THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE BILL No. 1718 Session of 2011

INTRODUCED BY CREIGHTON, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, DAVIS, GEIST, GIBBONS, GINGRICH, HELM, KNOWLES, MILLER, MOUL, MUSTIO, DAVIDSON AND MALONEY, JUNE 23, 2011

AS AMENDED ON SECOND CONSIDERATION, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, JUNE 21, 2012

AN ACT

1	Amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), entitled,
2	as amended, "An act to empower cities of the second class A,
3	and third class, boroughs, incorporated towns, townships of
4	the first and second classes including those within a county
5	of the second class and counties of the second through eighth
6	classes, individually or jointly, to plan their development
7	and to govern the same by zoning, subdivision and land
8	development ordinances, planned residential development and
9	other ordinances, by official maps, by the reservation of
10	certain land for future public purpose and by the acquisition
11	of such land; to promote the conservation of energy through
12	the use of planning practices and to promote the effective
13	utilization of renewable energy sources; providing for the
14	establishment of planning commissions, planning departments,
15	planning committees and zoning hearing boards, authorizing
16	them to charge fees, make inspections and hold public
17	hearings; providing for mediation; providing for transferable
18	development rights; providing for appropriations, appeals to
19	courts and penalties for violations; and repealing acts and
20	parts of acts," in subdivision and land development, further
21	providing for contents of subdivision and land development
22	ordinance, for completion of improvements or guarantee
23	thereof prerequisite to final plat approval, and for release
24	from improvement bond.
25	The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
26	hereby enacts as follows:

27 Section 1. Section 503(1) of the act of July 31, 1968 28 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, amended November 30, 2004 (P.L.1613, No.206), is
 amended to read:

3 Section 503. Contents of Subdivision and Land Development
4 Ordinance.--The subdivision and land development ordinance may
5 include, but need not be limited to:

6 (1) Provisions for the submittal and processing of plats, 7 including the charging of review fees, and specifications for 8 such plats, including certification as to the accuracy of plats and provisions for preliminary and final approval and for 9 10 processing of final approval by stages or sections of 11 development. Such plats and surveys shall be prepared in accordance with the act of May 23, 1945 (P.L.913, No.367), known 12 13 as the "Engineer, Land Surveyor and Geologist Registration Law," 14 except that this requirement shall not preclude the preparation 15 of a plat in accordance with the act of January 24, 1966 (1965 16 P.L.1527, No.535), known as the "Landscape Architects' Registration Law," when it is appropriate to prepare the plat 17 using professional services as set forth in the definition of 18 19 the "practice of landscape architecture" under section 2 of that 20 act. Review fees may include reasonable and necessary charges by the municipality's professional consultants for review and 21 report thereon to the municipality. Such review fees shall be 22 23 based upon a schedule established by ordinance or resolution. 24 Such review fees shall be reasonable and in accordance with the ordinary and customary charges for similar service in the 25 26 community, but in no event shall the fees exceed the rate or cost charged by the professional consultant for comparable 27 28 services to the municipality for services which are not 29 reimbursed or otherwise imposed on applicants. Fees charged to the municipality relating to any appeal of a decision on an 30

- 2 -

application shall not be considered review fees and may not be
 charged to an applicant.

3 (i) The governing body shall submit to the applicant an itemized bill showing work performed, identifying the person 4 5 performing the services and the time and date spent for each 6 task. Nothing in this subparagraph shall prohibit interim 7 itemized billing or municipal escrow or other security 8 requirements. In the event the applicant disputes the amount 9 of any such review fees, the applicant shall, no later than 10 [45] 100 days after the date of transmittal of the bill to 11 the applicant, notify the municipality and the municipality's 12 professional consultant that such fees are disputed and shall 13 explain the basis of their objections to the fees charged, in 14 which case the municipality shall not delay or disapprove a 15 subdivision or land development application due to the applicant's dispute over fees. Failure of the applicant to 16 17 dispute a bill within [45] 100 days shall be a waiver of the 18 applicant's right to arbitration of that bill under section 19 510(q).

20 In the event that the municipality's professional (ii) 21 consultant and the applicant cannot agree on the amount of 22 review fees which are reasonable and necessary, then the 23 applicant and the municipality shall follow the procedure for 24 dispute resolution set forth in section 510(q), provided that 25 the arbitrator resolving such dispute shall be of the same 26 profession or discipline as the professional consultant whose 27 fees are being disputed.

