HOUSE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

PUBLIC HEARING
THE CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR PAYMENT ACT

Public Hearing held at Community College of Philadelphia, Northeast Regional Center, 12901 Townsend Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, March 1, 2017, commencing at 10:06 a.m., before Suzanne Walinsky, a Court Reporter and Notary Public, pursuant to notice.

Page: 2 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Brian L. Ellis, Chairman	
3	Honorable W. Curtis Thomas, Chairman	
4	Representative Margo L. Davidson	
5	Representative Christopher M. Rabb	
6	Representative Michael J. Driscoll	
7	Beth Horne-Beachy, Executive Director	
8	Representative Martina A. White	
9	Representative Christopher B. Quinn	
10	Representative Michael N. Corr	
11	Representative Tommy Sankey	
12	Representative Doyle Heffley	
13	Representative Jonathan Fritz	
14	Representative Frank Burns	
15	Representative Marc J. Gergely	
16	TESTIMONY INDEX	
17	MR. JAMES GAFFNEY	7
18	MR. JEFF SCARPELLO	18
19	MS. KATE McCASLIN	48
20	MR. JIM STEPHENS	52
21	MS. TAMMI SCHAIBLE	57
22	MR. HANK BUTLER	
23	MR. HANK BUILER	65
24		
25		

Page: 3 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Good morning. I want to 2 thank all the members and folks in the audience today for 3 coming. 4 And especially thank Martina White, the 5 representative from this area inviting us down to have this hearing on a very important issue in her district. 6 7 I am State Representative Brian Ellis. I'm the chairman of the Commerce Committee. Representative 8 9 Curtis Thomas is my co-chair on the Democratic side, and 10 he just informed us he's running a little late because of 11 traffic, but he should be here any minute. 12 And I'm from completely on the other side 13 of the state, Butler County. But my wife was from Bensalem; she went to Bensalem High School. And so this 1415 is our old stomping grounds from back in the day whenever 16 we were dating. 17 If we could, starting from my right, 18 Representative Driscoll, and everybody introduce 19 themselves for the record. 20 REPRESENTATIVE DRISCOLL: Mike Driscoll, representing the 173rd, right down the road here in 21 22 Philadelphia. 23 MS. HORNE-BEACHY: Beth Horne-Beachy, 24 executive director, Democrats. 25 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Pass the mike.

Page: 4 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Good morning,
2	everyone. I'm State Representative Martina White. I
3	represent the 170th Legislative District, which you are
4	currently sitting in. So thank you all for being here.
5	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Good morning. I'm
6	Chris Quinn, 168th, Delaware County.
7	REPRESENTATIVE CORR: I'm Michael Corr. I
8	represent the 150th Legislative District located in
9	Montgomery County.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SANKEY: Thomas Sankey, 73rd
11	District, Clearfield and Cambria Counties.
12	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Doyle Heffley,
13	122nd District, Carbon County.
14	REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Good morning,
15	friends. Jonathan Fritz, 111th District, Wayne and
16	Susquehanna Counties.
17	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Again, thank you all for
18	coming.
19	We're here today to talk about the
20	Contractor Subcontractor Prompt Payment. There is an
21	act, this Contractor Subcontractor Prompt Payment Act,
22	which gets somewhat overlooked, and a lot of times we
23	rely on the mechanic lien legislation to handle some of
24	the issues that are facing our contractors and
25	subcontractors.

Page: 5 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this is also very important to what we hope to accomplish. There is not a -- so we're very clear on this -- there's not an us versus them on this issue. This isn't a Republican/Democratic issue. This isn't a contractor/subcontractor issue. It's not a union/anti-union issue. This is an issue that is basically about fairness, making sure that people get paid for the work that they do. And what we hope to accomplish through this hearing and through the deliberations of the Committee over the next few months is a consensus. We need Republicans and Democrats alike to come to a consensus on how we can clear this issue up and move Pennsylvania forward, keep people working, keep people getting paid. There is a draft legislation, it hasn't officially been introduced, but it's very similar to a legislation from last year. Representative Dave Maloney, who couldn't join us today, is going to introduce that legislation in the near future. In the packets that we provided, there were copies of the draft legislation. What this legislation does, it provides --

Page: 6 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 the provisions of the act cannot be waived in a contract 2 to make sure that all those involved in a construction 3 project from the owners, to the GCs, to the subs are not 4 waiving the law. 5 The draft also requires written explanation and a good faith reason be provided when payment is 6 7 withheld for deficiency. And, finally, it will allow contractor, 8 9 subcontractors to propose a maintenance bond to 10 facilitate the release of retainage. Did I say that 11 Is it retainage or is it retainage? 12 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Retainage. 13 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Retainage. Okay. I just 14want to make sure. 15 It's very similar, like I said, to House 16 Bill last year 726. And then also this year, 17 Representative Jamie Santora from Delaware County, who is 18 not here today, is introducing language that would allow 19 for a work stoppage if payment wasn't made. 20 What we think we're going to be able to 21 accomplish over the next few months is consensus amongst the Committee of merging these two concepts together and 22 23 moving Pennsylvania forward. 24 Specifically, the contractor and the 25 subcontractor will be given rules to live by. And that's

Page: 7 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	all we're going to ask people to do is live by the rules,
2	make sure that we are operating in Pennsylvania in a fair
3	manner, and people are getting paid when they deserve to
4	be paid.
5	It is hoped the Committee we come to
6	consensus with these two pieces of legislation.
7	We have several testifiers here today.
8	Thank you very much for coming.
9	We are joined by Representative Frank
10	Burns, Cambria County; is that correct?
11	REPRESENTATIVE BURNS: Correct.
12	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Correct. And at this
13	point, I'd like to call up the first panel.
14	And for those of you who know you're on the
15	panel, it's James Gaffney, Jeff Scarpello. Yeah, those
16	two.
17	And, you guys, I assume you flipped a coin
18	somewhere to see who goes first.
19	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thank you very much for
21	being here. Feel free to start.
22	MR. GAFFNEY: Thanks for hearing me.
23	Good morning, Chairman Ellis, Minority
24	Chairman Curtis, and the members of the Committee.
25	Thank you for the opportunity to testify

Page: 8 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 before you this morning regarding the February 17, 1994 2 Contractor and Subcontractor Payment Act, CASPA, as it 3 relates to the subcontractors within the construction 4 industry. 5 More importantly, it is my opinion that 6 this hearing is critical for the proper review of the 7 prompt pay aspects related to CASPA, and I strongly 8 request your support as Representatives Santora and 9 Driscoll recently introduced the prompt pay bill. 10 I'm here today as an owner and operator of 11 Goshen Mechanical, located in West Chester, Pennsylvania, 12 as a subcontractor, and as a member of the Mechanical & 13 Service Contractors of Eastern Pennsylvania. 14In all of these capacities, I witness 15 firsthand the impact our poorly-crafted prompt pay law has on subcontractors within the Pennsylvania 16 17 construction industry. 18 In the last legislative session on 19 September 20, 2016, Representatives Santora and Driscoll 20 took bold legislative action in introducing House Bill 21 2354, which would amend CASPA by requiring timely payments to contractors and subcontractors. 22 More specifically, it includes suspension 23 24 of performance language which states that if payment is 25 not received by a contractor in accordance with the

Page: 9 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

owners's payment obligation, then the contractor may suspend performance of work without penalty until payment is received.

Any term in the construction contract that is contrary to this subsection shall be unenforceable as a matter of public policy.

I'm delighted that they reintroduced similar legislation this legislative session in advance of this hearing; however, I would be disappointed yet again if this Committee and the PA General Assembly does not vote in favor of this important legislation.

The following outlines what this prompt payment legislation would attempt to do on my behalf along with our entire construction community.

First, the stop work provision applies to both prime contractors, GCs, and subcontractors. The provision would be added to Section 5 of CASPA, which delineates the payment obligation of owners with respect to prime contractors, and to Section 7, which addresses the payment obligation of prime contractors and subcontractors.

The stop work provision in Section 5 and 7, respectfully, mirror each other. The Section 5 language would allow prime contractors to suspend performance in the event of non-payment by the owner.

Page: 10 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 And Section 7 language would allow 2 subcontractors to stop work if payment is not provided by 3 the GCs or the prime contractors. Point 2. During construction projects in 4 5 Pennsylvania, subcontractors generally must wait at least two months before receiving any payment for their labor 6 7 and materials. When considering the proposed stop work 8 9 provision, one must remember the logistics of the how and 10 when payments are remitted to contractors and 11 subcontractors. 12 The payment due dates are nearly always 13 dictated by the pertinent construction contract whose terms are predictable and often the same. 1415 For example, under the forms promulgated by 16 the American Institute of Architects, the AIA, which are 17 commonly used, each payment application submitted by a 18 contractor encompasses one month or a 30-day calendar 19 period. 20 The deadline for the owner to remit 21 payments is 20 days at the end of each billing period. 22 Regarding payments to subcontractors, the 23 deadline is usually 14 days after the contractor, the 24 prime contractor, has received payment from the owner. 25 Again, this is a typical time frame for

Page: 11 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 payments from contractors and subcontractors in 2 Pennsylvania. 3 Stop work provisions are not triggered 4 until months after the performance of work. 5 Under the proposed stop work language, the 6 GC would not be able to stop work until the following 7 contingencies have occurred: The GC has performed in accordance with the construction contract and billed the 8 9 work monthly billing; the GC has waited another 20 days 10 after the end of the billing period until payment becomes 11 due from the owner; and after the payment deadline has 12 passed, that the GC may then send a written notice which 13 itself triggers an additional seven-day waiting period. In sum, the GC must have put in place work 1415 for at least 57 days before the stop work protection 16 could be triggered. Subcontractors, for their part, must wait 17 18 even longer because their payments do not become due and 19 owing until usually two weeks after the payment has been 20 provided from the owner to the GC. 21 That means subcontractors would be 22 obligated to perform work without payment for at least 71 23 days before stop payment could become an option; 30 days 24 for the billing period, 20 days for the payment to the 25 GC, 14 days more for the payment to the sub, plus a

Page: 12 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 seven-day notice for stop of work. 2 Subcontractors shoulder nearly all credit 3 burdens on construction projects and a proposed stop work 4 relief is a reasonable option of the last resort. 5 The modest proposed changes help contractors and subcontractors who are regularly extended 6 7 significant credit. The stop work provision constitutes the 8 9 last-resort type of option meant to keep contractors from 10 being forced to choose between burning their remaining 11 limited resources without any promise of payment or, 12 alternatively, breaking their contract and risking 13 damages as well as the loss of entitlement to future payment for work already performed. 1415 The stop work protection would not override 16 the ability of an owner or GC to withhold payment for 17 good faith reasons, i.e., work that's in dispute. 18 In the construction industry, 19 subcontractors possess every incentive to continue and 20 perform work and cultivate and maintain positive 21 relationships. 22 The notion that subcontractors would 23 somehow take advantage of the seven-day notice period to 24 force the hand of an owner or GC is simply wrong because 25 the stop work protection is only available for

Page: 13 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

contractors who have performed in accordance with the contract documents and are entitled to the payments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stop work provisions already protect contractors in a number of states including New Jersey, New York, Illinois, Texas, and California.

