THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 189

Session of 2019

INTRODUCED BY PASHINSKI, READSHAW, SCHLOSSBERG, CALTAGIRONE, NEILSON, MURT, FREEMAN, KAUFER, HILL-EVANS, MILLARD, DELUCA, SCHWEYER, MARKOSEK, MULLINS, TOOHIL, BOBACK, KORTZ, DRISCOLL, ROZZI AND BOYLE, APRIL 2, 2019

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION, APRIL 2, 2019

A RESOLUTION

- Directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to 1 conduct a study of the feasibility of providing passenger 2 rail service between Wilkes-Barre and Scranton in conjunction with the proposed restoration of passenger rail service between Scranton and New York City and issue a report of its 5 findings and recommendations to the House of Representatives. 6 7 WHEREAS, In a letter to the President of the United States 8 dated April 21, 2009, United States Senators Robert P. Casey, Jr., and Arlen Specter, together with Senators Charles E. Schumer and Kirsten Gillibrand, announced their support to 10 11 restore passenger rail service from Binghamton, New York, 12 through Scranton, to New York City; and WHEREAS, Amtrak has agreed to conduct a feasibility study of 13 the Scranton to New York City link, known as the Lackawanna 14 15 Cutoff project; and 16 WHEREAS, In June 2009, the United States Environmental
- 19 and Scranton, a vital section of the Lackawanna Cutoff; and

Protection Agency declared a finding of no significant impact

for a new passenger rail line between Morris County, New Jersey,

17

18

- 1 WHEREAS, After more than two decades, the first phase of
- 2 restoring rail service from the Poconos region to New York City
- 3 appears to be nearing the engineering and construction funding
- 4 phase; and
- 5 WHEREAS, Several extensions of service have already been
- 6 proposed and are being seriously considered, including north to
- 7 Binghamton, New York; and
- 8 WHEREAS, A previously commissioned, federally funded study
- 9 conducted by the Luzerne County Redevelopment Authority
- 10 determined that the physical track alignment is already in place
- 11 to do so, thus requiring no additional right-of-way; and
- 12 WHEREAS, New Jersey Transit has already begun construction to
- 13 restore a portion of the Lackawanna Cutoff; and
- 14 WHEREAS, As of late 2016, New Jersey Transit has cleared
- 15 trees and debris from part of the right-of-way and is one
- 16 environmental permit away from starting the construction of a
- 17 train station in Andover Township, New Jersey; and
- 18 WHEREAS, Luzerne County residents deserve the same
- 19 consideration and opportunity for employment as well as the
- 20 other economic opportunities afforded to municipalities which
- 21 the passenger line would serve as is now proposed; and
- 22 WHEREAS, Establishing passenger service between Wilkes-Barre
- 23 and Scranton would benefit economic development efforts in the
- 24 greater Hazleton area; and
- 25 WHEREAS, The former Laurel Line commuter train service
- 26 between Scranton and Wilkes-Barre operated from 1903 until 1952
- 27 and reached peak ridership of 4.2 million passengers a year; and
- 28 WHEREAS, The Commonwealth would be remiss in not determining
- 29 if continuation of this rail service to Wilkes-Barre is feasible
- 30 at this pivotal juncture, considering the relatively short

- 1 distance and minimal expense incurred in doing so; therefore be
- 2 it
- 3 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives direct the
- 4 Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a study of
- 5 the feasibility of providing passenger rail service between
- 6 Wilkes-Barre and Scranton in conjunction with the proposed
- 7 restoration of passenger rail service between Scranton and New
- 8 York City; and be it further
- 9 RESOLVED, That the study determine if the benefits outweigh
- 10 the costs related to providing passenger rail service from
- 11 Wilkes-Barre to Scranton in conjunction with the Lackawanna
- 12 Cutoff project; and be it further
- 13 RESOLVED, That the study include a review of the:
- 14 (1) Alignment and trackage necessary to provide
- passenger rail service between Wilkes-Barre and Scranton.
- 16 (2) Preferred ownership and management structure and
- operational requirements to provide such service.
- 18 (3) Anticipated ridership for Wilkes-Barre to Scranton
- 19 passenger rail service;
- 20 and be it further
- 21 RESOLVED, That the committee issue its report to the Speaker
- 22 of the House of Representatives within one year of the adoption
- 23 of this resolution.