrow group that is opposed to busing. That is what has happened in the city.

In the last 40 years there have been no schools built in

our city because of the bond issue condition. The current school board is undertaking five school building projects which are renovating our physical plant in the city. Our school board has been responsible for all kinds of important progress in terms of teacher-assisted education and new concepts in education that has been historical out of the city of Pittsburgh school system. I just hope the members take into consideration what they are doing to this board.

There is one last thing to remember. The current president of the board is a black woman, and that is a big factor in this current dispute which has caught up a few of the extremists in terms of their opposition to what she has been trying to do to bring our school system and our city through this era of excitement and tension surrounding the school system.

This is a good board, and we are asking you not to destroy it for two years.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. RHODES and IRVIS and were as follows:

YEAS—96

Anderson, J. H.	Grieco	Mullen, M. P.	Spencer
Barber	Hamilton, J. H.	Parker, H. S.	Stahl
Bennett	Hammock	Perri	Taddonio
Beren	Harrier	Perry	Thomas
Berkes	Hasay	Pitts	Toll
Bittle	Haskell	Polite	Trusio
Blackwell	Hayes, S. E.	Prendergast	Turner
Burns	Hepford	Renninger	Ustynoski
Butera	Hutchinson, W.	Rhodes	Vann
Byerly	Irvis	Rieger	Vipond
Checchio	Jones	Rowe	Walsh, J. T.
Crawford	Kahle	Ruane	Wargo
Deverter	Katz	Ruggiero	Wells
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Ryan	Westerberg
Diminni	Kelly, J. B.	Savitt	Whittlesey
Fawcett	Kennedy	Scheaffer	Wilt, R. W.
Fineman	Kistler	Scirica	Wilt, W. W.
Fischer	Knepper	Seltzer	Wise
Foor	Kolter	Semanoff	Yohn
Foster, W.	Kowalshyn	Shane	Zearfoss
Gallagher	Laudadio	Shelton	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lehr	Shuman	
Gekas	Manderino	Smith, E.	Lee,
Gelfand	Mebus	Smith, L.	Speaker
Gillette	Morris		

NAYS—79

Arthurs	Englehart	Klingaman	O'Connell
Bellomini	Fee	Kusse	Renwick
Berson	Fenrich	Laughlin	Ritter
Bixler	Foster, A.	Letterman	Romanelli
Bonetto	Fox	Lincoln	Salvatore
Brandt	Frankenburg	Lynch, Frank	Scanlon
Brunner	Fryer	Malady	Schmitt
Burkardt	Geesey	Maloney	Shelhamer
Comer	Geisler	McClatchy	Shupnik
Dager	Gleason	McCue	Stout
Davis, D. M.	Gleeson	McCurdy	Wagner
Davis, R.	Goodman	McGinnis	Walsh, T. P.
DeMedio	Gring	McGraw	Weidner
Dombrowski	Halverson	McMonagle	Wilson
Dorr	Hayes, D. S.	Miller, M. E.	WorriLOW
Dorsey	Hill	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Wright
Doyle	Homer	Murtha	Yahner
Dreibelbis	Hopkins	Musto	Zeller
Early	Itkin	Novak	Zord
Eckensberger	Kester	O'Brien	

NOT VOTING—26

Caputo	Martino	Rappaport	Tayoun
Cessar	Myers	Richardson	Vacca
Greenfield	Noye	Saloom	Valicenti
Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Schulze	Volpe

Johnson, J. LaMarca Lederer	Petrarca Pievsky Piper	Smith, C. Sullivan	Williams Wojdak
-----------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. I would ask that we now suspend the rules to finally consider this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, we are going to have to caucus on about six matters, and since the bill has been amended, I would much prefer to, prior to suspending the rules, cover the bill carefully in our caucuses as to what it exactly is and when we come back I will make that motion.

Mr. HOMER. When are we going to caucus, may I ask, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands that there is going to be a request for caucus immediately.

Mr. HOMER. May I ask the majority leader how long it will take in the caucus?

Mr. BUTERA. I would think not more than a half hour or 45 minutes.

Mr. HOMER. Thank you.

Mr. BUTERA. We have about six items that we must cover and then when we come up from caucus, we will take up this matter. There may, at that time, be an amendment offered by Mr. Ritter or someone; I do not know.

HOUSE BILL NO. 62 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Without objection, House bill No. 62 will be passed over temporarily.

The Chair hears no objection.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 563, printer's No. 1238, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), entitled "Tax Reform Code of 1971," changing certain definitions with respect to gratuities connected with certain sales and hotel occupancy taxes, providing for a certain exclusion from taxation and further providing for declarations and for rates.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BUTERA moved that Senate bill No. 563, printer's No. 1238, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I request a recess for the period of one half hour for the purposes of our respective caucuses.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. FINEMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the Democratic members of the House please report immediately to the Caucus Room immediately upon the declaration of the recess?

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RICHARDSON. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I was called from the hall of the House. I would like to be recorded on the master roll call. On House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, I would like to be recorded in the negative; on Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative; and on the two amendments to House bill No. 62, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record and the gentleman will be so recorded.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Ruggiero.

Mr. RUGGIERO. Mr. Speaker, is the majority leader still on the floor of the House?

The SPEAKER. The majority leader is not on the floor of the House at the present time.

Mr. RUGGIERO. I would like to interrogate any one of the Republican leaders who might be available.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hepford. Would the gentleman consent to interrogation?

Mr. HEPFORD. I will.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RUGGIERO. Mr. Speaker, some of the members, including myself, have scheduled events in our districts for this evening—appointments, et cetera. Can the gentleman tell me approximately how long this session may last today? Can he speculate in any way?

Mr. HEPFORD. I think if your side of the aisle would go to their caucus immediately so that the caucuses can meet and return to the floor in 45 minutes, you would get the answer in your caucus from the minority leader.

The SPEAKER. For the further information of the gentleman, the only item apparently that will require any extended debate that will probably be taken up today is the bill on abortion. Other than that, most of the legislation that will be moved will be moved in a routine manner.

Mr. RUGGIERO. I thank the Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker's best guess, and that is not very good at best, would probably be around 2 o'clock.

Mr. RUGGIERO. I thank the Speaker.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cessar. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. CESSAR. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. CESSAR. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be put on the master roll call. I was attending a meeting. I would like two votes recorded—House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, in the affirmative; and Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20, in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded and the remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Bixler.

Mr. BIXLER. Mr. Speaker, I was just informed by Mr. Irvis that I do not show on the master roll; however, I have been voting from the beginning. Can you give me a clear indication of whether I show on the master roll?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is so recorded.

Mr. BIXLER. Fine. Thank you very much.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair now declares a recess of one-half hour.

The Chair hears no objection.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

THE SPEAKER (Kenneth B. Lee) IN THE CHAIR**QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wojdak. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WOJDAK. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. WOJDAK. Mr. Speaker, may I have my name added to the master roll, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, could I have my name placed on the master roll?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, may I have my name added to the master roll? And had I been here, I would have voted "no" on House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, and "yes" on Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record and the gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. I would like to have my name added to the master roll, and if I had been in my seat, I would have voted for House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, in the affirmative; Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20, in the affirmative; House bill No. 62 in the affirmative, on the Homer amendment, and also in the affirmative on the Rhodes' amendment.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record and the gentleman will be so recorded.

CEDAR CREST STUDENTS WELCOMED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today 20 students from the Cedar Crest Summer School History and Civics Club, who are here with their teacher, Mr. Anthony Orsini.

The students and the teacher are the guests of the gentlemen from Lebanon, Messrs. Seltzer and Rowe.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PETRARCA. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. PETRARCA. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to show that my absence was because I was at a Liquor Control Committee meeting.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Lederer.

Mr. LEDERER. May I please have my name placed on the master roll call?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. SALOOM. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, would you add my name to the master roll call, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Johnson. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. JOHNSON. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, could I have my name added to the master roll call?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, may I have my name added to the master roll call, and could I have it indicated

that had I been here, I would have voted in the negative on House bill No. 797, printer's No. 1632, and in the affirmative on Senate bill No. 20, printer's No. 20?

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record and the gentleman will be so recorded.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 481, printer's No. 1187, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 11, 1967 (P. L. 707, No. 331), entitled "An act providing for and regulating thoroughbred horse racing with pari-mutuel wagering on the results thereof, creating the State Horse Racing Commission as an independent administrative commission and defining its powers and duties; . . .," authorizing racing at licensee's discretion during certain hours on Sunday.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

REQUEST TO KEEP ROLL CALL OPEN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. Only for this observation, Mr. Speaker: You are going to hold the roll call open until everybody gets up here?

The SPEAKER. We are going to hold the roll call open, yes.

Mr. MEBUS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. For the members who are not on the floor of the House, would you come to the floor of the House immediately? We are taking a roll on a bill.

This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—117

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (117 total). Includes names like Barber, Bellomini, Bennett, Beren, Berson, Bittle, Bixler, Blackwell, Bonetto, Brunner, Burkardt, Burns, Butera, Caputo, Checchio, Comer, Dager, Davis, D. M., Dicarlio, Dombrowski, Dorsey, Doyle, Dreibelbis, Eckensberger, Englehart, Fawcett, Fee, Fenrich, Fineman, Gallagher, Geisler, Gelfand, Gleeson, Greenfield, Grieco, Hamilton, J. H., Hammock, Hasay, Hayes, D. S., Homer, Hopkins, Hutchinson, A., Irvis, Johnson, J., Jones, Katz, Kelly, A. P., Kelly, J. B., Kester, Klingaman, Kowalyszyn, Laudadio, Lederer, Letterman, Lynch, Frank, Malady, Maloney, Manderino, McClatchy, McCurdy, McGinnis, McGraw, McMonagle, Mebus, Miller, M. E., Morris, Mullen, M. P., Musto, Novak, O'Connell, Perri, Perry, Petrarca, Pievsky, Polite, Prendergast, Renninger, Renwick, Rhodes, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Romanelli, Ruggiero, Ryan, Salvatore, Savitt, Scanlon, Scirica, Semanoff, Shelton, Smith, C., Spencer, Taddonio, Toll, Trusio, Turner, Ustynoski, Vann, Vipond, Volpe, Wagner, Walsh, T. P., Wargo, Weidner, Wells, Whittlesey, Williams, Wilson, Wojdak, Worrilow, Wright, Yohn, Zearfoss, Zeller, Zord, and Lee, Speaker.

NAYS—62

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (62 total). Includes names like Anderson, J. H., Arthurs, Brandt, Byerly, Crawford, Davis, R., DeMedio, Deverter, Dininni, Dorr, Early, Fischer, Floor, Foster, A., Foster, W., Fox, Frankenburg, Fryer, Geesey, Gillette, Gleason, Gring, Halverson, Harrier, Haskell, Hayes, S. E., Hepford, Hill, Kahle, Kennedy, Knepper, Kolter, Kusse, Laughlin, Lehr, McCue, Murtha, Noye, Parker, H. S., Pitts, Rowe, Ruane, Saloom, Scheaffer, Schmitt, Seltzer, Shane, Shelhamer, Shuman, Shupnik, Smith, E., Smith, L., Stahl, Stout, Thomas, Walsh, J. T., Westerberg, Wilt, R. W., Wilt, W. W., Wise, Yahner, Zimmerman.

NOT VOTING—22

Table listing names of members who did not vote (22 total). Includes names like Berkes, Cessar, Gallen, Gekas, Goodman, Hutchinson, W., Itkin, Kistler, LaMarca, Lincoln, Martino, Miller, M. E., Jr., Myers, O'Brien, Pancoast, Piper, Rappaport, Schulze, Sullivan, Tayoun, Vacca, Valicenti.

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 62 RESUMED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, I understand that there is another amendment to be offered to the bill and, if so, I assume we should consider that amendment and then I will move to suspend the rules and consider the bill finally.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. RITTER requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, line 8 by inserting a period after "BOARD"

Amend Title, page 1, lines 8 and 9 by striking out "AND PROVIDING FOR MEMBERSHIP OF PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN THE" in line 8 and all of line 9

Amend Sec. 2, page 12, lines 27 to 30; page 13, lines 1 to 12 by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 3, page 13, line 13 by striking out "3." and inserting: 2.

Amend Sec. 4, page 13, line 18 by striking out "4." and inserting: 3.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I will be as brief as I can.

This amendment has absolutely nothing to do with the city of Pittsburgh, but what it does do is take out the amendment inserted by Mr. Volpe dealing with coaches in parochial and private schools who are members of the PIAA.

The reason for that, Mr. Speaker, is that when we changed the law—and I was a sponsor of the bill—to allow parochial and private schools to be members of the PIAA,

it was our intention that they would follow the same rules and regulations that public schools do.

It seems to me if we are going to invite someone into our conference, into our association, that person or persons ought to have to follow the same rules and regulations that we do. If you accept the Volpe amendment, you are saying, in effect, it is all right for you to do something which we prohibit our own schools from doing. I think it is wrong.

I ask that the amendment be accepted, and then, in effect, what we will have is a clean bill dealing with only the city of Pittsburgh and their elected school board.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. DeMedio. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DeMEDIO. I rise to a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DeMEDIO. May an amendment be introduced which is contradictory or will undo the work that an amendment that was previously passed has done? Should this be a motion to reconsider the amendment that was passed?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is correct, that is, providing the amendment which is being offered is exactly similar to the amendment which was placed in the bill.

Will the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter, inform the Chair whether or not the amendment which is being offered is exactly similar to the amendment offered by the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Volpe?

Mr. RITTER. I do not know, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DeMEDIO. Mr. Speaker, if the amendment takes out that provision which the previous amendment put in, it would seem to me that this is not a proper amendment and should not be permitted.

The SPEAKER. The House will be at ease until we can check the amendment.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. M. E. MILLER, JR. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. M. E. MILLER, JR. Mr. Speaker, I was absent from the hall of the House when the vote on Senate bill No. 481, printer's No. 1187, was taken. Could I please be recorded as voting in the affirmative?

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

For the information of the House, the point of parliamentary inquiry raised by the gentleman from Washington, Mr. DeMedio, is well taken.

The amendment offered by the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter, would, in effect, remove the amendment inserted by the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Volpe.

The proper motion then is a motion to reconsider the vote by which that amendment was agreed to.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I so move.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Volpe.

Mr. VOLPE. Mr. Speaker, I am not very clear on this. Am I allowed to debate this motion at all?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may debate the motion.

Mr. VOLPE. Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to reconsideration by which this amendment became part of House bill No. 62. It carried by, I think, 138-33.

Today we received in our mailboxes a missile from, apparently, the PIAA which does not bear a signature. Since there are mistruths in the missile and there is nobody I can interrogate as to why and who sponsored this, I would like to call your attention to one sentence: "This amendment"—referring to my amendment—"effectively would repeal Article XIII of the PIAA bylaws, which require that coaches be full-time professional employees." That is not correct. That is not what the amendment does.

This amendment merely protects about 12 or 13 people through the Commonwealth who have been coaching for 10, 12, 13, 14 years, and now because of this merger, are precluded.

In the past history of education, when teachers were required to have B.S. degrees, they did not fire the normal-school graduates.

The purpose of this, again, is to keep people in their jobs who have been performing satisfactorily. It has been endorsed by every local newspaper and our local central labor union. The reason there were letters in opposition was because the principals of Pennsylvania were misled. They did not read the bill or the amendment.

That is why I am against this recount.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, just to briefly repeat what I had said earlier, it seems to me that if you are going to participate and belong to an association, you ought to conform to the regulations of that association and not have the association conform to your regulations. What we are saying to the public schools is that you will continue to abide by our rules and regulations, but any private or parochial school which comes in does not have to follow those rules and regulations. I think it is completely unfair. I think it goes against what we tried to do in the beginning, and that was to allow them to participate, provided they follow the rules and regulations.