Contractors and subcontractors bear significant financial risk on construction projects, so it is not surprising that a number of states, including two that share a border with Pennsylvania, have adopted stop work protections, namely, New Jersey, a seven-day written notice before suspension of work; Texas, ten-day written notice prior to suspension of work, which is served upon both the owner and the owner's lender; Illinois, seven-day written notice prior to any suspension of work; Oklahoma, ten-day written notice which applies to public and private construction projects; Arizona, seven-day written notice prior to suspension or potentially less time if the contract allows; New York, ten-day written notice of intent to stop work; California, ten-day's written notice but only applicable to prime contractors; Kansas, seven-day grace period followed by a seven-day written notice period prior to work stoppage.

Stop work helps small business and saves cost on all tiers on construction projects.

Page: 14 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 The proposed seven-day written notice 2 requirement prior to suspension of work has precedent in 3 the above-mentioned states, but a longer waiting period 4 would create an undue burden. 5 A longer waiting period would make stop 6 work an impractical remedy because those subcontractors 7 who truly need the protection to maintain financial sustainability would be unable to continue working on 8 9 projects for several months without getting paid. 10 A written notice period of longer than 11 seven or ten days would lead to bankruptcies, increase 12 cost for replacement contractors, and delays on projects 13 that already face significant problems. 14 Stop work saves cost and makes good 15 economic sense for all actors on construction projects by 16 providing necessary relief for small business in difficult times. 17 18 This suspension of performance language 19 will eliminate contractor and subcontractor reliance on 20 the mechanic's lien law to resolve payment disputes. 21 Without question, I firmly believe this 22 language will resolve the systematic outstanding payment 23 issue that I and other contractors like myself encounter 24 on a daily basis.

Additionally, on October 28, 2014, the

25

Page: 15 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	Finishing Trades Institute of the Mid-Atlantic Region,
2	FTI, received a letter from then majority leader Mike
3	Turzai after his visit to the apprenticeship training
4	center stating his appreciation for being enlightened
5	with respects to our prompt payment legislation
6	initiative and his willingness to give it significant
7	consideration in this legislative session.
8	That letter is testament to a commitment
9	that he made to the review and consideration of this
LO	important legislative issue. I'd like to submit that
L1	letter for today's hearing.
L2	On a personal note: Small-business guy,
L3	started my business 30 years ago with my dad in our
L4	basement in Delaware County.
L5	We worked by day, we bid by night. Today,
L6	in the last 30 years, we've done over three hundred
L7	million dollars worth of work.
L8	This issue is so key for me for many
L9	reasons. A lot of the work that we do is done in a
20	three- or four-month window.
21	The contractors that we work for, 90
22	percent of them pay on time. I have no problem with
23	them. When they pay me, I can pay my subs. When they
24	don't pay me, I can't pay my subs.
25	Realize, I pay my workers every week. The

Page: 16 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 average job that we have is about a million dollars. 2 That's about \$250,000 in labor that I'm paying out over 3 three or four months. 4 It gets paid weekly. My benefits get paid I have to pay the equipment vendors otherwise 5 they go to Dun & Bradstreet and ding me. 6 Then the 7 project, when I go to them for a price, I'm in a different tier as far as pricing goes. 8 9 With today's economy, with the work being 10 the way it is, when I'm not paying my subs because I'm 11 not being paid, the subs don't bid to me. 12 This bill is going to help me in one 13 It's going to give me leverage. Quite sentence: honestly, it's a leverage I think I'll never have to use. 1415 Like I said, most of the contractors that 16 we work for pay me. I'll tell you a quick story. We 17 were working in a hospital, I wasn't getting paid. I was 18 going to go bankrupt. I was in the hole a million and a half 19 20 dollars. I actually went to a board meeting of doctors 21 and clinical people. As a mechanical guy, they want to 22 know who I am at the meeting. 23 I stand up and say, I don't know if I can 24 feed my family tomorrow. I have all these workers that 25 are great people in the community: Boy Scout leaders,

Page: 17 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 Girl Scout leaders, church leaders. I don't know if I 2 have to lay them off tomorrow because I haven't been 3 paid. 4 The next day, I got a call from that same 5 doctor on that board that said, The GC that you're working for has been paid every month. 6 7 This is the protection I need in this bill. I'm not out to hawk anybody. I'm not out to chase 8 9 somebody who hasn't been paid. That's -- that's the 10 business world we're in today. 11 But for the person that has been paid and 12 isn't paying me, I don't care if it's five days, ten 13 days, 60 days, that's too long for somebody to be sitting 14on my money in the bank when I could be using it to grow 15 my business. 16 We're taking work for 10 percent. 17 have a line of credit where I'm borrowing off of that 18 line of credit for 5 percent, what's left? 19 And, again, in our market, for me 20 personally, a lot of our projects are done short term, 30 21 days to three months to four months, and this gives me 22 the protection to at least talk to somebody before I get 23 off the job because once I do it's over. 24 Thank you for your time. In conclusion, 25 the suspension of performance language will ultimately

Page: 18 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 enhance CASPA to the betterment of Pennsylvania's 2 construction industry. 3 I look forward to the continued dialogue on 4 this important legislative issue. Please call upon me to 5 be a resource to you and your staff. Again, I want to thank the Chairman and the 6 7 members of the Committee for the opportunity to testify on this very important issue in the Pennsylvania 8 9 construction industry. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thank you for your 12 testimony. I think what we'll do is we'll let you go 13 ahead and testify and then we'll take questions at that 14 point. 15 MR. SCARPELLO: Thank you. 16 Good morning, Chairman Ellis, Minority Chairman Thomas, members of the Committee. 17 18 My name is Jeff Scarpello, and I am the 19 executive director of NECA, Penn-Del-Jersey Chapter, 20 which is the National Electrical Contractors Association, 21 which represents about 150 members, electrical 22 contractors, who do work in the Commonwealth of 23 Pennsylvania. 24 It's an honor to be here before you today. 25 And I too am here to support the bill that's been

Page: 19 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 introduced by Representative Driscoll and Santora. And I 2 certainly echo and support the efforts made as stated by 3 Mr. Gaffney. 4 Our contractors represent small businesses. 5 They are people typically like Mr. Gaffney who start out in the trade, work their way up, become a foreman, 6 7 superintendent, project manager, vice president, and then 8 some day own a company. 9 They are at risk in the construction system 10 that we have. And the number one issue that I've seen in 11 16 years as the executive director of NECA and my 12 years as a labor attorney at Fox Rothschild before that, 12 13 is failure of our contractors to get paid, failure to get 14paid timely. And this bill addresses this issue. 15 And I don't want to repeat all the terrific 16 points that Mr. Gaffney has made. I know there's been 17 written testimony that's been submitted. 18 But I do want to point out that, you know, 19 our contractors do good quality work. They provide the 20 materials and equipment for the job. They have to pay 21 their laborers and they have to do it monthly. 22 You know, our contractors -- our contracts 23 with our labor partners, and there's 12 locals who we do 24 work for in this State, require us to make payments, 25 whether it's ten days after the end of the month, 15

Page: 20 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 days, or 30 days. 2 Certainly, we -- our contractors don't have 3 the ability to wait 60 or 90 days to pay their benefits. 4 And it really is a risk to putting our 5 contractors out of business. And over the years, I've seen a lot of contractors who've gone out of business, 6 7 frankly, because they haven't been paid by the GC or the 8 owner. 9 So at the risk of not repeating the great 10 comments that were made by Mr. Gaffney, I really just 11 want to say that I agree with the Chairman that it is an issue of fairness. 12 13 And to be fair, this is a weapon of last 14resort that can be used that is the suspension of work by 15 our contractors. 16 If you look at the legal precedence, it's 17 rarely been used in the states where it has been put in 18 place, but it certainly gives an opportunity for our 19 contractors to get paid fairly for the great work that 20 they do. 21 So with that, I will conclude my testimony. 22 And I appreciate the time to speak to you today. 23 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And thank you both so much 24 for your testimony today. 25 And at this point, we will open up to

Page: 21 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	questions. But I would like to acknowledge that my
2	co-chairman, Representative Thomas, is here.
3	Do you have any initial comments?
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Just to say good morning.
5	Let me thank you for making this happen early in this
6	term.
7	Let me thank you and thank my colleague
8	Driscoll and Rep Tobash and a number of people who have
9	been trying to bring this issue from darkness into light.
10	This is one of those issues that could be a
11	win a win for everybody. And so I am glad that we're
12	starting this conversation.
13	And I look for your support and leadership
14	in bringing and making this happen this term as early as
15	possible.
16	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thank you.
17	I'll just start off real quick generically,
18	you had mentioned, you know, this is the last resort kind
19	of thing. And you've been in business for how many
20	years?
21	MR. GAFFNEY: 30 years.
22	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thirty years, which is a
23	testament to you and your family and certainly the
24	workers that you employ; obviously, you're doing good
25	quality work and impressive numbers.

Page: 22 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I was curious was, is there a trend on when this becomes more of an issue? Like in a down economy, is it even tougher? Or is just consistent through good times, bad times, you're facing kind of the same issues no matter what? MR. GAFFNEY: It's pretty much the same issue whether it's a good time or a bad time. In the down times, it's harder because it's harder for me to get a decent line of credit to cover the expenses that I have to get myself through the projects. But in our situation, we do a lot of work directly for owners. And what happens when projects come up and it's being awarded to the contractor, obviously, the owner will call us and say, We'd like for you to be part of the team of construction that's going to go on in our building because we know of you, we know of your workers, we want you to do the mechanical work. That's what we are, we're mechanical contractors. Again, the problem that I have is it's not the contractor that's not paid. It's the contractor that is paid. And in the areas that we really struggle, we know it's the -- in our case, the GC that has been paid already from the owner in a timely fashion that's just not paying us.

Page: 23 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 What do we do? There's not -- quite 2 honestly, I've been on projects where people have walked 3 off the job. 4 What they do, they say, Well, they walked off the project. They bring somebody else in to complete 5 the work. And if you were owed \$500,000, they say, Well, 6 it costs us \$600,000 to complete the project, we're 7 paying you 350, 400,000. 8 9 I see this as just realigning the people 10 that do business in what I would call an unethical way. 11 Most of the people that are in business 12 that we work for aren't that way. But we are forced into 13 some contracts because of our relationships with owners that we work for to work with certain people that I know 1415 that once this law is passed, I'll never have to go to 16 them for a work stop issue, because they know that I have 17 that in my back pocket. 18 They know that they no longer can do 19 business the way that they've been doing it and they'll 20 be forced to do it the right way and the fair way. 21 If he's not -- if a contractor's not paid, 22 I understand that. Believe me, we know the problems with the projects. 23 24 We're at job meetings every week. We know 25 what the issues are with the project from the beginning

Page: 24 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 to the end. 2 That's not what this bill is about. This 3 bill is primarily about the person that has been paid and 4 is just not paying the subs below. 5 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: At this point, I'll open up to members with questions. 6 7 Anyone down that way? 8 Representative Heffley? 9 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 Just, I quess, an inquiry. 12 contractors, the GCs, that are withholding this payment, 13 what is their benefit? Obviously, their benefit is just having that cash in their account a little bit longer. 1415 Is it just that they want to hold on to 16 that money for longer or is it because of their own 17 financial issues or is it some way of retribution on the 18 subcontractor? I know this -- it's speculation. 19 just... 20 MR. GAFFNEY: It purely is speculation. 21 But I will say that especially in the down times that 22 we've all gone through over the last five, six years, a lot of people are bidding work for cheap and are trying 23 24 to find ways to make up their bottom line. 25 And I feel that that may be a way to make

