

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 2017

SESSION OF 2017

201ST OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 54

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

The SPEAKER. The prayer today will be offered by Rev. Alan Crippen II of the American Bible Society in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and he is the guest of our friend and colleague, Representative Roe.

I had the good pleasure to talk to Father Crippen, and he may in his prayer tell you a little bit about the American Bible Society that goes back to the founding, the founding of our country. And if you have an opportunity to speak with him and Representative Roe, I would urge you all to do so.

Father Crippen, please come up to the rostrum.

REV. ALAN R. CRIPPEN II, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Almighty God, who has given us this good land of Pennsylvania for our heritage, we humbly ask You that we may always prove ourselves to be a people mindful of Your favor and glad to do Your will. Bless our land with a vibrant economy, sound learning, public virtues, and the civility of respect. Save us, we pray, from violence, discord, and confusion; from pride and arrogance; and from every evil way. Defend our liberties and fashion into one united people the multitudes brought here from other lands, religions, and cultures. Endue with the spirit of wisdom those to whom in Your name we entrust the authority of government.

This day, most gracious Father, we humbly seek Your favor for all the people of this Commonwealth, and especially for their House of Representatives of the General Assembly gathered in this chamber; that You would be pleased to direct and prosper all their consultations, deliberations, and decisions to the advancement of Your glory, the good of Your church, the safety, honor, and welfare of Your people; that all things pertaining to the well-being of this Commonwealth may be so ordered and settled by their endeavors, upon the best and most sure foundations, that peace and happiness, truth and justice, religion and its free exercise, may be secured among us for all generations. These and all other necessities, for them and for

Your people, we humbly ask in the name and mediation of the one who was, the one who is, and the one who is to come. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, September 19, 2017, will be postponed until printed.

JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. However, the following 2017 Journals are in print and, without objection, will be approved:

Wednesday, April 5, 2017;
Monday, April 17, 2017;
Tuesday, April 18, 2017;
Wednesday, April 19, 2017; and
Monday, April 24, 2017.

The SPEAKER. I am going to ask the good Reverend just a few questions for the edification of our members and guests.

Reverend, when was the American Bible Society founded? 1816.

And you are going to have to say the first president of the American Bible Society's name and where he was from.

REVEREND CRIPPEN. The first president of the American Bible Society was Elias Boudinot, who served as president of the Continental Congress from 1782 until 1783, and he was born and reared in Philadelphia.

The SPEAKER. The second president was John Jay, the first Chief Justice.

Can you also tell everybody just about the plans down in Philadelphia for the American Bible Society?

REVEREND CRIPPEN. I am happy to do so.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Bible Society was in New York City for 199 years, and 2 years ago it came home to Pennsylvania, to Philadelphia, to Independence Mall, and our plan is to build a visitor attraction center there called the Faith and Liberty Discovery Center to explore the role of the Bible in the American experience from the founding to the present day.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Reverend. Thanks for being with us.

REVEREND CRIPPEN. Thank you.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The majority whip, Bryan Cutler, requests leaves of absence for the following members: Representative Kathy WATSON of Bucks County for the day, Representative Kerry BENNINGHOFF of Centre County for the day, Representative Scott PETRI of Bucks County for the day, Representative Tim HENNESSEY of Chester County for the day, and Representative Harry LEWIS of Chester County for the week. Without objection, those will be granted.

The minority whip, Mike Hanna, requests leaves of absence for the following members: Representative Flo FABRIZIO of Erie County for the day, Representative Kevin HAGGERTY of Lackawanna County for the day, Representative Rob MATZIE of Beaver County for the day, Representative Jake WHEATLEY of Allegheny County for the day, Representative Ed GAINNEY of Allegheny County for the day, Representative Donna BULLOCK of Philadelphia County for the day, Representative Brian KIRKLAND of Delaware County for the day, and Representative Kevin BOYLE of Philadelphia County for the day. Without objection, those will be granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. Members, we are going to proceed to vote on the master roll. Members, please proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—189

Baker	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barbin	English	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causer	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper

Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—13

Benninghoff	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Boyle	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Bullock	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio			

LEAVES ADDED—2

Cox	Mehaffie
-----	----------

LEAVES CANCELED—3

Benninghoff	Boyle	Hennessey
-------------	-------	-----------

The SPEAKER. One hundred and eighty-nine members having voted on the roll, there is a quorum.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 783, PN 858 By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in certificate of title and security interests, further providing for transfer of ownership of vehicles used for human habitation.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 1402, PN 2465 (Amended) By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a portion of State Route 1001 in Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Lance Corporal Michael L. Freeman, Jr., Memorial Highway; designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 533 over Muddy Run, Southampton Township, Franklin County, as the Private First Class Dana Edward Diehl Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1004 over the Conococheague Creek, Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Private Charles W. "Bill" Roher Memorial Bridge.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 1646, PN 2466 (Amended) By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in licensing of drivers, further providing for suspension of operating privilege; and, in miscellaneous provisions, further providing for careless driving.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 1657, PN 2467 (Amended) By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in rules of the road in general, further providing for additional parking regulations.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 1778, PN 2405 By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1005, also known as Castor Avenue, over the Frankford Creek in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, as the Officer Gary Frank Skerski Memorial Bridge.

TRANSPORTATION.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 515 By Representatives FRITZ, BERNSTINE, BAKER, MACKENZIE, MILLARD and RYAN

A Resolution urging the Delaware River Basin Commission to suspend consideration of a moratorium on natural gas drilling in the Delaware River Basin.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, September 21, 2017.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1790 By Representatives ROTHMAN, SACCONI, FEE, IRVIN, STAATS, MENTZER, MARSICO, PHILLIPS-HILL, WARD, HICKERNELL, MILLARD, ZIMMERMAN, TALLMAN, A. HARRIS, B. MILLER, LAWRENCE and GROVE

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school district financial recovery, providing for exemption from prevailing wage laws.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, September 21, 2017.

No. 1796 By Representatives KORTZ, BURNS, WARNER, READSHAW, BARBIN, DeLUCA and D. COSTA

An Act amending Title 4 (Amusements) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for video gaming; and establishing the Video Gaming Account and the City of the First Class Nuisance Bar Enforcement Tax Force Account.

Referred to Committee on GAMING OVERSIGHT, September 19, 2017.

No. 1797 By Representatives KORTZ, MATZIE, KULIK, MILLARD, McNEILL, DeLUCA, NEILSON and THOMAS

An Act amending Title 4 (Amusements) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, further providing for general and specific powers, for licensed gaming entity

application appeals from board, for board minutes and records and for regulatory authority of board; providing for slot machines in qualified airports; in revenues, further providing for establishment of State Gaming Fund and net slot machine revenue distribution, for Pennsylvania Race Horse Development Fund and for Pennsylvania Gaming Economic Development and Tourism Fund; and, in administration and enforcement, further providing for responsibility and authority of the Department of Revenue, for financial and employment interests, for investigations and enforcement and for prohibited acts and penalties.

Referred to Committee on GAMING OVERSIGHT, September 19, 2017.

No. 1798 By Representatives KORTZ, MATZIE, KINSEY, BARRAR, McNEILL, MILLARD, MULLERY, SAINATO, DeLUCA and MASSER

An Act amending the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, in State Lottery, further providing for definitions and for powers and duties of secretary and providing for keno authorization.

Referred to Committee on GAMING OVERSIGHT, September 19, 2017.

No. 1799 By Representatives BULLOCK, DONATUCCI, BOYLE, THOMAS, SOLOMON, DRISCOLL, KINSEY, RABB and ROZZI

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in restructuring of electric utility industry, further providing for duties of electric distribution companies and for additional alternative energy sources.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, September 21, 2017.

No. 1803 By Representatives D. COSTA, DEAN, MURT, YOUNGBLOOD, JAMES, KAVULICH, SCHLOSSBERG, CALTAGIRONE, PASHINSKI, SCHWEYER, ROZZI, DONATUCCI, KINSEY, FREEMAN, HARKINS, RAVENSTAHL, READSHAW, VAZQUEZ, V. BROWN, DeLUCA, HILL-EVANS, DRISCOLL and WARREN

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in assault, further providing for the offense of ethnic intimidation; and making an editorial change.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 21, 2017.

No. 1804 By Representatives DAWKINS, J. HARRIS, RABB, VAZQUEZ and DALEY

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for mental health evaluations.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 21, 2017.

No. 1805 By Representatives WARD, RAPP, JAMES, ZIMMERMAN, DeLUCA, JOZWIAK, WATSON, WHEELAND, MILNE, GILLEN and SCHWEYER

An Act regulating the practice of surgical technology and surgical technologists.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, September 21, 2017.

No. 1806 By Representatives DELOZIER, MARSICO, BAKER, D. COSTA, COX, CUTLER, PHILLIPS-HILL, IRVIN, MILLARD, ROTHMAN, SAYLOR, STEPHENS, WATSON, WHEELAND and KLUNK

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1998 (P.L.882, No.111), known as the Crime Victims Act, further providing for definitions; and making a related editorial change to Title 18 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 21, 2017.

No. 1807 By Representatives READSHAW, THOMAS, MILLARD, BAKER, McNEILL, TALLMAN, PASHINSKI, JOZWIAK, DeLUCA, D. COSTA and KORTZ

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1972 (P.L.233, No.64), known as The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act, further providing for prohibited acts and penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 21, 2017.

No. 1808 By Representatives READSHAW, BAKER, SCHLOSSBERG, MILLARD, STEPHENS, PASHINSKI, BARBIN, BERNSTINE, KORTZ, DRISCOLL, DeLUCA and WARREN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitation of time, further providing for other offenses.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 21, 2017.

No. 1809 By Representatives MURT, KINSEY, V. BROWN, DALEY, DEAN, DRISCOLL, McCLINTON, READSHAW, ROEBUCK, ROZZI, THOMAS and VAZQUEZ

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for use of electroconvulsive therapy.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, September 21, 2017.

No. 1811 By Representatives ROTHMAN, MATZIE, DRISCOLL, JAMES, MILLARD, READSHAW, TALLMAN, DUSH and GILLEN

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for automated license plate reader systems; and imposing a penalty.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, September 21, 2017.

No. 1812 By Representatives TAYLOR, SOLOMON, DRISCOLL, KINSEY, SANTORA, MILLARD, W. KELLER,

A. HARRIS, ROEBUCK, DONATUCCI, MARSHALL, O'BRIEN, JOZWIAK, WATSON and NEILSON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in nuisances, providing for chronic nuisances.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, September 22, 2017.

No. 1813 By Representatives TAYLOR, SOLOMON, DRISCOLL, KINSEY, SANTORA, MILLARD, A. HARRIS, ROEBUCK, DONATUCCI, MARSHALL, O'BRIEN, WATSON and NEILSON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in nuisances, further providing for the offense of scattering rubbish.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, September 22, 2017.

No. 1814 By Representatives M. K. KELLER, MASSER, D. COSTA, GILLEN, GOODMAN, A. HARRIS, HENNESSEY, B. MILLER, NEILSON, SOLOMON and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), known as the Real Estate Tax Sale Law, in short title and definitions, further providing for definitions; and, in sale of property, further providing for repurchase by owner and providing for limitation on trusteeship and for ownership interests and responsibilities of delinquent property owner.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, September 25, 2017.

No. 1816 By Representatives McCARTER, V. BROWN, MILLARD, NEILSON, ROZZI, WATSON and FREEMAN

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in tax for education, further providing for exclusion from sales tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, September 25, 2017.

No. 1817 By Representatives McCARTER, V. BROWN, SCHLOSSBERG, SAMUELSON, McNEILL, DeLUCA, THOMAS, FREEMAN, SCHWEYER, SOLOMON and KINSEY

An Act establishing the Senior Citizen Retirement Investment Protection Commission.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND OLDER ADULT SERVICES, September 25, 2017.

No. 1818 By Representatives McCARTER, V. BROWN, D. COSTA, MURT, CALTAGIRONE, DeLUCA and KINSEY

An Act providing for labeling, signage and restrictions on sales and use relating to neonicotinoid pesticides.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, September 25, 2017.

SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

SB 135, PN 116

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, September 21, 2017.

SB 252, PN 232

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, September 21, 2017.

SB 751, PN 925

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE, September 21, 2017.

SB 785, PN 1092

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, September 21, 2017.

The SPEAKER. Members, please take your seats. We are going to be introducing guests who have traveled some distance to be with us today. Please take your seats. The Sergeants at Arms, if you could close the doors, just temporarily, because we have some important guests to introduce today. All of our guests are important, but we are going to have somewhat formal presentations. Could everybody please take their seats. Thank you.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. To the left of the rostrum, we welcome Sheree Palmer, who is interning with Representative Tina Davis' district office. Sheree, great to have you here today. Thank you for being with us.

In the well of the House, we welcome guest page – if he will stand – Roy "Trey" Wilt III from Greenville. You can imagine this kid is a pretty good athlete. Trey is the nephew of former House member Rod Wilt, the grandson of former House member and former Senator Roy Wilt, and the great-grandson of former House member Raymond Wilt. He is the guest of his good friend and mentor, Representative Parke Wentling. Trey, welcome.

And at this time we are going to open the doors of the House, but we are going to ask Representative Kortz and Representative Saccone to please come to the rostrum for the purpose of presenting a citation to a championship team. Both members will be speaking.

**SOUTH PARK HIGH SCHOOL
GIRLS SOFTBALL TEAM PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Saccone, how far have these young ladies traveled?

So some 200 miles and well over 3 hours to make this trip. We are so excited to have them.

Representative Saccone will begin our presentation, and you may begin, Representative Saccone.

Mr. SACCONI. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, colleagues, ladies and gentlemen, it gives me great pleasure to introduce your PIAA AAA State Championship South Park Girls Softball Team.

The SPEAKER. Team, please stand up. He is introducing the whole team.

Mr. SACCONI. The team is in the back also.

The road to the championship was not an easy one, Mr. Speaker. South Park became the number three seed from District 7, giving them a difficult path to the championship game. They would have to beat District 4 champions in the PIAA playoffs to win the State title.

On Monday, June 5, South Park defeated District 10 champion Titusville 16 to 1. Next up were the WPIAL champions, Ellwood City. On June 8, Eagles pitcher Justine Dean threw an impressive 11-nothing shutout to advance this team to the semifinal showdown. South Park then went on to defeat Philipsburg-Osceola 4 to nothing in the semifinals, vaulting them into the championship game. On Friday, June 16, the South Park Eagles squared off against a tough Pine Grove opponent. South Park started off strong with a leadoff followed by Jessica Dean, who is with us here today. Oh, she is in the back. Wave, Jessica. There you go. In the bottom of the seventh, with two outs and runners on second and third with Pine Grove's cleanup hitter at the plate, Justine Dean held their power hitter to a line out to Jessica Dean to win and clinch the first State championship ever.

Now Representative Kortz is going to take over with the rest of the presentation.

The SPEAKER. Representative Kortz.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this championship softball team finished with an overall record of 21 and 3. Going into the championship game, they scored a total of 31 runs and only allowed 1 run in the PIAA playoffs. The final season stats were very impressive: .422 batting average, 45 doubles, 13 triples, and 110 stolen bases.

Mr. Speaker, the only senior on the team, Justine Dean, finished with an impressive 133 strikeouts and a 1.8 ERA (earned run average) in her final season. She will be playing college softball for Mercyhurst North East.

Mr. Speaker, the South Park Girls Softball Team is led by head coach Larry Mercurio and assistant coaches Angela Slappo, Jeff Pavlick, and Jim Shine.

And we are joined on the podium today by the head coach, Larry Mercurio, and captains Katlyn Pavlick and Kaitlyn Morrison, and in the rear of the House, we have the players who are now standing: Jessica Dean, Laura Shinavski, Charlyn Blackburn, Maddy Serbak, Jill Davison, Morgan Seles, Chelsea Carozza, Kelli Mesick; assistant coach Angela Slappo; and Dr. Dave Palmer, principal at South Park High School. And with us in the gallery are the parents of the girls team.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask all of my colleagues to join Representative Saccone and myself in congratulating the PIAA AAA State Champion South Park Girls Softball Team.

