16

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

SENATE BILL

No. 589

Session of 2001

INTRODUCED BY GREENLEAF, HELFRICK, COSTA, LEMMOND, GERLACH, M. WHITE, BOSCOLA, KUKOVICH, O'PAKE, JUBELIRER, MELLOW, FUMO, HOLL, HUGHES, KITCHEN, LAVALLE, MADIGAN, MURPHY, MUSTO, PUNT, SCHWARTZ, STACK, TARTAGLIONE, THOMPSON, TOMLINSON, A. WILLIAMS, WOZNIAK AND BRIGHTBILL, MARCH 9, 2001

AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, JUNE 18, 2002

AN ACT

1 2		<
3 4	ARGUMENT AS TO DAMAGES AT TRIAL AND for postconviction DNA testing.	
5	The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	
6	hereby enacts as follows:	
7	Section 1. Title 42 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated	<
8	Statutes is amended by adding a section to read:	
9	SECTION 1. TITLE 42 OF THE PENNSYLVANIA CONSOLIDATED	<
L O	STATUTES IS AMENDED BY ADDING SECTIONS TO READ:	
L1	§ 8315. PERMISSIBLE ARGUMENT AS TO DAMAGES AT TRIAL.	
L2	(A) GENERAL RULE EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (B), IN	
L3	ANY CIVIL ACTION TRIED BEFORE A JUDGE, JURY OR OTHER TRIBUNAL,	
L4	AN ATTORNEY DURING CLOSING ARGUMENT:	
15	(1) MAY SPECIFICALLY ARGUE IN LUMP SUMS OF BY	

MATHEMATICAL FORMULAE THE AMOUNT HE DEEMS TO BE AN

- 1 APPROPRIATE AWARD FOR ALL PAST AND FUTURE ECONOMIC OR
- 2 NONECONOMIC DAMAGES OR BOTH ECONOMIC AND NONECONOMIC DAMAGES
- 3 <u>CLAIMED TO BE RECOVERABLE.</u>
- 4 (2) MAY, ON BEHALF OF A DEFENDANT, ARGUE TO THE JUDGE,
- 5 JURY OR OTHER TRIBUNAL THAT AN AWARD OF ZERO DAMAGES IS
- 6 APPROPRIATE, EVEN IF THERE IS A FINDING OF LIABILITY AGAINST
- 7 THE DEFENDANT.
- 8 (B) PRIOR DISCLOSURE REQUIRED. --
- 9 <u>(1) NO PARTY MAY ARGUE A SPECIFIC SUM AS PROVIDED IN</u>
- 10 SUBSECTION (A) UNLESS THE PARTY FIRST DISCLOSES TO THE COURT
- AND OPPOSING COUNSEL THAT THE PARTY INTENDS TO ARGUE THE
- 12 SPECIFIC DAMAGES LISTED IN SUBSECTION (A) PRIOR TO THE
- PRESENTATION OF CLOSING ARGUMENTS.
- 14 (2) NOTHING IN THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO
- 15 PREVENT A DEFENDANT FROM ARGUING IN ANY CASE THAT THE FACTS
- 16 AND EVIDENCE SUPPORT A FINDING OF NO LIABILITY.
- 17 (3) NOTWITHSTANDING PARAGRAPH (1), ARGUMENTS AS TO
- 18 APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF ECONOMIC DAMAGES MAY BE MADE WITHOUT
- 19 NOTICE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL IF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING ECONOMIC
- 20 <u>DAMAGES HAS BEEN INTRODUCED AT TRIAL.</u>
- 21 (C) JURY INSTRUCTION. -- WHENEVER, IN A CIVIL ACTION TRIED
- 22 BEFORE A JURY, SPECIFIC LUMP SUMS OR MATHEMATICAL FORMULAE ARE
- 23 ARGUED DURING CLOSING ARGUMENTS AS PROVIDED FOR IN SUBSECTION
- 24 (A), THE TRIAL COURT SHALL INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT THE SUMS OR
- 25 MATHEMATICAL FORMULAE ARGUED ARE NOT EVIDENCE BUT ONLY ARGUMENTS
- 26 AND THAT THE DETERMINATION OF THE AMOUNT OF APPROPRIATE DAMAGES
- 27 TO BE AWARDED, IF ANY, IS SOLELY FOR THE JURY'S DETERMINATION.
- 28 § 9543.1. Postconviction DNA testing.
- 29 <u>(a) Motion.--</u>
- 30 <u>(1) An individual convicted of a criminal offense in a</u>