(iii) Subsequent to a decision on an application, the
governing body shall submit to the applicant an itemized bill
for review fees, specifically designated as a final bill. The

- 3 -

final bill shall include all review fees incurred at least through the date of the decision on the application. If for any reason additional review is required subsequent to the decision, including inspections and other work to satisfy the conditions of the approval, the review fees shall be charged to the applicant as a supplement to the final bill. * * *

8 Section 2. Section 509(j) and (k) of the act, reenacted and 9 amended December 21, 1988 (P.L.1329, No.170), are amended to 10 read:

Section 509. Completion of Improvements or Guarantee Thereof Prerequisite to Final Plat Approval.--* * *

13 (j) As the work of installing the required improvements 14 proceeds, the party posting the financial security may request 15 the governing body to release or authorize the release, from 16 time to time, such portions of the financial security necessary for payment to the contractor or contractors performing the 17 work. Any such requests shall be in writing addressed to the 18 19 governing body, and the governing body shall have 45 days from 20 receipt of such request within which to allow the municipal engineer to certify, in writing, to the governing body that such 21 portion of the work upon the improvements has been completed in 22 23 accordance with the approved plat. Upon such certification the 24 governing body shall authorize release by the bonding company or 25 lending institution of an amount as estimated by the municipal 26 engineer fairly representing the value of the improvements completed or, if the governing body fails to act within said 45-27 28 day period, the governing body shall be deemed to have approved 29 the release of funds as requested. The governing body may, prior to final release at the time of completion and certification by 30

- 4 -

1 its engineer, [require retention of] retain 10% of the
2 [estimated cost of] original amount of the posted financial
3 security for the aforesaid improvements.

Where the governing body accepts dedication of all or 4 (k) some of the required improvements following completion, the 5 governing body may require the posting of financial security to 6 secure structural integrity of said <u>dedicated</u> improvements as 7 8 well as the functioning of said <u>dedicated</u> improvements in accordance with the design and specifications as depicted on the 9 final plat for a term not to exceed 18 months from the date of 10 11 acceptance of dedication. Said financial security shall be of 12 the same type as otherwise required in this section with regard 13 to installation of such improvements, and the amount of the 14 financial security shall not exceed 15% of the actual cost of installation of said <u>dedicated</u> improvements. 15

16 * * *

Section 3. Section 510(g) of the act, amended November 30, 2004 (P.L.1613, No.206), is amended to read:

19 Section 510. Release from Improvement Bond.--* * *

20 The municipality may prescribe that the applicant shall (q) reimburse the municipality for the reasonable and necessary 21 22 expense incurred in connection with the inspection of 23 improvements. The applicant shall not be required to reimburse 24 the governing body for any inspection which is duplicative of 25 inspections conducted by other governmental agencies or public 26 utilities. The burden of proving that any inspection is 27 duplicative shall be upon the objecting applicant. Such 28 reimbursement shall be based upon a schedule established by 29 ordinance or resolution. Such expense shall be reasonable and in 30 accordance with the ordinary and customary fees charged by the

- 5 -

1 municipality's professional consultant for work performed for
2 similar services in the community, but in no event shall the
3 fees exceed the rate or cost charged by the professional
4 consultant to the municipality for comparable services when fees
5 are not reimbursed or otherwise imposed on applicants.

6 The governing body shall submit to the applicant an (1)7 itemized bill showing the work performed in connection with 8 the inspection of improvements performed, identifying the 9 person performing the services and the time and date spent 10 for each task. In the event the applicant disputes the amount 11 of any such expense in connection with the inspection of 12 improvements, the applicant shall, no later than [30] 100 13 days after the date of transmittal of a bill for inspection 14 services, notify the municipality and the municipality's 15 professional consultant that such inspection expenses are 16 disputed as unreasonable or unnecessary and shall explain the 17 basis of their objections to the fees charged, in which case 18 the municipality shall not delay or disapprove a request for 19 release of financial security, a subdivision or land 20 development application or any approval or permit related to 21 development due to the applicant's dispute of inspection 22 expenses. Failure of the applicant to dispute a bill within 23 [30] 100 days shall be a waiver of the applicant's right to 24 arbitration of that bill under this section.