The SPEAKER. Moms, dads, grandparents, thanks so much for joining the young ladies in the trip to the State Capitol today.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Kerry Benninghoff is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. SCHWEYER called up **HR 489, PN 2394**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of September 2017 as "Military Suicide Prevention and Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. STURLA called up **HR 496, PN 2414**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of September 24 through 30, 2017, as "National Adult Education and Family Literacy Week" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. READSHAW called up **HR 498, PN 2415**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the continuing work of the Veterans Courts in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. READSHAW called up **HR 502, PN 2428**, entitled:

A Resolution designating September 26, 2017, as "Women In Bio Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roac

Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causer	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
DeLozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. DUSH called up **HR 487, PN 2390**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the United States Air Force on the 70th anniversary of its creation.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Representative Dush.

Members, please take your seats. He is going to be introducing outstanding public servants as part of his remarks. Members, please take your seats.

You may begin, Representative Dush.

Mr. DUSH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today asking for your support in voting for HR 487. As an Air Force veteran and a recent retiree from the Pennsylvania Air National Guard, I am truly humbled and honored to sponsor this resolution to officially recognize the 70th anniversary of the founding of the United States Air Force.

On September 18, 1947, the Air Force was created as a separate and equal branch of the military service with the passage of the National Security Act. Signed into law by President Harry Truman while in flight aboard the first official Presidential aircraft, a Douglas VC-54C named "Sacred Cow," the National Security Act reflected the fact that American air power had developed to the point where its responsibilities were equal to those of land and sea power.

Without question, air power truly came of age during the World War II era, just a few short years earlier. As American pilots bravely and effectively fought in the skies above the European and Pacific theaters, it became abundantly clear that both the strategic and tactical battlespace had developed into a three-dimensional sphere never known before. The effectiveness of those airmen caused the military and civilian leaders of America to begin the historic process of creating the separate service branch in very short order.

The United States Air Force subsequently played key roles in countering the Communist threat during the cold war era, humanitarian missions around the world, and threats to Americans and those in other countries from terrorist organizations.

Our Air National Guard has also responded to national disasters such as hurricanes around the United States. In fact, just in the recent weeks in the Pennsylvania Air National Guard, over a dozen C-130 and C-17 aircraft were loaded up by airmen from the Pennsylvania Air National Guard, going outside of the scope of their normal duties, which created entire cargo planes full of supplies for the people that were in need in those areas, along with a 23-vehicle convoy. We also spun up the 271st, creating comms for the areas that were affected, and the first-ever stateside download of live RC-26 videos so that our first responders could get to the scenes quickly and evaluate the scenes before they even got there and be able to more effectively put resources onto scene.

Today we recognize the dedicated individuals of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard and today's Air Force. We recognize how proudly and successfully our airmen perform their missions on a global scale. Its highly trained service members use state-of-the-art technology to quickly engage the defense and protection of American citizens and our allies.

Seventy years later, the brave and dedicated airmen and airwomen who currently make up the U.S. Air Force should be applauded for their continuance in adhering to a very specific set of core values, which include "Integrity first," which is a commitment to courage, honesty, responsibility, accountability, justice, openness, self-respect, and humility; "Service before self," which is a commitment to rule-following, respect for others, discipline, and self-control; lastly, "Excellence in all we

do," which is a commitment to excellence in the areas of product and service, personal and professional life, community, resources, and operations.

We are joined today – and, sirs, I request that you stand as I call your name, please – by the Adjutant General of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard, Maj. Gen. Anthony "Tony" Carrelli; and Deputy Adjutant General of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard, Col. Michael James Regan, Jr. As they represent the men and women who put themselves in harm's way for us, I would ask the members and the guests to demonstrate their appreciation for the sacrifices they and the men and women with whom we serve have made for us.

Thank you, General and Colonel; and thank you, members. May God bless everyone who joins with me in adopting this resolution, and may God continue to bless the United States of America and all who defend her.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Dush, will you come up to the rostrum. We will take some photos with the Adjutant General, Maj. Gen. Tony Carrelli, and with the Deputy Adjutant General, Col. Michael J. Regan, Jr.

Representative Dush is recognized at the podium.

Mr. DUSH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just very quickly, if there are any other Air Force veterans here, Air National Guard veterans who would like to come down and have their photos taken along with the General and the Colonel, you are welcome to come down now.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causer	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor

Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufe	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. ROTHMAN called up **HR 473, PN 2367**, entitled:

A Resolution commemorating the 250th anniversary of St. Stephen Evangelical Lutheran Church in New Kingstown, Cumberland County, which coincides with the 500th anniversary of the Protestant Reformation advanced by German theologian Martin Luther.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causser	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims

Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufe	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. ROTHMAN called up **HR 500, PN 2426**, entitled:

A Resolution congratulating the Synod of the Trinity in Camp Hill on its 300th anniversary.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora

Causer	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

UNCONTESTED SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. QUINN called up **HR 505, PN 2438**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of September 2017 as "Sepsis Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. KINSEY called up **HR 511, PN 2447**, entitled:

A Resolution designating September 25, 2017, as the "Day of Remembrance for Murdered Victims" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. BENNINGHOFF called up **HR 513, PN 2449**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of October 2017 as "Employee Ownership Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causer	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

The SPEAKER. Now, we do have three members that wish to speak on uncontested resolutions. They have all been passed unanimously. At the request of one of our members, we are going to ask those members to hold off. At the request of a good gentleman from Chester County, we are going to ask those members to hold off until later in the day and we are going to move to the regular calendar. So the members that wish to speak on uncontested resolutions – they do not have guests here – we will be calling those after the regular voting calendar to come up and speak.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Now, at this time the Chair is going to call upon, for announcements, the majority Appropriations chair, for a committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, the Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The majority caucus chair, for an announcement, please.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 2 o'clock. We would be prepared to return to the floor at 3 o'clock.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The minority caucus chair, for an announcement, please.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will also caucus at 2 o'clock. Democrats will caucus at 2 o'clock.

The SPEAKER. So, members, right now the Appropriations Committee will be meeting in the majority caucus room, and then there will be 2 o'clock caucuses both for the majority party and the minority party. We also have one other committee announcement.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Chairman Rob Kauffman of the Labor and Industry Committee, for an announcement.

Mr. KAUFFMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be an immediate meeting of the House Labor and Industry Committee in G-50, Irvis Office Building, and we will be considering HB 1597. Thank you. Immediate meeting in G-50.

The SPEAKER. G-50, Labor and Industry will be meeting. Labor and Industry will be meeting immediately in G-50.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PASHINSKI

The SPEAKER. Representative Eddie Day Pashinski has requested to be recognized, and we will do that right now. Representative Pashinski, the floor is yours.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce that House Discharge Resolution No. 4 has been complied with, and I am asking for it to be presented on Wednesday, September 27, or any day thereafter related to HB 1416.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Please make sure you speak to your leaders.

Mr. PASHINSKI. I am sorry, sir. What was that?

The SPEAKER. I would just say, that is recognized. It is on the record. I would just also ask you to please make sure you are speaking to your leaders in the caucus.

Mr. PASHINSKI. I believe I have already received permission.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Members, the House will stand in recess until 3 p.m. The House will stand in recess until 3 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 555, PN 578

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating a portion of State Route 562 in Berks County as the General Carl A. Spaatz Memorial Highway.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1510, PN 2409

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of Avenue A over the Allegheny River, Coudersport Borough, Potter County, as the Commander Philip F. "Jet" Palmatier, Jr., Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of Sunnyside Road over the Oswayo Creek, Shinglehouse Borough, Potter County, as the PVT Malon Stanley Memorial Bridge.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1602, PN 2120

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1008 over the Codorus Creek, in East Manchester Township and Hellam Township, York County, as the SPC Martin Wilson Kondor Memorial Bridge.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1608, PN 2126

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating the bridge carrying U.S. Route 22 over the Juniata River, Norfolk Southern Corporation rail tracks and State Route 1010 (Penn Street) in Smithfield and Henderson Townships, Huntingdon County, as the Trooper Landon E. Weaver Memorial Bridge.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

HB 1597, PN 2106

By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp.Sess., 1937 P.L.2897, No.1), known as the Unemployment Compensation Law, in determination of compensation, appeals, reviews and procedure, further providing for determination of compensation appeals and for decision of referee and further appeals and reviews.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 555, PN 578**, entitled:

An Act designating a portion of State Route 562 in Berks County as the General Carl A. Spaatz Memorial Highway.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roe
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer

Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufer	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
DeLozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1510, PN 2409**, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of Avenue A over the Allegheny River, Coudersport Borough, Potter County, as the Commander Philip F. "Jet" Palmatier, Jr., Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of Sunnyside Road over the Oswayo Creek, Shinglehouse Borough, Potter County, as the PVT Malon Stanley Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Sacone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1602, PN 2120**, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1008 over the Codorus Creek, in East Manchester Township and Hellam Township, York County, as the SPC Martin Wilson Kondor Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Sacone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman

Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1608, PN 2126**, entitled:

An Act designating the bridge carrying U.S. Route 22 over the Juniata River, Norfolk Southern Corporation rail tracks and State Route 1010 (Penn Street) in Smithfield and Henderson Townships, Huntingdon County, as the Trooper Landon E. Weaver Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Baker	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Evans	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Everett	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Farry	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gabler	McCarter	Sankey
Carroll	Galloway	McClinton	Santora
Causser	Gergely	McGinnis	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	Mehaffie	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	Mentzer	Schlossberg

Christiana	Godshall	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Miccarelli	Sims
Cook	Grove	Millard	Snyder
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Solomon
Corr	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Staats
Costa, P.	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Murt	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Cutler	Helm	Neilson	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis	Irvin	Neuman	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Brien	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dean	Kampf	Oberlander	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
DeLozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-12

Boyle	Gainey	Kirkland	Petri
Bullock	Haggerty	Lewis	Watson
Fabrizio	Hennessey	Matzie	Wheatley

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1594, PN 2103**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 16, 1992 (P.L.155, No.28), known as the Assessors Certification Act, further providing for definitions and for duties of board; repealing provisions relating to qualifications; further providing for certification; providing for employees of political subdivisions; and further providing for disciplinary and correction measures and for unlawful practice.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1444, PN 1836**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in fees, further providing for annual hauling permits; and, in size, weight and load, further providing for permit for movement during course of manufacture.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1095, PN 1357**, entitled:

An Act requiring identification of lawful presence in the United States as a prerequisite to the receipt of public benefits.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are quite a few amendments.

We are going to begin with Representative Heffley's own amendment.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. I do see that Representative Kevin Boyle is on the House floor. He should be placed back on the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1095 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **HEFFLEY** offered the following amendment No. **A03316**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by striking out ", unemployment benefit"

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 6 through 8, by striking out "for an alien who has" in line 6, all of line 7 and "Homeland Security" in line 8

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Heffley has just indicated that he has withdrawn that amendment; 3316 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Matt Bradford is at the podium and is prepared.

Representative Bradford, to my count you have eight amendments. Is there any in particular you wish to call up first?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 1408 would be great. Thank you. Amendment 1408, please.

The SPEAKER. Yes.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A01408**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by striking out ", unemployment benefit"

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 6 through 8, by striking out "for an alien who has" in line 6, all of line 7 and "Homeland Security" in line 8

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 14 through 25, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 7, line 26, by striking out "7" and inserting

6

Amend Bill, page 8, line 2, by striking out "8" and inserting

7

Amend Bill, page 8, line 5, by striking out "9" and inserting

8

Amend Bill, page 8, line 17, by striking out "10" and inserting

9

Amend Bill, page 8, line 30, by striking out "11" and inserting

10

Amend Bill, page 9, line 10, by striking out "12" and inserting

11

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Jim COX has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1095 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the sponsor of the amendment, Representative Matt Bradford.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I stand to offer amendment 1408. Amendment 1408 would remove unemployment compensation as a public benefit for the purposes of this bill. And I believe this is also similar to the amendment that Representative Heffley just pulled down.

The SPEAKER. Representative Heffley, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. **HEFFLEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

After diving into this and really examining everything, I think it is important that we leave this check in there. These are public benefits, and I think it is important that anybody that is going to be applying for unemployment compensation be able to prove, obviously, that they are eligible for them, and this does provide them the option to sign an affidavit if they do not have any ID. And I think it is going to be a very small percentage. Most people, as somebody that worked in HR (Human Resources) would know, that when you go for a job you have to have all this proof of ID and your employer generally maintains that proof of ID, so I do not think it is, I do not think it is unacceptable to ask somebody just to have identification. I think when most people are applying for public benefits, they are going to make sure they have that identification; if not, there is the exemption there to fill out the affidavit so they can get that taken care of.

So I do think this amendment, sponsored by the gentleman from Montgomery County, is unnecessary, and I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, do you wish to go again? You may.

Mr. BRADFORD. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the gentleman, I would point out that he had an amendment that I think evidences the fact that it is pretty clear that L&I (Labor and Industry) has processes and procedures in place that prevent such an occurrence from happening. And L&I has let this body know that there is about a \$20 million cost in this additional check. While I believe a belt-and-suspenders approach makes sense, I think, at a time in which we are faced with these kinds of budget dilemmas, it is doing something that serves more of a political purpose than an actual public policy purpose.

And again I would just note that the gentleman had a similar amendment just moments ago, so I think there is a recognition that L&I already has such safeguards in place.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Tim Hennessey is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1095 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment on the House floor?

Representative Heffley, do you wish to speak for a second time or no? You may proceed.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

I would say, yes, I had considered this, and after delving into it and really looking into it, I think that the numbers that were put out I think are way off. Last session we had similar legislation; we were looking at a much smaller cost. I think you are looking at really the exception. There are going to be very, very few people, if anybody, that are going to come in and have to fill out an affidavit so that they would have to do this check.

So I do think the amendment, while well-intentioned, is unnecessary at this time. So I would ask for a "no" vote on the amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—69

Bizzarro	Deasy	Kavulich	Rabb
Boyle	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Bradford	DeLuca	Kim	Readshaw
Briggs	Dermody	Kinsey	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Krueger	Samuelson
Carroll	Evans	Kulik	Schlossberg
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schweyer
Comitta	Flynn	Markosek	Sims
Conklin	Frankel	McCarter	Snyder
Costa, D.	Freeman	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, P.	Galloway	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Gergely	Mullery	Thomas

Daley	Goodman	Neilson	Vazquez
Davidson	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Davis	Harkins	O'Brien	Warren
Dawkins	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean			

NAYS—122

Baker	Fritz	Maloney	Reese
Barbin	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sainato
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Burns	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Culver	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	James	Nelson	Taylor
Day	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
DeLozier	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kaufman	Oberlander	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Ortity	Topper
Dowling	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Ward
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Warner
Ellis	Klunk	Pyle	Wentling
Emrick	Knowles	Quigley	Wheeland
English	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	White
Evankovich	Longietti	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	
Farry	Maher	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, amendment 1605?
Mr. BRADFORD. Mr. Speaker, my hope is actually not to offer that amendment, if that is okay.

The SPEAKER. Okay. Is that withdrawn?

Mr. BRADFORD. It is withdrawn.

The SPEAKER. Okay.

Mr. BRADFORD. If it is okay, I would propose that we bring up amendment 3287.

The SPEAKER. Yep.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A03287**:

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 15 and 16
(vii) Any other document recognized by statute, regulation or guidance by the Federal Government to establish citizenship or an eligible immigration status.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford, on the amendment.

Sir, what we typically do is the sponsor of the amendment is called upon first to explain the amendment and to make comments on it.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I mentioned in offering the last amendment, at this point I would like to offer amendment 3287. This simply states that any guidance that the Federal government already gives that is sufficient for establishing residency should be accepted. To do otherwise obviously results in duplication. When we talk about the belt-and-suspenders approach, I think we are taking it to an absurd level. We are creating more government, more bureaucracy, more costs, and a less sufficient system. It may make good political reading, but the simple reality is, there is no purpose for it in law, and so that is why I offer this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Yes, sir.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized?

Then I will go to Representative Heffley, and then I will go to Representative Bradford.

Representative Carroll, do you wish to— Okay.

Representative Heffley, on the amendment.