1 <u>court of this Commonwealth and serving a term of imprisonment</u>

2 <u>or awaiting execution because of a sentence of death may</u>

3 apply by making a written motion to the sentencing court for

4 <u>the performance of forensic DNA testing on specific evidence</u>

that is related to the investigation or prosecution that

6 <u>resulted in the judgment of conviction.</u>

(b) Notice to the Commonwealth.--

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- (2) The evidence may have been discovered either prior to or after the applicant's conviction. The evidence shall be available for testing as of the date of the motion. If the evidence was discovered prior to the applicant's conviction, the evidence shall not have been subject to the DNA testing requested because the technology for testing was not in existence at the time of the trial, or the applicant's counsel did not seek testing at the time of the trial in a case where a verdict was rendered on or before January 1, 1995, or the applicant's counsel sought funds from the court to pay for the testing because his client was indigent and the court refused the request despite the client's indigency.
 - (1) Upon receipt of a motion under subsection (a), the court shall notify the Commonwealth and shall afford the Commonwealth an opportunity to respond to the motion.
- 23 (2) Upon receipt of a motion under subsection (a) or
 24 notice of the motion, as applicable, the Commonwealth and the
 25 court shall take the steps reasonably necessary to ensure
 26 that any remaining biological material in the possession of
 27 the Commonwealth or the court is preserved pending the
 28 completion of the proceedings under this section.
- 29 (c) Requirements. -- In any motion under subsection (a), under
- 30 penalty of perjury, the applicant shall:

1	(1) (i) specify the evidence to be tested;	
2	(II) STATE THAT THE APPLICANT CONSENTS TO PROVIDE	<
3	SAMPLES OF BODILY FLUID FOR USE IN THE DNA TESTING; AND	
4	(III) ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE APPLICANT UNDERSTANDS	
5	THAT IF THE MOTION IS GRANTED ANY DATA OBTAINED FROM ANY	
6	DNA SAMPLES OR TEST RESULTS MAY BE ENTERED INTO LAW	
7	ENFORCEMENT DATABASES, MAY BE USED IN THE INVESTIGATION	
8	OF OTHER CRIMES AND MAY BE USED AS EVIDENCE AGAINST THE	
9	APPLICANT IN OTHER CASES.	
10	(ii) assert the applicant's actual innocence of the	<
11	(2) (I) ASSERT THE APPLICANT'S ACTUAL INNOCENCE OF THE	<
12	offense for which the applicant was convicted; AND	<
13	(iii) in a capital case, assert the applicant's	<
14	(II) IN A CAPITAL CASE:	<
15	(A) ASSERT THE APPLICANT'S actual innocence of	
16	the charged or uncharged conduct constituting an	
17	aggravating circumstance under section 9711(d)	
18	(relating to sentencing procedure for murder of the	
19	first degree), if the applicant's exoneration of the	
20	conduct would result in vacating a sentence of death;	
21	<u>or</u>	
22	(iv) in a capital case, assert that the outcome of	<
23	(B) ASSERT THAT THE OUTCOME OF the DNA testing	<
24	would establish a mitigating circumstance under	
25	section 9711(e)(7) when IF that mitigating	<
26	circumstance was presented to the sentencing judge or	
27	jury and facts as to that issue were in dispute at	
28	the sentencing hearing.	
29	(2) (3) Present a prima facie case demonstrating that	<
30	<u>the:</u>	

1	(i) identity of or the participation in the crime by
2	the perpetrator was at issue in the proceedings that
3	resulted in the applicant's conviction and sentencing;
4	<u>and</u>
5	(ii) DNA testing of the specific evidence, assuming
6	exculpatory results, would establish:
7	(A) the applicant's actual innocence of the
8	offense for which the applicant was convicted;
9	(B) in a capital case, the applicant's actual
10	innocence of the charged or uncharged conduct
11	constituting an aggravating circumstance under
12	section 9711(d), if the applicant's exoneration of
13	the conduct would result in vacating a sentence of
14	death; or
15	(C) in a capital case, a mitigating circumstance
16	under section 9711(e)(7) under the circumstances set
17	forth in subsection (c)(1)(iv).
18	(d) Order
19	(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the court shall
20	order the testing requested in a motion under subsection (a)
21	under reasonable conditions designed to preserve the
22	integrity of the evidence and the testing process, upon a
23	determination, after review of the record of the applicant's
24	<u>trial, that the:</u>
25	(i) requirements of subsection (c) have been met;
26	(ii) evidence to be tested has been subject to a
27	chain of custody sufficient to establish that it has not
28	been altered in any material respect; and
29	(iii) motion is made in a timely manner and for the
30	purpose of demonstrating the applicant's actual innocence