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that we reconsider that vote and defeat the amendment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. RITTER and VOLPE and were as follows:

YEAS—65

Anderson, J. H.	Fineman	Kelly, A. P.	Seltzer
Arthurs	Fischer	Kennedy	Shelhamer
Barber	Foor	Klingaman	Shuman
Bellomini	Fryer	Kolter	Shupnik
Bennett	Gallagher	Kusse	Smith, E.
Berkes	Gallen	Laughlin	Stout
Berson	Geesey	Lehr	Toll
Blackwell	Gelfand	Letterman	Trusio
Byerly	Gring	Pitts	Vann
Crawford	Halverson	Rhodes	Wagner
Dager	Hammock	Richardson	Weidner
Davis, D. M.	Hayes, S. E.	Rieger	Westerberg
Davis, R.	Hutchinson, A.	Ritter	Williams
Dombrowski	Irvis	Scheaffer	Wise

Dreibelbis	Itkin	Schmitt	Zeller
Eckensberger	Johnson, J.	Scirica	Zimmerman
Englehart			

NAYS—119

Beren	Greenfield	McGraw	Semanoff
Bittle	Grieco	McMonagle	Shane
Brandt	Hamilton, J. H.	Mebus	Sheiton
Brunner	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Smith, L.
Burkardt	Hasay	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Spencer
Burns	Haskell	Morris	Stahl
Butera	Hayes, D. S.	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Caputo	Hepford	Murtha	Thomas
Cessar	Hill	Novak	Turner
Checchio	Homer	Noye	Ustynoski
Comer	Hopkins	O'Brien	Vipond
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Volpe
Deverter	Jones	Parker, H. S.	Walsh, J. T.
Dicarolo	Kahle	Perri	Walsh, T. P.
Dininni	Katz	Perry	Wargo
Dorr	Kelly, J. B.	Petrarca	Wells
Dorsey	Kester	Pievsky	Whittlesey
Doyle	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
Fawcett	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Fee	Laudadio	Renninger	Wilt, W. W.
Fenrich	Lederer	Renwick	Wojdak
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Romanelli	WorriLOW
Foster, W.	Lynch, Frank	Rowe	Wright
Fox	Malady	Ruane	Yahner
Frankenburg	Maloney	Ruggiero	Yohn
Geisler	Manderino	Ryan	Zearfoss
Gekas	McClatchy	Saloom	Zord
Gillette	McCue	Salvatore	
Gleason	McCurdy	Savitt	Lee,
Gleeson	McGinnis	Scanlon	Speaker

NOT VOTING—17

Bixler	Martino	Piper	Sullivan
Bonetto	Musto	Rappaport	Tayoun
Early	Myers	Schulze	Vacca
Kistler	Pancoast	Smith, C.	Valicenti
LaMarca			

So the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, I move that we suspend the rules to consider this bill on final passage today.

The SPEAKER. It has been moved by the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer, that the rules of the House be suspended to immediately consider, together with the amendments, House bill No. 62.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. HOMER and IRVIS and were as follows:

YEAS—155

Arthurs	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Scanlon
Bellomini	Gelfand	Malady	Schmitt
Bennett	Gillette	Manderino	Scirica
Beren	Gleason	McCue	Seltzer
Berson	Gleeson	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bittle	Goodman	McGinnis	Shane
Blackwell	Greenfield	McGraw	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Grieco	McMonagle	Shupnik
Brunner	Gring	Mebus	Smith, E.
Burkardt	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Smith, L.
Burns	Hammock	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Spencer
Butera	Hasay	Morris	Stahl
Caputo	Haskell	Murtha	Stout
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Taddonio
Checchio	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Thomas
Comer	Hepford	Noye	Toll
Crawford	Hill	O'Brien	Trusio
Davis, D. M.	Homer	O'Connell	Turner
Davis, R.	Hopkins	Parker, H. S.	Ustynoski
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Perri	Vipond

Dicarolo	Irvis	Perry	Volpe
Dininni	Itkin	Petrarca	Wagner
Dombrowski	Johnson, J.	Pievsky	Wash, T. P.
Dorr	Jones	Pitts	Wells
Dorsey	Katz	Polite	Westerberg
Doyle	Kelly, A. P.	Prendergast	Whittlesey
Dreibelbis	Kelly, J. B.	Renninger	Williams
Early	Kester	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kistler	Rhodes	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Klingaman	Richardson	Wise
Fee	Knepper	Rieger	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kolter	Romanelli	WorriLOW
Fineman	Kowalyszyn	Rowe	Wright
Fischer	Kusse	Ruane	Yahner
Foster, A.	Laudadio	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Foster, W.	Laughlin	Ryan	Zord
Fox	Lederer	Saloom	
Frankenburg	Letterman	Salvatore	Lee,
Fryer	Lincoln	Savitt	Speaker
Gallagher			

NAYS—31

Anderson, J. H.	Foor	Kennedy	Vann
Barber	Gallen	Lehr	Wargo
Berkes	Geesey	Maloney	Weidner
Brandt	Gekas	McClatchy	Wilson
Eyerly	Halverson	Ritter	Yohn
Dager	Harrier	Scheaffer	Zeller
Deverter	Hutchinson, A.	Shelton	Zimmerman
Eckensberger	Kahle	Shuman	

NOT VOTING—15

Bixler	Myers	Schulze	Vacca
LaMarca	Pancoast	Smith, C.	Valicenti
Martino	Piper	Sullivan	Walsh, J. T.
Mullen, M. P.	Rappaport	Tayoun	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Adams, Mr. Smith. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. C. S. SMITH. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. C. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be placed on the master roll call.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Homer.

Mr. HOMER. Mr. Speaker, are we now going to vote on the bill finally?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. HOMER. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provision of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—127

Arthurs	Gallagher	Manderino	Scheaffer
Bellomini	Geisler	McClatchy	Semanoff
Beren	Gekas	McCue	Shelhamer
Berson	Gillette	McCurdy	Shupnik
Bittle	Gleason	McGinnis	Smith, E.
Bonetto	Gleeson	McGraw	Smith, L.
Brunner	Goodman	McMonagle	Spencer
Burkardt	Greenfield	Mebus	Stahl

Burns	Grieco	Miller, M. E.	Stout
Butera	Gring	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Taddonio
Caputo	Hamilton, J. H.	Morris	Trusio
Cessar	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Turner
Checchio	Hayes, D. S.	Murtha	Ustynoski
Comer	Hayes, S. E.	Musto	Vipond
Dager	Homer	Novak	Volpe
Davis, D. M.	Hopkins	Noye	Wagner
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien	Walsh, J. T.
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	O'Connell	Walsh, T. P.
Dombrowski	Itkin	Parker, H. S.	Wells
Dorr	Jones	Petrarca	Whittlesey
Dorsey	Kahle	Pievsky	Wilson
Doyle	Kelly, J. B.	Polite	Wojdak
Dreibelbis	Kester	Prendergast	Worrilow
Early	Kistler	Renninger	Wright
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Renwick	Yahner
Fawcett	Laudadio	Romanelli	Yohn
Fee	Laughlin	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Fenrich	Letterman	Ryan	Zimmerman
Foster, A.	Lincoln	Saloom	Zord
Foster, W.	Lynch, Frank	Salvatore	
Fox	Malady	Savitt	
Frankenburg	Maloney	Scanlon	
Fryer			Lee, Speaker

NAYS—61

Anderson, J. H.	Geesey	Kusse	Shane
Barber	Gelfand	Lederer	Shelton
Bennett	Halverson	Lehr	Shuman
Berkes	Hammock	Perri	Smith, C.
Blackwell	Harrier	Perry	Thomas
Brandt	Haskell	Pitts	Toll
Byerly	Hill	Rhodes	Vann
Crawford	Irvis	Richardson	Wargo
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	Rieger	Weidner
Deverter	Katz	Ritter	Westerberg
Dicarlo	Kelly, A. P.	Rowe	Williams
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Ruane	Wilt, R. W.
Fineman	Klingaman	Schmitt	Wilt, W. W.
Fischer	Knepper	Scirica	Wise
Foor	Kolter	Seltzer	Zeller
Gallen			

NOT VOTING—13

Bixler	Myers	Rappaport	Tayoun
Hepford	Pancoast	Schulze	Vacca
LaMarca	Piper	Sullivan	Valicenti
Martino			

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED

Agreeable to order,

The bill having been called up from the postponed calendar by Mr. VOLPE, the House resumed third consideration of **HOUSE BILL No. 923, PRINTER'S No. 1613**, entitled:

An Act prohibiting the use of public moneys of the Commonwealth to perform abortions; prohibiting the performance of abortions by State or State-aided institutions and State employes; and prescribing penalties.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, in light of the fact that this bill has been amended so materially since it was originally discussed a few days ago, I would think that the very proper move at this time would be to have that bill re-committed to the Committee on Health and Welfare to make sure that it is what it is intended to be.

Therefore, I would move at this time for recommitment of this measure, House bill No. 923, to the Committee on Health and Welfare.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen.

Mr. MULLEN. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the motion. I think everyone knows what is in this bill. I do not think there is a person in this hall who does not know what is in the bill, including the Health and Welfare Committee members.

For that reason, I think we ought to oppose the motion and vote the bill.

Millions and millions of babies are being murdered in Pennsylvania today and to delay this thing would only add further to the murders, and I am against it.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. MEBUS and MULLEN and were as follows:

YEAS—101

Anderson, J. H.	Geesey	Miller, M. E.	Stahl
Barber	Gekas	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Thomas
Beren	Gelfand	Morris	Toll
Berkes	Gleeson	Noye	Turner
Berson	Greenfield	O'Connell	Vann
Bittle	Grieco	Parker, H. S.	Wagner
Blackwell	Gring	Pievsky	Weidner
Brandt	Hammock	Polite	Wells
Burkardt	Harrier	Renninger	Westerberg
Byerly	Hasay	Rhodes	Whittlesey
Cessar	Haskell	Richardson	Williams
Crawford	Hayes, S. E.	Rieger	Wilson
Dager	Hepford	Ritter	Wilt, R. W.
Davis, R.	Hill	Rowe	Wilt, W. W.
Deverter	Hutchinson, A.	Ryan	Wise
Dininni	Irvis	Savitt	Wojdak
Dorr	Itkin	Scheaffer	Worrilow
Dreibelbis	Johnson, J.	Scirica	Wright
Eckensberger	Jones	Seltzer	Yohn
Fawcett	Kelly, J. B.	Shane	Zearfoss
Fineman	Kennedy	Shelhamer	Zimmerman
Fischer	Kistler	Shelton	Zord
Foor	Knepper	Smith, C.	
Foster, W.	Kusce	Smith, E.	Lee, Speaker
Fox	McCurdy	Smith, L.	
Fryer	Mebus	Spencer	

NAYS—89

Arthurs	Gallagher	Lehr	Renwick
Bellomini	Gallen	Letterman	Romanelli
Bennett	Geisler	Lincoln	Ruane
Bixler	Gillette	Lynch, Frank	Ruggiero
Bonetto	Gleason	Malady	Saloom
Brunner	Goodman	Maloney	Salvatore
Burns	Halverson	Manderino	Scanlon
Butera	Hamilton, J. H.	McClatchy	Schmitt
Caputo	Hayes, D. S.	McCue	Semanoff
Checchio	Homer	McGinnis	Shuman
Comer	Hopkins	McGraw	Shupnik
Davis, D. M.	Hutchinson, W.	McMonagle	Stout
DeMedio	Kahle	Mullen, M. P.	Taddonio
Dicarlo	Katz	Murtha	Trusio
Dombrowski	Kelly, A. P.	Musto	Ustynoski
Dorsey	Kester	Novak	Vipond
Doyle	Klingaman	O'Brien	Volpe
Early	Kolter	Perri	Walsh, J. T.
Englehart	Kowalyszyn	Perry	Walsh, T. P.
Fee	Laudadio	Petrarca	Wargo
Fenrich	Laughlin	Pitts	Yahner
Foster, A.	Lederer	Prendergast	Zeller
Frankenburg			

NOT VOTING—11

LaMarca	Pancoast	Schulze	Vacca
Martino	Piper	Sullivan	Valicenti
Myers	Rappaport	Tayoun	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill is so recommitted.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 262 DROPPED FROM CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Report of the Committee of Conference on Senate bill No. 262 will be dropped from the calendar because of the action of the Senate.

REQUEST FOR RECOGNITION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Dager.

Mr. DAGER. Mr. Speaker, on the action of Senate bill No. 262, sometime today I would like to speak on that. It does not have to be now.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be recognized at the proper time.

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 44

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I call up for concurrence in Senate amendments, from page 12 of today's calendar, House bill No. 44, printer's No. 1548.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned bill from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 44

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1964 (P. L. 84, No. 6), entitled "Eminent Domain Code," adding all projects to the provision having to do with damages by floods, providing for limited interest takings and defining certain mobile homes as real property.

With the information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The clerk read the following amendments made by the Senate:

Amend Section 1, page 1, line 12, by inserting after "Damages.—" the letter "(a)"; line 19, by inserting at the beginning of the line "(b)"

Amend Section 1, page 2, line 10, by inserting at the beginning of the line "(c)"; line 15, by removing the semicolon after "condemnation" and inserting a period and by deleting immediately thereafter all the remainder of said line; lines 16 through 20, by deleting all of said lines; line 21, by inserting at the beginning of the line "(d)"; line 29, by inserting after "homes" the following: "which were in use as dwellings and were"

Amend bill, page 3, by inserting after line 2, the following: (e) subsections (c) and (d) are applicable only where the flood damage has occurred within three years prior to the initiation of negotiations for or notice of intent to acquire or order to vacate the property and during the ownership of the property by the condemnee. The flood damage to be excluded shall include only actual physical damage to the property for which the condemnee has not received any compensation or reimbursement.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I request that the House

do concur in the amendments made by the Senate to House bill No. 44.

On the question recurring, Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—189

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (189 total). Includes names like Anderson, J. H., Fryer, Lincoln, Seltzer, etc.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—12

Table listing names of members who did not vote (12 total). Includes names like LaMarca, Lederer, Martino, Myers, Pancoast, Piper, etc.

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 810

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I call up for concurrence in Senate amendments, from page 12 of today's calendar, House bill No. 810, printer's No. 1541.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned bill from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 810

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1956 (P. L. 1609, No. 537), entitled "Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority Act," providing that the Authority may borrow money, issue notes, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, pledge or assign its mortgages or the revenues therefrom, or its revenues generally, to secure the payment thereof; and providing for the issuance of bonds and remedies for bondholders; reducing or removing certain industrial development agency contributions; and excluding authority bonds and evidences of indebtedness from taxation.

With the information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The clerk read the following amendments made by the Senate:

Amend Title, page 1, line 27, by inserting after "bondholders;" the following: "reducing or removing certain industrial development agency contributions;"; line 29, by striking out at the beginning of the line "property" and inserting in lieu thereof "bonds and evidence of indebtedness"

Amend bill, page 7, by inserting after line 16, the following: Section 3. Clause (1) of subsection (b) of Section 6 of the Act, amended May 5, 1965 (P. L. 42, No. 37), is amended to read:

Section 6. Powers of the Authority; Loans to Industrial Development Agencies.—When it has been determined by the authority upon application of an industrial development agency and hearing thereon in the manner hereinafter provided, that the establishment of a particular industrial development project of such industrial development agency in a critical economic area has accomplished or will accomplish the public purposes of this act, the authority may contract to loan such industrial development agency an amount not in excess of the percentage of the cost of such industrial development project, as established or to be established as hereinafter set forth, subject, however, to the following conditions:

* * *

(b) The authority may, in critical economic areas A and B, contract to loan to an industrial development agency an amount not in excess of (40%) of the cost, and in critical economic area C, may contract to loan to an industrial development agency an amount not in excess of (30%) of the cost, of establishing an industrial development project established without prior authority participation, if at the time of making such loan the authority has determined:

(1) That in critical economic areas A and B, the industrial development agency has invested in the industrial development project funds or property in an amount or value equal to not less than (10%) of the cost of such industrial development project and all of the cost of establishing said industrial development project have been paid; and in a critical economic area C, the industrial development agency has invested in the industrial development project funds or property in an amount or value equal to not less than (20%) of the cost of such industrial development project and all costs of establishing said industrial development project have been paid: Provided, however, That in critical areas A, B, or C, where an average of (8%) or more of the labor force has been unemployed for a period of three months or more, the authority shall reduce the industrial development agency project percentage from (10%) to (5%) and where an average of (10%) or more of the labor force has been unemployed for a period of three months or more or where the unemployment is due to an unusual condition, the authority shall remove the industrial development agency project percentage entirely. When the industrial development agency project percentage is reduced or removed, the share of the authority shall be increased ac-

cordingly but not in excess of (50%) of the cost of the project.

Amend bill, page 9, line 4, by striking out after "Section" the number "3," and inserting in lieu thereof "4."

Amend bill, page 10, line 16, by striking out after "Section" the number "4," and inserting in lieu thereof "5."

Amend bill, page 10, line 23, by striking out after "a" the word "public" and inserting "government"; line 25, by striking out after "purposes" all the remainder of said line; line 26, by striking out all of said line; line 27, by striking out at the beginning of the line "used by it for such purposes, and"

On the question,

Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I request that the House do concur in the amendments made by the Senate to House bill No. 810.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—188

Anderson, J. H.	Fryer	Lincoln	Scirca
Arthurs	Gallagher	Lynch, Frank	Seltzer
Barber	Gallen	Malady	Semanoff
Bellomini	Geesey	Maloney	Shane
Bennett	Geisler	Manderino	Shelhamer
Beren	Gekas	McClatchy	Shelton
Berkes	Gelfand	McCue	Shuman
Berson	Gillette	McCurdy	Shupnik
Bittle	Gleason	McGinnis	Smith, C.
Bixler	Gleeson	McGraw	Smith, E.
Blackwell	Goodman	McMonagle	Smith, L.
Bonetto	Greenfield	Mebus	Spencer
Brandt	Grieco	Miller, M. E.	Stahl
Brunner	Gring	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Stout
Burkardt	Halverson	Morris	Taddonio
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Mullen, M. P.	Thomas
Butera	Hammock	Murtha	Toll
Byerly	Harrier	Musto	Trusio
Caputo	Hasay	Novak	Turner
Cessar	Haskell	Noye	Ustynoski
Checchio	Hayes, D. S.	O'Brien	Vann
Comer	Hayes, S. E.	O'Connell	Vipond
Crawford	Hepford	Parker, H. S.	Wagner
Dager	Hill	Perri	Walsh, J. T.
Davis, D. M.	Homer	Perry	Walsh, T. P.
Davis, R.	Hopkins	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Pievsky	Weidner
Deverter	Hutchinson, W.	Pitts	Wells
Dicarlo	Irvis	Polite	Westerberg
Dininni	Itkin	Prendergast	Whittlesey
Dombrowski	Johnson, J.	Renninger	Williams
Dorr	Jones	Renwick	Wilson
Dorsey	Kahle	Rhodes	Wilt, R. W.
Doyle	Katz	Richardson	Wilt, W. W.
Dreibelbis	Kelly, A. P.	Rieger	Wise
Early	Kelly, J. B.	Ritter	Wojdak
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Romanelli	Worrilow
Englehart	Kester	Rowe	Wright
Fawcett	Kistler	Ruane	Yahner
Fee	Klingaman	Ruggiero	Yohn
Fenrich	Kolter	Ryan	Zearfoss
Fineman	Kowalshyn	Saloom	Zeller
Fischer	Kusse	Salvatore	Zimmerman
Foor	Laudadio	Savitt	Zord
Foster, A.	Laughlin	Scanlon	
Foster, W.	Lederer	Scheaffer	
Fox	Lehr	Schmitt	Lee,
Frankenburg	Letterman		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Knepper	Pancoast	Schulze	Vacca
LaMarca	Piper	Sullivan	Valicenti
Martino	Rappaport	Tayoun	Volpe
Myers			

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 116 ADOPTED

Mr. BUTERA called up **HOUSE RESOLUTION No. 116, printer's No. 1610**, entitled:

House Liquor Control Committee to investigate all matters relating to the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board regarding functions, funds, personnel and use of funds and expenditures.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

Resolution was adopted.

VOTE ON RESOLUTION RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. FINEMAN. There are a number of members who want to speak on House resolution No. 116.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes.

Without objection, the vote by which House resolution No. 116 was agreed to on final adoption will be reconsidered.

The Chair hears no objection.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Englehart.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman, Mr. O'Connell, consent to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell, consent to interrogation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman tell us what evil lurks in the minds of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board that we are going to investigate?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I cannot tell you, Mr. Speaker. We would like to find out.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Do you mean we are just going on a witch-hunt to find out if there is some evil?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Might as well be.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman actually serious that he has no idea of what we are going to investigate? We are just going to start wading through the Liquor Control Board?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I think the resolution is rather specific.

Mr. ENGLEHART. I would say it is rather specific. We are going to investigate the activities, functions, personnel, administration, effectiveness, deficiency, use of funds and expenditures, plus the individuals, corporations, consultants and any other business dealing with them.

Mr. O'CONNELL. That is right, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. ENGLEHART. I commend the gentleman for his honesty.

Mr. Speaker, could the gentleman tell me why we need a resolution of this House to tell the Liquor Control Committee to do their job?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I think the most important language in this particular resolution is giving the Liquor Control Committee subpoena powers.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Could the gentleman tell us whether

or not the chairman of the Liquor Control Committee or the committee itself had ever asked for any information and had been refused?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I think it has been suggested and that is the reason for the resolution.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Could the gentleman tell me who on the Liquor Control Board has refused to cooperate with the Liquor Control Committee of this House?

Mr. O'CONNELL. No, sir, I cannot; nobody at this time.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Then nobody has refused any such cooperation.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Not to my knowledge; no, sir.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Am I correct, Mr. Speaker, that actually no request has even been made for any information from them?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I cannot answer that.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Would the gentleman agree, Mr. Speaker, that the Liquor Control Committee can do exactly what this resolution calls for without being directed to do so by this House?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I think everything except the subpoena powers.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Has the gentleman ever asked the chairman of the Liquor Control Committee to begin such a study?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I have not asked him. We have discussed it.

Mr. ENGLEHART. You have not asked him. Is that correct?

Mr. O'CONNELL. No, I have not asked him, but we have discussed the problems.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Does the gentleman feel that it is the prerogative of this House of Representatives to tell committee chairmen what to do or not to do when you do not even ask them ahead of time to do it?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I really do not believe this is establishing a precedent. I can recall other instances when this has been done. As a matter of fact, there was a very eloquent dissertation made on this floor of the House yesterday indicating that this was the direction to go in.

Mr. ENGLEHART. That the proper committee should do the work?

Mr. O'CONNELL. That is exactly right.

Mr. ENGLEHART. I believe we agree on that, Mr. Speaker, but what I cannot find out is, if you do not even ask the chairman to conduct such a study, why this House should suddenly interfere between some member or members and a committee chairman when no request has even been made.

Mr. O'CONNELL. He is in the process now, Mr. Speaker, of conducting public hearings; in fact, he is inviting people. He does feel the situation will be improved if he were granted subpoena powers should an occasion arise during these hearings that they would have to invoke such powers.

Mr. ENGLEHART. But the gentleman admits that so far no one has refused to come and meet with the Liquor Control Committee of this House?

Mr. O'CONNELL. To the best of my knowledge, that is correct.

Mr. ENGLEHART. And the gentleman is saying he needs this resolution so that in case somebody does say no, you are all ready?

Mr. O'CONNELL. That is right, sir.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Is this committee planning this broad investigation to begin tomorrow and get finished before we get back in September?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I could not answer that either. It says in the last paragraph on the second page of this resolution that they shall report at the earliest practicable date.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Would the gentleman agree that it is hardly likely that there will be a report by September when we come back here?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I think that has to be up to the discretion of the committee.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Those are all the questions I have, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Englehart.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, I oppose House resolution No. 116.

The gentleman readily admits that he has not the slightest notion of what is wrong or right about the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board, and, obviously, the only purpose of this resolution is to go on a witch-hunt or a fishing expedition or a journey of harassment through another government agency.

We have gone through this with the Department of Transportation and harassed them. We have done it to the Department of Welfare and harassed them. This week we decided we are going to harass Property and Supplies, and now we are going to come along and use the Liquor Control Committee to harass someone else.

The gentleman admits that he apparently has not even asked the chairman of the committee to do this exact study, and certainly if the committee system means anything in the House of Representatives, it should be that the committee chairman and its members should conduct their work in the manner they see fit.

If such a study is important, surely a request could have been made to the chairman of the committee to do it. Then if he arbitrarily refused, I could understand the resolution. But to use the excuse that he needs the subpoena power and he has not even asked anybody to come who has refused is a little bit ridiculous. If such refusal comes about, we can come back to this House in September and grant them the subpoena power if someone on the Liquor Control Board or some individual refuses to come forth.

So I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that we oppose this resolution for all those reasons and oppose it completely, totally. Let the Liquor Control Committee go to work, if they wish, during the recess and come back to us in September and tell us if they have to have a subpoena.

REQUEST FOR RECOGNITION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized after Mr. Wise.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lycoming, Mr. Wise.

Mr. WISE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Mr. Kester.

Will the chief sponsor of the resolution consent to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell, consent to interrogation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, I, like a few others on both sides of the aisle, was the recipient of a couple of barbs from the previous Deputy Attorney General assigned to the Liquor Control Board, but one thing I did agree with him on prior to his dismissal was his investigation of the malt beverage distribution system in this Commonwealth.

My question then, Mr. Speaker, is this: Does your committee contemplate within the purview and scope of this resolution, House resolution No. 116, to investigate or to continue Mr. Jaffurs' investigation of the malt beverage distribution system in this Commonwealth, especially as it impinges on our independent malt beverage distributors throughout this Commonwealth?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Mr. Speaker, I am not a member of the committee; I cannot speak for the committee. But it is my judgment that the language in this particular resolution would permit that.

Mr. WISE. All right. Would you, as the chief sponsor of this resolution, urge that the committee undertake such an investigation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Indeed I would.

Mr. WISE. All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just a brief statement. I think the problem of the economic health of our independent malt beverage distributors, in light of the problem caused by chains, such as the Thrifty operation in Pennsylvania, is so serious and critical that it is absolutely imperative that someone do something about it.

So I would like to urge on that basis alone that we support this resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester.

Mr. KESTER. I will yield to the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Shane.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Shane.

Mr. SHANE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just have one question of the gentleman, Mr. Kester, if he will consent to answer.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester, consent to interrogation?

Mr. KESTER. I shall.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. SHANE. I would simply like to ask the same question that Mr. Wise asked Mr. O'Connell concerning the malt beverage distribution problem. Is it your intention and inclination to take this matter up in the implementation of this resolution?

Mr. KESTER. If the resolution passes and the committee decides that we should head in that direction, I would honor that request, yes.

Mr. SHANE. Would you personally place this before your committee with your personal recommendation to investigate this matter?

Mr. KESTER. Yes.

Mr. SHANE. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, I will yield to Mr. Noye.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this resolution.

Last week, the gentleman who was dismissed from his position with the Liquor Control Board made some serious accusations about certain members of this House. I think that without this power of subpoena, the uncertainties about the questions raised could continue in the minds of many people and I think it is incumbent upon every member of this House to give everyone the opportunity to clear his name. Without it, these threats, insinuations or whatever you want to call them could continue for some time.

I would urge a "yes" vote on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, I want to first assure the gentleman, Mr. Englehart, that in no way will I permit the committee to go on a witch-hunt.

It was my understanding when I came to Harrisburg this week that there was going to be a resolution introduced by the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Tayoun, with reference to the dismissal of the general counsel for the Liquor Control Board.

I had intended, myself, to introduce a resolution Monday granting the Liquor Control Committee, which I chair, subpoena powers. When I arrived in Harrisburg, I was given the understanding that Mr. O'Connell had already prepared such a resolution, or was in the process of having one prepared, and planned to introduce it.

As far as answering Mr. Englehart as to whether anyone has refused to come before the committee to date to testify, we are conducting a series of hearings suggested by the committee and granted by the leadership to hold such hearings relative to many facets of the liquor industry. Those individuals who have come to testify to date have come on the invitation of the chairman and have not refused to do so. But I have received information that some individuals would like to come to testify before the committee relative to certain matters but would do so only if they were subpoenaed to do so.

I have received on my desk two letters from individual members of this House. One is from Mr. Gekas who has asked me to look into certain situations and certain particular things that took place during the recent state store strike. The only way I could do that would be to have subpoena powers in order to call the individuals before the committee to testify.

I would urge that the membership support the resolution and grant the committee subpoena powers, and I will go on record here today as saying that one of the first witnesses we intend to call before the committee—which will probably be next week—would be the gentleman who was general counsel for the committee, Mr. Jaffurs, relative to his public utterances and accusations and indictments that he made in the public press.

So I would ask the membership to support the resolution and let us see what develops relative to the investigation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Caputo.

Mr. CAPUTO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the resolution and to make a statement.

At least one of the Representatives referred to by Mr. Noye, I believe, might be I. I would welcome the opportunity to confront Mr. Jaffurs, and I would like to go on record as saying that although I am a member of the committee, I would leave my seat as a member of the committee on the day that Mr. Jaffurs is to be a witness. I want that clearly understood.

I will make my own statement, depending, of course, upon what Mr. Jaffurs' statement is to the committee and in the event he indicates that I have done anything improper or illegal.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I also want to go on record to support this resolution, but before I do, I would like to ask the majority leader a question, if it is possible.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Butera, consent to interrogation?

Mr. BUTERA. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, back in May of 1973 I did introduce a resolution asking for an investigation of the Liquor Control Board and their agents. I would like to know why was not my resolution given consideration?

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I have no answer to the gentleman. I did not know that he introduced a resolution. I am in the process at this time of analyzing the now well over a hundred resolutions which rest in the Rules Committee, in an effort to suggest to the Speaker that we change the format somewhat that all resolutions go to the Rules Committee. I have had enough trouble finding time to eat lunch lately, let alone know the subject matter of a hundred resolutions in my committee.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I am a member of the Liquor Control Committee and I think Mr. Kester is aware of the fact that I did have a resolution in because I discussed it with him at the time, and I did want to get a better answer than that as to why my resolution was not considered.

Mr. BUTERA. Well, Mr. Speaker, the only thing I can say is that there are now—I think we are up to 119. We have probably passed about 20 or 30 resolutions; all of the rest of the resolutions have not been considered. The gentleman's is one of them. I regret that, but there is no other reason. Perhaps if he has a reason that he wants to suggest, then I will comment upon that.

Mr. JOHNSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have no other reason. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Englehart.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, these last 10 or 15 minutes have proved a good point in this House, when you get the lid off the can, the worms will finally come out.

When Mr. O'Connell started, he said he did not know what we were going to investigate. Now we find out it is the Thrifty beer distributors, it is the great Mr. Jaffurs, and maybe even the state store strike. But nobody has yet answered my point that Mr. Kester's committee is already holding public hearings in these general fields, and what do you need a resolution in this House for? A subpoena, you say. I do not think anybody in his right mind believes that Mr. Jaffurs would not be here without a subpoena. From what I have read of what he said in the newspaper, I am surprised that he is not down there in the office waiting now. I assure you, you

do not need a subpoena to get him. He will be at your doorstep long before you are ready for him.

The investigation of the state store strike, I think, is totally improper by the Liquor Control Committee. That strike, whatever happened in it, is governed by the public employes' labor relations law that we passed—Act 195 I believe it is called.

There is already a select committee in both the House and the Senate in operation investigating all phases of that act, and I do not think it behooves one particular committee to try to usurp one part of that function because they happen to be liquor employes. The next thing you know, Health and Welfare will want to look into the state school employes; then Property and Supplies will want to look into their employes. That is an overall problem of all municipal and government employes, and I do not think that that is a proper subject for that committee to investigate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Zimmerman.

Mr. ZIMMERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I am 100 percent in favor of this resolution.

I ask if Mr. Kester would agree to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman from Delaware consent to interrogation?

Mr. KESTER. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. ZIMMERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask you one question. Do you plan to look into the many press releases by the Governor's office about doing away with the entire liquor control system in Pennsylvania and turning it over to private enterprise?

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, the committee right now has addressed itself somewhat to that particular issue. I think we have had witnesses before us who have indicated their positions relative to it. If the committee wishes to go into it deeper, I would certainly honor the request of the committee.

Might I say, at this time, Mr. Speaker, that I would agree with Mr. Englehart that the committee is, of its own accord, permitted to go into these areas that are under discussion today without a resolution from this House. The only problem I have is that as a chairman of a standing committee I have no subpoena powers unless the House grants me those particular powers.

I indicated to the House a few minutes ago that certain individuals have indicated to me that they have information which they would be willing to divulge before the committee but would only do so if they were subpoenaed to do so.

I also have a technical problem relative to what we are doing now by not having the resolution passed. It is conceivable that during interrogation of certain witnesses they may touch on matters about which I might want to impound certain records. I have no authority as a chairman without this subpoena power to impound those records. And if we are going to go into this area to find out exactly what is transpiring, what has transpired, then I think the chairman and the committee should be granted those particular powers which are relative to subpoena.

Mr. ZIMMERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Gekas.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, I am willing, if the other

sponsors of the resolution are willing, to, at the proper time, allow the rules to be suspended to add additional sponsors and would invite Mr. Johnson and the cosponsors of his resolution to become cosponsors of this one. That way their particular area of inquiry, if they have specific areas, can also be covered by simply bringing them to the attention of the chairman of the Liquor Control Committee.

I would even yield my second spot on the resolution to Mr. Johnson.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Eckensberger.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Mr. Kester would yield to a few questions?

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester, consent to interrogation?

Mr. KESTER. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, first of all, I want to say that I am very much in favor of the investigation that the Liquor Control Committee will be conducting regarding the issues involved here and to go as far as the issues may demand.

However, it appears to me now that the only reason we are asked to adopt this resolution is because we are to give subpoena powers to the committee. There was a question raised on the floor yesterday as to whether a committee inherently has that power, and I wonder if the gentleman would respond as to what the basis is that he uses to decide that the committee does not have these subpoena powers?

Mr. KESTER. Prior precedent of the House relative to the standing committees, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Would the gentleman cite that precedent?

Mr. KESTER. No, I cannot cite it off the top of my head, Mr. Speaker. I would add this to it, we have no authority, as I understand it, to put a person under oath without subpoena power and to take their testimony under oath.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, have we had a ruling to that effect or a case or any other precedent that would allow you to conclude that?

Mr. KESTER. Not off the top of my head can I cite a ruling to that effect, although I would gladly ask the question of the Chair and the Parliamentarian at this time as to whether a standing committee chairman does have subpoena powers and can place people under oath without a resolution passed by the House granting same.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. I would suggest that the Speaker do that.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Eckensberger.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Has the question been posed to the Chair by Mr. Kester regarding subpoena powers of the committee?

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Eckensberger, "Any Standing Committee, Sub-committee or Select Committee, upon resolution introduced and approved by majority vote of the House, may be authorized and empowered to conduct hearings at any place in the Commonwealth to investigate any matter provided for in such resolution. When so authorized, such Committee shall be empowered to issue subpoenas under the hand and seal of the Chairman thereof

commanding any person to appear before it and answer questions . . ." and so forth.

So the committee does not have subpoena powers unless authorized by a special resolution of this House adopted by a majority vote.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Eckensberger.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Did the Chair just quote one of the rules of the House?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. Well, I understand what the rules say, but my question goes a little further than that. Does not the committee inherently have that power without any reference to the rules of the House?

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that the committees do not.

The Appropriations Committee, for instance, the Chair understands is given subpoena powers, and that is given each year in the general appropriations bill.

Mr. ECKENSBERGER. I will not pursue the matter further.

I thank the Chair and Mr. Kester.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate the sponsor or prime backer of the resolution.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. O'Connell, consent to interrogation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, what is the main thrust of your resolution?

Mr. O'CONNELL. Well, the main thrust of it, as I indicated, is the subpoena power.

Mr. WILLIAMS. What is the thrust of the investigation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I beg your pardon?

Mr. WILLIAMS. What is the thrust of the investigation that you want to conduct?

Mr. O'CONNELL. As it indicates in the language, beginning on line 3, ". . . the activities, functions, personnel, administration, effectiveness, deficiencies, and use of funds and expenditures of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board . . ."

Mr. WILLIAMS. What condition or alleged condition has given rise to that resolution or that investigation? Are there any specific conditions that you are aware of that give rise to a request for that investigation?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I believe, Mr. Speaker, that the chairman of the committee has addressed himself to that question.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I understand.

You are the prime sponsor of the resolution?

Mr. O'CONNELL. That is right.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Well, do you, yourself, have any indications or reasons that give rise to that investigation? What are your reasons, if you can tell me?

Mr. O'CONNELL. I do not really believe that I am in a position to preempt the chairman of the committee. I think that my intent here is just to grant them subpoena powers.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I would accept Mr. Kester's remarks on the reasons, if you want to have him tell me, if he would.

Mr. O'CONNELL. Do you wish to interrogate Mr. Kester?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester, consent to interrogation?

Mr. KESTER. I will consent to interrogation, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, what are the conditions that you are aware of, either alleged or otherwise, that give rise to this investigation you want to conduct?

Mr. KESTER. Let me try to phrase your question so I can understand it, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I will rephrase it. I am asking you, what specific circumstances or conditions that you know about or heard about give you a reason to request this investigation? What specific allegations or conditions are you aware of?

Mr. KESTER. Well, let me answer that this way, Mr. Speaker: I had, as chairman of the committee, considered, prior to the public statements of Mr. Jaffurs, asking the House to grant subpoena powers to the chairman because of other particular items that were brought to my attention. I would say that the statements of Mr. Jaffurs in the public press in the last week brought me to the conclusion that I should ask for those subpoena powers immediately. This I was going to do on Monday when we arrived here, but then having found out that Mr. O'Connell had prepared a resolution, I did not prepare one of my own.

Mr. WILLIAMS. In other words, the allegations of Mr. Jaffurs are basically the basis for requesting the subpoena power and then conducting an investigation? Is that correct?

Mr. KESTER. No. I would answer that this way, Mr. Speaker: I think the allegations of Mr. Jaffurs speeded up the proposal to ask for subpoena powers.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Are there any other reasons or conditions, other than Mr. Jaffurs' allegations, that gave you the need to want to conduct this investigation?

Mr. KESTER. Yes, Mr. Speaker, there were.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Could you tell me what they are?

Mr. KESTER. I can cite two. One was the request by two members—one being Mr. Gekas—for the committee to look into certain things that took place during the liquor stores' strike; and two was a request by some members to look into the threatening beverage situation.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to strongly underscore what Mr. Johnson has indicated. On March 14, 1973, he introduced a resolution to investigate the Liquor Control Board for some of the following reasons. He specified in his resolution that recent incidents indicate that the investigative process of personnel of the Liquor Control Board are failing in their responsibilities.

There is evidence that a number of tavern owners in the Philadelphia area have been tipped off in advance when the Liquor Control Board was planning to investigate their books.

He indicated that there is evidence that a number of taverns are operating on Sunday when they do not serve food.

He indicated that there is the matter of health and physical conditions in the taverns and taprooms of Philadelphia.

There is improper policing or no policing at all.

He indicated that the police departments are writing up reports on dope peddling, murder or assault and bat-

tery cases in taprooms. This seems to be the place where it ends up.

He indicated, Mr. Speaker, in his resolution, the need to help some people who live in some neighborhoods who are suffocating from the conditions that are allowed to exist. I mean people who cannot live the way they want to in their grass roots, down-home neighborhood, some of the same people whom we criticize all the time in this legislature.

He tried to indicate to this legislature that those conditions have a lot to do with the crime that all of you always talk about. How could that be overlooked?

You are talking about Mr. Jaffurs and what Mr. Gekas said recently. That is political, and I do not care whether you want to use that or not.

But does this legislature sincerely want to help people? It appears not. It ignored, from March until now, the most important basis of an investigation. And it seems to me that an investigation that wants to be conducted on the basis of some allegations as to what is happening with Mr. Jaffurs and some other people is just a sham.

I challenge those who want an investigation to relate to Mr. Johnson's resolution. I do not think it is any excuse to say we have had a hundred resolutions in this House and, therefore, we never got to Mr. Johnson's from March until now. Who wants to bury help for the people?

Mr. Speaker, if your resolution or your indication is to investigate the Liquor Control Board, why do you not investigate where it is going to help people to live properly? They are the same people we talk about on public assistance, and we criticize them in many respects. We talk about crime and law and order as though we really believe in eradicating those conditions. But given a clear opportunity to do so from March until now, nobody moves. At this point, because somebody gets his name in the paper and because the papers carry an article for somebody who wants to do his own thing has no relationship to helping people. Maybe the administration of the board itself is what we want to investigate.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is a monumental shame if this legislature calls itself efficient and conducts itself that way. I think if we investigate the matters that are being talked about now and turn our backs on a proposition that wants to help people who live in neighborhoods, I think all that really says is that we want to be politicians and we want to play one-upmanship, and that is all it really is and that is dishonest.

Once again, I challenge this legislature and those who want to investigate to face Mr. Johnson's resolution, which is specifically designed to alleviate the conditions of thousands of people who live in a neighborhood, and it has subpoena powers in it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, those remarks by the gentleman certainly need answering, and I do not want to hold the House up any longer, but I think the gentleman, when he talks about doing things politically, just made a political speech. As chairman of the committee, I cannot permit that to go on the record without answering.

First off, I do not think Mr. Williams checked with Mr. Johnson relative to his resolution. The resolution, of course, is in the Rules Committee. The resolution directs itself to one particular aspect that Mr. Johnson was concerned with.

I would like to ask if Mr. Johnson would consent to interrogation.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Johnson, consent to interrogation?

Mr. JOHNSON. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, I am not going to do this to embarrass you, if you understand it.

Mr. JOHNSON. You will not embarrass me, Mr. Speaker. I am a righteous man.

Mr. KESTER. All right, thank you very much.

Mr. Speaker, is it not a fact that you are a member of the Liquor Control Committee?

Mr. JOHNSON. It is.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, is it not a fact that we had invited Mr. Robert Mason, the director of the Bureau of Enforcement of the Liquor Control Board, to appear before that committee?

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes, without a subpoena.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, is it not a fact that you were present at that particular hearing?

Mr. JOHNSON. I was.

Mr. KESTER. Mr. Speaker, is it not a fact that the chairman, myself, granted you unlimited interrogation of Mr. Mason relative to any matter you wanted to put before him?

Mr. JOHNSON. You did.

Mr. KESTER. And did you not interrogate Mr. Mason relative to some of your problems stated in the resolution?

Mr. JOHNSON. As far as he was aware of, he answered my questions.

Mr. KESTER. Is it not a fact, Mr. Speaker, that Mr. Mason is to appear before the committee again to pursue that particular interrogation by other members of the committee?

Mr. JOHNSON. He is. He is supposed to appear again.

Mr. KESTER. Right. And would you not say, Mr. Speaker, that basically we covered some of the areas specified in your resolution?

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Mason and I covered some of the areas specified in the resolution to the best of his knowledge.

Mr. KESTER. I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So, Mr. Speaker, we did not ignore Mr. Johnson in the committee nor did we ignore the particular points that he wanted to raise. If we wish to touch upon his resolution further once this subpoena power is granted to the committee, I would be more than happy to put it before the committee and abide by their wishes.

So when you are going to make a political speech, Mr. Speaker, I think you ought to know all the facts before you make it.

The SPEAKER. Will the members who are debating this resolution confine their remarks to this resolution?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, when I introduced my resolution, I did not do it for a political reason. I only did it for a reason that would solve the situation that I thought existed at the time.

In the beginning, I was going to vote for this resolution, but I do see now that it is a political football. I do not wish to be a part of a political football and that is why I am going to change my mind and not vote for this res-

olution. But I do wish, Mr. Speaker, that my resolution would be considered.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, in response to Mr. Kester, I would just like to say that those who make political speeches never know all the facts because they do not want to know them.

I think his remarks indicate a question: Why cannot this committee do the same thing that you provided for Mr. Johnson? Call them in. Are you any better than this Representative? Why do you not do it that way? That is what Mr. Englehart just said.

If what you gave Mr. Johnson was so great, is it not good enough for yourself? Is there any difference in status? What did you do with Mr. Johnson's resolution? You allowed him, you permitted him, as you put it. Mr. Johnson's resolution connects itself with a human condition. You can call it politics if you want to. That is your business.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

Will the gentleman confine himself, please, to the resolution before the House?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I am responding to the remarks that the gentleman made with regard to mine. I think that is entirely proper—

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands that, and he was responding to the remarks made by the gentleman on the other resolution.

The fact is that the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Kester, does not have control of the resolution of the gentleman from Philadelphia and could do nothing more than suggest that the chairman of the Rules Committee act upon it.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I repeat, if Mr. Kester's committee wants to investigate, I wonder why it cannot do the exact same thing that it did for Mr. Johnson and his resolution.

Secondly, I strongly support Mr. Johnson's request that the serious condition that he said his resolution is concerned about, which is people, be met by this House by whatever committee.

I think it is highly political for someone to advance a proposition, to say they need something special, and to support that by way of saying that they gave another Representative something less. I think you ought to live with the same kind of inquiry instead of trying to make it something bigger than it is. So I do not think there is anything more important or bigger or political than helping people to improve their conditions, and we have not related to that yet. That is Mr. Johnson's resolution.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. LaMarca. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. LaMARCA. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.
Mr. LaMARCA. Mr. Speaker, may I be recorded on the master roll call?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. FINEMAN and O'CONNELL and were as follows:

YEAS—134

Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Letterman	Shane
Bellomini	Geesey	Lincoln	Shelhamer
Beren	Gekas	Lynch, Frank	Shuman
Bittle	Gillette	Malady	Smith, C.
Bixler	Gleason	Maloney	Smith, E.
Brandt	Goodman	McClatchy	Smith, L.
Burkardt	Grieco	McCue	Spencer
Burns	Gring	McCurdy	Stahl
Butera	Halverson	McGinnis	Tadonio
Byerly	Hamilton, J. H.	McMonagle	Thomas
Caputo	Harrier	Mebus	Turner
Cessar	Hasay	Miller, M. E.	Ustynoski
Checchio	Haskell	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Vipond
Comer	Hayes, D. S.	Morris	Volpe
Crawford	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Wagner
Dager	Hepford	Noye	Walsh, J. T.
Davis, R.	Hill	O'Brien	Weidner
Deverter	Hopkins	O'Connell	Wells
Dininni	Hutchinson, W.	Parker, H. S.	Westerberg
Dorr	Itkin	Perri	Whittlesey
Dorsey	Jones	Petrarca	Wilson
Doyle	Kahle	Pitts	Wilt, R. W.
Dreibelbs	Katz	Polite	Wilt, W. W.
Early	Kelly, J. B.	Renninger	Wise
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Romanelli	Worrillow
Fawcett	Kester	Rowe	Wright
Fenrich	Kistler	Ruane	Yohn
Fischer	Klingaman	Ryan	Zearfoss
Foor	Knepper	Saloom	Zeller
Foster, A.	Kolter	Salvatore	Zimmerman
Foster, W.	Kowalyshyn	Scheaffer	Zord
Fox	Kusse	Scirica	
Frankenburg	Laughlin	Seltzer	Lee, Speaker
Fryer	Lehr	Semanoff	

NAYS—52

Arthurs	Fineman	Mullen, M. P.	Scanlon
Barber	Gallagher	Murtha	Schmitt
Bennett	Geisler	Musto	Shelton
Berkes	Gelfand	Perry	Shupnik
Blackwell	Gleeson	Pievsky	Stout
Bonetto	Greenfield	Prendergast	Toll
Brunner	Irvis	Renwick	Trusio
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, J.	Rhodes	Vann
DeMedio	Kelly, A. P.	Richardson	Walsh, T. P.
Dicarlo	LaMarca	Rieger	Wargo
Dombrowski	Laudadio	Ritter	Williams
Englehart	Manderino	Ruggiero	Wojdak
Fee	McGraw	Savitt	Yahner

NOT VOTING—15

Berson	Lederer	Piper	Tayoun
Hammock	Martino	Rappaport	Vacca
Homer	Myers	Schulze	Valicenti
Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Sullivan	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

HOUSE BILL NO. 540 CALLED UP

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I would like at this time to call up House bill No. 540.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin, has requested that the Chair return to page 7 of today's calendar, House bill No. 540, on final passage for consideration by the House.

BILLS ON FINAL PASSAGE

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to the consideration on final passage of **House bill No. 540, printer's No. 1634**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Local Tax Enabling Act," approved December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257, No. 511), providing for certain tax credits.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, has the gentleman made his motion?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has requested that we act on House bill No. 540, and the bill is up for consideration.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, in conferring with the minority leader this morning, we had agreed not to vote this bill because we had performed some major surgery on it yesterday.

The bill is on its 11th day, which means it must be voted the first day we return.

If the gentleman wants to call it up, he has that right, but I just wanted to call to the attention of the House the reason the minority leader and I had agreed to pass the bill over until September 17.

The Senate is not in session, and, obviously, the bill will not become law until at least the early part of October.

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. FINEMAN. Mr. Speaker, in light of the agreement that had been reached by both the majority and minority leaders, and in light of the fact that no action can be taken looking toward finalization of this bill until September 17 at any rate because the Senate has already adjourned, I move that this bill be placed upon the table.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. FINEMAN and BUTERA and were as follows:

YEAS—157

Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Letterman	Schmitt
Arthurs	Geesey	Lincoln	Seltzer
Barber	Gekas	Lynch, Frank	Semanoff
Bellomini	Gelfand	Malady	Shane
Bennett	Gillette	Maloney	Shelhamer
Beren	Gleason	Manderino	Shelton
Berson	Gleeson	McCue	Shuman
Bittle	Goodman	McCurdy	Shupnik
Bixler	Greenfield	McGinnis	Smith, C.
Blackwell	Grieco	McGraw	Smith, E.
Bonetto	Halverson	Mebus	Smith, L.
Brandt	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Spencer
Brunner	Harrier	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Stahl
Burkardt	Hasay	Mullen, M. P.	Stout
Byerly	Haskell	Murtha	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes, D. S.	Musto	Toll
Checchio	Hayes, S. E.	Novak	Trusio
Comer	Hepford	Noye	Turner
Dager	Hill	O'Brien	Ustynoski
Davis, D. M.	Homer	O'Connell	Vann

Davis, R.	Hopkins	Parker, H. S.	Volpe
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Perri	Wagner
Dicarlo	Iris	Perry	Walsh, J. T.
Dininni	Johnson, J.	Petrarca	Walsh, T. P.
Dombrowski	Kahle	Pievsky	Wargo
Dorr	Katz	Polite	Wells
Dreibelbis	Kelly, A. P.	Prendergast	Westerberg
Early	Kennedy	Renninger	Williams
Eckensberger	Kester	Renwick	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kistler	Richardson	Wilt, W. W.
Fawcett	Klingaman	Rieger	Wise
Fee	Knepper	Ritter	Wojdak
Fineman	Kolter	Rowe	Yahner
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Ruane	Zeller
Foor	Kusse	Ruggiero	Zimmerman
Foster, A.	LaMarca	Ryan	Zord
Foster, W.	Laudadio	Saloom	
Fox	Laughlin	Salvatore	Lee,
Fryer	Lederer	Savitt	Speake
Gallagher	Lehr	Scheaffer	

NAYS—32

Berkes	Fenrich	McMonagle	Vipond
Burns	Frankenburg	Morris	Weidner
Butera	Geisler	Pitts	Whittlesey
Caputo	Gring	Rhodes	Wilson
Crawford	Itkin	Romanelli	Worriflow
Deverter	Jones	Scanlon	Wright
Dorsey	Kelly, J. B.	Scirca	Yohn
Doyle	McClatchy	Taddonio	Zearfoss

NOT VOTING—12

Hammock	Myers	Rappaport	Tayoun
Hutchinson, A.	Pancoast	Schulze	Vacca
Martino	Piper	Sullivan	Valicenti

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the consideration on final passage of **House bill No. 124, printer's No. 1638**, entitled:

An Act requiring public agencies to hold certain meetings and hearings open to the public and providing penalties.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Mr. BUTERA moved that House bill No. 124, printer's No. 1638, be placed upon the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller.

Mr. M. E. MILLER. Mr. Speaker, I was not privy to the decision to lay the bill on the table. May I ask Mr. Butera a question or two?

The SPEAKER. Will the majority leader consent to interrogation?

Mr. BUTERA. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. M. E. MILLER. As I understand it, there was some discussion about the penalty provision on retaining a prison sentence. Is it our intention to amend that out and run the bill immediately when we return?

Mr. BUTERA. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I believe there was a mistake made either in the amendment or in the printing of the bill which must be corrected. That is one of

the reasons I suggest that we wait until we come back to take up this major legislation.

Mr. M. E. MILLER. I see. It would not be possible then to admit that we did err, since my amendment was the one that removed the criminal provision, and roll the bill anyway, would it?

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, as an additional reason I would suggest that we not roll the bill today, this is very far-reaching legislation and it is important legislation.

I am a sponsor of the bill and very much favor it. However, yesterday we again, I think, rather seriously amended the bill, and I would much rather, since the bill cannot be enacted with the Senate not in session, give the members a chance to fully digest what we did yesterday, since it will not affect the ultimate enactment into law of the bill.

Mr. M. E. MILLER. I will yield, of course, to the leadership, but I would only say that the surgery we did was very carefully done and the bill does seem to be in good shape.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. BUTERA. I think it is, too, Mr. Speaker, but I think, as a courtesy to all the members, we ought to give them a little more time to fully digest what we have done.

LANCASTER NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION WELCOMED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today a group of children of the Lancaster Neighborhood Association, who are here with their leader, Mr. Brown, as guests of the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller.

PERMISSION TO ADDRESS HOUSE

Mr. JONES requested and obtained unanimous consent to address the House.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the committee of conference on Senate bill No. 262, the mortgage interest-rate bill, I think it is incumbent upon me to make a report to the members of the House explaining the rocky road that the conference committee has traveled since its appointment on July 12, 1973.

First, I would like to explain the basic philosophy that motivated the thinking of the conference committee.

When Senate bill No. 262 first passed this House on June 5, the average rate for home mortgage loans in the Philadelphia area was 7.5 percent. The national average in the same month was 7.7 percent. It seemed reasonable to all concerned on June 5 that an 8-percent maximum rate would be satisfactory, that is, to all concerned except the Governor, who said he would veto the bill as amended by the House because it was a rich man's mortgage bill.

However, after the mortgage bill passed this House, the lid blew off the financial pot. During the week of our July 4 vacation, the Federal Reserve Bank removed the ceiling for interest rates on certain certificates of deposit. The prime rate has increased 3 percent. This is a new record rise.

Down in the Philadelphia area, savings banks and savings and loan associations are now faced with this kind of competition. This is an advertisement that appeared in this morning's Philadelphia Inquirer.

Consequently, when the conference committee first met,

their prime consideration was, what can the legislature do with Senate bill No. 262 to preserve the home mortgage market?

Investments this month available to home mortgage lenders, other than home mortgages, include the following: certificates of deposit at a rate of 10 percent; three-month treasury bills at 8.1 percent; bankers' acceptances at 9.75 percent; commercial paper at 9.1 percent; U. S. government bonds at 8.4 percent; Federal home loan bank bonds at 8.8 percent.

Some of you may have read the Patriot this morning. On page 4 there is a statement that Washington officials believe that the home mortgage interest rate is going to go to 9.5 percent in the states where there is not a usury limit on it.

If home mortgage loans were to be available, the rate needed to be competitive. The Governor indicated he would sign a 9-percent maximum ceiling bill, but Messrs. Johnson and Boyer threw up objections. The Governor changed his mind. He did then agree that he would sign a bill to let the rate float and find its own level for six months and he promised that he would appoint a commission and that that commission would study means and legislation that could be introduced to make it unnecessary for this legislature to consider this problem every two years.

The conference committee signed and had printed Senate bill No. 262, the report of the conference committee, printer's No. 1195. This report embodied the floating rate concept. Then the Governor changed his mind again.

A joint meeting of the conference committee and the Governor then took place and the Governor and the committee agreed upon a new bill, a new conference report—8 3/4-percent maximum until February 28, 1975, and a commission to be appointed to study the problem.

Senate leaders indicated they could not get Senate approval for 8 3/4 percent. Further meetings of the conference committee ended up with a compromise of 8 1/2 percent to February 28, 1975. The conference committee report that was on this calendar until a few minutes ago embodied that concept—8 1/2 percent until 1975—but last night the Senate rejected that report by a tie vote, 23-23, and then approved Senate bill No. 262 as it had been sent back to them by the House on June 5 of this year.

This bill fixes the maximum rate at 8 percent until December 31, 1975. Mortgage loans over \$35,000 are exempt from the ceiling. Business loans over \$10,000 are exempt from the usury ceiling. No points may be charged. The Governor has indicated that he will sign this bill.

Since the Senate receded from its insistence upon the bill as originally passed by them and concurred in the House amendments, the bill now goes directly to the Governor. The House will not have a further chance to vote on the conference report on Senate bill No. 262.

So, Mr. Speaker, here is the Governor's track record: Last spring the Governor said he would not sign a 9-percent bill. Hence, there was introduced in the Senate, Senate bill No. 262 at a 6-percent maximum. The Governor said he would veto Senate bill No. 262 as passed by the House on June 5. The Governor changed his mind and wanted a 9-percent bill. The Governor changed his mind and wanted floating rates for interest loans. The Governor changed his mind and insisted on a fixed rate. Now the Governor has changed his mind and indicated

he will sign the bill passed by the House, which he said in June that he would veto.

During all of this yo-yo operation, all three members of the House conference committee have promptly met to discharge their obligations, but it has been government by crisis in the front office. But you gentlemen and ladies of the House have not seen the end of this crisis.

In the present state of the home mortgage market, there will be no home mortgage loans under \$35,000. It is now a mortgage bill for the wealthy. It is a mortgage bill for the people who live out on the main line, in Herb Zearfoss' big silk-stocking district—those getting mortgages over \$35,000. All funds that previously have been available for the average guy, the guy in my district, will go to higher interest-rate investments elsewhere.

When you go home and your neighbor asks you why he cannot find a buyer for his house because there is no mortgage money, tell him that the Governor realizes that the average home mortgage in Pennsylvania is \$25,700 but only mortgage money over \$35,000 will be available.

When your constituent asks you why he cannot get a loan to buy a new home, tell him the Governor is favoring those who buy homes and create mortgages over \$35,000.

When your friendly trade union official asks you why the 165-unit home development just west of Reading is being canceled, you tell him that is the way certain labor union officials wanted it to be.

When your local savings and loan association official tells you that in past years savings and loans made more than 50 percent of all the home mortgage loans in Pennsylvania each year but that they have been forced out of the home mortgage business, tell him that the Senate and the Governor of this state preferred to end the home mortgage business in Pennsylvania. After all, perhaps Pennsylvania can do without a \$2-billion annual mortgage industry.

I hope you all have a pleasant vacation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. FINEMAN. Mr. Speaker, just a couple observations I want to make in response to Mr. Jones' attack on the Governor, which was ill-founded and comes without grace.

First of all, the bill that is now on the Governor's desk is a bill that passed this House. It passed with Republican votes, and I want you to know that the Governor has never been happy with that bill and, as a matter of fact, is going to sign the bill under protest and will issue a public statement condemning the bill. But it was the only piece of legislation that came to him.

The Governor favored an interest rate which was consistent with the conditions on the lending market today. He is not insensitive to the needs of bringing funds into the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for home construction purposes, for purposes of individual home purchases.

The Governor at all times has favored a floating rate, much like the state of New York has. As a matter of fact, the Governor has been in touch with Governor Rockefeller of the state of New York. They are getting their piece of legislation. In the course of the next seven days, the Governor will appoint a commission which will be charged with the responsibility of reporting back to him within 30 days, and it would not surprise me now, it would not surprise me at all, in view of the attack now being

made by Mr. Jones who was a member of the visiting delegation who was in the Governor's office pleading for some relief, it would not surprise me at all, if the Governor does not veto this bill in light of this attack.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Dager.

Mr. DAGER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take the minority leader's challenge and encourage the Governor to veto Senate bill No. 262, and I hope he is listening right now.

As Mr. Jones very accurately depicted, this House has proven, as Mr. Englehart has accused us in the past, to be excellent yo-yos. It takes almost a page and a half in the History to write what has happened to Senate bill No. 262.

Mr. Speaker, I voted for Senate bill No. 262 when it went to the Senate and I voted for it in all seriousness because I thought at that stage 8 percent would at least help the situation, but I realized that it would not be realistic in the near future and I did not realize how close the near future is.

But all it is going to do now is keep those people in the urban areas and especially in the Philadelphia area who want to buy a house with a mortgage of less than \$35,000 from buying those homes. I do not know what the politics are or what the struggles are within the various organizations that have opposed the raising of the interest, but I hope that they realize that on their shoulders rests this responsibility. Quite frankly, I think, for the benefit of the small homeowner, to let this law revert back to 7 percent or 6 plus 1 even though they may have to pay 15 or 20 points to place a mortgage, they should at least have the opportunity to buy a house if they want to. As was pointed out, right now those people are not going to be able to get a mortgage come anything, because we have precluded the right to pay points and the market is just not there at 8 percent. It costs 8 1/2 percent for a savings and loan association to borrow from the Federal home loan bank to meet their commitments, so they are not going to lend it out at 8 percent.

I think that the Governor should appoint this commission that the minority leader speaks of and they should make their recommendations promptly. I suspect and, on behalf of the small property owners, I hope that he calls us back here next week to pass a usury bill that makes some sense, because it is not just the homeowner who is being hurt; it is the home builders, the roofers, the refrigerator men, the carpet men and everybody else right down the line.

I know all of you are looking at me and saying, well, he is a real estate man and he has got a bleeding heart. But I could say to you that I am not going to be hurt that much because there is probably going to be a lot more money for my market in mortgages over \$35,000.

It is the market in the center city and those who need the housing the most who are going to be hurt, and I think it is a sorry state in Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gelfand.

Mr. GELFAND. Mr. Speaker, from the errant nonsense that was uttered by Mr. Jones, it was perfectly obvious why nobody was paying attention, because he really was uttering errant nonsense.

The only thing I think that he did say which really

made any logic was in the latter part of his statement when he said that the bill was bad, which is what I said initially. When he indicated it would have a tendency to draw money from the lower market to the higher market, insofar as home costs were concerned, he only reiterated what had already been said here months ago.

Now insofar as the other problems which are concerned, obviously there have been some changes in the money market since this legislation was first introduced. Everybody is aware of that. What you have to do is keep things in consonance with what the surrounding areas are. The fact of the matter is, there are certain limitations within the activities of savings and loan associations, mutual banks, Federal savings and loan associations which are determined by the statutes which have created them. Those statutes do indicate what type of investments they can make. They do indicate the range of their investments and, to some extent, control their type of earnings.

Now the fact of the matter is that every state which surrounds Pennsylvania, except Delaware, has an interest rate which is either lower than Pennsylvania or just seeking the level of Pennsylvania. Every single state—New Jersey just went from 7½ percent to 8 percent. New York is currently considering 8 percent. Ohio is only 8 percent. Virginia is in the same range. So that you have got to realize that Pennsylvania is surrounded by a significant number of important entities which only have the same mortgage interest rate as Pennsylvania. So that the funds from which money is being drawn for this type of loan is going to be the same in almost the entire part of the east coast where Pennsylvania mortgages are placed.

Now to say that money will have a tendency to flow away to other parts of the country is just not so, because the law would limit that insofar as the type of investments that these lending institutions are concerned with and the type of investments that they can make.

The fact is that the legislation which passed was bad and only because it has that \$35,000 ceiling in it, because it will have a tendency to have money flow toward the higher loans which will pay higher interest rates. I think that that should have been rectified.

The question as to whether or not 8 percent is or is not the proper ceiling has to be thought of in terms of the focus of every surrounding state, and in terms of the focus of every type of investment that this type of mortgage lending institution can make.

I do not think that we have explored that adequately enough, nor do I believe that this conference committee went into that thoroughly enough. All I believe is that they are being pushed by a crisis and a panic situation which has been created on a national level and which I do not think ought to push us in any given direction whatsoever without analysis by us.

Now the fact is also almost every large home building association in the United States has testified in front of every important economic committee in the United States Congress that increasing interest rates in the way that has been fostered or suggested by the members of this conference committee heretofore and by utterings which have been made on the floor of this House and in the other House have been the things that are going to make home ownership unavailable to the moderate-income person because they just cannot afford, they are unable, to pay the costs of a loan which goes much beyond 8

percent. Under those circumstances, whether money is at 9 percent or 9½ percent for mortgage loans will make no difference, because the people will just not be able to buy homes. I think that we are better off sticking at a level where people can afford to pay it and then letting the mortgage interest slow down to that level. I am reasonably sure that in a short period of time, if we have the guts to stick to the things that we actually believe in, we can force the interest rates down where they should be so people can buy homes. But this business of turning tail and running at the mere threat of the possibility that something might happen that is not going to happen is not the solution to the problem.

Vilifying the Governor in no way resolves it nor acts as an answer. The answer is real responsibility in this body to think out problems as they should be thought out and then act in a reasonable, responsible manner, which we have not done.

As I say, what Mr. Jones said had a certain bit of accuracy, because what he did was reiterate what I said on the floor of this House some weeks ago, and what we should have done at that time was amend that bill as it came to us, and amend it properly, and it would have been passed and signed then and we would not have this crisis situation which we are now undergoing.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules be suspended to permit the following named members to be deleted or added as sponsors to the following bills.

The following members' names will be added to the enumerated bills:

House bill No. 57—Mrs. Gillette, Messrs. Fischer and Saloom;

House bill No. 58—Mrs. Gillette, Messrs. Fischer and Saloom;

House bill No. 59—Mrs. Gillette, Messrs. Fischer and Saloom;

House bill No. 60—Mrs. Gillette and Mr. Fischer;

House bill No. 124—Mr. Doyle.

The following member's name will be deleted from the enumerated bill:

House bill No. 923—Mr. Wagner.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. BUTERA and RYAN and were as follows:

YEAS—158

Anderson, J. H.	Fox	Laughlin	Scheaffer
Arthurs	Frankenburg	Lederer	Schmitt
Barber	Fryer	Lehr	Scirica
Bellomini	Gallen	Letterman	Seltzer
Bennett	Geesey	Lincoln	Semanoff
Beren	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Shelhamer
Bittle	Gekas	Malady	Shelton
Bixler	Gleason	Manderino	Shuman
Blackwell	Gleeson	McClatchy	Shupnik
Bonetto	Greenfield	McCue	Smith, C.
Brandt	Grieco	McCurdy	Smith, E.
Brunner	Gring	McGinnis	Smith, L.
Burkardt	Halverson	Mebus	Spencer
Burns	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Stahl
Butera	Harrier	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Taddonio
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Toil
Checchio	Haskell	Mullen, M. P.	Trusio
Comer	Hayes, D. S.	Murtha	Ustynoski

Crawford	Hayes, S. E.	Noye	Vann
Davis, D. M.	Hepford	O'Connell	Vipond
Davis, R.	Hill	Parker, H. S.	Volpe
DeMedio	Hopkins	Perri	Wagner
Dicarlo	Hutchinson, W.	Perry	Weidner
Dininni	Irvis	Petrarca	Wells
Dombrowski	Johnson, J.	Pievsky	Westerberg
Dorr	Jones	Pitts	Whittlesey
Dorsey	Kahle	Polite	Williams
Doyle	Katz	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Dreibelbis	Kelly, A. P.	Renninger	Wise
Early	Kelly, J. B.	Renwick	Wojdak
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Rhodes	WorriLOW
Englehart	Kester	Rieger	Wright
Fawcett	Kistler	Ritter	Yahner
Fee	Klingaman	Rowe	Yohn
Fenrich	Knepper	Ruane	Zearfoss
Fineman	Kolter	Ruggiero	Zeller
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Ryan	Zord
Foor	Kusse	Saloom	
Foster, A.	LaMarca	Salvatore	Lee,
Foster, W.	Laudadio	Savitt	Speake

NAYS—12

Berkes	Dager	Hutchinson, A.	Turner
Berson	Deverter	Maloney	Wilson
Byerly	Homer	Novak	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING—31

Caputo	McGraw	Richardson	Thomas
Gallagher	McMonagle	Romanelli	Vacca
Gelfand	Musto	Scanlon	Valicenti
Gillette	Myers	Schulze	Walsh, J. T.
Goodman	O'Brien	Shane	Walsh, T. P.
Hammock	Pancoast	Stout	Wargo
Itkin	Piper	Sullivan	Wilt, W. W.
Martino	Rappaport	Tayoun	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RICHARDSON. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on the motion to suspend the rules.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will be so recorded.

HOUSE BILL NO. 89 AND COMMUNICATION TAKEN FROM TABLE

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I move that House bill No. 89, together with the communication from the Senate, be taken from the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE INSISTS ON AMENDMENTS NONCONCURRED IN BY THE HOUSE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has insisted upon its amendments non-concurred in by the House of Representatives to **HOUSE BILL No. 89**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58, No. 32), entitled "The Vehicle Code," providing for spe-

cial commercial motor vehicle dealer's plates and establishing a fee and providing penalties therefor; and increasing and providing for certain other fees.

And has appointed Messrs. MAZZEI, AMMERMAN and MANBECK a committee to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives, (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

HOUSE INSISTS ON NONCONCURRENCE

Mr. BUTERA moved that the House insist upon its nonconcurrence in the amendments made and insisted upon by the Senate and that a Committee of Conference be appointed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON HOUSE BILL No. 89

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a Committee of Conference on the part of the House, Messrs. WESTERBERG, O'CONNELL and BONETTO.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS NOT CALLED UP

The SPEAKER. Remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar are not called up.

INTERROGATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montour, Mr. Wagner.

Mr. WAGNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we have concluded the calendar and we are about to go back to our districts. We are going to have to explain a lot of things to our people back home, mainly about the budget and about things we have not resolved, and I would just like to take this time to reflect, personally, that this House is deeply divided on this and not just on political lines.

I would like to know if Mr. Early would consent to interrogation, please.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Early, consent to interrogation?

Mr. EARLY. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. Speaker, there are articles in the City and Suburban Life and in the North Hills News Record that attributed certain quotes to you. I would like to ask you if you did, in fact, make these statements.

Mr. EARLY. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WAGNER. "Passage of House Bill 850 has awarded the governor a staggering budget loaded with irresponsible giveaway programs." Further, "The General Assembly had a chance to make substantial tax cuts but failed to curtail spending and we will now get a token reduction, if any at all." Is that correct?

Mr. EARLY. That is right, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WAGNER. It further states, "The Commonwealth has enough present funds and expected income to make big tax cuts. We have a \$70 million surplus from last

year, will get \$180 million in federal revenue sharing, and expect a \$3.9 billion income. That's \$4.15 billion available for state use and, even with reckless spending, it leaves a \$290 million excess, an amount that could more than double with careful expenditures.' Is that correct?

Mr. EARLY. That is right, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. Speaker, did you conclude by stating that we need to reverse this trend, and we need it now?

Mr. EARLY. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WAGNER. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to call on this House when we return and join with Mr. Early and reverse this trend on spending.

Thank you.

INTERROGATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Early.

Mr. EARLY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman who just spoke, whose name I do not know, consent to be interrogated?

The SPEAKER. Will the nameless Mr. Wagner please rise?

Will the gentleman from Montour, Mr. Wagner, consent to interrogation?

Mr. WAGNER. I think so.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. EARLY. Mr. Speaker, I never realized it was my colleague's duty to read my articles, but I have to commend him in his taste on newspaper articles, and, I think, Mr. Speaker, he probably can learn a lot.

I do not see the gentleman's rationale, Mr. Speaker, in doing this. Is he in total agreement with me?

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. Speaker, my intent here was to bring to the Governor's attention that this is not just a political fight, that both sides of the aisle are divided on this issue.

Mr. EARLY. Mr. Speaker, I asked the gentleman is he in total agreement with me?

Mr. WAGNER. I would be in agreement by saying, yes, the budget which we passed is as much as the Governor has needed, and I doubt if he needs that much.

Mr. EARLY. I am happy to hear that, Mr. Speaker, because if the gentleman would have continued reading the article, which, unfortunately, his wisdom did not take him to that, he would also find that I specifically stated that we have \$650,000 in there for the Milk Marketing Board, and I am happy to hear that the gentleman agrees with me. Are you willing to cosponsor a bill to eliminate that?

Mr. WAGNER. For the Milk Marketing Board?

Mr. EARLY. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. WAGNER. No, I support the Milk Marketing Board.

Mr. EARLY. No, you misinterpret me, Mr. Speaker. I asked you specifically, do you agree with the article, and you answered me, yes, you agreed with the article.

Mr. WAGNER. I agree with your statement that the Governor has enough.

Mr. EARLY. Oh, I see. In other words, Mr. Speaker, you want to take an article and you say you are going to agree with just a part of it, but, unfortunately, you do not agree with the whole article, or, Mr. Speaker, does

your ability lack to a point that you do not agree with the entire article?

Mr. WAGNER. Oh, I—

Mr. EARLY. Or, Mr. Speaker, did you come up here and just take the first two lines and not even go to the extent of reading the entire article?

Mr. WAGNER. Well, Mr. Speaker, I quoted almost the entire article. I will submit the articles for the record.

Mr. Speaker, I will not consent to any further interrogation.

Mr. EARLY. Mr. Speaker, I think this is indicative of what happened so many times on the floor of this House of Representatives, and I have always said that a limited amount of knowledge is dangerous.

I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair will take the liberty of saying, amen.

ARTICLES SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. WAGNER submitted the following articles for the Legislative Journal:

NORTH HILLS NEWS RECORD—Wednesday,
July 18, 1973—Page 27 2nd section front page

BUDGET AN INSULT, REP. EARLY CLAIMS

Rep. Edward M. Early, 29th Legislative District, called the 1973-74 general appropriations bill an insult to Pennsylvania taxpayers. Early was one of only 22 House Members to vote against the budget.

"Passage of House Bill 850 has awarded the governor a staggering budget loaded with irresponsible give-away programs," Early said.

"The General Assembly yielded to pressures from the Republican caucus and governor's office to approve a \$3.5 billion general fund expenditure and paves the way for a total spending of more than \$4 billion.

"The General Assembly had a chance to make substantial tax cuts but failed to curtail spending and we will now get a token reduction, if any at all. Apparently the Republicans and governor believe that free wheeling spending and a minor tax cut add up to reelection, a conclusion that insults Pennsylvania taxpayers.

"The Commonwealth has enough present funds and expected income to make big tax cuts. We have a \$70 million surplus from last year, will get \$180 million in federal revenue sharing, and expect a \$3.9 billion income. That's \$4.15 billion available for state use and, even with reckless spending, it leaves a \$290 million excess, an amount that could more than double with careful expenditures.

"Unfortunately, we are now committed to spending. The governor's office will spend \$1 million more than last year's \$8 million. The Treasury Department will spend \$97 million, \$17 million more than last year. Tourist promotion will again cost \$1 million and the bicentennial birthday party gets \$200,000 to add to the \$700,000 already spent. The supposedly-defunct reapportionment committee will get another \$60,000 which means it may never dissolve.

"The very questionable milk marketing board will get a \$50,000 increase to \$650,000 instead of orders to disassemble and some obscure dog kennels get \$33,000, \$3,000 more than last year.

"The auditor general's office will not get an increase despite growing public clamor for more school district audits. Apparently Bob Casey continues to offend the governor and will not share in his benevolence.

"The list goes on and on with increase after increase and more is in store. Future budget proposals will increase additional expenditures in amounts equal to vote-getting popular appeal. The elderly get a quiet \$4 million, which could be more; mental health, a placating \$13 million and environmental resources a token \$500,000.

"Court costs go to \$31 million and should be less. Philadelphia mass transit gets an unjustified \$28 million and Philadelphia and Pittsburgh schools will receive a disproportionate \$28 million, structured to benefit the Governor's home city rather than let Pittsburgh share equally. The entire matter lacks responsible assessment and passage of the general appropriations bill compounds that irresponsibility.

"We need a reverse trend and need it now," Early concluded.

CITY AND SUBURBAN LIFE—Wednesday,
July 18, 1973—Page 2 Bellevue

Insult to Taxpayers

EARLY BALKS AT MONEY BILL

Representative Edward M. Early, 29th Legislative District, today called the 1973-74 General Appropriations Bill an insult to Pennsylvania taxpayers.

"Passage of House Bill 850 has accorded the Governor a staggering budget loaded with irresponsible give-away programs," Early said. "The General Assembly yielded to pressures from the Republican caucus and Governor's office to approve at 3.5 billion general fund expenditure and paves the way for a total spending of more than four billion dollars."

"The General Assembly had a chance to make substantial tax cuts but failed to control spending and we will now get a token reduction if any at all," Early said.

"The Commonwealth has enough present funds and expected income to make big tax cuts," Early said. "We have a seventy million surplus from last year, we get 180 million in Federal Revenue Sharing, and expect a 3.9 billion income." "That's 4.15 billion available for state use and even with reckless spending it leaves 290 million excess. That amount could more than double with careful expenditures."

"Unfortunately we are now committed to spending. The Governor's Office will spend one million more than last year's eight million. The Treasury Department will spend 97 million, 17 million more than last year. Tourist promotion will again cost one million and the Bi-Centennial Birthday Party gets 200 thousand to add to the

700 thousand already spent. The supposedly defunct reapportionment committee will get another 60 thousand, which means it may never dissolve," Early said.

"The very questionable Milk Marketing Board will get a 50 thousand increase to 650 thousand instead of orders to disassemble and some obscure dog kennels get 33 thousand, three more than last year," Early explained. "The Auditor General's Office will not get an increase despite growing public clamor for more school district audits. Apparently, Bob Casey continues to offend the Governor and will not share in his benevolence."

"The list goes on and on with increase after increase and more in store. Future budget proposals will increase additional expenditures in amounts equal to vote getting popular appeal," Early said. "The elderly get a quiet four million which could be more, mental health a placating thirteen million and Environmental Resources a token five hundred thousand.

"Court costs go to 31 million and should be less, Philadelphia mass transit gets an unjustified 28 million and Philadelphia and Pittsburgh Schools will receive a disproportionate 28 million structured to benefit the Governor's home city rather than let Pittsburgh share equally," Early said. "The entire matter lacks responsible assessment and passage of the General Appropriations Bill compounds that irresponsibility."

NORTH HILLS NEWS RECORD—Wednesday,
July 18, 1973—Page 13

EARLY VOTES NO ON BUDGET COMPROMISE

Rep. Edward M. Early of Ross was the only district legislator to vote against adoption of the state budget in Harrisburg Thursday night.

The budget bill passed the House 172 to 21. The Senate voted unanimously in favor of the compromise legislation.

Early said:

"I voted against the budget plan because it provides \$650,000 for the Milk Marketing Commission which I maintain should be scrapped altogether. Some time ago I presented a bill to eliminate the useless board and I got only 22 votes."

He said he was also against an increase of \$1,163,553 to operate the governor's office and allocation of \$290,000 for Senate salary increases and \$941,000 for increases for members of the House.

Early voted against the pay increases when they were passed in January.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor, being introduced, presented the following communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor, which was read:

APPROVAL OF HOUSE BILL No. 296

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office, Harrisburg

July 24, 1973

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 296, printer's No. 1544, entitled "An Act amending the act of December 15, 1959 (P. L. 1779, No. 673), entitled, as amended, 'The Fish Law of 1959,' changing the fees for resident fishing licenses, nonresident fishing licenses, tourist licenses, changing the minimal age for nonresident, alien and tourist licenses and providing for issuance and wearing of a license button."

MILTON J. SHAPP
GOVERNOR

SELECT COMMITTEE APPOINTED

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members of the House, the Speaker, in accordance with Resolution No. 75, has appointed the following members: The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Katz; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Perri; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Checchio; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Sullivan; and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Greenfield.

ANNOUNCEMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hepford. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, may I make a brief announcement for the benefit of the members of the House?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. HEPFORD. Mr. Speaker, the special committee appointed to investigate the administration of justice will hold a hearing next Tuesday, and during the period that we will be in recess, we hope to issue an interim report. If this is completed—an interim report—we will forward a copy to the members at their homes.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

LAW AND JUSTICE—Hearing, Mr. Zord, chairman, Gold Room, 4th Floor, Allegheny County Court House, Pittsburgh, Friday, July 27, 1973, at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

SPECIAL INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE, Mr. Hepford, chairman, Room 140, Tuesday, July 31, at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

BILL REPORTED AS AMENDED

SENATE BILL No. 615

By Mr. WILSON

An Act amending the act of May 2, 1947 (P. L. 136, No. 56), entitled "An act relating to Federal aid to political subdivision or municipality authority for the development of public airports," authorizing the Department of Transportation to approve projects and the disbursement of Federal funds and creating an Aviation Advisory Committee to advise the State Transportation Commission in connection therewith.

Reported from Committee on Transportation.

CITATIONS

The following citations were read, considered and adopted:

CONGRATULATING THE AMERICAN COUNCIL OF POLISH CULTURAL CLUBS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The American Council of Polish Cultural Clubs is holding its Silver Jubilee Convention in Philadelphia on August 18, 1973, hosted by the Polish Heritage Society of Philadelphia. John Cardinal Krol, Archbishop of Philadelphia will receive the Council's "Man of the Quarter-Century" Award. The Council's "Man of the Year" award will be presented to Edward J. Piszek. These coveted awards are presented in recognition of the efforts of these two men in furthering Polish culture in America.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the American Council of Polish Cultural Clubs on the occasion of its Silver Jubilee Convention, the Polish Heritage Society of Philadelphia on the honor of hosting this event, John Cardinal Krol on the distinction of being selected "Man of the Quarter-Century," and Edward J. Piszek on the occasion of being selected "Man of the Year," and recognizes the many contributions that Poles have made to enriching life in the Nation and the Commonwealth; and further directs that copies of this citation be delivered to the American Council of Polish Cultural Clubs, the Polish Heritage Society of Philadelphia, John Cardinal Krol, and Edward J. Piszek.

STEPHEN R. WOJDAK

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. WILBUR DeLONG

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Wilbur DeLong celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary December 22, 1972. Their happy union has been blessed by four children, eleven grandchildren and six great-grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. DeLong is the former Ella Sechler.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Wilbur DeLong on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Wilbur DeLong.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING THE KOPERNIK QUINCENTENNIAL COMMEMORATIVE COMMITTEE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Kopernik Quincenntenial Commemorative Committee representing the Polish-American Community of Philadelphia and the entire Delaware Valley have dedicated themselves to raising the necessary funds for the erection of the Kopernik Monument. Such Monument being a gift by the Polish-American Community to the City of Philadelphia to commemorate the five hundredth anniversary of the birth of Mikolaj Kopernik. Unveiling and dedication ceremonies will take place Saturday, August 18, 1973.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the Kopernik Quincenntenial Commemorative Committee for their dedicated efforts on behalf of the Polish-Americans of Philadelphia and the entire Delaware Valley; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to the Kopernik Quincenntenial Commemorative Committee, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

STEPHEN R. WOJDAK

COMMENDING MICHAEL ORIENTE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Michael Oriente of Philadelphia over the past twenty years has contributed unselfishly to the growth of youth sports in South Philadelphia. Known to many as "Mickey Reed," he has captained many teams in all sports activities giving untold thousands of hours of needed recreation to the youth in South Philadelphia. Among his many accomplishments, he was instrumental in the founding of the Pat Lani Sports League, which he has served as commissioner and in various other positions.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Michael Oriente for his work with youth sports for the past twenty years in South Philadelphia and recognizes the great contribution he has made to enriching the lives of the young through his unselfish efforts; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Michael Oriente, 1524 South Marshall Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

JAMES J. TAYOUN

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. ROBERT D. RUNG
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Robert D. Rung celebrated their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary June 16, 1973. Their son and daughter-in-law hosted a special party in honor of the couple. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Rung nee Ann Baxter and Mr. Rung were married June 16, 1923 in Braddock, Pennsylvania, by the Reverend T. D. Imbrie.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Robert D. Rung on their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary and wishes them many more healthy happy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Robert D. Rung, 380 Carley Avenue, Sharon, Pennsylvania.

REID L. BENNETT

CONGRATULATING
MR. AND MRS. ANTHONY J. THOMAS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Anthony J. Thomas are the proud parents of Miss Tina Thomas, the 1973 reigning Miss Pennsylvania. Mr. and Mrs. Thomas, through hard work, sacrifice and dedication to the duties of parenthood and family life may now share in the fulfillment of their daughter's success.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends and congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Thomas on the occasion of Tina's reign and cites the Thomas family as an example of Pennsylvania's finest in family life, dedicated to the principles of high moral commitment, faith in God and strong family ties; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Anthony J. Thomas, 36 Beaver Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17602.

MARVIN E. MILLER, JR.

CONGRATULATING
MR. AND MRS. GEORGE ISAMOYER
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. George Isamoyer celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary March 31, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by eight children, twenty-three grandchildren and six great-grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Isamoyer is the former Irene Guldin. They

were married by the late Rev. George B. Smith in his parsonage home in Kutztown.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. George Isamoyer on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to each other; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. George Isamoyer, R. D. No. 1, Mertztown, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. CLARENCE LOCH
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Loch celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary May 19, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by three sons, twelve grandchildren, and one great-grandchild. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Loch is the former Verna M. Wessner. They were married May 19, 1923 by the late Rev. William L. Meckstroth.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Loch on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Loch, R. D. No. 2, Kutztown, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING
MR. AND MRS. CHARLES E. KAUFFMAN
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Kauffman celebrated their forty-fifth wedding anniversary May 31, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by two children, and eight grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The Kauffmans were married in 1928 by the Rev. R. L. Woodring in Nazareth. Mrs. Kauffman is the former Carrie Mann of Coopersburg.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Kauffman on their forty-fifth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Kauffman, R. D. 1, Mertztown, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING
THE REVEREND CLARENCE R. RAHN
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Reverend Clarence R. Rahn of Muhlenberg Park completed fifty years of service on June 17, 1973, as Pastor of the Jacksonville United Church of Christ Charge. This memorable occasion was marked by a special anniversary service held in Jacob's Church, Jacksonville. In addition to his duties with the church, Reverend Rahn is an accomplished speaker, being known as the "Will Rogers of the Pennsylvania Dutch Country." He is married to the former Catharine Hain.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the Reverend Clarence R. Rahn on the occasion of his completion of fifty years of service as Pastor of the Jacksonville United Church of Christ, commends him for his dedication to his church over the past half century, and wishes him the best of good health and happiness in the future; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Reverend Clarence R. Rahn, Muhlenberg Park, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

EXPRESSING GRATITUDE TO MR. AND MRS.
ROBERT RAVALET

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Robert Ravalet of Grandcamp, France, have expended much of their time and effort in organizing the Comite De Point Du Hoc whose purpose is to preserve the Point Du Hoc Battleground. Through their magnificent efforts, the French Republic has set aside this sacred ground as a national monument. This couple has also organized appropriate ceremonies to commemorate the Rangers' D-Day achievements which helped bring about the liberation of the French people.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, expresses its gratitude to Mr. and Mrs. Robert Ravalet for their magnificent contributions in keeping the sacred Point Du Hoc Battleground in Normandy a national monument where so many brave Americans met their death in order to liberate France and to ensure the freedom of all Americans; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Robert Ravalet.

HELEN D. GILLETTE

CONGRATULATING EARL KELLER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Earl Keller, son of the late Gideon and Katie (nee Moatz) Keller, began his musical career by accident in 1931, when his Father accepted a fiddle to settle a two dollar debt and gave it to Earl, who, from that day on for forty-two years, organized, directed and promoted musical programs, such as the Promenaders Square Dance group; the Little Jiggers who won the Ted Mack National Amateur Hour Championship in 1958; the Western Music Melody Rangers, several radio programs and a two-hour country music show on WFMZ-TV—Allentown. They made appearances throughout the U.S.A. He organized the Earl Keller Fan Club, and his group is booked years ahead throughout Pennsylvania. Earl is assisted by his lovely wife, Ferne, their daughter, Linda, and son, Dale, who are all accomplished musicians.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Earl Keller for his outstanding achievements in the entertainment field and wishes him and his family continued success; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Earl and Ferne Keller.

JOSEPH R. ZELLER

CONGRATULATING MRS. CORA FEATHER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mrs. Cora Feather, wife of the late Isaac Feather, will celebrate her ninety-second birthday on September 1, 1973. The daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Benjamin Knappenberger, was born in a little cottage near St. Peters Church in Lower Macungie Township. Following her marriage to Isaac they moved to Emmaus, where they became the parents of five children; three sons—Earl, Ray and Myles, and two daughters—Lila Rauch and Merial Yenser. Her husband, Isaac, passed away at age seventy and there are five generations to date—with five children, ten grandchildren, twenty-two great-grandchildren, nineteen great-great-grandchildren. Mrs. Feather lives with her daughter Lila at 153 Main Street, Emmaus, Pennsylvania. The family worships at St. John's Lutheran Church in Emmaus.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mrs. Cora Feather on her ninety-second birthday and commends her on her fine family;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Cora Feather, Emmaus, Pennsylvania.

JOSEPH R. ZELLER

COMMENDING THE DELAWARE COUNTY WELFARE
RIGHTS ORGANIZATION

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Delaware County Welfare Rights Organization did yeoman service to the citizens of Delaware County as the major food distributor to those afflicted by the 1973 Pennsylvania budget impasse. With help from the Health and Welfare Council, Inc., Chester Office, the Friends of Welfare Rights Organization, the Delaware County Commissioners, the Mayor of Chester, and Wyeth Laboratories of Radnor, this organization delivered \$18,000 worth of food to five thousand families of welfare recipients who would otherwise have been without food.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends the Delaware County Welfare Rights Organization for their swift and concerted action which staved off a real crisis for those who were unable to procure food because of the budget impasse;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to the Delaware County Welfare Rights Organization, 433 West Third Street, Chester, Pennsylvania.

EDMUND JONES
HERBERT K. ZEARFOSS
DONALD M. McCURDY
STANLEY R. KESTER
THOMAS H. WORRILOW

POSTHUMOUSLY COMMENDING MR. OSCAR J.
THOMAS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. Oscar J. Thomas passed away recently; and

Whereas, He was an outstanding citizen of the City of Hazleton and contributed much to the community. He was Chairman of the Hazleton Area Joint Sewer Authority, a civil engineer, Founder and President of Thoren Industries, Inc. He devoted countless hours in civil projects giving his services free of costs and fees for seven years while serving as a member of the Board.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, does now award this posthumous citation to Mr. Oscar J. Thomas to commend his work and his devotion to community service and notes that the community of Hazleton will miss this man who contributed so unselfishly of his time;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Martha M. Thomas, his widow, and his son, Bill.

JAMES J. USTYNOSKI

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
WILLIAM H. THOMAS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. William H. Thomas of Tarentum recently celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary with a surprise open house hosted by their children. The couple was married on July 16, 1923, in Wellesburg, West Virginia, by the late Reverend Bernard Shaw. They are the parents of three children, and they have twelve grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. William H. Thomas on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more years of happy marriage;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. William H. Thomas, 110 Main Street, Tarentum, Pennsylvania.

HELEN D. GILLETTE

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
CHARLES E. LEEPER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Leeper of Fayetteville recently celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary with a party hosted by their children. The couple was married on June 23, 1923, in Deadwood, South Dakota. They are the parents of four children, and they have ten

grandchildren and one great-grandchild. Mrs. Leeper is the former Eva P. Smith.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Leeper on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more years of happy marriage; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Leeper, R. R. 1, Fayetteville, Pennsylvania.

R. HARRY BITTLE

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. SEMEON KOVALENKO

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr and Mrs. Semeon Kovalenko are celebrating their golden wedding anniversary on July 28, 1973. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Semeon Kovalenko on the occasion of their golden wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more happy years of marriage; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Semeon Kovalenko, Seminole, Pennsylvania.

CHESTER H. BYERLY

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. CLARENCE HERRMANN

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Herrmann celebrated their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary July 18, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by six children. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The former Jessie Barlett and Mr. Herrmann were married July 18, 1923 in Mercer.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Herrmann on their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary and wishes them many more happy healthy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Herrmann, 1710 Hofius Lane, Sharon, Pa.

REID L. BENNETT

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. WILLIAM B. STEWART

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. William B. Stewart celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary June 30, 1973. An anniversary reception was hosted by their daughter, Mrs. Jo-Ann Hogen of Ohio and their grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The former Electa Mae Beckdol and Mr. Stewart were married in the parsonage of St. John's Reformed Church on June 30, 1923. They have lived in Sharon all of their married life.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. William B. Stewart on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more healthy, happy years. and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. William B. Stewart, 1328 Hall Avenue, Sharon, Pennsylvania.

REID L. BENNETT

CONGRATULATING WILLIAM HOWARD WEAMER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, William Howard Weamer of Quakertown is

celebrating his one hundredth birthday on September 16, 1973. Mr. Weamer was born in Smicksburg and received his early education in Quakertown. Among the many activities and achievements that have filled this distinguished gentleman's life have been the organization of the Richland Grange in 1902, the inception of R.F.D. routes in Springtown, membership in the House of Representatives in the 1920's, assessor of Springfield Township, member of the Republican County Committee, employee of the Department of Revenue, and Chief Custodian of the House of Representatives.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates William Howard Weamer on the auspicious occasion of his one hundredth birthday, recognizing that this dedicated citizen of the Commonwealth has accomplished much and added richness to the lives of all those who know him, and wishes him the best of good health and fortune as he enters his second century; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. William Hower Weamer, 1103 West Broad Street, Quakertown, Pennsylvania.

MARVIN D. WEIDNER
JAMES L. WRIGHT, JR.

CONGRATULATING THE SANTO ANTONIO MARTIRE DiCOLLI AL VOLTURNO SOCIETY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Santo Antonio Martire DiColli Al Volturno Society is currently in its seventy-third year. Founded in South Philadelphia in 1900, its purpose remains to this day that of encouraging brotherly feeling within the society as well as in the community. Among the many benefits it offers its members are relief when sick and help in providing for their burial and that of their wives after death. The first Sunday of September of each year the society holds a celebration which begins with a solemn high mass honoring the society.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the Santo Antonio Martire DiColli Al Volturno Society on its seventy-third year of service to its members and the community and wishes the society continued success in the future;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Santo Antonio Martire DiColli Al Volturno, 1102 Rising Sun Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

WILLIAM W. RIEGER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. GEORGE HORN

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. George Horn celebrated their twenty-fifth wedding anniversary July 28, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by five children and one grandchild, Maura. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Horn is the former Ann Marie Boyle of Wilkes-Barre. They were married in the Holy Saviour Church of the East End section.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. George Horn on their twenty-fifth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. George Horn, 42 Maxwell Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania.

BERNARD F. O'BRIEN

CONGRATULATING KARL JOHN SHUEBROOK

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Karl John Shuebrook of Havertown was presented with the Eagle Scout Award by Oakmont Troop 156, Boy Scouts of America, on July 17, 1973, during cere-

monies held at the Grace Chapel in Havertown. Karl is the son of Mr. and Mrs. Samuel W. Shuebrook.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Karl John Shuebrook on the occasion of his being honored with the Eagle Scout Award and recognizes all the fine qualities that a recipient of this award must possess; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Karl John Shuebrook, 2614 Hirst Terrace, Havertown, Pennsylvania.

FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY

POSTHUMOUSLY COMMENDING HARVEY WEARING
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Harvey Wearing, twenty-seven, was shot and killed June 27, 1973, while standing on a street corner talking to young men of a gang group. He was struck by the gunfire of an opposing group, with whom he also worked. He was a man committed to working with youth, convinced that young people with proper guidance, love, and concern, could be rescued from the behavioral pattern of street gangs.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, does now award this posthumous citation to Harvey Wearing to commend his work with the young men of Philadelphia and states that his service obligates all of us to create a better way of life for all young people; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Barbara Wearing, his wife, and his two children, Michelle and Onasa, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

HARDY WILLIAMS
LUCIEN E. BLACKWELL
DAVID P. RICHARDSON
JAMES D. BARBER
JOEL J. JOHNSON

CONGRATULATING MARTHA KARWETA
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Martha A. Karweta of Wilkes-Barre was recently presented with a special achievement award by the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of the Mines, Coal Mine Health and Safety District 1.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Martha Karweta on the honor of being presented with a special achievement award by the United States Department of the Interior and commends her for her efforts in the important area of mine safety; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Miss Martha A. Karweta, 785 Keating Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania.

GEORGE C. HASAY

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
AUGUST CAVALLINI

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. August Cavallini recently celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary. The couple was married in 1923 in St. Mary's Church, Mocanaqua. They are the parents of four sons, and they have six grandchildren and two great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. August Cavallini on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more years of happy marriage; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. August Cavallini.

GEORGE C. HASAY

EXTENDING CONDOLENCES ON PASSING OF
ROBERT M. SHAY, JR.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Robert M. Shay, Jr., of Mechanicsburg

recently suffered an untimely death. He had been employed as an assistant merchandiser. Mr. Shay graduated from Central Dauphin High School, and he attended Pennsylvania State University. All those who knew Robert M. Shay, Jr., mourn his death and realize that all their lives are diminished by his passing.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, offers its heartfelt condolences to the wife and family of Robert M. Shay, Jr., and mourns the loss of one of the Commonwealth's sons; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Earla Shay, Irving Manor Apartments, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania.

MATTHEW J. RYAN
ROBERT J. BUTERA

CONGRATULATING THE DELAWARE LAW SCHOOL
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Delaware Law School is the only independent law school started in the east since World War II. Taking its first students in 1971, its independent beginning has provided the advantage of allowing initial planning and direction to be made exclusively under the guidance of experienced, established law professors and legal scholars who are nationally known for their legal scholarship. In keeping with the goal of a diversified atmosphere for the study of law, 144 colleges and universities are represented in the student body. Although five states are represented, Pennsylvania heads the list with forty-eight percent of the students being from the Commonwealth.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the Delaware Law School on the auspicious beginning it has made as an independent law school, commends it for the high number of Pennsylvanians that the school has enrolled, and expresses the hope that the Delaware Law School will long continue to serve the growing needs of this area for well-qualified legal minds; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Delaware Law School, Wilmington, Delaware.

JOHN H. HAMILTON, JR.
ALVIN KATZ
FRANK VACCA
FORTUNATO N. PERRI
I. HARRY CHECCHIO
FRANK A. SALVATORE
DONALD M. McCURDY

CONGRATULATING EVELYN R. LANDIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Evelyn R. Landis of Lampeter is retiring after twenty-two years as an educator in the Lampeter-Strasburg School District. Mrs. Landis taught second grade at Lampeter Elementary School and was truly one who was always concerned with the welfare of the children she served. The unexpected illness which has forced her to retire leaves a real void in the Lampeter-Strasburg elementary schools.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Evelyn R. Landis on the occasion of her retirement as an educator after twenty-two years of service to the students of the Lampeter-Strasburg School District, commends her for the outstanding job she has done, and wishes her good health in her retirement; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Evelyn R. Landis, 1808 Lampeter Road, Lampeter, Pennsylvania.

MARVIN E. MILLER

CONGRATULATING EVELYN McCURDY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Evelyn McCurdy of Shippensburg recently was presented with the Girl Scout "God and Community"

Award for her efforts in memory work, collecting history of Middle Spring Church and information on the Church governing body and personal service projects.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Evelyn McCurdy on the honor of receiving the Girl Scout "God and Community" Award and wishes her continued success in the future; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Evelyn McCurdy, Route 3, Shippensburg, Pennsylvania.

R. HARRY BITTLE

CONGRATULATING ALMA C. SNYDER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Alma C. Snyder of Lancaster is retiring after thirty years as an outstanding educator in the Lampeter-Strasburg School District. Mrs. Snyder was unexcelled as a teacher of German, and all that came in contact with her will remember her as one of the rapidly diminishing group of true scholars. Alma C. Snyder is clearly a sterling example of the superior teacher and of the truly superior human being.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Alma C. Snyder on the occasion of her retirement after thirty years as an educator, recognizes the outstanding contribution she has made in her field, and wishes her the best of good fortune in the years to come; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Alma C. Snyder, 747 North Franklin Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania.

MARVIN E. MILLER, JR.

COMMENDING MICHAEL CHUDOBA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Michael Chudoba of Wilkes-Barre was one of the leaders in getting the Wyoming Valley back on its feet after the Hurricane Agnes disaster. Serving as chairman of the flood recovery program, Mr. Chudoba spearheaded the post-flood cleanup activity in the north end of Wilkes-Barre and served in other capacities of civil leadership.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Michael Chudoba on his unselfish efforts to help the people of the Wyoming Valley recover from the worst natural disaster in Pennsylvania's history; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. Michael Chudoba, 64 Brookside Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania.

BERNARD F. O'BRIEN

CONGRATULATING THE MOORE SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Moore School of Electrical Engineering of the University of Pennsylvania celebrates its fiftieth anniversary during 1973. The School established in 1923 through a bequest of Alfred Fitler Moore, had a curriculum based on scientific principles but flavored by a cultural and social content. Today research is directed to human and social needs such as transportation, pollution control, wildlife management and civilian uses of holography.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates The Moore School of Electrical Engineering on the celebration of their fiftieth anniversary and for its untiring efforts and dedication to the intellectual advancement of mankind; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to The Moore School of Electrical Engineering, University of Pennsylvania.