Page: 25 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 up the bottom line. A lot of companies that we're out 2 there competing against, they just need the work to keep 3 the cash flow going because cash flow in small business 4 is huge; it's a necessity. 5 And I just think that on some of the 6 contractors, they're getting paid and they figure, hey, 7 if I can hold on to the money for 60 days -- and they're not -- we're not talking small amounts -- if I'm on a 8 9 project with five other primes and countless subs 10 underneath the GC realm, you could be talking 2, 3 11 million dollars in a billing cycle. 12 So if someone's sitting on 2 million, 4 13 million, \$6 million over a 60-day period, it goes a long way. And, adversely, it goes a long way to hurting the 1415 people below them. 16 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Represent Sankey? 17 REPRESENTATIVE SANKEY: Thanks for the 18 testimony, guys. 19 My dad's a contractor, too. I mean, 20 they're not dealing with as large amounts of money, but I 21 completely understand that, you know, we're making 22 legislation for the bad players, for trying to right the 23 wrong for a small minority of people that are bad 24 players. 25 And the simple question -- and I understand

Page: 26 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	you've been in business for 30 years and, you know, it	
2	takes one job could turn you belly up, you know, 30 years	
3	for nothing, your lines of credit are maxed out.	
4	And as this is drafted now, and as we're	
5	all learning, simple question: Does this fix your issue?	
6	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes.	
7	REPRESENTATIVE SANKEY: Okay. That's what	
8	I want to know. Thank you.	
9	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Corr?	
10	REPRESENTATIVE CORR: Thank you.	
11	Could you describe I think you gave a	
12	little bit of this in response to the question that the	
13	Chairman asked, but the current state of the current	
14	state of remedy that you have in contrast with what the	
15	bill offers.	
16	MR. GAFFNEY: I really don't have a remedy.	
17	What I do and just because I don't know what else to	
18	do I try to get ahold of the owner.	
19	I try to circumvent the person that I'm	
20	having an issue with and plead my case with an owner or a	
21	lender, the entity that the work's being done for, and	
22	just say to them, Have you been paid? And try to work it	
23	that way.	
24	But, unfortunately, my remedy right now is	
25	to borrow more to pay off what I can until I get paid.	

Page: 27 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE CORR: And the work stoppage, I mean, you touched on that a little bit. you weren't getting paid and you decide you had no other choice but to stop work, I think you started to touch on that in that answer before, but what would be the outcome of that currently, in the current state? MR. GAFFNEY: Well, right now, on most projects that we have, like I said, we have job meetings once a week, that's also with the owner, the bank, whoever's involved on the lending side, the entity that the final product's being done for. They have a pretty good -- they have the finger on the pulse of how the project's going, who's doing what, when they're doing it. If it came to them that there was a seven-day notice, if I put a seven-day notice and said, Hey, this could happen and the contractor's being paid, I think the remedy would probably be by the end of the job meeting. I really do. I think that -- I think that 90 percent of the time, it's just misinformation and people not knowing. When I go to an entity and say, Hey, I haven't been paid. They say, Well -- first, like everyone, let me look into it. You know, who knows if

Page: 28 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 maybe it was a problem on our -- our point, maybe 2 something went wrong, maybe somebody's on vacation, maybe 3 we missed a cycle. 4 But when they find out there's been a 5 payment, I get a check within two or three days. 6 And I have a feeling that if I'm in a 7 situation when I'm at a job meeting and it's been discussed, and obviously, it wouldn't just be myself, it 8 9 would be other subs that were on the project, and they start to talk about the seven-day notice and the 10 11 contractor's being paid, that conversation would probably 12 be ugly, but it would probably be a guick and short 13 conversation and the situation I feel would be remedied. Again, if they're not paid, I understand. 1415 You know, that's something we have to work through and 16 find out why. But if they're paid and that's really what 17 18 I'm looking at here, it gets remedied pretty quickly. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SANKEY: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Driscoll? 22 REPRESENTATIVE DRISCOLL: Thank you, 23 Mr. Chairman. 24 And thank you for your testimony. I know 25 how important this is to your businesses and we

Page: 29 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 appreciate you being here. 2 Could you comment on the interest payments. 3 So who absorbs that? Is that passed on if it's a delayed 4 payment? Is that in the contract? Does that get eaten? 5 I'm just curious to see how... I lose money. 6 MR. GAFFNEY: 7 REPRESENTATIVE DRISCOLL: So you eat that? 8 MR. GAFFNEY: I eat that. And, again, when 9 times were really tough, I'm going to say five, six years 10 ago, we were taking work at 6 percent just to keep our --11 our people going and cash flow. 12 For a small business like myself, cash flow 13 is everything. If I don't have cash flow, I'm in trouble. Quite honestly, I'm mortgaging my home. 1415 I got to do what I got to do to keep the cash in the business because things are coming and going 16 17 so quickly. 18 We just do -- and what I would do, 19 Representative Driscoll, I would try to stay away from 20 that person in the future. 21 But my line of credit during those hard 22 times was 7 percent. And when I'm tapping on that line of credit because I'm not being paid, I'm losing 7 23 24 percent every month. 25 It doesn't take a rocket scientist when I'm

Page: 30 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 on a project for three months to realize what I came out at the end of the line. 2 3 The problem that I have is, again, we have 4 relationships with a lot of contractors and the owners. 5 You know, we have a service department. We have 270 service customers that we take care of. 6 7 of times, because of our relationships, they like us to 8 do the mechanical package. 9 So a lot of times I'm brought into projects 10 with people that I normally don't work with. 11 And I think that hurts us too, because if I 12 have a relationship with the person that I'm working for, 13 I've worked for them in the past, I can at least sit down and talk to them and explain my plight. 1415 But for someone that I'm kind of brought in 16 through the owner to work with because they want the 17 best -- they want not only the lowest, but responsibile 18 and they feel they can get that out of me, I'm forced to 19 work with people. And I think a lot of the times, that's 20 detrimental to us. 21 But that is a loss to me. When we get into 22 a project like the project I was talking about earlier 23 where I had to go to the board, when all was said and 24 done, I lost 5 percent. 25 And I got to be honest with you, I was

Page: 31 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 probably a week and a half away from losing my business, 2 you know, the project was bonded, my house was on the --3 on the line for my bond. 4 I'm a small-town guy, born and raised in 5 Delaware County. You know, I now live in Chester County. I have four daughters that I educated. I'm now paying 6 7 for weddings. I'm not some wealthy white-shirt guy out 8 9 I mean, I am the American story. You know, we there. 10 just decided one day to take a chance. And by the grace 11 of God, you know, the business has expanded, we've been 12 able to go. But it's a fragile business. 13 Anyone who thinks that small businesses like ours are out there making a ton of money and we have 1415 a lot of cash and we can absorb a lot, please, grossly 16 mistaken. 17 You can come in and look at my books any 18 day and I can explain how it goes. But over 30 years, 19 we've produced great workers and subs. 20 I mean, when we're busy, I could have 50 to 21 a hundred people working for us that we're paying their 22 benefits for, great benefits, if I can -- if I can say 23 so. 24 I -- they think they own the business. 25 We're a family business. Call my office, you'll talk to

Page: 32 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 my mother. If you don't get my mother, you'll get my 2 daughter. 3 If you don't get my daughter, you'll get my 4 sister. You want to talk to an estimator, it's my 5 brother. If you want to talk to the guy who smacked 6 7 us all upside the head and made sure the business worked well, it's my 84-year-old father. 8 9 It's the true definition of what great 10 things can come out of small business in Pennsylvania. 11 Just give us -- give us the opportunity to 12 keep -- probably a bad word -- the deadbeats out of the 13 market and let us be able to grow because there is no growth when we're in a situation like that. There's no 1415 growth. 16 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Davidson? 17 REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Thank you, 18 gentlemen. Thank you for your testimony today. I 19 understand you hail from Delaware County, my county, my 20 home county. 21 Thank you to the two Chairmen for holding 22 this Committee hearing today. 23 I really have more of a comment than a 24 question. As an advocate for small businesses, running a 25 non-profit and mentoring organizations for many years of

Page: 33 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 all the way through the '90s to the first decade of 2000 2 and also as a small business consultant, I know that this 3 was the number one problem for small businesses trying to 4 do work with government, with general contractors. 5 Other than financing, this was it. You know, and if you're a disadvantaged business, 6 7 particularly for women-owned business, businesses of color, veterans, you know, you are financing -- you have 8 9 little access to financing so you're financing your 10 business through the revenues from you last project, you know, financing the next project. And if you're not 11 12 getting paid on one project, I mean, it's just a slippery 13 slope. And so have you seen this in the 30 years 1415 you've been in business or has it become more of a 16 problem since you've come here today? 17 MR. GAFFNEY: I think it's become more of a 18 And the reason being is you find a lot of 19 contractors out there today that are brokers. 20 Before, we used to deal with a contractor 21 that had skin in the game. You know, they had their own 22 workers on the job, they self-performed a lot of the work 23 themselves. 24 But today you're finding more and more 25 contractors out there that are basically brokers.

Page: 34 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 They're somebody that opens up an office, has a little 2 line of credit, and they sub everything out. 3 And in that scenario, there's no skin in 4 the game and they're basically just looking at monthly 5 quotas, what they need to float through the business on that monthly cycle. 6 7 And there's more and more of them that come in as, what I would call smaller, good contractors are 8 9 forced to go out. 10 They come in and they make their bottom 11 line and they don't care how they make their bottom line. And, unfortunately, a lot of times that's not paying 12 13 people for work. REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: I know some folks 1415 use that as their competitive advantage how long they can 16 delay contractors. 17 And it's really unscrupulous and it's 18 really putting a lot of our small businesses out of 19 business. 20 So thank you so much for coming in and I definitely support the bill. 21 22 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And next we'll hear from 23 Representative Rabb, who I believe is at his first 24 Committee hearing ever. 25 REPRESENTATIVE RABB: That's true.

Page: 35 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And he's going to ask a
2	question, his first question ever asked as a
3	representative. Congratulations on your election. But
4	in any event, feel free to go.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Thank you very much,
6	Chairman, Chairman Thomas.
7	Thank you for your testimonies.
8	I taught at the Fox School of Business at
9	Temple University, and so these issues are hit close
10	to home for me on a number of reasons.
11	I descend from a number of entrepreneurs on
12	both sides of my family. And I'm basically someone who
13	really believes in fairness.
14	So the question I have to you is you said
15	you've been doing this work for 30 years, 30-odd years,
16	and you said the vast majority of GCs you work with are,
17	you know, stellar folks.
18	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes.
19	REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Pay on time and such.
20	But to use your word, which I think is fitting here,
21	deadbeats, I want to know a little more about perhaps the
22	motivations.
23	And I think Representative Davidson
24	referenced one potential motivation with regard to being
25	able to maximize one's margin by delaying.