Mr. **HEFFLEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I would say that the underlying legislation is very much necessary. And to point to that fact, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania had to pay \$48.8 million back to the Federal government because it was caught providing benefits to people that were not eligible for them, so I think this is a necessary step. And I think the amendment, while very well-intentioned, I think a lot of the provisions that are talked about in this amendment, if you read through the list, are already acceptable forms of identification. So I would say we really put a lot of thought and work into this legislation to ensure that nobody is going to be denied benefits. If anybody does not have ID, they can fill out an affidavit, at which then they will be run through the SAVE (Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements) system to make sure that they are – not just have an ID or they would be otherwise eligible for those benefits. So we want to make sure that everybody that is eligible is going to be receiving them.

So I think this amendment, once again, is unnecessary, and I would ask for a "no" vote.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative John Maher.

Mr. **MAHER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. Yes, sir. The individual has indicated he will so stand, and you may proceed, sir.

Mr. **MAHER**. Thank you very much.

The amendment provides that any other document that might be recognized under Federal statute or regulation in connection with somebody's citizenship would be permitted. There is an extensive list in this bill of documentation that is acceptable. What would be permitted under your amendment that is not already permitted in the bill?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. I am sorry, would the gentleman restate his question? I am not clear as to what he is getting at.

Mr. **MAHER**. What I am getting at is that your amendment is not clear about what would be accepted if your amendment is adopted that is not already enumerated in the bill.

I did not mean to stump the gentleman. That concludes my interrogation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let us vote "no."

The **SPEAKER**. Yes, sir. You may proceed.

Representative Bradford, are you responding—

Mr. **BRADFORD**. I believe – yes. There was supposed to be interrogation.

The **SPEAKER**. —because that does not count as a time.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. I appreciate the gentleman ending his interrogation so quickly.

I do not want my pregnant pause to be anything other than something that often is missed here, which would be a thoughtful response.

Let me give the gentleman the answer to his question. Obviously, there are current documents and there are future documents. And it is saying that any document that is accepted by the Federal government would be accepted. Again, I know that the gentleman talks about the need to limit government and shrink it, but when the political winds blow and the time is right for bills like this that really argue for insane and absurd results, I would argue that it is time to say, hey, maybe you guys want to put some truth behind the argument that you do not support redundant government and stop putting on such requirements—

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Bradford.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. — that are occurred in practice—

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Bradford—

Mr. **BRADFORD**. —and reality.

The **SPEAKER**. —if you could just suspend for a minute.

You may make that remark, but that is going into your remarks on the bill. If you are just responding to the interrogation, I think we are a little beyond that. But if you want to be called on to speak for the second time.

Representative Maher, sir, you may proceed. Still on interrogation, first time.

Mr. **MAHER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. First time.

Mr. **MAHER**. So to be clear, I believe—

The **SPEAKER**. Wait. Hold on. Members, it is not— Representative Bradford was not counted as speaking in his answer to Representative Maher because it was part of the interrogation; otherwise that would have been his second time speaking. So you are incorrect. And it is still the interrogation of the good gentlemen from Allegheny County and Washington County. He may proceed. They are still having a discussion.

You may proceed with the interrogation.

Mr. **MAHER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And if it would make my colleagues happier, let us count this as my second time on the amendment. So I hope everybody is pleased now.

But after that very thoughtful and expansive answer, we still have identified under the gentleman's amendment, exactly zero documents that are not already permitted in the bill that would be permitted. He says he is imagining maybe there will be some future documents. Well, I do not know what that is and I do not want to vote for whatever is in his imagination, so I would encourage us to just vote "no."

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

Representative Daley.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We actually had this question come on a Facebook message to my office from a constituent over the weekend, so we were looking into exactly how these reviews are made. And the Federal rules 42 CFR 435.406 and 8 U.S.C. § 1611 (a)(b)(1A), and 55 Pa. Code 150.11 spell it out. And so I think that Representative Bradford is correct in saying that these rules for how you can apply for these benefits are already, really, spelled out both in Federal rules and in the Pennsylvania Code, and to add something at this point just can potentially be more confusing and delay any benefits.

We have already gotten this done. If we are looking for streamlining government and making it more transparent, I do not see how adding additional layers actually really helps this, because we already have, the Federal government and the State government already have this in place.

So I am not sure that— This is why I would agree with Representative Bradford and ask that you vote for this, because we already have these things in place, the documents. I think we have already done that job.

The SPEAKER. Representative Doyle Heffley, for the second time.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And just to respond to some of the conversations or arguments that were made here, I would just have to cite that, once again, the settlement that Pennsylvania had to make with the Federal government for providing benefits to people that were not eligible for them and had to pay that money back as a need for some type of legislation to ensure that the people that are entitled to the benefits, that desperately need these benefits are receiving them, and they are not, these benefits are not being stolen, in a sense, by people that are not eligible. And I think that this legislation really puts in effect, if you do not have all the information that you need and you are going to get it, you can fill out an affidavit and you will receive the benefits right away. There is no delay at any time in this process for public benefits to people if they fill out the affidavit stating that they are hereby a citizen or another way eligible, because you do not just have to be a citizen to be eligible for these benefits. So when you fill that affidavit out, as long as they are eligible or a citizen and eligible for those benefits, they can fill that affidavit out and they will receive those benefits right away.

So I think there are a lot of safeguards already built in to ensure that the people that need these public benefits are going to be able to get them in a timely manner. So I would ask for "no" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—74

Barbin	Dean	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kortz	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Krueger	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Driscoll	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Goodman	Neuman	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	O'Brien	Vitali
Davidson	Harkins	Pashinski	Warren
Davis	Harris, J.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

NAYS—117

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Santora
Causer	Harper	Metzgar	Saylor
Charlton	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Helm	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Milne	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Moul	Stephens
Culver	Hill	Murt	Tallman
Cutler	Irvin	Mustio	Taylor
Day	James	Nelson	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	O'Neill	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kaufner	Oberlander	Topper
Dowling	Kauffman	Ortity	Walsh
Dunbar	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
Dush	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warner
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Quigley	Wheeland
English	Knowles	Quinn, C.	White
Evankovich	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	
Farry	Maher	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reed	Speaker
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford.
Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
The SPEAKER. Which amendment, sir?

Mr. BRADFORD. At this time I would ask we call up amendment 3295.

The SPEAKER. Members, we will have in front of us amendment 3295.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. BRADFORD offered the following amendment No. A03295:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 22 and 23

(f) Assistance to be provided to applicants.—An agency that administers public benefits shall provide assistance to all persons applying or renewing applications for public benefits who claim they are eligible for such benefits but lack the documents required under subsection (b)(1). The following apply:

(1) No application or renewal of public benefits shall be denied if a person has met all other conditions of eligibility for a public benefit and is cooperating with the agency in obtaining the documents required under this section. Every 60 days, the agency shall establish contact with the person to determine whether the individual is continuing to cooperate in obtaining the documents.

(2) Any individual denied a public benefit under this act shall have access to any and all administrative review provided by the agency or political subdivision in question with right of appeal to the relevant Commonwealth agency or appropriate court of law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

After hearing some of the arguments on some of the prior amendments, it is clear that there is a lot of empathy on both sides of the aisle, and these gentlemen have made clear that their intent is to help those who would otherwise be denied benefits they are lawfully entitled to because of their situation, their world status, maybe refugee or otherwise, that they do not currently have those documents in their possession.

But this is simply offering the Christian thing, which is the right to help these folks get those documents and saying that every one of our offices will be so welcoming to those individuals. If the intent of the gentleman is truly to make this a welcoming but also a compliant State, I think that one needs to be equally welcoming as they are compliant.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Doyle Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And once again I would say the amendment offered by my good friend from Montgomery County is unnecessary. If somebody would come in applying for benefits and they did not have an ID, they would just have to fill out the affidavit, so if that person handed in the affidavit to fill out, then they would be

getting those benefits right away. So I would have to say once again that this amendment is unnecessary, and I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, for a second time.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

With all due respect to my good colleague from Carbon, if you desire to put these types of duplicative requirements in place, I think it is the only appropriate thing, it is the only compassionate thing, it is the only thing that shows any sense of empathy for those who find themselves on our shores who need assistance, who may not have documents because maybe they are fleeing oppression or war or famine, that these individuals would at least have the assistance, a hand from government that will say, we will help you get those documents. We are not giving assistance. We are not doing anything other than saying that we are going to put a duty on ourselves to at least give these individuals who show themselves on our step some compassion.

So this amendment, I would argue, is simply an effort, a willingness to show compassion for those of us who need that assistance.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Heffley, on the amendment.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would say once again that if somebody comes into the office looking for benefits and they do not have the ID, they would fill out the affidavit. The affidavit is stated not that they are just a citizen; if they are a citizen, they can fill out the affidavit and say that they are citizen or that they are otherwise eligible for benefits. So I mean, we have already provided that alternative for the affidavit. So I would say that once again this amendment is unnecessary and ask for a "no" vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—71

Bizzarro	Deasy	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Kortz	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Krueger	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Kulik	Schlossberg
Carroll	Evans	Madden	Schweyer
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Sims
Comitta	Flynn	McCarter	Snyder
Conklin	Frankel	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, D.	Freeman	Miller, D.	Sturla
Costa, P.	Galloway	Mullery	Thomas
Cruz	Gergely	Murt	Tobash
Daley	Goodman	Neilson	Vazquez
Davidson	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Davis	Harkins	O'Brien	Warren
Dawkins	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean	Kavulich	Rabb	

NAYS—120

Baker	Fritz	Maloney	Reese
Barbin	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone

Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sainato
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Burns	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causser	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hill	Mustio	Stephens
Culver	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cutler	James	Nesbit	Taylor
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Delozier	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Diamond	Kaufner	Ortitay	Topper
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keefe	Petrarca	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warner
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wentling
Ellis	Klunk	Quigley	Wheeland
Emrick	Knowles	Quinn, C.	White
English	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Evankovich	Longietti	Rader	
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	Turzai,
Farry	Maher	Reed	Speaker
Fee	Mako		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The good gentleman had earlier indicated to me that – so this was not out of order – the other four amendments have been withdrawn: 3288 is withdrawn, 3289 is withdrawn, 3291 is withdrawn, and 3293 is withdrawn. Those are all withdrawn.

Representative Dean, I believe, is offering two amendments: 3284 and 3290.

Representative Dean, do you intend to offer both of them? Okay. So we will begin with 3284, please.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. DEAN offered the following amendment No. **A03284**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 14, by striking out "Possession or use" and inserting
Use

Amend Bill, page 7, line 17, by striking out "possesses or"

Amend Bill, page 7, line 19, by striking out "possesses or"

Amend Bill, page 7, line 21, by striking out "possesses or"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes Representative Madeleine Dean.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment is a very small amendment that simply removes two words. The words are "possesses or," "possesses or." So what this offense is, it says in the language of this bill, "A person commits an offense if the person...is not an authorized cardholder and possesses or uses an access device...." I think it is an overstatement in this language that we would want to make a felon out of someone who might find a lost wallet, pick it up, and have an ACCESS card in his or her possession. That shows no intent to commit a crime. They may be trying to find the owner of this wallet. I simply ask that we remove the word "possession" because it has no connection to intent to commit a felony. I do not think we want people simply holding a card, whether found or on behalf of somebody else – not going to use it, simply possessing it – to become a felon. So I ask that this very small amendment removing the word "possessor" be accepted.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Dean.

Representative Doyle Heffley, on the amendment.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And this part of the legislation I think is very important. It was brought to my attention by our local police departments who had, in the course of their routine work, come across many individuals who had multiple ACCESS cards, and it was further brought to my attention that many in the drug trade and drug trafficking will use ACCESS benefits cards as same as cash for drug transactions. One individual had 13 different ACCESS cards in their possession. And I think we want to make sure that these public access cards, that those benefits are going to go to the people that need them. And you have a lot of families that are struggling to put food on the table, and these ACCESS cards provide those public benefits to feed the family. Each family is entitled to just two cards, and so if one person has an addiction issue in that family and takes those cards and trades them for drugs, then nobody gets anything. So I think it is important to make sure that the people who are authorized to use these cards are doing that. And there are many provisions in here that already establish who would be allowed to have access to that, to these cards, but what we are really looking at is going after folks that are using these public benefits cards illegally.

And I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dean, for the second time.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you. And I appreciate my colleague's comments, but certainly exactly what he describes, the anecdote he describes would become a felony as soon as that person or the person to whom he exchanges it with accesses the device. There is the crime, there is the intent, there is the criminal behavior. Not a good Samaritan picking up a card. Not, for example, this bill as it is written now, with that one word, would prohibit a lawful present immigrant, such as those on a student or work visa, from possessing an ACCESS card on behalf of their citizen child. That would mean the parent could not, without becoming a felon, possess the card for their child. The crime comes in when you access the benefits and you should not be. This bill covers that. This is just a slight overstatement, a slight overwriting of the bill. I ask for a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Heffley, do you wish to go a second time?

You may proceed.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, these are facts. These are things that actually happened. Police have been reporting back to us that these cards are being used in these types of transactions and therefore the people that are not entitled to them. I would not understand why anybody would have 13 or 14 cards in their possession along with several fake IDs and why we would want to allow that person to continue to have these ACCESS cards. If somebody is a caregiver of a minor, they would be exempt; obviously, they would be able to use these cards for public benefit. So I think that once again this amendment also is unnecessary and is already addressed in the underlying bill.

I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—66

Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Rabb
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Readshaw
Brown, V.	Dermodoy	Kinsey	Roebuck
Caltagirone	Donatucci	Kortz	Rozzi
Carroll	Driscoll	Krueger	Samuelson
Cephas	Evans	Kulik	Schlossberg
Comitta	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Flynn	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Frankel	McCartner	Solomon
Costa, P.	Freeman	McClinton	Sturla
Cruz	Galloway	Miller, D.	Thomas
Daley	Gergely	Mullery	Vazquez
Davidson	Goodman	Neilson	Vitali
Davis	Hanna	O'Brien	Warren
Dawkins	Harkins	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean	Harris, J.		

NAYS—125

Baker	Fritz	Marshall	Roae
Barbin	Gabler	Marsico	Roe
Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gillespie	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Godshall	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bizzarro	Greiner	Mentzer	Sainato
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Miccarelli	Saylor
Burns	Harris, A.	Millard	Schemel
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Simmons
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Snyder
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Mustio	Stephens
Corr	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	James	Nesbit	Taylor
Cutler	Jozwiak	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Delozier	Kaufer	Oberlander	Toohil
Diamond	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
DiGirolamo	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Warner

Dush	Klunk	Pyle	Wentling
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	Wheeland
Emrick	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	White
English	Longiotti	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Evankovich	Mackenzie	Rader	
Everett	Maher	Rapp	Turzai,
Farry	Mako	Reed	Speaker
Fee	Maloney	Reese	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. DEAN offered the following amendment No. **A03290**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 22 and 23
(7) A person who seeks emergency disaster relief.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Dean.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

You see in the underlying language of this bill that there are some known exceptions that are written in and listed, and I thought it was particularly timely to remember what has happened just recently with Hurricane Harvey and Hurricane Irma. Hurricane Irma particularly hit hard the British Virgin Islands. People there lost absolutely everything money can buy. Everything money can buy. And they may not have thought to grab their documentation. They will, many will be seeking emergency disaster relief. Certainly, I hope we can add this small exception for a person who seeks emergency disaster relief may be accepted from this list of proofs.

I think it is timely. I think it is human. I think it is humane. And but for the grace of God go some of us, like some of the people on Tortola and many other places, we should not add more burdens to their very difficult path.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Doyle Heffley, on the amendment, please.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If you look at the Federal statute which gives Pennsylvania authority to enact this bill under section 8 U.S.C. § 1621, section (b), this exemption already applies; therefore, once again, this amendment is unnecessary because it is already covered in the underlying bill.

I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dean, on the amendment for the second time.