(1.1) Subsequent to the final release of financial
security for completion of improvements for a subdivision or
land development or any phase thereof, the professional
consultant shall submit to the governing body a bill for
inspection services, specifically designated as a final bill,
which the governing body shall submit to the applicant. The

- 6 -

1 final bill shall include inspection fees incurred through the 2 release of financial security.

3 (2)If the professional consultant and the applicant cannot agree on the amount of expenses which are reasonable 4 5 and necessary, then the applicant shall have the right, 6 within [45] 100 days of the transmittal of the final bill or 7 supplement to the final bill to the applicant, to request the 8 appointment of another professional consultant to serve as an 9 arbitrator. The applicant and professional consultant whose fees are being challenged shall, by mutual agreement, appoint 10 another professional consultant to review any bills the 11 12 applicant has disputed and which remain unresolved and make a 13 determination as to the amount thereof which is reasonable 14 and necessary. The arbitrator shall be of the same profession 15 as the professional consultant whose fees are being 16 challenged.

17 The arbitrator so appointed shall hear such evidence (3)18 and review such documentation as the arbitrator in his or her 19 sole opinion deems necessary and shall render a decision no 20 later than 50 days after the date of appointment. Based on 21 the decision of the arbitrator, the applicant or the 22 professional consultant whose fees were challenged shall be 23 required to pay any amounts necessary to implement the 24 decision within 60 days. In the event the municipality has 25 paid the professional consultant an amount in excess of the 26 amount determined to be reasonable and necessary, the 27 professional consultant shall within 60 days reimburse the 28 excess payment.

(4) In the event that the municipality's professionalconsultant and applicant cannot agree upon the arbitrator to

- 7 -

1 be appointed within 20 days of the request for appointment of 2 an arbitrator, then, upon application of either party, the 3 President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of the judicial district in which the municipality is located (or if at the 4 5 time there be no President Judge, then the senior active 6 judge then sitting) shall appoint such arbitrator, who, in 7 that case, shall be neither the municipality's professional 8 consultant nor any professional consultant who has been 9 retained by, or performed services for, the municipality or 10 the applicant within the preceding five years.

11 The fee of the arbitrator shall be paid by the (5) 12 applicant if the [review fee charged is sustained by the 13 arbitrator; otherwise, it shall be divided equally between 14 the parties. If the disputed fees are found to be excessive 15 by more than \$5,000, the arbitrator shall have the discretion 16 to assess the arbitration fee in whole or in part against 17 either the applicant or the professional consultant. The 18 governing body and the consultant whose fees are the subject 19 of the dispute shall be parties to the proceeding.] 20 applicant disputed fee is sustained by the arbitrator. If the amount of payment required in the decision is less than the 21 22 original bill by \$2,500 or more, the party charging the 23 disputed fee shall pay the fee of the arbitrator. If 24 amount of the payment required in the decision is less than 25 the original bill by \$2,499 or less, the party charging the 26 disputed fee and the applicant shall each pay one half of the 27 fee of the arbitrator. DISPUTED FEE IS UPHELD BY THE ARBITRATOR. THE FEE OF THE ARBITRATOR SHALL BE PAID BY THE 28 29 CHARGING PARTY IF THE DISPUTED FEE IS \$2,500 OR GREATER THAN THE PAYMENT DECIDED BY THE ARBITRATOR. THE FEE OF THE 30

- 8 -

1	ARBITRATOR SHALL BE PAID IN AN EQUAL AMOUNT BY THE APPLICANT
2	AND THE CHARGING PARTY IF THE DISPUTED FEE IS LESS THAN
3	\$2,500 OF THE PAYMENT DECIDED BY THE ARBITRATOR.
4	(6) In the event that the disputed fees have been paid
5	and the arbitrator finds that the disputed fees are
6	unreasonable or excessive by more than \$10,000, the
7	arbitrator shall:
8	(i) award the amount of the fees found to be
9	unreasonable or excessive to the party that paid the
10	disputed fee; and
11	(ii) impose a surcharge of 4% of the amount found as
12	unreasonable or excessive to be paid to the party that
13	paid the disputed fee.
14	(7) A municipality or an applicant shall have 100 days
15	after paying a fee to dispute any fee charged as being
16	unreasonable or excessive.
17	Section 4. This act shall take effect in 60 days.