Page: 36 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 I'm not really asking you to get into the 2 heart of another human being as to why they do things. 3 But from a business model, are there things 4 that we need to know as state lawmakers as it relates to 5 this deadbeat factor and how it really negatively impacts everyone, not just the contractors, the subs, but the 6 people you employ, full-time and the folks who go on 7 project to project. 8 9 I'm really curious about what are the 10 dynamics at play with regard to that 10 percent. 11 that really the percent that you put it at? Is that a 12 fair... 13 MR. GAFFNEY: It is. 14REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Okay. 15 MR. GAFFNEY: And anything over 10 percent, 16 you're not being called back. We also have -- not that it impacts this --17 18 but we have -- we do a lot of public works, you know, we 19 do a lot of school work. 20 In the school market, anybody who's over 10 21 percent, you're not even close. You're not even close. 22 You really get on that side of the fence, is you're about 5, 6 percent on bid day, you're lucky. 23 24 I'm sorry. 25 Thank you for --REPRESENTATIVE RABB:

Page: 37 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 MR. GAFFNEY: I'm sorry. 2 REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Really, I'm talking 3 about the bad actors in your 30-year experience. 4 I'm really looking to get a better sense of 5 what's going on there, given that you had to work with folks who you perhaps you knew of their reputation long 6 7 before, and you say, Oh, gosh, I hope I don't have to work with these knuckleheads, right? 8 9 MR. GAFFNEY: Representative, you're right. 10 And how I come across -- and there are people that we 11 will not bid to. 12 We get requests every day that come into 13 the office, we just won't because their business model 14and our business models don't line up. We'll leave it at 15 that. But, again, we have a lot of relationships 16 17 that we have developed over the 30 years. We work with a 18 lot developers. 19 We work with a lot of hospitals. 20 because we're in there doing the mechanical work, I would 21 say under service-contract-type environments, they come 22 to us and say, Hey, we have this project and we want you 23 to do the work. 24 So I don't want to upset an owner, a 25 developer, for someone that I may wind up doing a million

Page: 38 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 or a \$2 million a year worth of work over, by telling 2 them, Hey, I'm not going to work for that person. 3 And it doesn't benefit me to go back and 4 say, Hey, that's a deadbeat or that's a this and that's a 5 It's a very delicate balance. So I know who the contractors are today 6 7 that I won't work for. But in a lot of situations, I'm kind of blended into contracts. 8 9 And, quite honestly, I feel a little 10 comfortable in some of those contracts because of the 11 relationships I have with the owner or the entities that 12 I can go back to them and say, Hey, have they been paid 13 or haven't they been paid? But with a lot of our work being in such a 1415 short window, you know, three months, four-month window, 16 by the time you get on the job, you're working day and 17 night, you're working Saturdays and Sundays, trying to 18 get this done, by the time you finish the project when 19 you haven't been paid and you're off the project, it's 20 too late. 21 And that contractor who's holding my money And they know I have no -- what am I going 22 knows that. 23 to do? Sue? 24 No disrespect to lawyers, I just don't have 25 that money in my budget at the end of the year and I

Page: 39 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ends.

don't have the time, nor when we fill out a lot of pre-qualifications for any contract that we're going on, whether it's public, private, whatever, they want to know if you've been involved in any lawsuits. And that's something that could be held against me. So for what I would get out of a lawsuit compared to the damage that it could do to me on the other side, I'm just forced to wait it out. REPRESENTATIVE RABB: On the public contracts if I may, I want to follow up. Is there anything that would identify what would be considered a bad actor by saying these are folks who have gotten paid timely but have not paid -- their contractors have not paid? Is there anything that might be -- just like you said, you know, when you fill out these forms and they ask if you -- basically they want to know if you're litigious and if you're going to be suing all the time, is there something on the other end that says, you know, who are the folks who pay their folks on time or any kind of aggregated information to let folks know that on public contracts in particular, there is -- basically what I'm asking for is a level of transparency on both

MR. GAFFNEY: If I could say, in the

Page: 40 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 situation that I talked earlier that I had to go to a 2 board meeting, I've never had to work with that 3 contractor again. And I'm still in the hospital doing 4 work. 5 So in that situation, once the entity or the end user, I think, realizes some of the problems that 6 7 are going on, they don't want the headache either. 8 just want the project done. 9 But on the other side of the fence, on the 10 public works side, it's lowest responsible. And lowest 11 responsible means that it's somebody who has a bid behind 12 them and you haven't been able to throw them off a job. 13 So even in your end of the world where we're doing a lot of public works, some of the 1415 subcontractors -- and that's not impacted here -- but 16 some of the subcontractors who work for some of the 17 contractors in that world, oh, boy, the stories you would 18 hear from them if they were able to testify would really 19 make you angry. 20 REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Thank you for your 21 testimony. 22 Representative Thomas? CHAIRMAN ELLIS: 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Two things. One is a 24 You mentioned the issue of cash flow, comment. performance and all of that. 25

Page: 41 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 But I think it's important for the record 2 to show that over 60 percent of all jobs in Philadelphia 3 and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is for small businesses. 4 Small businesses represent the anchor of 5 business in our city, county, and across the 6 Commonwealth. 7 So to whatever extent we can support growth, stability, and expansion, I know that the 8 9 Chairman and every member of the Committee is committed 10 to that. 11 So I thank you for your delivery of your 12 testimony. 13 MR. GAFFNEY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let me also say thank you 1415 to the National Electrical Contractors Association, which 16 I believe was part of Local 98's effort to respond to 17 this tragic situation with the Jewish community. And so 18 I want to thank you for stepping up to the plate. 19 And I ask, you know, each of you to 20 whatever extent we can develop a diversity component to 21 the business that we do, Representative Davidson has 22 spoken about women, of the disadvantaged workers, and so as long as there's an inclusiveness, then I think we can 23 24 stay on this path to grow. 25 So let me thank you for your testimony.

Page: 42 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	And I guess from here, only thing we need to do is just
2	make it happen. Thank you.
3	MR. GAFFNEY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And at this point, I
5	believe Representative Quinn has a comment
6	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: or question.
8	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	Thank you, Mr. Gaffney, for being here.
11	You mentioned that other states already have similar laws
12	in place. You mentioned Illinois. I think you said
13	Texas has a law with ten days at this point.
14	Is seven days the optimal for this, what
15	we're proposing? Would you recommend a shorter period?
16	Longer period? What would you consider optimal?
17	MR. GAFFNEY: Seven days, I would love to
18	see. If we could get it shorter, I'd love to have it.
19	You know, that's being selfish.
20	I understand that this is a change, that
21	not everyone's going to be happy with the change. And I
22	think that the seven day is a fair number.
23	I mean, realize, we've been having this
24	discussion for a long time. And it's always coming down
25	to, well, how about if we extend it to 30 days, 45 days,

Page: 43 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 45 to 50, 50 to 60, and so forth and so on. 2 Every day that somebody's had their money 3 and is not paying someone is one day too long. 4 The seven -- and I'll come back to the 5 thought that once I would invoke that seven day, I think the whole game changes. 6 7 I think the person that has been paid calls the office and says, Hey, we got a problem. You know, A, 8 9 we don't want this exposed, pay them, get them off the 10 table. 11 And, ultimately, that's all I want. That's 12 all I want. 13 REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: And as a follow-up Why wouldn't this already be in your contract? 14to that: 15 Why wouldn't you give yourself this as part of your 16 contract when you're entering into negotiations with that 17 other contractor? 18 MR. GAFFNEY: Representative, you got to 19 see the contracts that come to us. And I have to say, 20 not only in the public sector, but there's been a mechanic's lien law that we all know about. 21 22 I was just awarded a contract with a school 23 district in the State of Pennsylvania that, before they 24 would send me my letter of intent that was approved at 25 the board meeting, I had to sign a release of liens.

Page: 44 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Right. 2 MR. GAFFNEY: It's just the nature of the 3 I could try to write in whatever I want, but I've 4 never hear -- I'll never work. I'll never work. 5 We have on -- I'm going to say all of the contracts that come in front of me, they're forcing me to 6 7 sign a release of liens day one. Well, they can't, but 8 they are. 9 And then if I come back and say, Hey, I 10 have a problem, they'll come back to me and say, Well, 11 you know what, Jim, we -- we're going in a different 12 direction. We're going with another firm. 13 That's just how it works. If I don't abide by the contract that's given to me, I don't have a 1415 contract. And I don't care if that's on the public side 16 or the private side. Unfortunately, it's just the way it 17 is. 18 MR. OSCAR: And if I may, my name is Mike 19 Oscar, I represent both of these associations, along with 20 AGMA and IPCA. 21 But to be clear on that issue, this --22 previously in previous hearings, we have provided 23 information to Jennifer and to Bruce on the staff level 24 and then Chairman Harris where there was contracts where 25 language such as that was actually put in there, not just

Page: 45 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 on stop of work, but what Jim's referring in terms of 2 elimination of lien rights as well as ideas that were 3 invoked that they thought CASPA said but they were 4 unlawful. 5 So, you know, that's -- that's the And also, too, if I may, to your first point 6 7 on these other states, we provided those states, we did a thorough review of all the states that have this. 8 9 No other states in the country offers what 10 this legislation offers and that's that 60-day time 11 period, that's the grace period. 12 That was a decision at that time that 13 Chairman Harris wanted to work it out with us. And we had numerous calls with Bruce and Jennifer, working 1415 out -- I refer to Bruce Hanson, because at the end of the 16 day, you know, he and I worked on this legislation with 17 Representative Driscoll and Representative Santora on 18 this and no other state offers it. 19 So to get back to your point, the seven-day 20 notice, as this legislation outlines -- because I want to 21 be very clear here because there is an extraordinary time 22 line that no other state in the union would have except 23 if this law was passed. 24 But I want that burden to be recognized 25 that we are supportive of it, but with the understanding

Page: 46 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 that, you know, payment has not been made to the 2 subcontractor within accordance to the schedule 3 established under Subsection C. What does that mean? You know what means, 4 5 to be candid, in the CASPA law, what that means is whatever contract they signed, private contract they 6 7 signed, so that's all, whatever, it's 30 days, it could be 50 days, whatever, standard operating procedure, as 8 9 Jim identified with the AIA, is usually 30 days. Okay? 10 And then you got your 14 days, you got a whole billing 11 cycle in that. Okay? 12 So that's number one. And that's 13 something -- number two is, the subcontracts provide at 14least seven days notice in addition to that. 15 And then the third point, which is C, is at 16 least 60 calendar days. So that means whatever's going 17 on in your private contract, plus 60 days, then the 18 notice of intent, which is another seven. 19 REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: So this is still 20 potentially 97 days? 21 MR. OSCAR: 97 days before you -- and to --22 and I really do appreciate the leniency of the hearing to 23 allow me to speak on this. But I -- we've had eight 24 years of this. 25 Our first hearing was in Philadelphia by

Page: 47 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 Representative Bill Keller down at the shipyard on this 2 issue in the Labor and Industry Committee. 3 So eight years, we've been -- Hank Butler 4 and I have been, you know, going in a great debate over 5 But this is not -- you know, so again, a lot of passion involved in this discussion. 6 7 But to your point, 60 days plus 97 days. And to where Jim Gaffney's saying if they put in -- I'll 8 keep it simple -- not even Jim Gaffney -- I'll identify 9 10 the glaziers in that. They put that window -- that's 11 a -- they're done. 12 Doesn't take a long time to put two doors 13 in the glass. And now that glass hasn't been -- you know, that -- they haven't been paid for 97 days. And 1415 they don't have the ability to go after everybody on a 16 legal basis, to fight that to get that. 17 So that's where we're at, you know, with 18 this. That's what -- so I want to be clear, when we say the seven-day notice, that we're -- this is an extended 19 20 period of time. 21 Thank you for that leniency. 22 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Any other questions by the 23 members for this group? 24 Gentlemen, thank you very much for your 25 testimony. Thank you for being here today.