1	and not to delay the execution of sentence or
2	administration of justice.
3	(2) The court shall not order the testing requested in a
4	motion under subsection (a), if after review of the record of
5	the applicant's trial, the court determines that there is no
6	reasonable possibility that the testing would produce
7	exculpatory evidence that:
8	(i) would establish the applicant's actual innocence
9	of the offense for which the applicant was convicted;
10	(ii) in a capital case, would establish the
11	applicant's actual innocence of the charged or uncharged
12	conduct constituting an aggravating circumstance under
13	section 9711(d), if the applicant's exoneration of the
14	conduct would result in vacating a sentence of death; or
15	(iii) in a capital case, would establish a
16	mitigating circumstance under section 9711(e)(7) under
17	the circumstances set forth in subsection (c)(1)(iv).
18	(e) Testing procedures
19	(1) Any DNA testing ordered under this section shall be
20	conducted by:
21	(i) a laboratory mutually selected by the
22	Commonwealth and the applicant;
23	(ii) if the Commonwealth and the applicant are
24	unable to agree on a laboratory, a laboratory selected by
25	the court that ordered the testing; or
26	(iii) if the applicant is indigent, the testing
27	shall be conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police or,
28	at the Pennsylvania State Police's sole discretion, by a
29	laboratory designated by the Pennsylvania State Police.
30	(2) The costs of any testing ordered under this section

1	<u>shall be paid:</u>	
2	(i) by the applicant; or	
3	(ii) in the case of an applicant who is indigent, by	
4	the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.	
5	(f) Posttesting procedures	
6	(1) After the DNA testing conducted under this section	
7	has been completed the applicant may, pursuant to section	
8	9545(b)(2) (relating to jurisdiction and proceedings), during	
9	the 60-day period beginning on the date on which the	
10	applicant is notified of the test results, petition to the	
11	court for postconviction relief pursuant to section	
12	9543(a)(2)(vi) (relating to eligibility for relief).	
13	(2) Upon receipt of a petition filed under paragraph	
14	(1), the court shall consider the petition, along with any	
15	answer filed by the Commonwealth, and shall conduct a hearing	
16	thereon.	
17	(3) In any hearing on a petition for postconviction	
18	relief filed under paragraph (1), the court shall determine	
19	whether the exculpatory evidence resulting from the DNA	
20	testing conducted under this section would have changed the	
21	outcome of the trial as required by section 9543(a)(2)(vi).	
22	(G) EFFECT OF MOTION THE FILING OF A MOTION FOR FORENSIC <-	
23	DNA TESTING PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (A) SHALL HAVE THE FOLLOWING	
24	EFFECT:	
25	(1) THE FILING OF THE MOTION SHALL CONSTITUTE THE	
26	APPLICANT'S CONSENT TO PROVIDE SAMPLES OF BODILY FLUID FOR	
27	USE IN THE DNA TESTING.	
28	(2) THE DATA FROM ANY DNA SAMPLES OR TEST RESULTS	
29	OBTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE MOTION MAY BE ENTERED INTO LAW	
30	ENFORCEMENT DATABASES, MAY BE USED IN THE INVESTIGATION OF	

- 1 OTHER CRIMES AND MAY BE USED AS EVIDENCE AGAINST THE
- 2 APPLICANT IN OTHER CASES.
- (q) (H) Definitions. -- As used in this section, the following <---3
- 4 words and phrases shall have the meanings given to them in this
- 5 subsection:
- 6 "Applicant." The individual who files a motion under
- 7 subsection (a).
- 8 "DNA." Deoxyribonucleic acid.
- 9 Section 2. This act shall take effect in 60 days.