Mrs. DEAN. Again for my colleagues, I will just read to you the exact language that we are seeking to add so it is abundantly clear to anybody processing this legislation and impacting people. The exception would be for "a person who seeks emergency disaster relief." I hope we would not stand in the way of their benefit. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—73

Barbin	Deasy	Kavulich	Quinn, M.
Bizzarro	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Rabb
Boyle	DeLuca	Kim	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Dermody	Kinsey	Readshaw
Briggs	DiGirolamo	Kortz	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Krueger	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Kulik	Samuelson
Carroll	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Comitta	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Conklin	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder
Costa, D.	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, P.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Gergely	Murt	Thomas
Daley	Goodman	Neilson	Vazquez
Davidson	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Davis	Harkins	O'Brien	Warren
Dawkins	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean			

NAYS—118

Baker	Gabler	Maloney	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marshall	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	Masser	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Mehaffie	Sainato
Brown, R.	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Burns	Harper	Metcalfe	Santora
Causser	Harris, A.	Metzgar	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Miccarelli	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Corr	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Culver	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	James	Nelson	Taylor
Day	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
DeLozier	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kaufer	Oberlander	Toohil
Dowling	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dush	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Ward
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Pyle	Wentling
English	Knowles	Quigley	Wheeland
Evankovich	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	White
Everett	Longietti	Rader	Zimmerman
Farry	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Fee	Maher	Reed	Turzai,
Fritz	Mako	Reese	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. RABB offered the following amendment No. **A03294**:

Amend Bill, page 8, by inserting between lines 29 and 30 Section 11. Collection of information and reports.

(a) Report.—Each agency shall gather and study the impact of implementing this act and shall report, at least annually, to the Governor and the General Assembly, as to:

- (1) The cost of implementing this act. The cost shall include:
 - (i) Resources necessary to implement policies, procedures and implementation plans.
 - (ii) Changes or additions to paper forms.
 - (iii) Changes or additions to existing electronic systems.
 - (iv) Time required by the agency to comply with this act per application or reapplication.
 - (v) Personnel needed by the agency to comply with this act.
 - (vi) Resources related to the storage and retrieval of affidavits required under section 3.
 - (vii) Charges to verify the immigration status of each noncitizen applicant as required under section 4(a).
 - (viii) Charges to implement, including all training costs, the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) Program, or its successor, as required under section 4(a).

(2) The number of applications and renewals of applications for public benefits from United States citizens or individuals lawfully present in the United States pursuant to Federal law that were withdrawn or denied because the person lacked the documents required under section 3.

(3) The cost of compiling and providing the documents required under section 3 by individuals, businesses and organizations in the public and private sectors.

(b) Monitoring of SAVE program.—An agency that administers public benefits shall monitor the SAVE Program, or its successor, for verification errors and delays and shall provide an annual public report:

- (1) Describing the extent of the errors and delays.
- (2) Offering recommendations to ensure that the application of the SAVE program is not erroneously denying benefits to individuals lawfully residing in the United States pursuant to Federal law.

(c) Report.—An agency shall report errors under subsection (b) to the Department of Homeland Security.

Amend Bill, page 8, line 30, by striking out "11" and inserting

12

Amend Bill, page 9, line 10, by striking out "12" and inserting

13

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes Representative Rabb.

Mr. RABB. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As stated, this amendment simply requires each agency to gather and study the impact of implementing this act and report at least annually to gather, to share with the General Assembly and to the Governor the number of applicants and renewals of applications for public benefits from U.S. citizens or persons lawfully present; the cost of compiling and providing the documents required by individuals, businesses and organizations in the public and private sectors. This is essentially due diligence. We need to know how much this is going to cost and how this is going to impact various State agencies. This seems like a basic and reasonable thing; a conservative, fiscally responsible, and rigorous amendment for a bill that will have a significant impact on the Commonwealth.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the amendment, Representative Doyle Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I would just add that the savings would really be, if you look at the fact that we had to pay back \$48.8 million to the Federal government for benefits that were paid out, that was \$48.8 million that was not going out to people that need those public benefits. I think that this amendment, while well-intentioned, would actually add costs because now we are asking these agencies to do more. It is kind of like giving a cost analysis on the cost analysis, and it is just going to really – it is redundant and it is going to add costs. Last session similar legislation the Senate Appropriations staff had put on a cost of about \$500,000, but if you are looking at the savings in ensuring that public benefits are only given out to those that are here to receive them, then I think that would, you know, speak for itself.

I would ask for "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Rabb.

Mr. RABB. I appreciate your response to my initial comments. This is essentially performance-based. This is exactly what our body has been dealing with for months and months. And I get it, I am a freshman, so I have only had 10 months of exposure to what I thought was performance-based budgeting, etc., so you will have to forgive me, but from my perspective, this seems to be the norm in the majority party. This is due diligence.

I am curious, frankly, how this might impact those people who are, say, pro-veteran. I imagine we have some veterans here and people who support veterans. There are veterans who are undocumented Americans. They are not kicked out of their service. What happens if they fall on hard times? I would like to know how this bill is going to impact Americans who serve.

I understand the political moment. I understand how this may make some people look tough on crime or protecting our borders, perhaps, but it is disingenuous in the context of, at the end of the day, this impacts people's lives – the lives of children, the lives of children whose parents, irrespective of their documentation, pay taxes too, who are farm workers in many of our districts, who work in restaurants in many of our districts, who work in hospitality, perhaps in some of the hotels where we stay after we leave here. I would just love to know what the impact is because, frankly, the very people who are the most

vulnerable are the folks we often need the most to sustain the industries that sustain us and our economy, outside of the fact that all of these people who are being impacted are human beings.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Steve Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I notice that the bill in chief, HB 1095, does not have a fiscal note attached. I do know the gentleman from Carbon reported a statistic from a previous year. Without the fiscal note available on this legislation, I think it is a very reasonable request the gentleman from Philadelphia makes that we have an annual report on the cost of implementation. So I urge a "yes" vote for Representative Rabb's amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—74

Barbin	Dean	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kortz	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Krueger	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Driscoll	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Goodman	Neuman	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	O'Brien	Vitali
Davidson	Harkins	Pashinski	Warren
Davis	Harris, J.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

NAYS—117

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Santora
Causar	Harper	Metzgar	Saylor
Charlton	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Helm	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Milne	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Moul	Stephens
Culver	Hill	Murt	Tallman
Cutler	Irvin	Mustio	Taylor
Day	James	Nelson	Tobash
DeLozier	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	O'Neill	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kaufman	Oberlander	Topper
Dowling	Kauffman	Ortitay	Walsh
Dunbar	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
Dush	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warner
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Quigley	Whealand
English	Knowles	Quinn, C.	White
Evankovich	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman

Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	
Farry	Maher	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reed	Speaker
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment
No. **A03309**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 22 and 23
(7) A person who has been honorably discharged from
the armed forces of the United States.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes
Representative McCarter.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a very straightforward amendment that deals with the fact that we have over 65,000 noncitizen soldiers actively on duty in the United States. Many of them have served with distinction; many of them have done things that surely all of us could honor every day in the United States, and yet to subject them to this same thing while we are giving exemptions for various different things in this bill seems to me to be out of place.

So I would respectfully ask for your support of the idea that all of the noncitizens who are honorably discharged from the American Armed Forces, that they would be exempt from this particular measure. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Doyle Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I share my colleague's passion for our veterans and those that served as citizens and noncitizens. And once again, to go back to the affidavit, what the affidavit, when they are signing that affidavit, they can either say that they are a citizen or that they otherwise qualify for those benefits. But if we do not have some way to either provide an ID or an affidavit just to show – how do we know that they are a veteran and that they were honorably discharged? We want to make sure that they have all of those benefits and I think that the affidavit does that. I mean, if they are eligible for those benefits and they sign that affidavit, they are going to receive them. We are not denying anybody any benefits that they are eligible for.

So once again, I think this amendment is unnecessary. You do not have to be a citizen to serve in the military or to get these public benefits, but you do have to qualify for them, and that is what that affidavit is stating that they do.

So I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bryan Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment, and I would note just one thing: there is additional requirement here for people that have served in the military and have been discharged honorably. And I think it is very ironic that we are looking at the devastated island of Puerto Rico and 4 million Puerto Ricans have fought for the United States in the military without ever having the right to vote for President. Now we want to put an affidavit requirement on them. It is wrong. They served honorably. There should be no additional requirements. I am in favor of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cris Dush.

Mr. DUSH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While I applaud the maker's passion for the members who have served in the military, and I have served along some of those noncitizens myself, there are methods by which they can become ineligible. That said, as I said here earlier on the floor of the House, the core values of the Air Force and the other service branches pretty much coincide with one another, and "Integrity first" is the one for the Air Force. Now, we do not get to pick and choose what laws we abide by, and that should also go for other members of the military regardless of whether or not they hope to become U.S. citizens or not. But it is my belief that we should always abide by, the laws of the Commonwealth and the laws of the United States government, and to sacrifice that core value of the military I think would compromise the integrity of the individual.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Doyle Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just to clarify once again, for the residents that, or the noncitizens that served from the hurricane that devastated Puerto Rico – and God, we want to pray for those folks and bless them – but they would all, they are U.S. citizens, so they would qualify for these benefits. And any veteran that has served, they would still have to sign something or say something that they were a veteran and that they served. If they go to the VA (Veterans' Administration), they would have to produce their DD 214. So all we are asking is that if they lost everything and they do not have any ID or anything and they need public benefits, they come in, they sign the affidavit saying that they are eligible for public benefits. We are not asking them to produce anything or do anything. We are just asking them to sign an affidavit so that they can get these public benefits and that there will not be a delay.

So I think this bill is important for veterans, to make sure that the people that are coming in to get these benefits are eligible for them. The last thing we want to do is not have benefits for people that served and have somebody that is cheating the system receiving those benefits. So I think this is a good safeguard, and I have spoken to several veterans and I have never run into anybody who would be opposed to signing anything to say who they are.

I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. I think it is unnecessary.

The SPEAKER. Representative McCarter, on the amendment.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, I think it is unfortunate; again, we can look at various different circumstances that we are talking about here and again remember who we are talking about. Very specifically, we are talking about members of our society who are noncitizens, and in many cases, who have served the U.S. military honorably. These are people that – unfortunately, all of us in our communities also know that we have large numbers of homeless who are military veterans that are out on the streets that have been impacted by the opiate crisis, in many cases. These are people often in those circumstances that do not carry around documents, but they did serve this country honorably. They did come and help us. And they should not be treated any differently, obviously, than anyone else except that if they come and they say they served their country, they should not have to sign another document to prove that they served us and helped us any more than someone who lost their credentials in a flood in Florida or in Houston or anywhere else, or in the State of Pennsylvania, if that should happen again here, and well, it surely likely will in the future.

I find it irresponsible and reprehensible that we would conduct that type of scrutiny over people who have served this country and would say that they were a serviceman, and they should be exempted from this.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—77

Barbin	Deasy	Harris, J.	Petrarca
Bizzarro	DeLissio	Kavulich	Rabb
Boyle	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Dermody	Kim	Readshaw
Briggs	DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Krueger	Samuelson
Carroll	Evans	Kulik	Schlossberg
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schweyer
Comitta	Flynn	Markosek	Sims
Conklin	Frankel	McCarter	Snyder
Corr	Freeman	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Gergely	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Gillen	Murt	Thomas
Daley	Goodman	Neilson	Vazquez
Davidson	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Davis	Harkins	O'Brien	Warren
Dawkins	Harper	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean			

NAYS—114

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillespie	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Mentzer	Sainato
Brown, R.	Harris, A.	Metcalfe	Sankey
Burns	Heffley	Metzgar	Santora
Causser	Helm	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Hennessey	Millard	Schemel

Christiana	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Hill	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Irvin	Moul	Staats
Culver	James	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson	Taylor
Day	Kampf	Nesbit	Tobash
Delozier	Kaufert	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Dowling	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Ellis	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Emrick	Knowles	Quigley	Wentling
English	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Evankovich	Longiatti	Quinn, M.	White
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	Zimmerman
Farry	Maher	Rapp	
Fee	Mako	Reed	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Frankel offers two amendments. I have 3323 and 3325. Representative Frankel, we will call up 3323 first.

Mr. FRANKEL. I will be withdrawing that amendment, Mr. Speaker.

I will be just offering 3325.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. FRANKEL offered the following amendment
No. A03325:

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 8 and 9
(x) Services and compensation provided to a
victim of a crime as defined under the act of November
24, 1998 (P.L.882, No.111), known as the Crime Victims
Act.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Frankel.
Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment would exempt victims of crime from the provisions of this bill so that we can at least advance a simple idea, that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania cares about victims of crime and wants to ensure we do everything possible to help our fellow citizens. The underlying bill at its heart says that Pennsylvania cares for its residents, but only if they have

the right documents. It represents bureaucracy at its coldest. If you cannot show your paperwork, then we are sorry, we just cannot help. It seems particularly egregious in the cases of crime victims. Imagine the absurdity: People who have been assaulted or are victims of arson or rape better not forget their wallets when seeking help. People fleeing terrible situations of domestic violence or human trafficking who do not have access to their documents will need to file extra paperwork verifying why they do not have their paperwork. Does it get more absurd?

In fact, per the Commission on Crime and Delinquency, for this legislation to take effect, our Crime Victims Act would need to be amended, because currently when victims show up on the doorsteps of social service agencies, the people answering the door do not ask, "Show me your ID."

So what kinds of crimes fall under the Crime Victims Act: robbery, arson, sex offenses, kidnapping, assault. Who can be helped in Pennsylvania according to the Crime Victims Act: victims of robbery, arson, sex offenses, kidnapping, assault, witnesses, or parents of children who have been harmed. And what kind of support do we provide to those victims: medical care and treatment, psychological counseling, dentistry, wheelchairs, walkers. We provide housing support. Our State law also provides for cleaning a home where the crime occurred. These are basic services to help people in desperate situations. I am proud that our Commonwealth sees fit to have such a fund. Crimes do not occur in one part of the Commonwealth. Victims seek help across our entire State.

I believe Pennsylvania wants to do right by victims of crime. The underlying bill contradicts that intent making it harder for the Pennsylvania government to take care of people. Again, my amendment would exempt victims of crime from the provisions of this bill so that we can at least advance this simple idea: the Commonwealth cares about victims of crime and wants to ensure we do everything possible to help our fellow residents.

I ask my colleagues for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I share my colleague's passion for wanting to ensure that people that need these public benefits are going to be receiving them. And I would say that if they are a U.S. citizen, they are eligible for these benefits. What the circumstance, if they lost their ID or it was stolen, all they have to do is sign the affidavit and they get the benefits.

Also, for victims of crime, we have already exempted victims of human trafficking in the underlying legislation, and everything else is consistent with Federal law. So anybody that was a victim of human trafficking would be eligible.

Like I said before several times in the discussion today is signing the affidavit is that you are therefore a citizen or otherwise authorized for those benefits. So if you are a victim of human trafficking, you would be then eligible for those benefits. So when you sign that affidavit, you do not have to prove that you are a citizen, but if you are a victim of human trafficking, you would for eligible for those benefits.

So once again, I see that this amendment, while well-intentioned, and I share my colleague's passion for wanting to make sure that people that have been victimized in our society are going to receive those benefits, I just do not want people cheating the system and receiving those benefits. So I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Frankel, for the second time, sir.

Mr. FRANKEL. Let me remind everybody that the Commission on Crime and Delinquency has said that if this takes effect, we need to amend our Crime Victims Act. So this does not meet the standard. And the human trafficking issue at the Federal level is much weaker than our State provisions and we ought to be able to make them consistent.

Why not support this? It makes it crystal clear that we will support the victims of crime across our State without any ambiguity. This bill is at best ambiguous with respect to that. Make it crystal clear with your affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—89

Barbin	DeLozier	Kim	Rabb
Bizzarro	DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Dermody	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	DiGirolamo	Krueger	Roe
Briggs	Donatucci	Kulik	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Longietti	Rozzi
Burns	Evans	Madden	Sainato
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Maher	Samuelson
Carroll	Flynn	Markosek	Santora
Cephas	Frankel	McCarter	Schlossberg
Charlton	Freeman	McClinton	Schweyer
Comitta	Galloway	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Gergely	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Gillen	Mullery	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	Murt	Stephens
Cruz	Hanna	Mustio	Sturla
Daley	Harkins	Neilson	Thomas
Davidson	Harper	Neuman	Tobash
Davis	Harris, J.	O'Brien	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	Pashinski	Vitali
Dean	Kavulich	Petrarca	Warren
Deasy	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
DeLissio			

NAYS—102

Baker	Fritz	Maloney	Reese
Barrar	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	Masser	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccione
Boback	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Mentzer	Saylor
Causar	Harris, A.	Metcalfe	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Metzgar	Simmons
Cook	Helm	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Milne	Tallman
Culver	Hill	Moul	Taylor
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Day	James	Nesbit	Toohil
Diamond	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Topper
Dowling	Kaufner	Oberlander	Walsh
Dunbar	Kauffman	Ortitay	Ward
Dush	Keefer	Peifer	Warner
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
English	Klunk	Quigley	White
Evankovich	Knowles	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Everett	Lawrence	Rader	
Farry	Mackenzie	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Watson
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Petri	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. I do not see any further amendments. Do any other members have any amendments to offer that I am missing?

I believe that is all the amendments for this evening on this bill.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Before we go to the next bill, on September 14 – an important day – the Chief Clerk celebrated his 39th birthday. Will you all please give him a "happy birthday."

On September 20 the macebearer celebrated a similar 39th birthday. Will you please give him a round of applause.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 171, PN 135**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, in district election officers, further providing for appointment of watchers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. So those are watchers at election sites, I believe, at voting sites.

Now, there are a number of amendments: 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, and 3322 are all filed by Representative Matt Bradford.

The Chair has been requested, or it has been brought to the attention by the Parliamentarian to look at the amendments, and in consultation with the Parliamentarian, Mr. Bradford's amendments to HB 171, certain of those amendments are out of order. Amendments 956 and 957 are in order; 956 and 957 are in order. Amendments 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 958, and 3322 are ruled out of order. They are ruled out of order because they are violative of House rule 20, which provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject, and it

is also set forth in our Pennsylvania State Constitution, Article III, section 3. The subject of the bill, at its broadest level, is poll watchers at election voting sites. Amendments 956 and 957 are of the same subject. The other amendments are not.

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has held, in *Commonwealth v. Neiman*, that the subject matter of proposed amendments must relate to the same subject as that contained in the underlying bill. And the Supreme Court has also held, in the *City of Philadelphia v. Commonwealth*, that there must be a single subject to which all the provisions of the act are relevant. In *Leach v. Commonwealth*, the court also held that by inserting a different subject, the original purpose of the bill is changed, and thus, also violates Article III, section 1, of the Pennsylvania Constitution, and that is House rule 27. House rule 27 reflects that provision of the State Constitution.

I am at this time going to call up the amendments 956 and 957. Those are in order. And then after that, if anybody has an objection to my ruling, please feel free, but let us do 956 and 957, and then we can go to the ones that I called out of order and take any procedural questions on that.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A00956**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "providing" for compensation of district election officers and
Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 412.2(a) of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, is amended and the section is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 412.2. Compensation of District Election Officers.—(a) [In] Prior to January 1, 2019, and thereafter for the purposes of calculations in subsection (c), in all counties regardless of class, the compensation of judges of election, inspectors of election, clerks and machine operators shall be fixed by the county board of elections for each election in accordance with the following:

Election Officers	Minimum Compensation	Maximum Compensation
Judges of election	\$75	\$200
Inspectors of election	\$75	\$195
Clerks and machine operators	\$70	\$195

(a.1) Beginning January 1, 2019, in all counties regardless of class, the compensation of judges of election, inspectors of election, clerks and machine operators shall be established at the discretion of the county board of elections under subsection (c) or shall be fixed by the county board of elections for each election in accordance with the following, whichever is greater:

<u>Election Officers</u>	<u>Minimum Compensation</u>	<u>Maximum Compensation</u>
<u>Judges of election</u>	<u>\$150</u>	<u>\$200</u>
<u>Inspectors of election</u>	<u>\$150</u>	<u>\$195</u>
<u>Clerks and machine operators</u>	<u>\$140</u>	<u>\$195</u>

Section 2. Section 417(b) of the act is amended to read:
Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting
3

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Bradford is recognized.

Mr. BRADFORD. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. You may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. So I am clear on the ruling of the Chair, you are going to let me preserve my ability to appeal the ruling of the Chair on the amendments that you just found out of order, but we are going to go ahead to other amendments, but you are going to let me preserve that right to have a debate?

The SPEAKER. I just wanted to deal with all the amendments, but right now 956 and 957 are in order. We will take the votes on those, and then with respect to all the other amendments that have been ruled out of order, you may proceed procedurally in any manner that you see fit under the rules of our chamber.

Mr. BRADFORD. Understood. But so I understand what you are saying, you are going to allow me to go back to them to appeal to them after we deal with these first two? Is that correct?

The SPEAKER. I am hoping you are going to think better of it, but I understand that you may make that appeal and that it is perfectly appropriate.

Mr. BRADFORD. I do not want to surprise you.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe the two amendments that the Speaker has brought up deal with compensation of election day workers. Amendment 956, in particular, increases pay for election officers, judge of elections, inspectors of elections, clerk, and machine operators would see their current pay ranging from \$140 to \$150 going up to \$195 to \$200.

I believe this amendment encourages more confidence in our election system. As we know, year after year it is difficult to hire election officials. I believe that goes to the underlying point of this bill. The gentleman obviously wants to attract more election workers and cast a wider net. Those who may believe in a free market system, I think hiring people at a salary more commensurate with the minimum wage, at the very least, if not a living wage of \$10.10 an hour or something similar, would attract such individuals. So this amendment particularly gives a raise to those workers who spend their day ensuring the integrity of our elections.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Saccone.

Mr. SACCONI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate Chairman Bradford's amendment, but one, that would be an unfunded mandate to put on our counties. Number two, he is dealing with payment to poll workers. My bill is very narrowly crafted dealing with poll watchers, and poll watchers are volunteers. You do not get paid.

So I do not think this amendment belongs on my bill. He should put in a bill for it dealing with this expressly. My bill is dealing with poll watchers, and I would ask everyone to vote "no" on this amendment and both amendments.

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis Thomas, on the amendment.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, to make sure that we are all on the same page, what I am reading on page 8 of this report, it talks about judges of elections, inspectors of elections, clerks and machine operators. I do not see anything on here on poll watchers. So

I would be responding to the Bradford amendment, which deals with election boards: officers, clerks, and inspectors.

Mr. Speaker, I really do not like having to stand up and acknowledge some basic facts. One basic fact is it has been 29 years since we have increased the minimum wage in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Number two, the average election board worker is over 60 years of age. Three, every election cycle, more and more election board officers are unable to show up on time and unable to spend 15, 16 hours a day to make sure your butt gets reelected or unelected. Number four, Mr. Speaker, there is nothing wrong with increasing the compensation for our election board workers, whether it be hourly or whether it be lump sum. Bring them into the 21st century. Bring them into the 21st century. We know that many of our election board workers are people, in many cases, that watched us come into the world, watched us grow up, and watched us go back and forth to school, so they do not do this for a wage. They do it because they believe in democracy and the election process. But because they do this from their hearts and their minds does not mean we should slap them or treat them without dignity.

This reasonable increase that is being requested by the Honorable Bradford is not asking a lot. Vote "yes" on the Bradford amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Thomas.

Representative John Lawrence, on the amendment.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate somebody, either the maker of the bill or perhaps the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. That is not standard practice for the House. You can ask Representative Saccone or one of the leaders if they want to stand for interrogation.

Representative Saccone, will you stand for interrogation? Representative Lawrence, I am sorry—

Mr. LAWRENCE. My question was, may I interrogate somebody, and I ended on, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Oh, yes, you can, definitely, if he will so stand.

You may proceed. The facts were presented differently to me. I apologize.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, is there a fiscal note with regard to this amendment?

Mr. BRADFORD. To the gentleman, my understanding is that it is typically the majority party's Appropriations Committee that would file the fiscal note. If Mr. Saylor has an answer, I would love to hear it.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So it sounds like there is not a fiscal note. Is that the understanding of the maker of the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. BRADFORD. I do not know what goes on in Representative Saylor's head.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding – and since I know the answer to this question, I am not going to pose it as a question – but it is my understanding that counties typically pay for poll workers on election day, and I think every member of this institution values their service tremendously. With that in mind, does the gentleman, Mr. Speaker, have any knowledge or any calculation as to what the effect of his amendment might cost – in my case, it would be Chester County and the gentleman's, perhaps, Montgomery County –

what the increased cost to the counties would be with regard to hiring poll workers on election day?

Mr. BRADFORD. Let me throw it at you this way, and I do not know if this is going to give you the answer you are looking for at the bottom line. Right now, I believe, current law for judge of elections is \$75. This would move it to somewhere between \$150 and \$200, so it would increase it by about double for the cost of judge of elections. So you could extrapolate that for how many precincts you have in Chester County. But I would say this: if you do the math and if you are concerned about that, right now on an hourly basis we are paying these workers about \$4.68. Now, if you think that is a fair wage, then you think Walmart is overpaying. So it would double it and it would move us into something closer to the minimum-wage realm.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the comment with regard to Walmart. I do not know that it is germane to the legislation in front of us. I do not know how many precincts and wards there are, for example, in the city of first class. I imagine it is quite a few and I imagine this amendment would add a significant cost, not just to the city of first class, but to every county in the Commonwealth.

Since it seems that we do not have any clear idea of what this amendment will cost, I would encourage a negative vote. That concludes my interrogation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized on the amendment?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative MEHAFFIE has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 171 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Rick Saccone, on the amendment.

Mr. SACCONI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to address the comments of my colleague, my good colleague from Philadelphia, because on the amendment, I do not think he understands and I just want to make sure he does. I want to make sure I explain it right, that I, too, empathize with those that actually work in the polls. I know their motives are pure and they are doing it at very low wages and they are doing it for their community and they are doing it for all the right reasons. But that is a separate argument. That is not the argument in my bill. My bill is very narrowly crafted to deal with poll watchers. Poll watchers are people selected by the candidate that are volunteers that go in and just make sure that things are running smoothly in the polls, that rules are not being violated. It has nothing to do with those that are actually working and being paid in the polls. That is why I say, if you believe that – and you make a very compelling argument, Mr. Speaker, for that – then you should put in a bill for it. I would love to engage in the debate on it. I think it is a very worthwhile topic, but it is not the topic for this bill.

My bill is for poll watchers. So I would ask that you vote "no" on both amendments. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis Thomas for a second time, then Representative Todd Stephens.

Representative Curtis Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I am not confused about your bill, okay? Even though I am from Philadelphia, I can read. I can read.

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. I understand. I understand. I did not get up here and make comments without paying attention to the bill and the amendment. The amendment represents a blessing to the bill. I will say that again. The amendment represents a blessing to the bill. You opened the door that gives us an opportunity to do something that we have been negligent on, and that is working the heck out of old people and people on election day and will not even buy them a sandwich. The election boards are critical to the future of the electoral process. They come out at 5, 6 o'clock in the morning. They are there at 8 and 9 o'clock in the evening while you and I are drinking the victory. Why not take this opportunity to provide them with reasonable compensation for their time. It is a thank-you. It is a financial incentive saying thank you for their contribution. And no, Representative Bradford did not start out with this as a freestanding bill but, Mr. Speaker, because you opened the door, Representative Saccone, thank you.

Now, I want to see how you are going to vote on these election boards—

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas. Representative Thomas, please, please, no references by name. Just the good gentleman from Allegheny and Washington County will work, and we need to continue to show respect to each and every member.

At this time, who else wishes to speak?

Representative Todd Stephens.

Mr. STEPHENS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford will so stand.

Mr. STEPHENS. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, just so I am clear here, I am trying to get the effects in our home county, in Montgomery County. This would not affect the maximum possible compensation, right, just the minimum compensation that is available?

Mr. BRADFORD. I believe it is both. It would move the minimum compensation from what it currently is, \$70 to \$75 to \$140, to a maximum of \$195 to \$200.

Mr. STEPHENS. So everything that the bill does our current county commissioners could do right now on their own, right?

Mr. BRADFORD. I do not believe that is the case.

Mr. STEPHENS. Well, you are not changing the maximums. You are only changing the minimums, right?

Mr. BRADFORD. No, I believe we are changing both was my answer.

Mr. STEPHENS. I think the maximums – I thought the maximums stayed the same. Maybe I misunderstood.

Mr. BRADFORD. I apologize. You are correct. The maximums do stay the same. It is just the minimums.

Mr. STEPHENS. So the maximums stay the same, you are just increasing the minimums. And right now our county commissioners could go ahead and, on their own, they could set the fee at what you are establishing as the new minimum, right?

Mr. BRADFORD. Correct. It is just like the minimum wage in that regard.

Mr. STEPHENS. Okay. Have you communicated your interest in this subject with our county commissioners back home?

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes, I have.

Mr. STEPHENS. All right. Are they supportive of the idea?

Mr. BRADFORD. It was the prior board.

Mr. STEPHENS. I cannot hear you, actually.

Mr. BRADFORD. I had spoken to the prior board. But to be honest, to your question, I would like to see this across Pennsylvania, because I believe in a living wage for every Pennsylvanian, no matter where they live. Not everyone is fortunate to live in the great county of Montgomery.

Mr. STEPHENS. I am just trying to find out if our own county commissioners at home share your feelings about it or not.

Mr. BRADFORD. I am currently unaware of the new chairman, the new chairman of the election board.

Mr. STEPHENS. Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. That concludes my interrogation.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

Representative Bradford, you may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment offers a very simple question. Do you believe it is appropriate for any State government, any county, Montgomery or other, to pay their workers what equates to less than \$5 an hour? If you believe in a living wage, if you believe in the Fight for \$15, if you believe in the battle for \$10.10, but do we not at least agree on seven and a quarter? At what point do we say there needs to be a floor, that there is no race to the bottom that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its subdivisions will not embrace? Is there no wage too small that we say, "This is abhorrent"? My God, we are talking about \$4.68 an hour. Do you not believe in what we fought against when we talked about child labor laws?

Listen, I understand the modern Republican Party is deeply concerned about the impact of what paying people \$9 an hour would cause – businesses would shut down, governments would go bankrupt – but for the love of God, do we not believe that people should be able to make a wage that is somewhat reflective of the work that they perform, whether it is for 1 day or 40 hours a week? Is there no low? Is there no floor that you will not put under? Should we not get rid of the minimum wage entirely? Maybe \$7.25, it is a hindrance to job growth; \$4.68 is too high, it is too much to ask of our counties. If you are against this bill, maybe we should offer another amendment to decrease it. Maybe we should pay people less. This is too high.

I would simply argue, we can come up and rationalize a lot of things, but rationalizing paying people \$4.68 an hour for their labor is unconscionable, and you know it and it is wrong and this body should not be tainted by paying those kinds of wages. We would expect more from China. We would expect more from Vietnam. We would expect more from people who abuse their workers. For the love of God, do the right thing. Vote to give these people a decent wage.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—75

Barbin	Dean	Kavulich	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Kortz	Rozzi
Brown, V.	DiGirolamo	Krueger	Sainato
Burns	Donatucci	Kulik	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Longietti	Schlossberg
Carroll	Evans	Madden	Schweyer
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Sims
Comitta	Flynn	McCarter	Snyder
Conklin	Frankel	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, D.	Freeman	Miller, D.	Sturla
Costa, P.	Galloway	Mullery	Thomas
Cruz	Gergely	Neilson	Vazquez
Daley	Goodman	Neuman	Vitali
Davidson	Hanna	O'Brien	Warren
Davis	Harkins	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dawkins	Harris, J.	Petrarca	

NAYS—115

Baker	Gillen	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillespie	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Culver	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	James	Nelson	Taylor
Day	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Delozier	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kaufman	Oberlander	Toohil
Dowling	Kauffman	Ortity	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dush	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Quigley	Wentling
English	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Evankovich	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	White
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	Zimmerman
Farry	Maher	Rapp	
Fee	Mako	Reed	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	Speaker
Gabler			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Petri
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Watson
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Mehaffie	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. BRADFORD offered the following amendment No. A00957:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "providing" for compensation of district election officers and

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 412.2(a) of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, is amended and the section is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 412.2. Compensation of District Election Officers.—(a) [In] Prior to January 1, 2019, and thereafter for the purposes of calculations under subsection (c), in all counties regardless of class, the compensation of judges of election, inspectors of election, clerks and machine operators shall be fixed by the county board of elections for each election in accordance with the following:

Table with 3 columns: Election Officers, Minimum Compensation, Maximum Compensation. Rows include Judges of election, Inspectors of election, Clerks and machine operators.

(a.1) Beginning January 1, 2019, in all counties regardless of class, the compensation of judges of election, inspectors of election, clerks and machine operators shall be established at the discretion of the county board of elections under subsection (c) or shall be fixed by the county board of elections for each election in accordance with the following, whichever is greater:

Table with 2 columns: Election Officers, Hourly Wage. Rows include Judges of election, Inspectors of election, Clerks and machine operators.

Section 2. Section 417(b) of the act is amended to read: Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting 3

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I offer amendment 957, because I think the last vote was an aberration. I believe, with a thoughtful opportunity to reconsider and a realization that \$10.10 an hour is the right thing to do – we would ask it from McDonald's and we would ask it from fast food restaurants and we know it is the right thing for every subdivision of our Commonwealth to pay its workers. This is not including benefits. This is not some great package. It is \$10.10 an hour. It is what, \$400 a week? Good luck trying to feed and clothe your family with that.

Now, I recognize it is for 1 day of labor, but again, I would say, for the love of God, if you cannot vote for a minimum wage, where have we come in 70 years of workers' rights? If you are a fan of organized labor, or at least not a determined foe, if you believe that everyone should have the dignity of their work recognized by a fair wage, then vote "yes" on this amendment. Make sure that last vote was an aberration and vote for \$10.10 an hour for every poll worker in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Saccone, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. SACCONI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As we said on the previous amendment, this is an unfunded mandate. It pertains to poll workers. My bill pertains to volunteers. They are not compensated. If you want this kind of legislation, you should put it in a bill, a separate bill. As they say on "Shark Tank," for all these reasons, I am out.

Please vote "no" on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—74

Roll call list for YEAS—74 with names: Barbin, Bizzarro, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Brown, V., Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Cephas, Comitita, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cruz, Daley, Davidson, Davis, Dawkins, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, DeLuca, Dermody, Donatucci, Driscoll, Evans, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Galloway, Gergely, Goodman, Hanna, Harkins, Harris, J., Kavulich, Keller, W., Kim, Kinsey, Kortz, Krueger, Kulik, Longietti, Madden, Markosek, McCarter, McClinton, Miller, D., Mullery, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, Pashinski, Petrarca, Rabb, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Roebuck, Rozzi, Sainato, Samuelson, Schlossberg, Schweyer, Sims, Snyder, Solomon, Sturla, Thomas, Vazquez, Vitali, Warren, Youngblood.

NAYS—116

Roll call list for NAYS—116 with names: Baker, Barrar, Benninghoff, Bernstine, Bloom, Boback, Brown, R., Causar, Charlton, Christiana, Cook, Corbin, Corr, Culver, Cutler, Day, Delozier, Diamond, DiGirolamo, Dowling, Dunbar, Dush, Ellis, Emrick, English, Evankovich, Everett, Farry, Fee, Fritz, Gabler, Gillen, Gillespie, Godshall, Greiner, Grove, Hahn, Harper, Harris, A., Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hickernell, Hill, Irvin, James, Jozwiak, Kampf, Kaufer, Kauffman, Keefer, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Klunk, Knowles, Lawrence, Mackenzie, Maher, Mako, Maloney, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, McGinnis, Mentzer, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, B., Milne, Moul, Murt, Mustio, Nelson, Nesbit, O'Neill, Oberlander, Ortitay, Peifer, Pickett, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, C., Quinn, M., Rader, Rapp, Reed, Reese, Roae, Roe, Rothman, Ryan, Saccone, Sankey, Santora, Saylor, Schemel, Simmons, Sonney, Staats, Stephens, Tallman, Taylor, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Topper, Walsh, Ward, Warner, Wentling, Wheeland, White, Zimmerman.

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Petri
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Watson
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Mehaffie	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The minority leader has intimated and requested that we go through each of the other amendments separately in terms of ruling them out of order, for the reasons that were set forth. So I will just take each amendment in order.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment
No. **A00948**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting
; and, in primary and election expenses, further providing for definitions, for reporting by candidate and political committees and other persons and for late contributions and independent expenditures and providing for independent expenditures and electioneering communications.

The General Assembly finds and declares as follows:

- (1) The Commonwealth has a compelling interest in:
 - (i) Providing voters with relevant information about where political campaign money comes from and how it is spent so that voters can make informed choices in elections.
 - (ii) Reducing the risk or appearance of undue influence by ensuring political spending is comprehensively disclosed.
 - (iii) Promoting compliance by candidates and political groups with campaign finance laws.

(2) Under existing law, a person that purchases political advertisements that expressly advocate for the election or defeat of a candidate is subject to disclosure requirements, but a person that purchases advertisements to influence elections without expressly advocating for a candidate is not subject to disclosure requirements.

(3) As a result, Pennsylvania has seen a proliferation of advertisements featuring candidates that are distributed during the campaign season and are intended to influence elections but are not required to be reported under existing law.

(4) Disclosure of expenditures intended to influence elections should begin at an amount that is reasonable and intended to promote full disclosure of the expenditures.

(5) It is the intent of the General Assembly to subject all persons that make expenditures to influence elections, whether through express advocacy or election-targeted issue advocacy, to disclosure requirements.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 2. Section 1621(e) of the act is amended and the section is amended by adding subsections to read:

Section 1621. Definitions.—As used in this article, the following words have the following meanings:

* * *

(e) The words "independent expenditure" shall mean an expenditure by a person [made for the purpose of influencing an election], other than a political committee or candidate, expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate, made without cooperation or consultation with any candidate or any political committee authorized by that candidate and which is not made in concert with or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or political committee or agent thereof.

* * *

(n) The words "electioneering communication" shall mean a communication by a person, other than a political committee or candidate, that is publicly distributed within 90 days before a primary, municipal or general election and refers to a clearly identified candidate. The term does not include:

(1) A communication distributed by a means other than by any communications media.

(2) Any membership communication.

(3) A communication appearing in a news article, opinion or commentary, provided that the communication is not distributed via any communications media owned or controlled by any candidate, political committee or person purchasing the communication.

(4) A communication made in any candidate debate or forum or which solely promotes such a debate or forum and is made by or on behalf of the person sponsoring the debate or forum.

(5) Any other communication exempted by regulation by the Secretary of the Commonwealth that is consistent with this article.

(o) The words "clearly identified candidate" shall mean that the name, a photograph or a drawing of the candidate appears or the identity of the candidate is otherwise apparent by unambiguous reference.

(p) The words "communications media" shall mean television, radio, newspaper or other periodical, sign, publicly accessible Internet website, mail or telephone.

(q) The words "membership communication" shall mean a newsletter or periodical, telephone call or other routine communication distributed solely to the members, shareholders or employees of an organization or institution.

(r) The words "responsible party" shall mean a natural person who shares or exercises discretion or control over the activities of any entity required to file reports in accordance with section 1626(g). The term includes an officer, director, partner, proprietor or other natural person who exercises discretion or control over the activities of an entity.

(s) The word "sign" shall mean any outdoor billboard, panel or similar display having an area of at least ninety (90) square feet.

Section 3. Sections 1626(g) and 1628 of the act are amended to read:

Section 1626. Reporting by Candidate and Political Committees and other Persons.—

* * *

(g) Every person, other than a political committee or candidate, who makes independent expenditures [expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate, or question appearing on the ballot, other than by contribution to a political committee or candidate, in an aggregate amount in excess of one hundred dollars (\$100) during a calendar year shall file with the appropriate supervisor, on a form prepared by the Secretary of the Commonwealth, a report which shall include the same information required of a candidate or political committee receiving such a contribution and, additionally, the name of the candidate or question supported or opposed. Reports required by this subsection shall be filed on dates on which reports by political committees making expenditures are required to report under this section.] or electioneering communications in an aggregate amount exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000) during a calendar year shall file a report, on a form prepared by the Secretary of the Commonwealth, with the appropriate supervisor. The following shall apply:

(1) The report shall include the following information:

(i) Relating to the person making the independent expenditure or electioneering communication:

(A) The full name and mailing address of the person.

(B) If the person is not an individual, the full name and mailing address of its officers, one of whom shall be an individual named as its treasurer.

(C) A concise statement of the person's purposes or goals.

(D) The name, office sought and party affiliation of any candidate whom the person is supporting or opposing and, if the person is supporting the entire ticket of any party, the name of the party.

(E) If the person files reports with the Federal Election Commission or any out-of-State agency, a statement to that effect, including the name of the agency.

(ii) Relating to other independent expenditures or electioneering communications:

(A) The full name and mailing address of each person to whom any independent expenditure or electioneering communication has been made during the calendar year in an aggregate amount in excess of one hundred dollars (\$100).

(B) The amount, date and purpose of each independent expenditure or electioneering communication.

(C) The name of and office sought by each candidate on whose behalf the independent expenditure or electioneering communication was made.

(iii) The full name and mailing address of each person who has made contributions to the person making the independent expenditure or electioneering communication during the calendar year in an aggregate amount in excess of one hundred dollars (\$100), the total of all contributions from the person during the calendar year, and the amount and date of all contributions from the person during the calendar year.

(iv) If the person that made a contribution under subparagraph (iii) is not an individual, the person shall provide the following information:

(A) The full name and mailing address of any person who, directly or otherwise, owns a legal or equitable interest of fifty (50) per cent or greater in the entity.

(B) One responsible party, if the aggregate amount of contributions made by such entity during the election period exceeds one thousand two hundred dollars (\$1,200).

(v) The aggregate amount of all contributions made to the person that made the independent expenditure or electioneering communication.

(2) Reports required by this subsection shall be filed on dates on which reports by political committees making expenditures are required to be reported under this section.

(3) If a person is required to file another report under this section, the person shall not be required to file a report under this subsection if all of the information has already been filed in the other report. The Secretary of the Commonwealth shall promulgate regulations that exempt a person from reporting duplicative information under this article.

* * *

Section 1628. Late Contributions [and], Independent Expenditures and Electioneering Communications.—Any candidate or political committee, authorized by a candidate and created solely for the purpose of influencing an election on behalf of that candidate, which receives any contribution or pledge of five hundred dollars (\$500) or more, and any person making an independent expenditure[, as defined by this act,] or electioneering communication of five hundred dollars (\$500) or more after the final preelection report has been deemed completed shall report such contribution, pledge or expenditure to the appropriate supervisor. Such report shall be sent by the candidate, chairman or treasurer of the political committee within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the contribution. It shall be the

duty of the supervisor to confirm the substance of such report. The report shall be made by telegram, mailgram, overnight mail or facsimile transmission. Any candidate in his own behalf, or chairman, treasurer or candidate in behalf of the political committee may also comply with this section by appearing personally before such supervisor and reporting such late contributions or pledges.

Section 4. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 1643. Independent Expenditures and Electioneering Communications.—All independent expenditures and electioneering communications shall prominently include the statement:

Paid for by (name of person paying for the independent expenditure or electioneering communication). Learn more about (name of person paying for the independent expenditure or electioneering communication) at www.dos.pa.gov.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting 5

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. In consultation with the Parliamentarian, amendment 948 has been ruled out of order.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Parliamentary inquiry?

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. What is the basis for finding 948 out of order?

The SPEAKER. As I indicated – this will be true for each and every amendment that has been ruled out of order; it is already on the record, but I will repeat it – they violate House rules 20 and 27 and they violate Article III, section 3, and Article III, section 1, of the Pennsylvania State Constitution.

You may proceed, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. You may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. I respect the Speaker's willingness to find two of these amendments to be germane.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. But I would hope that the Speaker is not violating his single-subject admonition in applying the same reasoning for every one of the amendments. Unless, of course, it is the belief of the Speaker that each one of these amendments failed for the exact same reason. Is that the ruling of the Chair?

The SPEAKER. The ruling is that each one – and we will keep going through each of them – that they are violative. I am saying that the basis, the legal – standard lawyer language – the rules that they are in violation of, in consultation with the Parliamentarian, are House rule 20 and House rule 27. They are also violative of the Pennsylvania Constitution, Article III, section 3, and Article III, section 1.

We will begin with amendment 948. Does anybody wish to appeal the ruling of the Chair on amendment 948?

Representative Bradford, you may proceed, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. My understanding is that under the Constitution, questions of constitutionality are not the privilege of the Speaker, but rather are of the membership of the body.

The SPEAKER. They underline the basis of the particular rules that we have ruled the amendments out of order, House rule 20 and House rule 27. Article III, section 3, of the Pennsylvania Constitution forms the basis of House rule 20, and Article III, section 1, of the Constitution forms the basis for House rule 27.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you. Again on parliamentary inquiry, I understand what the basis for our rules are, and I understand where you are getting that. I guess what I am worried about is the future precedent of the Speaker making rulings on constitutional questions derived from the Constitution.

I believe under House rule 4, it is pretty clear on such "Questions involving the constitutionality of any matters shall be decided by the House." And that is particularly speaking about constitutionality as derived from the Constitution, not from our House rules. I do not mean to make a false distinction, but I think there is a bootstrapping of the argument there that could be problematic.

The SPEAKER. Speakers of both parties have ruled amendments out of order on the basis of the issue of single subject. It is House rule 20, and then, of course, the original purpose is House rule 27.

RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED

The SPEAKER. I will continue to go through each amendment, so is there any – just because you had indicated you were going to appeal the ruling of the Chair on all the amendments, I just want to give you an opportunity to take each one.

On 948? Okay. Just give me a second to pull up the right page.

The gentleman has indicated he is appealing the ruling of the Chair on amendment 948, and this is, if I might, I am going to be very precise about this, or do my best.

The question before the body is this: Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

Those in favor of sustaining the decision that amendment 948 violates House rule 20 and House rule 27 – so those in favor of the Speaker's ruling will be voting "aye." Those who are opposed to the Speaker's ruling will be voting "nay."

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you again, Mr. Speaker.

As you know, the underlying bill I questioned here deals with the process for conducting an election. This amendment deals with the process for conducting an election. Specifically, this amendment, as you know, deals with the influence of dark money into our elections process. If the gentleman has argued, which he does, that the need for election watchers is to safeguard our democracy, and we can have that debate and we will, I think it is every bit as germane to have the argument

whether it is appropriate for unnamed groups not to have to disclose the sources of their money. I believe it is every bit as germane and it goes to the underlying sanctity of our democracy.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

The majority leader, Representative Dave Reed.

Mr. REED. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would respectfully ask the members to uphold the ruling of the Chair and to respect the Speaker's ruling in this regard. I would ask the members to uphold the ruling of the Chair. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of upholding the Speaker's ruling, those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision, the Speaker's ruling, will be voting "aye." Those who do not support the Speaker's ruling, or the Chair's decision, will be voting "no."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Causer	Harper	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Harris, A.	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Helm	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Murt	Stephens
Culver	Hill	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Taylor
Day	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kaufner	Ortitay	Topper
Dowling	Kauffman	Peifer	Walsh
Dunbar	Keefer	Pickett	Ward
Dush	Keller, F.	Pyle	Warner
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
English	Knowles	Quinn, M.	White
Evankovich	Lawrence	Rader	Zimmerman
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Farry	Maher	Reed	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reese	Speaker
Fritz	Maloney		

NAYS—74

Barbin	Dean	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kortz	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Krueger	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Driscoll	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder

Conklin	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Goodman	Neuman	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	O'Brien	Vitali
Davidson	Harkins	Pashinski	Warren
Davis	Harris, J.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Petri
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Watson
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Mehaffie	Wheatley

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, I am just going to go through each. You can indicate that you are not going to appeal that ruling, but I am going to keep going in order, okay?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A00949**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting
; and, in penalties, further prohibiting duress and intimidation of voters and interference with the free exercise of the elective franchise.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Sections 417(b) and 1847 of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 1847. Prohibiting Duress and Intimidation of Voters and Interference with the Free Exercise of the Elective Franchise.—Any person or corporation who, directly or indirectly—(a) uses or threatens to use any force, violence or restraint, or inflicts or threatens to inflict any injury, damage, harm or loss, or in any other manner practices intimidation or coercion upon or against any person, in order to induce or compel such person to vote or refrain from voting at any election, or to vote or refrain from voting for or against any particular person, or for or against any question submitted to voters at such election, or to place or cause to be placed or refrain from placing or causing to be placed his name upon a register of voters, or on account of such person having voted or refrained from voting at such election, or having voted or refrained from voting for or against any particular person or persons or for or against any question submitted to voters at such election, or having registered or refrained from registering as a voter; or (b) by abduction, duress or coercion, or any forcible or fraudulent device or contrivance, whatever, impedes, prevents, or otherwise interferes with the free exercise of the elective franchise by any voter, or compels, induces, or prevails upon any voter to give or refrain from giving his vote for or against any particular person at any election; or (c) being an employer, pays his employes the salary or wages due in "pay envelopes" upon which or in which there is written or printed any political motto, device, statement or argument containing threats,

express or implied, intended or calculated to influence the political opinions or actions of such employes, or within ninety days of any election or primary puts or otherwise exhibits in the establishment or place where his employes are engaged in labor, any handbill or placard containing any threat, notice, or information that if any particular ticket or candidate is elected or defeated work in his place or establishment will cease, in whole or in part, his establishment be closed up, or the wages of his employes reduced, or other threats, express or implied, intended or calculated to influence the political opinions or actions of his employes, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the [second] first degree. Any person or corporation, convicted of a violation of any of the provisions of this section, shall be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding [five thousand (\$5,000)] ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars, or such person or the officers, directors or agents of such corporation responsible for the violation of this section, shall be sentenced to undergo an imprisonment of not more than [two (2)] five (5) years, or both, in the discretion of the court.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. My understanding is that that amendment filed by Representative Bradford is withdrawn. There will not be an appeal of the ruling of the Chair, and also, I understand that actually that amendment is withdrawn. So we do not need a ruling of the Chair on that. Is that correct, Representative Bradford?

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The amendment has been withdrawn. Okay. So amendment 949 has been withdrawn. There is no ruling. We are going to go to amendment 950.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A00950**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by inserting after "watchers"
; and providing for early voting in primaries and elections

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 2. The act is amended by adding an article to read:

ARTICLE XII-A
CONDUCT OF EARLY VOTING
IN PRIMARIES AND ELECTIONS

Section 1201-A. Early voting period.

The county board of a county shall provide for early voting to begin 15 days prior to the dates of elections and primaries established in accordance with Article VI and to end 14 days after the starting date.

Section 1202-A. Early voting sites.

The county board of a county shall designate early voting sites where electors may cast their ballots during the early voting period established in accordance with section 1201-A. The sites shall include the main office of the county board and the other sites designated by the county board. The county board shall make available at the sites the same equipment used on election day as provided for in Article XI.

Section 1203-A. Days and times for opening and closing polls at early voting sites.

The county board of a county shall designate the days and hours that early voting sites will be open, provided that the sites throughout the county shall:

- (1) have uniform days and hours;
- (2) be open eight hours each weekday;
- (3) be open a minimum of an aggregate eight hours each

weekend; and

(4) not open prior to 7 a.m. Eastern Standard Time or close prior to 7 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.

Section 1204-A. Voter's certificates and elector identification.

As provided under section 1203, the county board shall prepare a suitable number of voter's certificates at an early voting site. An elector who appears to vote at an early voting site shall complete a voter certificate and shall present to an election officer one of the forms of photo identification as established in section 1210.

Section 1205-A. Voting check list.

The county board shall maintain a voting check list as provided for in section 1212 in a way to ensure that electors are prohibited from casting additional ballots at either an early voting site or on election day in their precinct.

Section 1206-A. Disposition of returns.

The county board shall track votes by precinct but may not tabulate votes prior to the close of the polls on election day.

Section 1207-A. Applicability of Article XII.

Except as provided in this article, the provisions of Article XII shall apply to early voting in primaries and elections.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair has ruled that, on the basis of House rule 20 and House rule 27, that the amendment is out of order.

RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED

The SPEAKER. Now, Representative Bradford, you are called upon with respect to, I believe, an appeal of the ruling of the Chair.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you again, Mr. Speaker, and thank you for recognizing me at this time.

As you know, the basis for this objection goes to germaneness, and again I would simply state that this underlying bill deals with the process for conducting an election, and this amendment clearly deals with the process for conducting said election. This particularly allows for early voting.

Again, if it is the goal of the gentleman to secure the franchise and the sanctity of our elections, then obviously we should make early voting possible in Pennsylvania. A vast number of States have already done it.

By the ruling of the Speaker today, we make it harder for Pennsylvania to make its citizens able to vote. I would ask for an appeal of the Chair.

The SPEAKER. On amendment 950, we recognize the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on amendment 950.

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. The Chair calls on Representative Cutler, the majority whip.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the members to support the ruling of the Chair. While I understand the gentleman's passion on this particular argument and many of the other amendments, the fact is, they could all be entered as individual bills. And in the nature of ensuring that the prime sponsor's bill could be moved, I would urge that we sustain the ruling that they were out of order.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision, or upholding the Speaker's decision, will be voting "aye." Those who are opposed to the Chair's decision, the Speaker's ruling, will be voting "nay."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Harper	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Harris, A.	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Helm	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Murt	Stephens
Culver	Hill	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Taylor
Day	James	Nesbit	Tobash
DeLozier	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kaufman	Ortity	Topper
Dowling	Kauffman	Peifer	Walsh
Dunbar	Keefer	Pickett	Ward
Dush	Keller, F.	Pyle	Warner
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
English	Knowles	Quinn, M.	White
Evankovich	Lawrence	Rader	Zimmerman
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Farry	Maher	Reed	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reese	Speaker
Fritz	Maloney		

NAYS—74

Barbin	Dean	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kortz	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Krueger	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Driscoll	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Goodman	Neuman	Vazquez

Daley	Hanna	O'Brien	Vitali
Davidson	Harkins	Pashinski	Warren
Davis	Harris, J.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Petri
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Watson
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Mehaffie	Wheatley

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment
No. **A00951**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting
; and, in preparation for and conduct of primaries and elections, providing for protection of employment of voters.
Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7
Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:
Section 1210.1. Protection of Employment of Voters.—An employer in this Commonwealth shall permit an employe who is entitled to vote in a general, primary or special election a period not to exceed three hours' absence from work on the day of such general, primary or special election in order to vote without penalty or deduction from salary or wages because of the absence.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting
3

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Okay. Are you withdrawing amendment 951? Amendment 951 has been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment
No. **A00952**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting
; in qualifications of electors, further providing for qualifications of electors at primaries; in party organization, further providing for only enrolled electors to vote at primaries or hold party offices; in nomination of candidates, further providing for candidates to be nominated and party officers to be elected at primaries; and, in preparation for and conduct of primaries and elections, further providing for persons entitled to vote and challenges.
Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Sections 417(b), 702, 802, 902 and 1210(d) of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania

Election Code, are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 702. Qualifications of Electors at Primaries.—The qualifications of electors entitled to vote at primaries shall be the same as the qualifications of electors entitled to vote at elections within the election district where the primary is held, provided that no elector who is not registered [and enrolled as a member of a political party], in accordance with the provisions of this act, shall be permitted to vote the ballot of [such party or] any [other] party [ballot] at any primary.

Section 802. Only Enrolled Electors to Vote at Primaries or Hold Party Offices.—No person who is not registered and enrolled as a member of a political party shall be entitled to [vote at any primary of such party or to] be elected or serve as a party officer, or a member or officer of any party committee, or delegate or alternate delegate to any party convention.

Section 902. Candidates to Be Nominated and Party Officers to Be Elected at Primaries.—All candidates of political parties, as defined in section 801 of this act, for the offices of United States Senator, Representative in Congress and for all other elective public offices within this State, except that of presidential electors, shall be nominated, and party delegates and alternate delegates, committeemen and officers who, under the provisions of Article VIII of this act or under the party rules, are required to be elected by the party electors, shall be elected at primaries held in accordance with the provisions of this act, except as otherwise provided in this act. In the years when candidates for the office of President of the United States are to be nominated, every registered [and enrolled member of a political party] elector shall have the opportunity at the Spring primary in such years to vote [his] a preference for one person to be the candidate of [his] a political party for President.

Section 1210. Manner of Applying to Vote; Persons Entitled to Vote; Voter's Certificates; Entries to Be Made in District Register; Numbered Lists of Voters; Challenges.—* * *

(d) No person, except a qualified elector who is in actual military or naval service under a requisition of the President of the United States or by the authority of this Commonwealth, and who votes under the provisions of Article XIII of this act, shall be entitled or permitted to vote at any primary or election at any polling place outside the election district in which [he] the person resides, nor shall [he] the person be permitted to vote in the election district in which [he] the person resides, unless [he] the person has been personally registered as an elector and [his] the person's registration card appears in the district register of such election district, except by order of the court of common pleas as provided in this act, and any person, although personally registered as an elector, may be challenged by any qualified elector, election officer, overseer, or watcher at any primary or election as to [his] the person's identity, as to [his] the person's continued residence in the election district or as to any alleged violation of the provisions of section 1210 of this act, and if challenged as to identity or residence, [he] the person shall produce at least one qualified elector of the election district as a witness, who shall make affidavit of [his] the person's identity or continued residence in the election district: Provided, however, That no person shall be entitled to vote as a member of a party at any primary, unless [he] the person is;

(1) registered and enrolled as a member of such party upon the district register, which enrollment shall be conclusive as to [his] party membership and shall not be subject to challenge on the day of the primary[.]; or

(2) registered as an independent or nonparty voter upon the district register and requests to vote as a member of a party at the primary. The person shall sign and file the following affidavit of provisional registration with the election district at any time, up to and including the day of the primary election:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that my name is _____, that my date of birth is _____, and at the time that I registered I resided at in _____ the municipality of _____ in _____ County of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that in this primary election only I am filing provisional registration to

vote as a member of the _____ party in the year _____, which shall not be subject to challenge on the day of the primary.

Signature of Voter/Elector

Current Address

* * *

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. It is my understanding that amendment 952 is withdrawn. Amendment 952 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A00953**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting
; and, in primary and election expenses, further providing for reporting by candidate and political committees and other persons.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Sections 417(b) and 1626(d) of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 1626. Reporting by Candidate and Political Committees and other Persons.—

* * *

(d) Pre-election reports by candidates for offices to be voted for by the electors of the State at large, candidates for the office of Senator in the General Assembly, candidates for the office of Representative in the General Assembly and all political committees, which have expended money for the purpose of influencing the election of such [candidate] candidates, shall be filed not later than the sixth Tuesday before and the second Friday before an election, provided that the initial pre-election report shall be complete as of fifty (50) days prior to the election and the subsequent pre-election report shall be complete as of fifteen (15) days prior to the election. Pre-election reports by all other candidates and political committees which have received contributions or made expenditures for the purpose of influencing an election shall be filed not later than the second Friday before an election, provided that such report be complete as of fifteen (15) days prior to the election.

* * *

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, my understanding is 953 will be withdrawn. Amendment 953 is withdrawn.

So, members, amendments 951, 952, and 953 are withdrawn. There are no rulings with respect to those amendments.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A00954**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "elections," in provisions relating to the Secretary of the Commonwealth, providing for same-day registration of a qualified elector; and, Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. The act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 207. Same-Day Voter Registration.—(a) A qualified elector may register on election day by appearing in person at the polling place for the electoral district in which the individual maintains residence by completing and submitting a registration application to the judge of elections and providing proof of identification and residence.

(b) In addition to providing a completed voter registration form, an applicant must provide a valid form of identification, including any of the following government-issued forms of identification:

(1) A valid driver's license or identification card issued by the Department of Transportation.

(2) A valid identification card issued by another agency of the Commonwealth.

(3) A valid identification card issued by the United States Government.

(4) A valid United States passport.

(5) A valid United States Armed Forces identification card.

(c) (1) Upon certifying the eligibility of the qualified elector, the judge of elections at the polling place shall issue a provisional ballot, provided by the county board of elections, which is to be completed by the qualified elector and sealed in an envelope along with the voter registration application.

(2) Within ten days of an election, the Department of State shall approve or deny a voter registration application pursuant to the provisions established in 25 Pa.C.S. § 1328 (relating to approval of registration applications).

(3) The provisional ballot shall not be counted until the Department of State has approved the voter registration application.

(4) The qualified elector shall be notified in writing by the Department of State as to the approval or denial of a voter registration application.

(d) An individual qualified to register to vote under 25 Pa.C.S. § 1301(a) (relating to qualifications to register) may apply to register under this section.

(e) In the administration of voter registration, each registration commission shall ensure that any applicant who is a qualified elector is registered to vote in an election when, in the case of same-day voter registration, the valid voter registration application of the applicant is accepted in the appropriate electoral district by the presiding judge of elections.

(f) The Secretary of the Commonwealth shall print and distribute same-day voter registration applications to all polling places throughout this Commonwealth. Along with the distribution of such applications, the Secretary of the Commonwealth shall also include instructions to inform the applicant of the same-day voter registration application process and the process for counting the applicant's vote and verifying the applicant's eligibility.

Section 2. Section 417(b) of the act is amended to read:

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, the Chair rules that on the basis of House rule 20 and House rule 27 that amendment 954 is out of order.

RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED

The SPEAKER. Sir, you may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Mr. Speaker, again, I realize the germaneness motion has been made and sustained by the Chair.

I would again point out that this bill deals with the process for conducting an election, and this amendment deals with the process for conducting said election. Same-day voter registration is clearly germane to this bill. And without going backward, I would notice that when the majority whip said, why do we not we run independent bills, you see how well the minority is treated when such attempts to bring up good-government policies like this are dealt with. They are dealt with germaneness amendments to avoid having a debate that we desperately need to have on same-day registration.

For those outside groups who would like to see Pennsylvania move in the direction of so many other States and have same-day voter registration, well, I say, here is your opportunity. Overrule the Chair and let us have an open and honest debate about whether Pennsylvania should join with those other States that have same-day voter registration, to expand the franchise to make it less difficult for people to vote.

If we care, as I know the underlying author of this bill does, about the sanctity and inclusiveness about our democracy, then let us overrule the Chair and let us have a debate that is long overdue. Let us have real amendment processes where we can offer good-government solutions like same-day voter registration.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. Representative Cutler, the majority whip, on the amendment and the ruling of the Chair.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, again, I appreciate the gentleman's interest in this issue, but the courts have been quite clear. They want bills that are drafted in a singular manner that are very focused, and I would urge the members to support the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of the ruling of the Chair, the Chair's decision, will be voting "aye." Those who are opposed to the ruling of the Chair will be voting "nay."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Baker	Gabler	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Metcalf	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Harper	Miccarelli	Saylor
Charlton	Harris, A.	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Heffley	Miller, B.	Simmons

Cook	Helm	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hickernell	Murt	Stevens
Culver	Hill	Mustio	Tallman
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Taylor
Day	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Ortitay	Topper
Dowling	Kauffman	Peifer	Walsh
Dunbar	Keefer	Pickett	Ward
Dush	Keller, F.	Pyle	Warner
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
English	Knowles	Quinn, M.	White
Evankovich	Lawrence	Rader	Zimmerman
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Farry	Maher	Reed	Turzai,
Fee	Mako	Reese	Speaker
Fritz	Maloney		

NAYS—74

Barbin	Dean	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Ravenstahl
Boyle	DeLissio	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bradford	DeLuca	Kortz	Roebuck
Briggs	Dermody	Krueger	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Driscoll	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Freeman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, D.	Galloway	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Goodman	Neuman	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	O'Brien	Vitali
Davidson	Harkins	Pashinski	Warren
Davis	Harris, J.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Bullock	Gainey	Lewis	Petri
Cox	Haggerty	Matzie	Watson
Fabrizio	Kirkland	Mehaffie	Wheatley

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. BRADFORD offered the following amendment No. A00955:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 12, by striking out the period after "watchers" and inserting ; and, in primary and election expenses, further defining "expenditure" and "political action committee," further providing for reporting by candidate and political committees and other persons and for annual reports, providing for limitations on certain contributions, further providing for late contributions and independent expenditures, for late filing fee and certificate of filing and for additional powers and duties of the Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Sections 417(b) and 1621(d)(1) and (l) of the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 1621. Definitions.—As used in this article, the following words have the following meanings:

* * *

(d) The word "expenditure" shall mean:

(1) The payment, distribution, loan or advancement of money or any valuable thing by a candidate, political committee or other person for the purpose of influencing the outcome of an election; however, the payment, distribution, loan or advancement of money or any valuable thing must be made only for legitimate and verifiable campaign expenses and not for any inherently personal purpose. "Inherently personal purpose" means a purpose that, by its nature, confers a personal benefit, including a home mortgage, rent, utility payment, clothing purchase, noncampaign automobile expense, country club membership, vacation or a trip of a noncampaign nature, household food items, tuition payments, admission to a sporting event, concert, theater or other form of entertainment;

* * *

(l) The words "Political Action Committee" shall mean any political committee as defined in subsection (h) which receives contributions and makes expenditures to, or on behalf of, any candidate other than a candidate's own authorized political [committees] committee or the political committees of any State, county, city, borough, township, ward or other regularly constituted party committee of any political party or political body. A political action committee which is established, maintained or controlled by a sponsoring organization, such as a corporation, labor organization, membership association or trade association, shall include in its registered name the full name of its sponsoring organization.

* * *

Section 2. Section 1626(a), (d) and (e) of the act are amended and the section is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 1626. Reporting by Candidate and Political Committees and other Persons.—

(a) Each treasurer of a political committee and each candidate for election to public office shall file with the appropriate supervisor reports of receipts and expenditures on forms, designed by the Secretary of the Commonwealth, if the amount received or expended or liabilities incurred shall exceed the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250). Should such an amount not exceed two hundred fifty dollars (\$250), then the candidate or, in the case of a political committee, the treasurer of the committee shall file a sworn statement to that effect with the appropriate supervisor rather than the report required by this section: Provided, however, That if the amount received or expended by a candidate does not exceed two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) the candidate may comply with this section by signing an affidavit to that effect on the candidate's political committee's report or statement.

* * *

(d) Pre-election reports by candidates for offices to be voted for by the electors of the State at large, candidates for the office of Senator in the General Assembly, candidates for the office of Representatives in the General Assembly and all political committees, which have expended money for the purpose of influencing the election of such [candidate] candidates, shall be filed not later than the sixth Tuesday before and the second Friday before an election, provided that the initial pre-election report shall be complete as of fifty (50) days prior to the election and the subsequent pre-election report shall be complete as of fifteen (15) days prior to the election. Pre-election reports by all other candidates and political committees which have received contributions or made expenditures for the purpose of influencing an election shall be filed not later than the second Friday before an election, provided that such report be complete as of fifteen (15) days prior to the election.

(e) All candidates or political committees, required to file under this section, shall also file [a] an initial post-election report not later than thirty (30) days after an election which shall be complete as of twenty (20) days after the election and a subsequent post-election report on January 31 of the year after the election, which shall be complete as of December 31 of the prior year. Candidates defeated in the primary election must file the initial post-election report by the deadline specified in this subsection and continue to file reports in accordance with section 1627. In the case of a special election the initial post-election report shall be complete as of ten (10) days after such special election.

* * *

(k) All reports filed with the Secretary of the Commonwealth shall be filed electronically in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Commonwealth. All reports shall be accompanied by the affidavit prescribed by section 1629. The Secretary of the Commonwealth may require electronic submission of the affidavit. Any candidate or political committee not able to file the report or statement required by this section electronically shall request an exemption from the Secretary of the Commonwealth. The candidate or political committee upon approval of the Secretary of the Commonwealth shall file reports and statements on forms developed by the Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Section 3. Section 1627 of the act is amended to read:

Section 1627. [Annual Reports] Quarterly Reports; Termination of Committees.—

(a) All political committees and candidates[, including those committees and candidates] not filing reports under section 1626 (d) and (e)[,] shall file [a report on January 31 of each year which shall be complete as of December 31 of the prior year. Such reports shall be filed annually at this time until there is no balance or debt in the report of the candidate or political committee. Such reports shall be cumulative. However, if there has been no change in the account, then the candidate or political committee shall file a statement to that effect with the appropriate supervisor. Each form designated by the Secretary of the Commonwealth for filing a report or statement required by section 1626(e) shall contain a block which may be marked by the candidate or political committee designating it a termination report or statement. If such report or statement is so designated, or if an authorized candidate elects to file no report or statement pursuant to section 1626.1, no annual report need be filed under this section unless contributions were received or expenditures made subsequent to the time period for filing of such termination report. However, no candidate or political committee may terminate by way of a statement where the unpaid balance indicated in the previous report was greater than two hundred fifty dollars (\$250). In the case of annual reports said report shall cover the campaign activity of a candidate or political committee from the last prior report or statement.] quarterly reports. The reports shall be filed on the fifteenth day following the last day of the third, sixth, ninth and twelfth months of the year and shall be complete as of the end of such months. Reports must be filed until such time that there is no balance or debt in the report of the candidate or political committee. The reports shall be cumulative. A report must be filed even if there was no change in the account since the last filing.

(a.1) Each form designated by the Secretary of the Commonwealth for filing a report required by section 1626 shall contain a block which may be marked by the candidate or political committee designating it a termination report or statement. No candidate or political committee may terminate unless the candidate or political committee has a zero balance. No candidate or political committee may terminate by way of a statement. In the case of quarterly reports, the report shall cover the campaign activity of a candidate or political committee from the last prior report or statement. Once terminated, a political committee must comply with sections 1623 and 1624 before receiving contributions or making expenditures.

[(b) Any political committee required to be registered under this act and not reporting under section 1626 shall file an annual report under this section. However, if a political committee makes aggregate

expenditures as defined in section 1621 in an amount less than two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) or incurs aggregate debt in an amount less than two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) during the calendar year to influence an election, it need not file an annual report; provided that this exception shall not be applicable to a candidate's political committee or to a State or county committee of a political party or political body or to a political action committee of a corporation or unincorporated association.]

Section 4. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 1627.1. Limitations on Certain Contributions.—

(a) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from any person to any candidate for the office of Senator or Representative in the General Assembly, the court of common pleas or a county or local office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed two thousand dollars (\$2,000) for each election. Furthermore, for each election, no such candidate, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than two thousand dollars (\$2,000) in aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions from any person.

(b) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from any person to any candidate for Statewide office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each election. Furthermore, for each election no candidate, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions from any person.

(c) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or candidate's political committee, its affiliate or agent, to any candidate for the office of Senator or Representative in the General Assembly, the court of common pleas or a county or local office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed two thousand dollars (\$2,000) for each election. Furthermore, for each election no candidate for such office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than two thousand dollars (\$2,000) in aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political action committee or agent or candidate's political committee.

(d) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or candidate's political committee to any candidate for Statewide office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each election. Furthermore, for each election, no candidate, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) in aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or candidate's political committee.

(e) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political party committee, its affiliate or agent to any candidate for the office of Senator or Representative in the General Assembly, the court of common pleas or a county or local office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per election. Furthermore, no candidate for the office of Senator or Representative in the General Assembly, court of common pleas or a county or local office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in aggregate contributions from any single political party committee, its affiliate or agent.

(f) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political party committee, its affiliate or agent to any candidate for Statewide office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall not exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per election. Furthermore, no candidate for Statewide office, or the candidate's authorized political committee or agent, shall accept or receive more than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) in aggregate contributions from any single political party committee, its

affiliate or agent.

(g) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political party committee, its affiliate or agent to any political action committee, its affiliate or agent or political party committee, its affiliate or agent, or any other political committee, its affiliate or agent, shall not exceed twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) per election. Furthermore, no political action committee or political committee shall accept or receive more than twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) in aggregate contributions from any single political party committee, its affiliate or agent.

(h) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from any person or a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or any single candidate's political committee, its affiliate or agent to a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent shall not exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) during any calendar year. Furthermore, for each election, no political action committee, its affiliate or agent shall accept or receive more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent during any calendar year.

(i) Aggregate contributions, including in-kind contributions, from any person, a single candidate's political committee, its affiliate or agent or a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or any other political committee to a single political party committee shall not exceed twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) for each election. Furthermore, no single political party committee shall accept or receive more than twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) in aggregate contributions from any single candidate's political committee or agent or a single political action committee, its affiliate or agent or any political committee.

(j) No person shall make contributions, including in-kind contributions, in accordance with this section aggregating more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) for each election.

(k) For the purposes of subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j), a contribution given to a candidate's authorized political committee is the same as contributing to the candidate.

(l) For purposes of this section, any contribution made to a candidate in a year other than the calendar year in which the election is held with respect to which such contribution is made is considered to be made for the next election to be held.

(m) The provisions of this section shall be applicable to a contribution made for the purpose of influencing any election to all public offices of this Commonwealth except Federal offices.

(n) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all contributions made by political committees established or financed or maintained or controlled by any corporation, labor organization or any other person, including any parent, subsidiary, branch, division, department or local unit of the corporation, labor organization, or any person, or by any group of persons, shall be considered to have been made by a single political committee, except that nothing in this subsection shall prohibit transfers between political committees of funds raised through joint fundraising efforts.

(o) Nothing in this section shall prohibit a municipality, including a city of the first class, from instituting lower limitations on contributions to candidates for local offices.

(p) The dollar limits provided under this section shall be adjusted annually at a rate equal to the average percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware and Maryland area as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor, or any successor agency, occurring in the prior calendar year. The base year shall be 2015. The average shall be calculated and certified annually by the Secretary of the Commonwealth by adding the percentage increase in each of the three areas and dividing by three. The calculation and resulting new figures shall be submitted for publication in a March issue of the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Section 5. Sections 1628, 1632(a) and (b) and 1640 of the act are amended to read:

Section 1628. Late Contributions and Independent Expenditures.—Any candidate or political committee, authorized by a candidate and created solely for the purpose of influencing an election on behalf of that candidate, which receives any contribution or pledge of five hundred dollars (\$500) or more, and any person making an independent expenditure, as defined by this act, of five hundred dollars (\$500) or more after the final pre-election report has been deemed completed shall report such contribution, pledge or expenditure to the appropriate supervisor. Such report shall be sent by the candidate, chairman or treasurer of the political committee within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the contribution. It shall be the duty of the supervisor to confirm the substance of such report. The report shall be made by telegram, mailgram, overnight mail or facsimile transmission, except that, if such report is to be filed with the Secretary of the Commonwealth, it shall be filed electronically in a manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Commonwealth. Any candidate in his own behalf, or chairman, treasurer or candidate in behalf of the political committee may also comply with this section by appearing personally before such supervisor and reporting such late contributions or pledges.

Section 1632. Late Filing Fee; Certificate of Filing.—

(a) A late filing fee for each report or statement of expenditures and contributions which is not filed within the prescribed period shall be imposed as follows. Such fee shall be [ten dollars (\$10)] twenty dollars (\$20) for each day or part of a day excluding Saturdays, Sundays and holidays that a report is overdue for the first ten (10) days. An additional fee of [ten dollars (\$10)] fifty dollars (\$50) is due for each [of the first six (6) days] additional day that a report is overdue after the initial ten-day period. The maximum fee payable with respect to a single report is [two hundred fifty dollars (\$250)] one thousand dollars (\$1,000). A supervisor shall receive an overdue report or statement even if any late filing fee due has not been paid but the report or statement shall not be considered filed until all fees have been paid upon the receipt by the supervisor of an overdue report. No further late filing fees shall be incurred notwithstanding the fact that the report or statement is not considered filed. The late filing fee is the personal liability of the candidate or treasurer of a political committee and cannot be paid from contributions to the candidate or committee, nor may such fee be considered an expenditure. A report or statement of expenditures and contributions shall be deemed to have been filed within the prescribed time if the letter transmitting the report or statement which is received by the supervisor is transmitted by first class mail and is postmarked by the United States Postal Service on the day prior to the final day on which the report or statement is to be received: Provided, That this sentence shall not be applicable to the reporting requirements contained in section 1628.

(b) No person may be a candidate for a public office until all reports and statements of contributions and expenditures required to be filed by such person if the person was previously a candidate for public office and by any treasurer of any committee authorized by such person for the previous candidacy have been filed and all fines have been paid. No person shall be deemed elected to a public office under the laws of this Commonwealth or enter upon the duties thereof, or receive any salary or emoluments therefrom until all of the reports and statements of contributions and expenditures required to be filed by any candidate and treasurers of committees authorized by such candidate and due before the person may take office, have been filed. No candidate may be sworn in until the appropriate supervisor certifies that all required reports have been filed, and no official of the Commonwealth or any of its political subdivisions may issue a commission or administer an oath of office until that official has received this certification. No certification shall be issued until the supervisor has received post election reports of any candidate and treasurer of committees authorized by such candidate.

* * *

Section 1640. Additional Powers and Duties of the Secretary of the Commonwealth.—

(a) The Secretary of the Commonwealth shall have the following additional powers and duties:

(1) To serve as the State clearing house for information concerning the administration of this act.

(2) To prescribe suitable rules and regulations to carry out the provisions of this act.

(3) To develop the prescribed forms required by the provisions of this article for the making of the reports and statements required to be filed with the supervisor.

(4) To prepare a manual setting forth recommended uniform methods of bookkeeping and reporting which shall be furnished by the supervisor to the person required to file such reports and statements as required by this article.

(5) To examine the contributions to State legislative and Statewide candidates and publish a list of all those political committees who have contributed to candidates and who have failed to file reports as required by this act within six (6) days of their failure to comply.

(6) To develop a computer database and electronic reporting system that shall contain all information necessary for the proper administration of this article, including information on contributions and expenditures by all candidates and all political committees and distribution of moneys, and that shall provide direct access through personal computer and the Internet. The database shall be designed with an emergency recovery system to ensure that campaign expense records are not lost in the case of an emergency, natural disaster or other such event that could cause the system to malfunction.

(7) To establish a training program on the electronic reporting system and make it available to any candidate or committee.

(8) To make the electronic reporting process available to a candidate or committee that is required to file reports and statements in accordance with this act in a self-executing format and make available materials to facilitate the task of compliance with the disclosure and recordkeeping requirements of this article.

(b) The Secretary of the Commonwealth may not require the public to provide any information or identification as a condition to access the database on the Internet unless the public access is in the office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "2" and inserting
6

Amend Bill, page 3, line 7, by striking out "in 60 days." and inserting

as follows:

(1) This section shall take effect immediately.

(2) The amendment of section 417(b) of the act shall take effect in 60 days.

(3) The remainder of this act shall take effect January 1, 2018.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, are you withdrawing that amendment?

Mr. BRADFORD. At this point, if it is okay with the Speaker, I would like to withdraw all the remaining amendments.

The SPEAKER. Amendment 955 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 956 was voted upon, amendment 957 was voted upon, and then amendment 958, which does not excuse absentee ballots, is withdrawn, and amendment 3322, which allows same-day voter registration, is withdrawn.

There are no more amendments that I see to – my apologies. My apologies. There is a late-filed amendment by Representative Greg Vitali. It is amendment 3433.

He is withdrawing that amendment. Representative Vitali withdraws the late-filed amendment.

I do not see any more amendments to HB 171, PN 135.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MRS. DEAN

The SPEAKER. Representative Madeleine Dean I know wishes to be recognized on unanimous consent.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just a quick reminder announcement to the members of the southeast delegation, the southeast delegation, we are going to be meeting in my office, 32 East Wing, immediately; members only, southeast delegation. Thanks a lot.

BILLS RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommended to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 171;

HB 1095;

HB 1444; and

HB 1594.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 358;

HB 383;

HB 825;

HB 1613; and

HB 1739.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Representative Rozzi moves that the House be adjourned until Tuesday, September 26, 2017, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 4:53 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.