Page: 48 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 At this point, we'd like to bring on the 2 second panel, which would be Kate McCaslin, Jim Stephens, 3 and Tammi Schaible. At this point, also, I'd like to point out 4 5 that Representative Marc Gergely has joined us. Okay. 6 At this point, Kate, feel free to start. 7 MS. McCASLIN: Thank you. Good morning, Chairman Ellis, Chairman Thomas, and members of the House 8 Committee. 9 10 My name is Kate McCaslin. I am president 11 and CEO of the Keystone Chapter of the Associated 12 Builders and Contractors. 13 For those of you who aren't familiar with ABC, we have 20,000 members nationwide. 14We're a 15 commercial industrial contractors association. And we are dedicated to promoting, 16 17 protecting, and defending free enterprise and open and 18 fair competition in the industry. 19 Our chapter, the Keystone Chapter, is based 20 in Manheim and we are one of 70 chapters across the 21 country. Our particular chapter has 600 members. 22 As was discussed earlier, Pennsylvania's 23 contractor and subcontractor payment act, or commonly 24 referred just as CASPA, was signed into law in 1994 and 25 was originally intended to provide legal protections to

Page: 49 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 entities involved in construction projects to resolve 2 non-payment, late payments, and disputes over invoicing 3 and complete work and other issues. While the law was well intended in 1994, it 4 5 only applies to projects in which there is no contract language covering the payment term. 6 7 Since most often payment terms are in the construction contract and, therefore, not subject to the 8 law, the reality is that CASPA has little effect in 9 today's world. 10 11 And, unfortunately, since CASPA was first 12 passed, over the last three decades, the industry has 13 indeed experienced a major shift in the payment practices of project owners. 1415 As little as ten years ago, most project 16 owners, whether large national chains or regional 17 companies, had a corporate value system that required 18 judicious payments of their bills for completed 19 non-disputed work in 30 days or sometimes less. 20 Sadly, many owners have now adopted payment 21 policies that are commonly 90 days and stretch as far as 100 days -- 120 days or more. 22 23 Now, while this might help the owner reap 24 the benefits of positive cash flow and investment income, 25 those benefits are at the expense of the businesses who

Page: 50 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 have completed the work and paid for the materials and 2 their labor in advance. 3 As you might imagine, this is incredibly 4 onerous for any business, but especially for small 5 businesses who simply do not have the wherewithal to essentially float a loan to owners for over 120 days. 6 7 Although existing laws outline rights of construction parties and ascribe mild penalties for 8 9 violating those rights, frequently these laws do not 10 affect project owners or do not enforce the same 11 penalties exponentially on owners themselves. 12 While the law addresses other parties 13 involved, those parties, such as subs and suppliers, 14frequently have very little interaction or contractual 15 relationship with an owner, and that severely limits 16 their ability to represent their own interests in these 17 types of disputes. 18 These parties are at the mercy of the 19 general contractor whose own payment terms most often 20 dictate that subcontractors will get paid when they get 21 paid. 22 So while many generals have the ability and, frankly, they have the values to pay subs within 23 24 reasonable time frames, regardless of when they are paid by the owner, many do not. 25

Page: 51 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 Consequently, most often it is the subs and 2 suppliers down the chain who end up bearing the brunt and 3 the cost of these increasingly common and damaging 4 practices. 5 Encouraging small subcontractors and 6 suppliers with a handful of employees to accept predatory 7 payment terms from a global retailer just to sustain their business does not encourage Pennsylvania's business 8 9 growth, nor does it provide for a fair negotiation or an 10 even playing field. 11 ABC is indeed uniquely positioned in this 12 debate because we equally represent the interests of 13 general contractors, subcontractors, supplier members and associates down the line and sometimes our membership 1415 does struggle to reach a consensus on some of these 16 issues. 17 But one thing is for certain: 18 association is focused on ensuring a fair and level 19 playing field for all parties involved. 20 We are local advocates for equal 21 representation in every aspect of the construction 22 process and for the work to be awarded and performed 23 based on merit with payment promptly for completed work. 24 Thank you for this opportunity to testify, 25 and I'm going to pass it on.

Page: 52 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 MR. STEPHENS: Good morning, Chairman Ellis and Chairman Thomas and the members of the House 2 3 Committee. Thank you for this opportunity to address 4 you. 5 My name is Jim Stephens. I am currently 6 president and managing partner of 11400, Inc. 7 extensive 25 years of experience in the construction industry, working for general contractor, subcontractors, 8 9 suppliers. 10 I currently sit on the board of directors 11 of ABC Keystone. I've held many different positions over the years and kind of come at this issue from a very 12 13 unique perspective. 14 I'm going to spare you reading my 15 testimony; you have my written testimony. But I would 16 like to summarize two primary key points. 17 The first thing that you have to understand 18 about payment in construction is the idea of retention. 19 I have tried really hard, I probably have 20 missed it, but I have not found another industry on the 21 planet that has retention. 22 You're not going to be able to walk into 23 WalMart, take a TV off the shelf and tell them you'll pay 24 them 90 percent now and 10 percent in 40 days. You're 25 not going to walk out with that TV.

Page: 53 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 So you have to understand that everything 2 that anybody testifies about, retention is automatically 3 in there. 4 The amount of money being deducted off of 5 those payments, 10 percent, 5 percent, there's some percentage point, the full payment, when they receive it, 6 isn't the full payment. They're still waiting. 7 So when you hear testimony from contractors 8 9 saying they're bidding with 6 percent margin, if there's 10 10 percent retention, no matter what, they're already in 11 a negative cash flow position just because of the 12 retention. 13 So when you pile on top of that the idea of waiting 90 days, 120 days for just that 90 percent, you 1415 really create a much more severe situation. 16 And the other side of that is the idea of 17 the delayed payments in the construction industry. 18 And as the industry has continued to add 19 tiers, i.e., construction managers that pay general 20 contractors that pay subcontractors, that pay 21 sub-subcontractors, that payment process drags out and 22 drags out. And so recently, in the last five to ten 23 24 years, a new phenomenon has come in. So while you have 25 been looking at this bill for what appears to be a

Page: 54 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 decade, a new thing has popped up. 2 And that I think is much more of an issue 3 for our industry than anything else, and that is 4 especially large, multi-national corporations requiring 5 the payment cycle from the owner to the general contractor to be in excess of those 30 days that we've 6 7 referenced. There are now multi-national corporations 8 9 coming into Pennsylvania that are requiring 120-day 10 payment cycles to their general contractor. 11 So as a subcontractor, as a supplier, I 12 have no legal ability to force my general contractor to 13 pay because of a pay-when-paid or paid-if-paid clause. If they haven't been paid, they don't have 1415 a legal obligation to pay me. So what normally was, as you've heard testimony, maybe a 45-day cycle, maybe a 16 17 60-day cycle, now is stretching, in my testimony I 18 reference 122 days, and that's if the payment cycle from 19 the owner to the GC is 90 days. 20 Unfortunately, CASPA does very little to 21 address this. And even in the language that is in CASPA, 22 I represent when I talk to my staff what I refer to as 23 the golden rule: He who has the gold makes the rules. 24 And it comes down to an unfortunate 25 decision that you have to make as a business owner of how

Page: 55 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 much money do they owe you is worth suing them over or 2 enforcing penalty clauses or enforcing similar other 3 legal protection. 4 And so what happens is that you get into 5 these constant battles. But the vast majority of the payment issues, the delay in the payment issues stems 6 7 from the contract between the owner and the general 8 contractor. 9 If that time frame was shortened, even to 10 30 days, in the example I've provided in my written 11 testimony, you would cut half of those 122 days out and 12 60 days off that 90 right away. And that's happening 13 over and over again. And, you know, what happens -- I think 1415 there was a question earlier, what happens if you say no 16 to that language? Well, yeah, they go find somebody else 17 to do it. Eventually somebody somewhere will say yes. 18 And so what happens is, is -- you know, the 19 unfortunate part -- the best part of construction, I 20 should say, is, it is one of the few industries where 21 there's no barrier, very little barriers. 22 You can be working at home, you can 23 register with the State for home repairs, you have a 24 truck and a toolbox, you can get started in the 25 construction industry.

Page: 56 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 But when you start putting in place a 2 financing requirement to float the cash flow that is 3 here, you start to prevent those small businesses. 4 Almost every company in construction has 5 started with a quy and a toolbox or a father and a son, as you heard in previous testimony. 6 7 By having the payment cycle and the cash requirements that are in place in the industry now, it 8 9 prevents that start-up, it prevents that American dream 10 from even getting started for many people because they're 11 just not capable of getting the financing. 12 And so we're really creating the situation 13 that is not necessarily even in the interest of the 14 industry, but not in the interest of the Commonwealth. 15 We need to have a healthy construction 16 industry to build schools, houses, hotels, all the other 17 stuff that happens in the State. 18 And without, you know, this payment cycle 19 being functional, you're never going to have those 20 start-ups be able to come in and achieve those American 21 dreams. And it's going to really -- when interest 22 23 rates start to rise -- you know, we've been lucky the 24 last ten years, interests rates have been low. 25 Interest rates start to rise, it's going to

Page: 57 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	be this cash flow problem is going to put a
2	significant strain on the contractors in Pennsylvania.
3	And the last point to that is, is that
4	there was a question about timing of good and bad in the
5	previous panel.
6	Ignoring the profit margins that come at
7	boom and down times, 2017, I'm reading a lot of stuff
8	right now where it's common for 2017 to be a year of a
9	lot of failures.
10	The reason is cash flow. There's a lot of
11	work out there, companies take a lot of work on, so they
12	might be a little overextended because they're taking on
13	a little more work than they normally could, you get one
14	project, one bad actor withholds a little bit of money
15	and all of the sudden you've got company failures.
16	And we don't you know, that's obviously
17	in nobody's interest. You know, it hurts everybody. So
18	cash flow is a significant problem.
19	And I'll pass my testimony on to you.
20	MS. SCHAIBLE: Thank you.
21	Good morning, Chairman Ellis and Chairman
22	Thomas and everyone else on the Committee.
23	My name is Tammi Schaible. I'm the vice
24	president of VTI Commercial Carpentry. We are a
25	family-owned, multi-state commercial construction

Page: 58 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 provider based out of Bucks County, Pennsylvania. 2 I'm also a member of the Eastern 3 Pennsylvania Chapter of Associated Builders and a board 4 member as well. 5 While the previous example of the subcontractor waiting four months to receive payment for 6 7 services rendered may seem staggering, it is unfortunately an all too accurate example of standard 8 9 practices in the construction industry. 10 The construction industry, just like nearly 11 every other industry nationwide, is mainly comprised of 12 small businesses. 13 Payment terms that grow longer and longer and the perpetual chain of invoicing from supplier to 1415 subcontractor to general contractor to owner back again 16 to provide an unsustainable business model for many 17 construction providers. 18 While Pennsylvania currently has 19 protections in place like CASPA and the mechanic's lien 20 law which are meant to protect the rights of construction 21 parties and ensure reasonable terms, they often do little 22 to enforce the rights of the smaller party and ensure a 23 level playing field during negotiations. 24 It's not uncommon for a small subcontractor 25 or supplier to enter in a unreasonable construction

Page: 59 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 contract with absurdly long payment terms simply because he or she cannot afford to decline the size of the 2 3 contract. 4 It is this practice by large-scale national 5 retailers owners to provide significantly extended and burdensome payment terms with multi-million dollar 6 contracts that falls into a legal loophole in 7 Pennsylvania. 8 9 Because our unique role as a 10 free-enterprise based construction trade association, ABC 11 is particularly positioned to address this growing issue 12 within the industry. 13 Our representation of general contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, and associates ensure that 1415 each party has an equal voice and is well represented as an integral part of the construction process. 16 17 The previous example not only exposes the 18 difficulties of construction entities in the payment 19 process, but also highlights the invaluable role that 20 each entity plays in the successful construction process. Each link in the chain is as vital as the 21 22 next and any disruption in payment similarly caused 23 exponential difficulty for each party. 24 I would just like to add something here. 25 currently have a contract that I have tried to negotiate

