A RESOLUTION

- 1 Directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to
- conduct a comprehensive review of the fiscal impact on
- 3 Commonwealth agencies and local governmental agencies for
- implementation of the Right-to-Know Law and to make
- 5 recommendations.
- 6 WHEREAS, The General Assembly enacted the act of February 14,
- 7 2008 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Right-to-Know Law; and
- 8 WHEREAS, The Right-to-Know Law dramatically expanded
- 9 citizen's access to public records, financial records, judicial
- 10 records and legislative records across this Commonwealth; and
- 11 WHEREAS, The Right-to-Know Law requires State and local
- 12 agencies, the Senate, the House of Representatives and the
- 13 Judiciary to appoint:
- 14 (1) Open-records officers who shall be responsible to
- 15 thoroughly review and completely respond to Right-to-Know Law
- 16 requests in a timely manner.
- 17 (2) Appeals officers who must conduct administrative
- appeals to review decisions made by the open records officer;
- 19 and

- 1 WHEREAS, The Right-to-Know Law is credited by many for making
- 2 the operation of State and local government more transparent;
- 3 and
- 4 WHEREAS, It is believed that this new transparency in
- 5 government has had a dramatic fiscal impact on agencies.
- 6 particularly local government agencies, that must dedicate
- 7 scarce resources to the following tasks:
- 8 (1) Reviewing Right-to-Know Law requests and collecting
- 9 records responsive to the requests.
- 10 (2) Redacting sensitive or privileged information from
- 11 the records.
- 12 (3) Reproducing the records.
- 13 (4) Responding to Right-to-Know Law requests;
- 14 and
- WHEREAS, The Right-to-Know Law prohibits an agency from
- 16 adopting a policy or regulation to place a limitation on the
- 17 number of records which may be requested or requiring a
- 18 requester to disclose the purpose or motive in requesting access
- 19 to records; and
- 20 WHEREAS, In their dissenting opinion, three judges of the
- 21 Commonwealth Court expressed their concern in Pennsylvania
- 22 Gaming Control Board v. Office of Open Records (48 A.3d 503)
- 23 Pa.Cmwlth. (2012) that the court had expanded the law so that
- 24 "an unaddressed request written on the back of a brown paper bag
- 25 and given to a PennDOT plow driver by the side of the road on a
- 26 snowy winter night" now must be considered a valid Right-to-Know
- 27 request; and
- 28 WHEREAS, Numerous other appellate court decisions reviewing
- 29 the Right-to-Know Law have expanded the administrative and legal
- 30 burdens placed on State and local agencies; and

- 1 WHEREAS, The Right-to-Know Law limits the ability of State
- 2 and local agencies to recover from the fiscal impact of the
- 3 various tasks associated with the Right-to-Know Law requests;
- 4 therefore be it
- 5 RESOLVED, That the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee
- 6 conduct a comprehensive survey relating to the implementation of
- 7 the Right-to-Know Law and prepare a report of its findings which
- 8 shall include all of the following:
- 9 (1) Annual costs associated with administration of the
- 10 Right-to-Know Law for Commonwealth agencies, Judicial
- 11 agencies and Legislative agencies.
- 12 (2) Annual costs associated with the administration of
- the Right-to-Know Law for local agencies of various sizes and
- 14 classification.
- 15 (3) Make recommendations to the General Assembly on
- 16 amendments to the Right-to-Know Law which would decrease the
- 17 administrative burdens of the law on State and local
- 18 agencies.
- 19 (4) Make recommendations to the General Assembly on
- amendments to the Right-to-Know Law which may be necessary to
- offset the costs associated with administration of the Right-
- 22 to-Know Law for State and local agencies;
- 23 and be it further
- 24 RESOLVED, That the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee
- 25 make a report of its findings to the House of Representatives
- 26 within one year of adoption of this resolution.