JOSEPH R. ZELLER
HARRY R. J. COMER

CONGRATULATING JEFF BIGGS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Jeff Biggs was recently presented with a special trophy at the Easton Elks All-Sports Banquet in recognition of his extraordinary devotion to his team and perseverance in his goal of playing for the Midget baseball team. Although not picked for the regular squad, Jeff continued to come to practice and to all the games to cheer his teammates on and to help where he could. His perseverance paid off and he finally was able to play in a game. The fine qualities of this young man are also apparent in his Eagle Scout community project where he is painting fire hydrants.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Jeff Biggs on the occasion of his being presented a special trophy at the Easton Elks All-Sports Banquet, commends him for the unselfish and exemplary work he has done on his Eagle Scout community project, and recognizes the sterling qualities that this young man has displayed in all his endeavors;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Jeff Biggs, 336 Paxinosa Avenue, Easton, Pennsylvania.

JAMES F. PRENDERGAST

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. RUSSELL R. BLEILER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Russell R. Bleiler celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary April 14, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by five children, seven grandchildren and one great-grandchild. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Bleiler is the former Meda E. Heller of Fleetwood. The Bleilers were married April 14, 1923, at St. John's Lutheran Church parsonage of Kutztown.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Russell R. Bleiler on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Russell R. Bleiler, R. D. 3, Kutztown, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. LLOYD REIFINGER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd Reifinger celebrated their fortieth wedding anniversary April 1, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by four children and eleven grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The couple was married April 1, 1933 by the late Reverend W. L. Meckstroth. Mrs. Reifinger is the former Lois Fisher, a native of Topton.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd Reifinger on their fortieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd Reifinger, 119 High Street, Topton, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. HERBERT S. ADAM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Herbert S. Adam celebrated their sixtieth wedding anniversary January 11, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by five children, eight grandchildren and three great-grandchildren. These two

people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Adam is the former Erma Fegley. The Adams were wed January 11, 1913 at the parsonage home of the late Reverend Robert Lynch, then Pastor of Trinity Lutheran Church, Kutztown, Pennsylvania.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Herbert S. Adam on their sixtieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more healthy, happy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Herbert S. Adam, College Hill, Kutztown, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
WALTER H. NOLL

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Walter H. Noll celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary May 26, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by one son, Ronald. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. They were married by Mrs. Noll's uncle, the Reverend David Frederick, of Wyomissing. She is the former Edna Drey of Dryville.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Walter H. Noll on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Walter H. Noll, South Willow Street, Fleetwood, Pennsylvania.

LESTER K. FRYER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
ROY G. HUBLEY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Roy G. Hubley recently celebrated their fortieth wedding anniversary. The couple was married on July 23, 1933, in Kinderhook E. C. Church by the Reverend George A. Raker. Mrs. Hubley is the former Marie Stauffer of Marietta.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Roy G. Hubley on the occasion of their fortieth wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more years of happy marriage; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Roy G. Hubley.

KENNETH E. BRANDT

CONGRATULATING MRS. MARY FREY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mrs. Mary Frey of Emmaus is celebrating her ninety-fifth birthday on August 1, 1973, with a gathering of family and friends. Mrs. Frey was the wife of the late Thomas G. Frey, and they were the parents of one son, Clarence. Mrs. Frey has the distinction of being the oldest member of St. John's United Church of Christ in Emmaus.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mrs. Mary Frey on the occasion of her ninety-fifth birthday and wishes her much happiness and many more years of good health; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Mary Frey, 621 Chestnut Street, Emmaus, Pennsylvania.

JOSEPH R. ZELLER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS.
LAWRENCE O'SHEA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence O'Shea of Macun-

gie recently celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary. The couple was married on June 21, 1923, in St. Paul's Lutheran Church in Allentown by the Reverend George Greiss. They are the parents of three sons and have three grandchildren. Mrs. O'Shea is the former Marion Smith.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence O'Shea on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them much good health and many more happy years of marriage; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence O'Shea, 116 Church Street, Macungie, Pennsylvania.

JOSEPH R. ZELLER

CONGRATULATING BILL BURNS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, T.V. newscaster Bill Burns has completed his twentieth year with T.V. Channel 2, K.D.K.A., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and

Whereas, He has accepted the responsibility of presenting clear, factual and meaningful reporting of the news to the Pittsburgh area viewing audience; and

Whereas, The viewing audience considers Bill one of the family because of his daily news analysis.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Bill Burns on his twentieth anniversary with K.D.K.A., T.V., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and commends him on his high caliber newscasting and reporting;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Bill Burns, K.D.K.A., T.V., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

A. T. FENRICH

COMMENDING JUDGE STANLEY M. GREENBERG

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Judge Stanley M. Greenberg is presently serving with distinction as Administrative Judge of the Common Pleas Court of Philadelphia. In this important post, he has shown great initiative in reducing the tremendous backlog of cases still pending. As the former Chairman of the Philadelphia Bar Association Arbitration Committee, Judge Greenberg gave freely of his time and talents in making the arbitration program a great success.

Judge Greenberg is a member of numerous legal, civic, veteran and fraternal organizations and served with honor in the Army during World War II.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Judge Greenberg for his dedication to the highest principles of the Bench and the Bar. His Judicial wisdom and outstanding leadership abilities reflect great credit upon himself and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Judge Stanley M. Greenberg, Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

WILLIAM J. LEDERER

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. ANTHONY
LORDITCH

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Lorditch will celebrate their fiftieth wedding anniversary August 16, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by four children and sixteen grandchildren. The Lorditches are active members of Our Mother of Sorrows Parish in Johnstown. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. Mrs. Lorditch is the former Irene Kinney. She and Mr. Lorditch have lived in the Johnstown area all of their lives.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Lorditch on

their fiftieth wedding anniversary and commends them on their continued devotion to one another; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Lorditch, 921 Carnegie Avenue, Johnstown, Pennsylvania.

JOHN P. MURTHA, JR.
JAMES J. A. GALLAGHER

EXPRESSING SYMPATHY ON PASSING OF
FRANK E. RODA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Frank E. Roda, forty-seven, of Lancaster has been an outstanding member of the Pennsylvania Trial Lawyers Association for many years; and

Whereas, He served as President of the Association in 1972; and

Whereas, Mr. Roda was a devoted family man and an active member of his church; and

Whereas, Mr. Roda died unexpectedly while attending a meeting of Trial Lawyers in Miami, Florida;

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its deepest sympathy to his widow, Virginia Reeder Roda, his seven children; Joseph F., Frank E., Jr., David M., Stephen G., John A., Teresa A., and Paul G. Roda; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be delivered to the Roda family at 605 North School Lane, Lancaster, Pennsylvania.

HERBERT FINEMAN
MARVIN E. MILLER

CONGRATULATING FRANCIS AND RUTH WORLEY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Francis and Ruth Worley celebrated their thirty-eighth wedding anniversary on July 26, 1973; and

Whereas, Mr. Worley served in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives for twenty-four years; and

Whereas, Mrs. Worley is an official receptionist in the House of Representatives. They have two sons, Francis, Jr., and Daniel. Mrs. Worley is the former Ruth Tudor Gardner.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Francis and Ruth Worley on their thirty-eighth wedding anniversary and wishes them continued happiness in the future; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Francis and Ruth Worley, R. D. 1, York Springs, Pennsylvania.

MARVIN E. MILLER

CONGRATULATING THE BOROUGH OF NEW
FREEDOM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Borough of New Freedom is marking its one hundredth anniversary with a week long centennial celebration from August 11 through August 18, 1973. Chartered on August 11, 1873, the Borough is currently under the able leadership of Mayor William Winter who will direct the celebration and lead New Freedom into its second century of serving its citizens.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, offers its hearty congratulations to the Borough of New Freedom on the occasion of its one hundredth anniversary and expresses the hope that New Freedom will continue to serve its citizens and the Commonwealth as well in the coming century as it has in the past;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mayor William Winter, New Freedom, Pennsylvania.

A. CARVILLE FOSTER, JR.

CONGRATULATING BOB DAVIES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Bob Davies is president of the Blairsville Little League Association; and

Whereas, The community of Blairsville will host the State playoffs during the month of August, 1973, with the winner to become the Pennsylvania representative in the Little League World Series to be held in Williamsport.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Bob Davies on having Blairsville selected for the site of the Little League playoffs and commends him on the hard work that he has put forth to make this event a success; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Bob Davies, President, Little League Association of Blairsville, Blairsville, Pennsylvania.

BILL SHANE

COMMENDING LOUISE PROTZ

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Louise Protz is president of the Little League Mothers of Blairsville, Pennsylvania; and

Whereas, The community of Blairsville will host the State playoffs during the month of August, 1973, with the winner to become the Pennsylvania representative in the Little League World Series to be held in Williamsport.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Louise Protz for her hard work and devotion to the Little Leaguers of Blairsville;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Louise Protz, President, Little League Mothers of Blairsville, Blairsville, Pennsylvania.

BILL SHANE

COMMENDING AL DETTORE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Al Dettole is the Finance Chairman of the Little League Association of Blairsville; and

Whereas, The community of Blairsville will host the State playoffs during the month of August, 1973, with the winner to become the Pennsylvania representative in the Little League World Series to be held in Williamsport.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Al Dettole for his contribution of many man hours because as everyone knows, its impossible to arrange an event of this kind without the necessary funding;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Al Dettole, Finance Chairman, Little League Association of Blairsville, Blairsville, Pennsylvania.

BILL SHANE

CONGRATULATING HARRY HENNEBERGER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Harry Henneberger recently marked his one hundredth birthday with a party attended by his four children. Mr. Henneberger was born July 13, 1873, in Altoona, the son of Mr. and Mrs. Dewitt C. Henneberger. His chosen trade was a machinist, and during the sixty-three years that he pursued this vocation, he advanced to foreman, master mechanic, and finally to the post of production engineer. Among the many activities of this fine gentleman are the Waynesboro Volunteer Firemen's Association, Waynesboro Fish and Game Association, as well as various fraternal organizations.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Harry Henneberger on the occasion of his one hundredth birthday and wishes this distinguished centenarian the best of health and happiness in the years to come; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Harry Henneberger, Menno Haven, 2075 Scotland Avenue, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

R. HARRY BITTLE

CONGRATULATING MARS, BUTLER COUNTY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, The Postal Service of Mars, Butler County

is celebrating its one hundredth jubilee. Postal Service covering the Boroughs of Valencia and Mars and the Townships of Adams, Cranberry and Middlesex, started May 5, 1873. The area covered is 72.5 square miles. A centennial celebration will be held August 2 through August 11, 1973, with Mrs. Adrian Walters of Mars serving as Chairman.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mars and the outlying area on their centennial celebration and commends the Postal Service on its one hundred years of faithful service to the citizens of this area; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to the Mars Area Centennial Committee, Mars, Pennsylvania, 16046.

H. FRANCIS KENNEDY

CONGRATULATING MRS. EDWARD YANKOVICH

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mrs. Edward Yankovich of New Salem has been elected State President of the American Legion Auxiliary at the American Legion convention in Pittsburgh. During her service to the American Legion, she has been instrumental in the formation of an active junior group. Mrs. Yankovich is also active in community affairs and has served as local chairman of the Fayette County Association of Retarded Children.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mrs. Edward Yankovich on her election as State President of the American Legion Auxiliary, commends her for her past efforts with this organization and other civic activities, and wishes her well in her new office; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Edward Yankovich.

PAT C. TRUSIO

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. ROBERT B. FULTON

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Robert B. Fulton celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary July 12, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by five children, twelve grandchildren, and four great-grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The former Margaret M. Cunningham and Mr. Fulton were wed July 12, 1923, at the First English Lutheran Church of Wheeling, West Virginia.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Robert B. Fulton on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more healthy, happy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Robert B. Fulton, 1879 East State Street, Sharon, Pennsylvania.

REID L. BENNETT

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. LLOYD S. BUCHTER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd S. Buchter celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary June 30, 1973. These two people are highly respected by friends and neighbors as representing the finest in American life.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commends Mr. and Mrs. Buchter for their loving devotion to one another and congratulates them on their fiftieth wedding anniversary; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd S. Buchter, 29 Owl Hill Road, Kissel Hill, Lititz, Pennsylvania.

ROBERT C. ROWE

CONGRATULATING DAVID A. CRAWE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, David A. Crowe of Edinboro was recently honored with the Thomas F. Chrostwaite Award at the Sixty-second General Assembly of Boroughs in Tamiment. Mr. Crowe has served the Borough of Edinboro for twenty years as Manager. The award is given to borough officials who have completed twenty or more years of borough service.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates David A. Crowe of Edinboro on the occasion of his being presented the Thomas F. Chrostwaite Award and commends him for the outstanding service he has given to his borough and the Commonwealth; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to David A. Crowe, Meadville Street, Edinboro, Pennsylvania.

DAVID S. HAYES

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. THOMAS BELK, SR.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Belk, Sr., celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary June 24, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by five children, eighteen grandchildren and two great-grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. They were married fifty years ago in the Church of Atonement, Morton, Pennsylvania.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Belk, Sr., on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more healthy, happy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Belk, Sr., 2014 Parker Avenue, Holmes, Pennsylvania.

EDMUND JONES

CONGRATULATING MR. AND MRS. HARRY DONALDSON, SR.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Harry Donaldson, Sr. celebrated their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary June 14, 1973. Their happy union has been blessed by eight children, ten grandchildren and two great grandchildren. These two people are highly respected by friends, neighbors and acquaintances as representing the finest in American life. The former Helen White of Apollo, Pennsylvania, and Mr. Donaldson were married June 14, 1923 in Maryland.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its best wishes and congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Harry Donaldson, Sr. on their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary and wishes them many more healthy happy years; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Harry Donaldson, Sr., 1025 Spearman Avenue, Farrell, Pennsylvania.

REID L. BENNETT

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. POLITE moved that this House do now adjourn until Monday, September 17, 1973, at 3 p.m., e.d.t.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. POLITE and ZELLER and were as follows:

YEAS—150

Anderson, J. H.	Gallagher	Malady	Shuman
Arthurs	Gallen	Maloney	Shupnik

Barber	Geesey	Manderino	Smith, C.
Bennett	Geisler	McClatchy	Smith, E.
Beren	Gekas	McCurdy	Smith, I.
Berkes	Gelfand	McGinnis	Spencer
Berson	Gleason	McGraw	Stahl
Bittle	Gleason	McMonagle	Stout
Bixler	Greenfield	Mebus	Sullivan
Blackwell	Grieco	Miller, M. E.	Taddonio
Bonetto	Gring	Mullen, M. P.	Thomas
Brandt	Halverson	Murtha	Toll
Brunner	Hamilton, J. H.	Musto	Trusio
Burkardt	Harrier	O'Connell	Turner
Butera	Hasay	Parker, H. S.	Ustynoski
Eyerly	Haskell	Perri	Vann
Caputo	Hayes, S. E.	Perry	Volpe
Cessar	Hepford	Pievsky	Wagner
Comer	Hill	Pitts	Walsh, J. T.
Crawford	Homer	Polite	Walsh, T. P.
Dager	Hutchinson, W.	Prendergast	Wargo
Davis, D. M.	Irvis	Renwick	Weidner
DeMedio	Itkin	Rhodes	Wells
Deverter	Johnson, J.	Rieger	Westerberg
Dininni	Jones	Romanelli	Whittlesey
Dorr	Kahle	Rowe	Wilt, R. W.
Dorsey	Kelly, A. P.	Ruane	Wilt, W. W.
Dreibelbis	Kelly, J. B.	Ryan	Wise
Engelhart	Kester	Saloom	Wojdak
Fawcett	Kistler	Salvatore	Worrlow
Fee	Klingaman	Savitt	Yahner
Fenrich	Knepper	Scanlon	Yohn
Fineman	Kusse	Scheaffer	Zearfoss

Foor	LaMarca	Scirica	Zimmerman
Foster, A.	Laudadio	Seltzer	Zord
Foster, W.	Lederer	Semanof	
Fox	Lehr	Shelhamer	Lee,
Frankenburg	Lynch, Frank	Shelton	Speaker

NAYS—32

Bellomini	Fischer	Laughlin	Ruggiero
Burns	Fryer	Lincoln	Schmitt
Checchio	Gillette	Miller, M. E., Jr.	Shane
Davis, R.	Hayes, D. S.	Morris	Vipond
Dicarlo	Hopkins	Novak	Williams
Dombrowski	Katz	Petrarca	Wilson
Early	Kolter	Richardson	Wright
Eckensberger	Kowalynshyn	Ritter	Zeller

NOT VOTING—19

Doyle	Letterman	O'Brien	Schulze
Goodman	Martino	Pancoast	Tayoun
Hammock	McCue	Piper	Vacca
Hutchinson, A.	Myers	Rappaport	Valicenti
Kennedy	Noye	Renninger	

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to, and (at 2:14 p.m., e. d. t.) the House adjourned.