Page: 60 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 because it was completely one-sided. It basically said I 2 could be fired for any reason, they could decide to 3 replace my labor for any reason at any whim that they so 4 wanted. 5 And it was -- and so I went back simply to ask for terms of, you know, notifying me, this and that, 6 7 it's been four weeks, and I have not had any sort of 8 contact back from that general contractor to discuss my 9 proposed negotiations. 10 Oftentimes, what they'll do is not respond, 11 get me on the job site working and then they'll just 12 simply have me operate throughout the whole project and 13 they'll sign the contract at the end and send it back to me and say, No alterations accepted, and they'll send it 1415 back to me. 16 Now, at that point, I've already probably 17 have nearly finished the project and that's just how they 18 handle it. 19 So I'm not sure how we can, you know, how 20 we could, in this law, address some of that. 21 But we don't always have -- as we're 22 saying, some of us try to negotiate those things or 23 negotiate out those terms and be responsible in 24 protecting their businesses. 25 But like I said, they have the money,

Page: 61 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 they're holding the purse strings, and at the end of the 2 day, I need my men to work. 3 So I hope that our testimony today has been 4 helpful in your consideration of the Contractor 5 Subcontractor Payment Act and that the practical application of payment in the modern construction 6 7 environment. I'd like to thank you for your time and 8 9 your consideration on this vital issue. 10 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thank you very much, 11 panel, for your comments today. 12 I'll start us off. Jim, you had talked a 13 little bit about the changing environment as far as the 14 multi-national corporations and their payment structure. 15 Is that uniquely Pennsylvanian because our laws just don't have any benefit, that they can get away 16 17 with it, or is this something you're seeing nationwide? 18 Can you speak to that? 19 MR. STEPHENS: I think the answer to that 20 is nationwide. I mean, my company does work east of the 21 Mississippi and we work in Texas and I do have some 22 nationwide exposure. 23 And it's happening in a lot of places. 24 difference is, Pennsylvania's laws, as you've heard in 25 previous testimony, don't necessarily give us the same

Page: 62 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 protections that we would have in other places and it 2 allows them to do it. 3 Even in other states where, say, the law 4 would be against it, they still try. 5 You know, without naming the name of the firm or the owner that I work for, not that long ago, 6 they were doing a project near Scranton, and I marked 7 their contract up. 8 9 In fact, I took pages out of their 10 contract, quite frankly, and sent it back to them. And 11 their lawyer called me up and that lawyer was actually 12 afraid to take my contract to her boss because nobody had 13 actually ever told this company no before and she didn't know how to move forward. 1415 You know, I had the privilege on that 16 project of being able to say no. I didn't need that job 17 to be able to keep the business going. 18 There are plenty of my colleagues and other 19 members of the association and construction companies who 20 couldn't have afforded to say no to a large project like 21 that. And so they get -- they get hemmed into 22 requirements. 23 And they just -- the multi-national, the 24 home corporation, wherever it's based out of goes, you 25 know, too bad.

Page: 63 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN ELLIS: I think the legislation we're looking at today doesn't directly address that, but do you believe that they may change their attitude a bit if we pass the legislation that this Representative Driscoll and Santora are looking at or Representative Maloney packaging and getting, do you think that would have a benefit to that specific issue? MR. STEPHENS: My personal opinion? And I say that for two reasons. Yes, the threats of, say, a stop work order may change a couple of minds. But most of the time when you have bad actors, even on a corporation level, they have more lawyers, more money, and more time to throw at you than we have. So even when they're entirely in the wrong, you're still making a business decision on whether or not you would be able to prove you're right. And the other thing is, is that there's enough lawyers working on it -- and I apologize if anybody up there is a lawyer -- but there's enough lawyers working on it that the loophole will be found to work within the law but not. If they're intent on being bad actors, they're going to be bad actors. And so it becomes very difficult to say, yes, this will cure all the ills

Page: 64 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	because it might cure the ills for six months, 12 months.
2	I mean, look at how many times the
3	mechanic's lien law has been revised, you know, and that
4	kind of stuff. Because eventually the loopholes are
5	found and things go back to where they were.
6	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: I appreciate your candor
7	on that.
8	At this point, I'll ask Representative
9	Thomas, do you have any comments for this panel?
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No.
11	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Members?
12	Well, your testimony obviously was so
13	superb that we gathered everything that we can from that.
14	Before you leave, just as a side note,
15	since you brought up the mechanic's lien and how many
16	times we've reviewed that, have you had an opportunity to
17	use the new website that's out there for mechanic's
18	liens?
19	MR. STEPHENS: I have had an opportunity.
20	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And what are your thoughts
21	on it?
22	MR. STEPHENS: I think it's too early to
23	tell, quite frankly.
24	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Fair enough.
25	MR. STEPHENS: I think the implementation,

Page: 65 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	they took a lot of input from a number of different
2	people and organizations to try and make it as friendly
3	as possible in the launch. But I think we need more time
4	to really get a feel for how it's actually going to come
5	together.
6	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Well, I appreciate that.
7	And thank you so much for you input.
8	And we look forward to continue to work
9	with you as we move this conversation forward.
10	MR. STEPHENS: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: At this point, our final
12	panel, and by panel, I mean final testifier, a single
13	man, Hank Butler, the executive director from the
14	Pennsylvania Council of General Contractors.
15	Welcome.
16	MR. BUTLER: Thank you. Before we start, I
17	just want to apologize to the Committee members.
18	I have many hats to wear, one of them had a
19	very tough week and I didn't get the information on time,
20	so I do apologize.
21	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: You can begin.
22	MR. BUTLER: You all can follow along with
23	your packets. Okay.
24	Thank you, Chairman Ellis, Chairman Thomas,
25	and members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Page: 66 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 Commerce Committee, for the opportunity to continue our 2 discussions on payment concerns between owners, 3 contractors, and specialty contractors. 4 I'm speaking to you today on behalf of the 5 Pennsylvania Council of General Contractors, an organization of general contractors who believe in 6 7 principles of fair, efficient, and competitive construction, bidding, awarding, and building. 8 9 PennCGC members believe in the importance 10 of working -- strong working relationships with all entities building or supplying materials on a 11 12 construction job site: owners, general contractors, 13 specialty contractor, employees, suppliers, et cetera. Assuring that the construction project is 1415 on time, within budget, and with minimal change orders, 16 from the construction end, is the best way to build 17 quality construction and maintain strong and successful 18 business relationships with owners and developers. 19 An integral part of striving for a quality 20 and productive worksite is to ensure that all entities on 21 a construction worksite are paid accordingly for work 22 performed and paid promptly for their services. 23 PennCGC has already been proactive in this 24 effort by assisting in the development, passage, and 25 enactment of Act 142 of 2014 introduced by Representative

Page: 67 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

Killion, now Senator Killion, which performs -- which reforms Pennsylvania's current mechanic lien legislation to ensure that owners and general contractors are aware of all entities working on the construction project and make sure that everyone is paid for work performed or materials purchased.

Act 142 of 2014 enabled the owner to identify the entire field of specialty contractors. If the owner knows who all the specialty contractors are who work on his or her project, he or she can take positive steps to assure payment for work performed or address any lingering disputes.

The PennCGC believes this is a strong step towards assuring that companies and workers are paid for their successful completion of work performed or materials supplied.

As we continue to discuss the prompt pay reform with this Committee, the Pennsylvania Council of General Contractors would like to emphasis our desire to work with Representative Maloney to help develop legislation that can address concerns for assuring payment for work is rendered.

The PennCGC has members who have had and are currently experiencing challenges regarding their own respective payment for work performed.

Page: 68 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 The Council believes we can help the 2 development of comprehensive legislation that examines 3 and improves the entire payment process. 4 Regarding this issue of prompt pay reform, 5 PennCGC supports the need for effective communication in 6 payment procedures by having signed agreements before 7 work commences on the worksite between the owners to general contractor and the general contractor to the 8 9 specialty contractor, subcontractor. 10 Hopefully, there are signed agreements 11 between the specialty contractors and their subspecialty 12 contractors. 13 Entering into a contract creates an in-writing understanding as to the working logistics for 1415 the project, the work performed requirements, and the 16 payments for completed work acceptable to both the 17 contractor in charge and the owner. 18 As should be common practice, the contract 19 spells out the payment schedule and allocated timelines 20 for designated projects. 21 This signed agreed-to agreement assures 22 that all parties have agreed on the work to be performed 23 and the payment for the work performed on the project. 24 As stated in previous testimonies over the 25 years, PennCGC has questions -- has questions that should

Page: 69 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 be addressed as discussions continue in prompt pay 2 reform. 3 Since there are overlaps regarding the prompt pay and the mechanic's lien, the PennCGC believes 4 5 that discussions are needed by the appropriate stakeholders regarding the recently passed Act 142 of 6 7 2014 and the implications of this enacting law into the prompt pay reforms. 8 9 PennCGC believes that having notifications 10 as to who is working on the job and should be paid for 11 services rendered will help more companies be paid on 12 time and in accordance to the work contract. 13 There have been discussions over the years 14on the prompt pay issues with general contractors and 15 specialty contractors testifying, but there -- but has there been discussions with the entire stakeholder 16 17 community on the prompt pay issue? 18 Many problems start when the general 19 contractor is not paid in a timely manner from the owner 20 or the financial institution assisting in the finance of 21 the project. 22 The PennCGC believes that we should include 23 the entire stakeholder community to accurately assess the 24 current payment climate and look for a comprehensive 25 solution to address this problem.

Page: 70 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 To assure that non-payment of the 2 subcontractor or a sub-subcontractor is not the result of 3 communication errors, there should be an established 4 process to inform the owners, general contractors and 5 subcontractors, if they are paying the subcontractor, if 6 they are paying another subcontractor, that payment 7 established in the signed contract is past due, work has been performed to the quality established in the 8 9 agreements and payment is needed. 10 Finally, regarding the language previously 11 in House Bill 726 from last session, the PennCGC would 12 like to work with the author and the Commerce Committee 13 to address language in the bill that could be interpreted 14in different ways and are open to potential 15 misinterpretation. 16 There are several definitions of 17 questions -- several definition questions that the 18 council would like to see addressed. 19 What is the definition of "substantial 20 completion" for payment of the project? Who determines 21 the completion? Who determines the final acceptance of 22 the completed work? What is the definition of "good 23 faith?" 24 The PennCGC once again wishes to commend 25 Representative Maloney for carrying the baton and

Page: 71 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 reintroducing House Bill 726. 2 We look forward to working with him and 3 members of the Commerce Committee to analyze the problems 4 on prompt pay system in Pennsylvania and work together 5 with the author and the Committee to find a solution that best helps owners, financial institutions, general 6 7 contractors, and specialty contractors. PennCGC wishes to thank the Commerce 8 9 Committee for the opportunity to speak to you today and 10 we look forward to working with you in the future. 11 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Butler, for 12 your testimony. 13 I have just one thing that I wanted to point out briefly that you mentioned in your testimony, 1415 which I think -- I said this at the beginning, but I just 16 want to reiterate that this isn't a -- and based on all 17 the testimony, it's not a contractor/subcontractor issue, 18 it's not a Republican/Democrat issue. 19 MR. BUTLER: No. 20 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: But what you pointed out 21 is some good questions that we have to have answered. And I appreciate your input on those. 22 23 But we are talking about people that have 24 signed a contract, done the work, there's no 25 discrepancies.

Page: 72 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	MR. BUTLER: Right.
2	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And they're not getting
3	paid. That's the issue that we're facing.
4	You're not going to have any
5	concerns with we're not talking about the good actors,
6	we're not talking about people that do.
7	We're talking about an actual mistake
8	somebody makes.
9	MR. BUTLER: Right. I mean
10	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: So we want to clarify,
11	make sure that we're not penalizing people who just make
12	human errors. Is that
13	MR. BUTLER: And that's
14	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: your
15	MR. BUTLER: That's quite frankly why I've
16	been testifying on this issue with Mike for how many
17	years? Hopefully not a decade, but we're almost there.
18	The issue is the organization I
19	represent is large general contractors. Most of them
20	have over a thousand employees.
21	Mistakes happen. It's not a question of
22	payment. But I want to protect those contractors that do
23	the right thing.
24	There's a contract written, the owner pays
25	the GC, the GC pays the sub. And all I want to make sure

Page: 73 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 is that if that's done correctly, we're fine. 2 Does some checks get lost in the mail? 3 course. Do some things have, through different passing of large companies, misinformation is given? Of course. 4 5 There should be a process in place to make 6 sure that everyone -- communication is there that they 7 have not been paid. And if they have not been paid, 8 they'll be paying immediately and correct the mistake 9 that happens. 10 I don't want to see a contractor that has 11 done everything that they have been told to do and then 12 they sign the contract and they're still having problems 13 that they've had. And there have been cases where a specialty 1415 contractor doesn't pay a sub-sub. The sub-sub then 16 files, in most cases, a lien against the owner. 17 And as a general contractor who wants to 18 protect that relationship with the owner to keep doing 19 other projects, they'll double pay. They'll pay the 20 subcontractor twice basically, just to get it off the 21 plate and make them -- they're not -- hinder reputation. 22 We want to stop that. So, yes, we do want 23 to work with you to make sure that the good apples are 24 still doing the good stuff. 25 CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And you think a

Page: 74 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	notification before work stop is vital?
2	MR. BUTLER: My personal opinion is
3	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And to cover the people
4	that are just, it's not intentional and you think that if
5	they get a notification that they'll be, Oh, wow, I
6	didn't even realize that?
7	MR. BUTLER: I think there's been cases.
8	And I think you all have even seen cases in your own work
9	where some things get tied up, an e-mail gets lost, it
10	goes into spam, miscommunication is there.
11	There should be a process, in my personal
12	opinion, and working with this Committee, I'd personally
13	like to see two correspondences.
14	One notification. And, again, we're
15	talking in some cases very large companies to make
16	sure that the money gets that the communication has
17	been given, they've identified an error and they correct
18	the miscommunication.
19	So, yes, I personally think there should be
20	two letters before penalties happen.
21	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Members, are there any
22	questions?
23	Representative Driscoll?
24	REPRESENTATIVE DRISCOLL: So and I
25	understand what you're saying, big companies, it can

Page: 75 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 happen. 2 What we're trying to protect here is the 3 big company and the little company so they have the 4 language that they both enter into. 5 As a former small business owner myself, after -- which when we added up the time frame here, 6 7 we're looking at 97 days. I mean, that's going to put out most small businesses out of business. 8 9 And so while this might be a minority that 10 we're addressing today -- and we wouldn't be here talking 11 about it if it wasn't a problem -- that small percentage, 12 I think the time has come that we stop talking about it, 13 and we really -- with the leadership of Chairman Ellis and Chairman Thomas and the input from the stakeholders, 1415 that we really look at this and get something on the 16 books. 17 MR. BUTLER: Yes. Which is why -- yes, 18 which is why we've been proposing and will continue to 19 propose ideas that we have to make this work. 20 Absolutely. 21 I think when you have certain companies --22 and, again, there are small -- small general -- or small, 23 small companies, I agree and large ones. 24 In my case, most of my members are large 25 companies. I don't have suppliers. I don't have

Page: 76 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 speciality contractors. I don't have attorneys. 2 I have general contractors. And they have 3 accounts receivable, accounts payable. They have their 4 attorneys. They have their own different foremen, 5 different project managers. We just need to make sure the information 6 7 gets there correctly. If an owner doesn't pay a GC, then that's a whole -- that is a problem. The GC can't pay 8 the subcontractors and the sub can't pay the sub-sub. 9 Ι 10 agree. 11 But they should -- but if they're not 12 getting paid, they should be notified -- the owner should 13 be notified, Hey, you haven't paid this and it's past due in the contract. 1415 There should be a notification, a -- how do 16 you say -- a correct paper trial or a correct 17 correspondence that every single stakeholder in this 18 construction case is notified. It might be twice. 19 First time, hopefully, it will be agreed to 20 immediately. Second time, you're just reaffirming that 21 the first communication happened to make sure they're 22 getting paid. Yes. 23 But there has to be a procedure in place 24 that mistakes do happen. This is not a -- I mean, when I 25 propose this every time to my members, they have a

Page: 77 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 payment schedule. They have a contract. They do pay. 2 But sometimes the owners don't pay them. 3 And sometimes they'll give a check to a subcontractor and 4 they won't receive the check, but they have evidence that 5 they sent the check. I just want to make sure everything is 6 7 correspondence so the people who are doing the good stuff doesn't get hit with a problem. 8 9 In the case of mechanic's lien, it was a 10 Mechanic's lien was -- forgive me -- 12 years ago 11 when we passed it, for the first time included third-tier 12 subs. 13 So basically what you would have is you have a project, you'd have a Home Depot that a painter 1415 bought paint from could file a lien against an owner or a 16 general -- an owner or general contractor who would have 17 no clue that Home Depot was even on the job or even a 18 supplier. 19 The reforms we made in the law that we 20 passed -- and, again, was Mike Oscar, ABC working 21 together -- made sure that at least on this website 22 that's just been introduced last month the owner and the 23 general contractor can make sure everyone's that on the 24 job who's on the job and before they do the final punch 25 list, final payment, Hey, have you paid the

Page: 78 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

```
1
    subcontractor?
 2
                   Make sure everyone's gotten paid so we
 3
    don't have -- yes, suppliers from China filing liens
 4
    against owners who we had no idea they were on the job.
 5
    So, yes, I agree.
                   REPRESENTATIVE DRISCOLL: Thank you.
                                                         Thank
 6
 7
    you, Chairman.
                   CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Davidson?
 8
9
                   REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Thank you.
10
                   So you would agree that 90 percent of
11
    general contractors are paying their subcontractors on
12
    time and there's no problem?
13
                   MR. BUTLER: A percentage? I quarantee
    that, at least from my membership, that upon a contract
14
15
    that they sign, when the owner pays them, they pay within
16
    the contract guidelines, yes. That's what I'm talking
17
    about, yes.
18
                   REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON:
                                             What's the
19
    percentage?
20
                   MR. BUTLER: I don't have that information.
    I don't have the data.
21
22
                   REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: What's the
23
    average time frame?
24
                   MR. BUTLER: It's contract by contract,
25
    each contract's different. I mean, some -- some owners
```

Page: 79 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	have contracts where they pay, yes, 120 days, others pay
2	within I mean, owners to general contractor or general
3	contractor to
4	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: What is the
5	general average payment time from the time the work is
6	completed on most contracts?
7	Is it usually 60 days when the work is done
8	or is it is the average time 30 days or is the average
9	time 90 days or 120 days?
10	MR. BUTLER: I've seen many different
11	contracts. And I've seen some cases 14 days.
12	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: What's the
13	average?
14	MR. BUTLER: I haven't assessed. I haven't
15	done a compiling of data. I'll look over it.
16	Mike, have you seen any data?
17	I mean, I don't know the actual data. I
18	know each contract's different, each company's different
19	on how they pay.
20	When the owner when the when the sub
21	or the GC sign a contract, it's very clear.
22	I've seen language very clear that a
23	general contractor will say, We will pay you within 14
24	days of the receipt of payment from the owner, that I've
25	seen.

Page: 80 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	But as for a dollar amount the
2	percentage amount, I can't give you that answer.
3	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: How many general
4	contractors does your
5	MR. BUTLER: My organization has
6	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: your agency
7	MR. BUTLER: My organization has 13
8	contractors. We
9	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: That you
10	represent?
11	MR. BUTLER: Yes. And we specifically have
12	contractors who are basing over about 150 I mean, \$100
13	million, \$150 million in sales a year.
14	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Do you do
15	business analytics on the contractors that you serve,
16	your organization?
17	MR. BUTLER: No.
18	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Okay.
19	MR. BUTLER: I mean, we we're
20	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Well, you don't
21	know the average time and maybe there's other
22	MR. BUTLER: I haven't done the
23	assessment
24	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: other metrics
25	that you use.

Page: 81 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	MR. BUTLER: I could do it. I could ask
2	for all the contracts if they want. But, again, the
3	contracts are pretty standard.
4	I did show one standard contract that one
5	of our members in Pittsburgh has. And it very clearly
6	spelled out when we're going to pay you and everything
7	else.
8	But, you know what, I have no problem. I
9	could ask for sample contracts if you wish.
10	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Yeah. I just
11	want to know what the average is. And, you know, you
12	have 13 member organizations member contractors?
13	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
14	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: I think from 13
15	organizations, you could probably determine what the
16	average payment time
17	MR. BUTLER: Yeah.
18	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: frame is
19	pretty easily.
20	My other question, I know one of the things
21	that you said you would like to work with the Committee
22	on is a second notice or a second seven-day notice.
23	Why would that be necessary after someone
24	has not been paid for 97 days post work according to the
25	contract terms?

Page: 82 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	MR. BUTLER: To reaffirm it's intentional.
2	A second notice is just to
3	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: After 97 days?
4	MR. BUTLER: No. I said no, I said the
5	process we haven't talked about the dates yet.
6	But the process of when someone if the
7	contract says we'll be paid on this day and then they
8	haven't been paid, one letter and just to reaffirm
9	it's kind of like you guys having a second reading you
10	know, first consideration, second consideration, final
11	vote.
12	It's a second time to reaffirm that
13	everyone has seen this, everyone's been notified, and
14	there's nothing intentional happening.
15	And if it is intentional, yes, then we go
16	with the next step.
17	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: So you think it's
18	reasonable to have a second notice after a subcontractor
19	has completed the work, there's been 30 days since the
20	work has been completed?
21	I can't imagine a subcontractor not
22	notifying someone that they haven't received payment in
23	30 days and then another 60 days transpires and then you
24	get the seven-day notice, I believe the language says.
25	So vou think that's still an unreasonable

Page: 83 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	amount of time or notification within that window of
2	time?
3	MR. BUTLER: I believe as much time to
4	address the errors, if it's a mistake in error, yes.
5	But I think at what point do you say, okay,
6	now it's intentional, now they're not paying us
7	intentionally, which is what the accusation is, that it's
8	not happening.
9	So, yeah, I think two times would be
10	sufficient to make sure that you've exhausted every
11	possibility of making sure that the error's corrected.
12	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Okay. I
13	appreciate that.
14	I would like to see I don't know if
15	other members of the Committee would like to see but I
16	would like to see what the average time frame is for
17	payment to your subcontractors, how many of your 13
18	organizations have had a problem paying their contractors
19	on time and so we can determine, you know, what the
20	percentage is of bad actors are.
21	MR. BUTLER: Yeah. I'll do that.
22	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIDSON: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Rabb?
24	REPRESENTATIVE RABB: Thank you, Chairman.
25	I'm not sure if you heard the testimony of

Page: 84 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

Mr. Gaffney who said he's in business for 30 years and that the vast majority of GCs he works with operate in good faith, but that there were bad actors consistently, and people in the industry knew who they were.

I don't know what percentage of them are within that 13 members that you represent. But he said that if he had this in his back pocket and he's at this weekly meeting and he says, We haven't been paid, that the meeting would be ugly but guick.

And when this has happened in the past, when he's brought it to the attention of the owner, there's a check in two days.

And so in light of an anecdotal reference point from someone who's been in the field saying that the efficacy of something like this would benefit an entity like his own, I'm curious what is your response to that and why the need for a second notice or for drawing this out because the matter will be addressed because nobody wants a work stoppage, nobody wants undue delays, and when everybody, all the stakeholders, to use your language, are in the same room in that moment, why the need for additional paperwork or notifications, if, you know...

MR. BUTLER: I guess the big question I have right now is, who hasn't paid him? Did the owner

Page: 85 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 not pay the general contractor, the general contractor 2 not pay the sub, sub not pay the sub-sub? I think 3 there's a lot of questions that have to be answered. 4 And in the case that you just mentioned, 5 okay, I have a member, for example, that signed a contract a couple years ago to build like -- I think it 6 7 was, like, maybe ten Chipotles, okay, around. That's a 8 big contract. Okay? 9 And if there was a threat of a -- let's 10 say -- well, we'll just use a basic example, a 11 subcontractor didn't pay the sub-sub. Okay? 12 And all of the sudden, there's a threat of, yes, work stoppage or fines or embarrassing the owner 13 14that could deter the entire contract, my concern right 15 now is the GC will pay, even though they already paid the 16 sub, they'll double -- they'll pay the sub-sub just to 17 get it off the books and just to protect the reputation 18 of the company to continue working. 19 So, in essence, the general contractor 20 double pays for a job that was done. And they have 21 that -- and that is a case happened many, many times. 22 Is there data on REPRESENTATIVE RABB: 23 I'd be interested to see how many times there's 24 double payment for -- because I imagine you have all that 25 data to see to what extent that is --

Page: 86 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
2	REPRESENTATIVE RABB: a phenomenon.
3	MR. BUTLER: I can actually give you I
4	will get examples for you of cases that's happened where
5	it's it's a problem that we have to protect yes, I
6	don't I want to protect the good eggs.
7	I want to protect those who haven't done
8	this and not have to, yes, double pay just to prohibit a
9	bad reputation because the last thing you want to see is
10	their reputation tarnished and then the contract's thrown
11	away.
12	Or, yes, if this one contractor does a good
13	job, does ten Chipotles, maybe he can do ten more and
14	keep a good constituent services going and then get more
15	money.
16	So, yes, I will get that.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The only thing I would
18	ask that you send the information to the Chairs and
19	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: we will get it out
21	MR. BUTLER: Of course.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: to the other members
23	that might want to see that same information.
24	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: And Representative White?

Page: 87 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: So what is the
2	process for a GC to request payment from the owners that
3	you do work for?
4	MR. BUTLER: Typically a contract. The
5	contract is that they will be paid in X amount of days
6	before the contract.
7	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And if the owners
8	don't pay you, what recourse do you have as GCs?
9	MR. BUTLER: That's the problem. It's
10	litigation.
11	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: So you guys pay
12	top-end attorneys to go and fight the case, right?
13	MR. BUTLER: Yes. Or in-house counsel,
14	but, yes.
15	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Okay. Or in-house
16	counsel.
17	It's my understanding that a lot of small
18	businesses don't have the ability to afford counsel or
19	any other such, you know, methodologies.
20	And we heard testimony earlier that said
21	that mechanic's liens, right, that could be an option for
22	you, right?
23	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
24	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: But you guys make
25	the subcontractors or the other contractors that you

Page: 88 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

```
1
    utilize sign off on things that don't allow them to put a
 2
    mechanic's lien on, right?
 3
                   MR. BUTLER: Well -- well, that's up to
    the -- yes. Well, subcontractor, some guys don't have
4
 5
    it, some do.
                   And it's up to the owner, general
 6
7
    contractors. Some of the owners will give the
    standard -- I mean, larger companies that have hundreds
8
9
    of thousands of different chains, they'll actually have
10
    language that says no mechanic's lien. It's up to the
11
    owners --
12
                   REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Right.
13
                   MR. BUTLER: -- passing it on.
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: The GCs that you're
15
    saying you represent, you know, they would be more
16
    inclined to do business with someone who is willing to
17
    sign a mechanic's lien waiver than someone who's not,
18
    right?
19
                   MR. BUTLER: I wouldn't say that. I would
20
    say, quite frankly -- and I hope -- nobody wants a lien.
21
                   Nobody ever wants to go that -- that's the
22
    nuclear option. No one wants to pull the trigger and
23
    file a lien. It's embarrassing to the contractor, the
24
    owner. They don't want to do that.
25
                   We're hoping that this legislation be
```

Page: 89 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	passed can hopefully soften. Because, again, a lot of
2	the time the liens are file, they have no idea they're
3	even on the job.
4	So so we want to avoid the lien. And,
5	typically, you want to keep the relationships with the
6	subcontractor or the GC, the GC's relationship with the
7	owners. We want to make sure it's a smooth process.
8	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: How many times does
9	a GC notify an owner of non-payment currently on average?
10	MR. BUTLER: When they have a contract and
11	they have it'd be immediate if they need the money.
12	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Right. So you
13	notify immediately with an invoice, right? And you say,
14	Hey
15	MR. BUTLER: Not an invoice. But if the
16	contract's expired, you may have to say, Hey, per the
17	contract, you have not paid us in this amount of time,
18	yes.
19	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And your GCs would
20	be okay.
21	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Heffley?
22	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you.
23	Thank you for your testimony.
24	Just a couple of quick questions. You had
25	mentioned earlier one question about the sites and your

Page: 90 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	recommendation to send out a second notice about a check
2	being lost in the mail.
3	Do you still use checks in the mail? I
4	mean, isn't everything electronic now? Wouldn't you
5	know wouldn't you would know you would know
6	immediately if it was paid or not paid, right?
7	MR. BUTLER: It was a figure of speech.
8	Okay? But the the fact
9	COURT REPORTER: Can you speak into the
10	microphone.
11	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: The check's in the
12	mail.
13	MR. BUTLER: The check's in the mail.
14	You're right. And you're right. But if someone hasn't
15	been paid
16	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Hank, can you speak into
17	the microphone for the reporter.
18	MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
19	It was a figure of speech. But, yes, I
20	other ways of payments, absolutely, they're automatic
21	like everything else.
22	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: In many of the
23	contracts that you would negotiate with your subs and
24	those subs that use subs, do you notify?
25	So you would know if a sub used a sub on

Page: 91 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	one of your jobs, you would know who that sub the
2	third sub would be, right?
3	MR. BUTLER: No. Not until now.
4	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But you could
5	probably put that in there just to notify them that when
6	that sub was paid as well because they would be billed in
7	the same situation?
8	MR. BUTLER: With the legislation we just
9	passed with mechanic's lien, yes, now there are ways to
10	know who is on the job and who is not, yes.
11	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: If the third-party
12	sub contacted and said, Look, I didn't get paid from the
13	subcontractor, did the subcontractor get paid, you could
14	let them know instantly pretty much?
15	MR. BUTLER: As a matter of fact, the
16	website actually has in there if you're not paid by the
17	time, the name goes, like, red and there's a way to know
18	who's been paid as of this moment you haven't been paid.
19	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Well, I guess I'm
20	just trying to understand you're saying that a third
21	party and they come to the general contractor and say,
22	Look, I haven't been paid by the sub, then a lot of times
23	the GC would then pay that third party?
24	MR. BUTLER: Yes.
25	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But couldn't you

Page: 92 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 go to them and say, Look, the sub was already paid, you 2 would know that right away, wouldn't you tell that 3 third-party sub? 4 MR. BUTLER: Again, in a perfect world, 5 yes, the sub would -- they notify the sub, Hey, pay this guy, pay this company, we have to get this done. 6 7 But, again, in some cases and a federal lien coming in -- which, again, having a lien filed is 8 9 not a -- is not a happy-qo-lucky skip down the lane. 10 So to have that notification right there on 11 the job does help. But I have to remind you, the bill 12 that we passed, the mechanic's liens that was enacted a 13 month ago is only for projects of \$1.5 million or more. So we're talking about small jobs it 1415 doesn't even apply. I'd love to have that number go down 16 to a million or even a half a million dollars on those 17 projects. 18 We can agree to that? So I want to see that happen. But it's 19 20 But, no, up until recently, we would not know that 1.5. 21 a sub was on it or a supplier was on the job and if they weren't paid by the subcontractor and they only way 22 they'd be notified is, Hey, we're going to file a lien 23 24 against you. And that's not a lot of time, it's 25

Page: 93 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	embarrassing, it hurts reputations so, you know, what,
2	I'll just pay it and get it over with and take the loss.
3	That does happen.
4	And, hopefully, this new law will start to
5	change. And no one wants to not pay for work rendered.
6	We just want to make sure we do it right.
7	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Representative Quinn?
8	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Thanks. Thank you,
9	Chairman.
10	Mr. Butler, you mentioned during your
11	testimony that you had some concern with some of the
12	language. Specifically, you mentioned substantial
13	completion and good faith.
14	MR. BUTLER: Just clean up this is from
15	the previous bill. We saw the draft language of
16	Representative Maloney's bill yesterday. I didn't have a
17	chance to look at it.
18	I'm taking from previous testimonies over
19	the past three sessions. Just to clean up the language,
20	make it very clear what that means.
21	So just as we go through the process, what
22	is the definition of good faith? What is the definition
23	of the completion? Just to make sure that everything is
24	done.
25	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Okay. So would you

Page: 94 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	like to offer some clarification
2	MR. BUTLER: I'd love to offer some
3	clarification.
4	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: in the process?
5	I don't think that's unreasonable.
6	MR. BUTLER: Once we have the language all
7	set, I will sit down with our attorneys and counsels and
8	ABC and we'll talk, yeah, definitely.
9	REPRESENTATIVE QUINN: Thank you.
10	MR. BUTLER: Any other members with
11	questions?
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mr. Chair, just wanted to
13	acknowledge a staff person from Councilwoman Cindy Bass'
14	office who has been a long-time general contractor in
15	Philadelphia County. That's Mr. Tyrone Barge. Just
16	wanted to acknowledge his presence.
17	CHAIRMAN ELLIS: Well, I want to thank the
18	members for coming today and certainly all the folks in
19	attendance and especially the testifiers.
20	And I will say on a personal level that I'm
21	excited about the conversations I've had from all parties
22	so far.
23	The days of drawing lines in the sand and
24	just hoping that we don't do anything have passed. And I
25	think that's acknowledged by all parties.

Page: 95 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1	And I look forward to working with this
2	Committee and with the help of folks that testified today
3	and the organizations they represent to move this process
4	forward.
5	And so, again, thank you very much for
6	coming.
7	(Hearing concluded at 11:51 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page: 96 PUBLIC HEARING, 3/1/2017

1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, SUZANNE WALINSKY, a Court Reporter and 4 Commissioner of Deeds, hereby certify that the foregoing 5 is a true and accurate transcript of the deposition of 6 said witness who was first duly sworn by me on the date 7 and place herein before set forth. 8 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, not related to nor employed by 9 10 any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further that I am not a relative or 11 12 employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this 13 action, nor am I financially interested in this case. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 lyanse Walensky 22 23 24 SUZANNE WALINSKY Court Reporter and

25

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS