

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2015

SESSION OF 2015

199TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 79

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.s.t.

THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI) PRESIDING

PRAYER

HON. KAREN BOBACK, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Merciful Father, as we begin this day in this great House, we turn to You for guidance and wisdom. Make us ever mindful of our responsibility to those we serve, and enable us to govern with justice and integrity. May our ministry be service to You first, and then to those we represent.

And today as we look forward to Wednesday and the national celebration of our country's veterans, we pray in gratitude for those who have served and those who continue to serve to keep us one strong nation under You, O God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Thursday, November 5, 2015, will be postponed until printed.

JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. The following 2015 Journals are in print, and without objection, they will be approved:

Tuesday, June 23, 2015;
Wednesday, June 24, 2015;
Thursday, June 25, 2015; and
Friday, June 26, 2015.

COMMUNICATION FROM DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges receipt of the Annual Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program Report for 2012 and 2013, submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection.

If anybody here has any influence, maybe we could speed up those reports.

(Copy of communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. We are now turning to our leaves of absence.

The majority whip has indicated that Representative SACCONI requests leave for the day, Representative TOBASH of Schuylkill County has requested leave for the day, Representative KLUNK of York County has requested leave for the week, Representative MALONEY of Berks County has requested leave for the week, and Representative MUSTIO of Allegheny County has requested leave for the week. Without objection, those will be granted.

The minority whip has requested leaves of absence for Representative FRANKEL of Allegheny County for the day and Representative KAVULICH of Lackawanna County for the day. Without objection, those will be granted.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 1327, PN 1788

By Rep. O'NEILL

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in special funds, further providing for State Workers' Insurance Board investments and for a related expiration provision.

FINANCE.

HB 1378, PN 1972

By Rep. O'NEILL

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in general budget implementation, further providing for the Department of Revenue.

FINANCE.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. We are now going to turn to the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote on the master roll.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Schwey
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

ADDITIONS—0**NOT VOTING—0****EXCUSED—7**

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Tobash
Kavulich	Maloney	Saccione	

LEAVES CANCELED—1

Tobash

The SPEAKER. The master roll call has indicated there are 196 members present. Therefore, we have a quorum.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 581 By Representatives SCHLEGEL CULVER, BARRAR, HAHN, HEFFLEY, MILLARD, WATSON, PHILLIPS-HILL, WARD, REESE, PICKETT, KAUFFMAN, CALTAGIRONE, READSHAW, R. BROWN, A. HARRIS, ROZZI, KORTZ, GINGRICH, TOEPEL, D. PARKER, JAMES, REGAN, TOPPER, MASSER, EVERETT, COX, HARHAI and PASHINSKI

A Resolution urging the President of the United States and Congress to pass the Permanent Department of Veterans Affairs Choice Card Act of 2015.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, November 9, 2015.

**HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 1537 By Representatives VEREB, DEAN, ACOSTA, BRADFORD, CALTAGIRONE, COHEN, CORBIN, D. COSTA, DRISCOLL, FARRY, GAINNEY, GERGELY, J. HARRIS, HELM, PHILLIPS-HILL, JAMES, W. KELLER, KILLION, KORTZ, McNEILL, MILLARD, D. MILLER, MURT, O'BRIEN, O'NEILL, PASHINSKI, ROZZI, SCHWEYER, SIMMONS, SIMS, THOMAS and WATSON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in riot, disorderly conduct and related offenses, providing for prohibiting the import, sale, purchase, barter or possession of ivory or rhinoceros horn.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, November 9, 2015.

No. 1685 By Representatives FEE, BENNINGHOFF, BIZZARRO, BRADFORD, CALTAGIRONE, CAUSER, COHEN, D. COSTA, COX, DiGIROLAMO, DRISCOLL, DUSH, FARINA, GREINER, GROVE, HAHN, HARHART, HEFFLEY, HENNESSEY, KNOWLES, MAHONEY, MAJOR, MARSICO, MENTZER, MILLARD, NEILSON, O'NEILL, OBERLANDER, PAYNE, PEIFER, PICKETT, RAPP, READSHAW, REGAN, SCHEMEL, SONNEY, THOMAS, VEREB, WARD, WHEELAND and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1026, Section 004 over the Cocalico Creek, Denver Borough, and West Cocalico Township, Lancaster County, as the Samuel L. Snyder Memorial Bridge.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, November 9, 2015.

No. 1688 By Representatives DeLISSIO, THOMAS, HARKINS and ROZZI

An Act providing for a Statewide comprehensive health care system; establishing the Pennsylvania Health Care Plan and providing for eligibility, services, coverages, subrogation, participating and nonparticipating providers, cost containment, quality assurance and for transitional support and training; establishing the Pennsylvania Health Care Board, the Pennsylvania Health Care Agency, the Office of Health Care Ombudsman and the Pennsylvania Health Care Trust Fund; and imposing a payroll tax and an additional personal income tax.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, November 9, 2015.

The SPEAKER. Members, please take your seats at this time. We are going to be recognizing our visitors to this august chamber. If you have to continue with the conversations, please take them to the anterooms outside of the chamber.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes some guests of Representative Duane Milne. They are Elizabeth Benner, Maud Tierney, and Jeannette Lindvig. Could you please stand. Thank you so much for being with us today.

And Representative Mackenzie has some guests here as well: Debbie Healy, Edna Callaghan, and Pam Miller. Would you please rise. Thank you so much for being with us today.

We also have Chantel Thomas and her son, Isaiah, and they are also guests of Representative Mackenzie. Thank you so much for being with us today.

Representative Mary Jo Daley has with her an intern, Mary Lavery. Mary is left of the rostrum. Mary, can you stand. And Mary is here with Maria Dispenziere, who works with Representative Daley in her district office. Please rise. Thank you so much for being with us today.

We have a packed gallery up there. The Chair welcomes members of the Warwick Middle School Student Council, and they are guests of Representative Steve Mentzer and Representative Brett Miller. Please rise, and great to have you here from your school. Thanks for being us with today.

I believe that Representative Kim and Representative Readshaw will be giving us more details at a later point when they speak on HR 577, so I do not want to take away the introductions from them, from Representative Kim and Representative Readshaw. At this time I am just going to quickly identify our guests, and they will be giving you all the details when we get to that resolution. But Jim Schmick is here. He is president and cofounder of the Camp Curtin Historical Society. Jim, please stand. Dr. Lawrence Keener-Farley, past president of the historical society. Annette Keener-Farley is past treasurer and board member of the historical society and the president of the Civil War Dance Foundation. And David Klinepeter has been portraying a very famous Governor, Pennsylvania Governor Andrew Curtin, for the past 25 years, and he himself served in the Navy during World War II and has been a member of the Sons of Union Veterans of the Civil War for some time. As I have indicated, Representative Kim and Representative Readshaw will be speaking in more detail with respect to the resolution. Thank you so much for being our guests today.

Representative Russ Diamond has a guest page here today, Harald Burkart. Harald Burkhart, please stand. Thank you so much for being us with, and welcome.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. D. COSTA called up **HR 22, PN 21**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing November 14, 2015, as "World Diabetes Day" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mrs. GINGRICH called up **HR 567, PN 2463**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of November 2 through 8, 2015, as "National Apprenticeship Week" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. ROEBUCK called up **HR 568, PN 2464**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of October 18 through 24, 2015, as "National Chemistry Week" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mrs. WATSON called up **HR 571, PN 2483**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of November 2015 as "Adoption Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causser	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper

Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-7

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Tobash
Kavulich	Maloney	Saccone	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

STATEMENT BY MRS. GINGRICH

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Mauree Gingrich on HR 567; on HR 567.

Mrs. GINGRICH. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank my colleagues for their support of this House resolution designating the week of November 2-8 as "National Apprenticeship Week" in Pennsylvania. And this is to coincide with the national effort for National Apprenticeship Week in the Commonwealth and will highlight the important role that apprenticeship plays in our programs in education, development, training, and ultimately, the workplace.

I do want to point out that we here in Pennsylvania set a model across the nation and are in the forefront when it comes to apprenticeship programs. And according to the statistic from the U.S. Department of Labor in 2014, we, Pennsylvania, ranked among the top 10 in the country for number of registered apprentices leading to the workforce a well-trained workforce.

It is my hope that we continue such programs and enhance them when we can. And again, I want to thank my fellow colleagues for supporting the resolution today.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Representative.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. MACKENZIE called up **HR 569, PN 2482**, entitled:

A Resolution encouraging all health care practitioners in this Commonwealth to educate and discuss the warning signs and symptoms of Type 1 diabetes with the parents or guardians of each child under their care.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-7

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Tobash
Kavulich	Maloney	Saccone	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

STATEMENT BY MR. MACKENZIE

The SPEAKER. Representative Mackenzie is recognized to speak on HR 569 on unanimous consent.

Please, sir, just hold for a moment. All members, please take your seats. All members, please take your seats.

Representative Mackenzie, the floor is yours.

Mr. MACKENZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I would like to thank all of my legislative colleagues for their support of HR 569, which seeks to encourage health professionals all across the Commonwealth to educate and discuss the warning signs and symptoms of type 1 diabetes with the parents or guardians of each child under their care.

I am joined today by a number of advocates and interested individuals in type 1 diabetes, and I would specifically like to highlight Debbie Healy, who is with us here today. Debbie is the parent of a child who lives with type 1 diabetes in my district. And we already introduced and recognized her, but if we can just give her another round of applause for her advocacy on this issue.

Type 1 diabetes, previously known as juvenile-onset diabetes, is a disease that affects roughly 3 million Americans. In this disease, the pancreas no longer produces the insulin that helps convert starches and sugars into the fuel the body needs. It is a disease that has no cure, but with proper management, a person with type 1 diabetes can live a very long and fulfilling life. Diabetes, both type 1 and type 2, are serious health issues in the Commonwealth. Approximately 1.2 million adults and children in our State are living with diabetes, and it is estimated that over 500,000 Pennsylvanians are undiagnosed. The prevalence of diabetes in this Commonwealth has nearly doubled since 1995, and it is now the seventh leading cause of death in Pennsylvania.

Only 5 percent of diabetics have type 1 diabetes, and it is typically diagnosed in children and young adults. With the help of insulin therapy and other treatments, young children and adolescents can learn to manage their condition and again live long and healthy lives. But however, education concerning type 1 diabetes is critical, and raising awareness is an issue that is important for all of us.

Therefore, again I want to thank you for your support of HR 569 to help physicians and parents recognize the early indicators of type 1 diabetes so that treatment may begin as early as possible. Again, thank you. And thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Ms. KIM called up **HR 577, PN 2497**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the 150th anniversary of the closing of Camp Curtin and recognizing the 25th anniversary of the formation of the Camp Curtin Historical Society.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santorsberg
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Tobash
Kavulich	Maloney	Saccone	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

STATEMENT BY MS. KIM

The SPEAKER. Representative Kim is recognized to speak on the resolution.

Ms. KIM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want, also, to thank Representative Readshaw and his staff for helping me coordinate this resolution, HR 577. One hundred and fifty years ago the Federal government closed its largest military camp used during the Civil War. That camp, Camp Curtin, was located about 1 mile north of this building, which is now called uptown Harrisburg.

In April of 1861, President Abraham Lincoln asked for 75,000 volunteers to join the U.S. Army to, quote, "quell the rebellion in the South." Pennsylvania Governor Andrew Curtin sent out a proclamation seeking 13,000 volunteers. Within 3 days thousands came from across Pennsylvania to Harrisburg to enlist, but there was nowhere for them to organize. Dauphin County officials offered land that was then on the outskirts of the city to the Governor, and Camp Curtin officially opened on April 18, 1861.

Harrisburg's location on major railroad lines running east and west and north and south made it the ideal location for moving men and supplies to the armies in the field. In addition to Pennsylvania regiments, troops from numerous surrounding States and the regular Army used Camp Curtin. In all, more than 300,000 soldiers passed through Camp Curtin during the war. I think it is fair to say that the war would not have been won without Camp Curtin serving as a training center, supply depot, hospital, and prisoner-of-war camp.

It is an honor for me to have my guests – please stand – to help bring history to life. These are Civil War reenactors who came to the Capitol today to help me recognize the 150th anniversary of the closure of Camp Curtin.

I want to thank my colleagues for their unanimous support on HR 577, which honors Camp Curtin and the important role it played during the Civil War.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, guests.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Kim.

STATEMENT BY MR. READSHAW

The SPEAKER. Representative Readshaw, the floor is yours.

Mr. READSHAW. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, I would like to thank Representative Kim for presenting this resolution, HR 577. The historical significance of Camp Curtin and the fact that it was the largest Federal camp in the Civil War should be recognized as extremely historically important. And also, I would like to thank and give thanks and appreciation on the 25th anniversary of the formation of the Camp Curtin Historical Society as they promote and educate people concerning Camp Curtin, where history must be preserved.

I would like to thank our guests for being here. And if I may suggest, Mr. Speaker, I know that members vote on resolutions day in and day out, but those who appreciate historical significance, Camp Curtin is in itself a story unto itself, so you might want to read it word for word and learn some history of the Harrisburg area and the Civil War.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Readshaw. Thank you, members.

Turning to announcements.

**RULES AND APPROPRIATIONS
COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

The SPEAKER. Representative Adolph, the chair of the Appropriations Committee, for an announcement.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be a Rules Committee meeting in the House Republican conference room, and then at 1:45 there will be an Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the House Republican conference room, followed by an Appropriations Committee meeting at 1:45 in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Major, the caucus chair, for an announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce Republicans will caucus today at 2 p.m. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 2 o'clock. We would be prepared to come back to the floor, Mr. Speaker, at 2:30. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Dermody, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Democrats will also caucus at 2 o'clock. The Democrats will caucus at 2. Thank you.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Members, we will be returning at 2:30 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Tobash has indicated to the majority leader and the majority whip that he is back on the floor. He will be marked on the master roll, without objection.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**HB 12, PN 2472** By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in preliminary provisions relating to divorce, further providing for definitions; and, in dissolution of marital status, further providing for grounds for divorce, for counseling and for decree of court.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 231, PN 225 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in hunting and furtaking licenses, further providing for authorized license-issuing agents.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 246, PN 2412 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law, further providing for licensure of manager-barbers and barber-teachers.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 380, PN 2474 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in dissolution of marital status, further providing for grounds for divorce and for decree of court.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 406, PN 2398 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of June 27, 2006 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.1873, No.1), known as the Taxpayer Relief Act, in senior citizens property tax and rent rebate assistance, further providing for definitions and for filing of claim.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1229, PN 1614 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act designating the overpass on State Route 3145 over Interstate 376, BMS 02-3145-0010-0537, in the Market District at Settlers Ridge, Robinson Township, Allegheny County, as the Roy F. Johns, Jr., Overpass.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1233, PN 2473 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act providing for summaries or copies of patient test results to be sent directly to a patient or the patient's designee when there is a finding of a significant abnormality; and providing for duties of the Department of Health.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1322, PN 2453 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Public Welfare Code, in public assistance, further providing for identification and proof of residence.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1347, PN 2444

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act designating a portion of State Route 981 in Mount Pleasant Township, Westmoreland County, as the James Paul Takitch Honorary Highway.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1638, PN 2443

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of December 29, 1972 (P.L.1713, No.367), known as the Motor Vehicle Physical Damage Appraiser Act, further providing for compliance with act.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 887, PN 1364

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in rules of the road in general, further providing for duty of driver in construction and maintenance areas or on highway safety corridors and for duty of driver in emergency response areas.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HB 239, PN 2334**

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of August 31, 1971 (P.L.398, No.96), known as the County Pension Law, further providing for definitions and for supplemental benefits.

RULES.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 902, PN 1101**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1979 (P.L.241, No.78), entitled "An act authorizing political subdivisions, municipality authorities and transportation authorities to enter into contracts for the purchase of goods and the sale of real and personal property where no bids are received," providing for contracts for services.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 775, PN 834**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 11 (Cities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, consolidating The Third Class City Code; making revisions concerning records of ordinances maintained by the city clerk, bond, insurance and salary, qualifications for office of city treasurer, committee preparation of uniform financial report forms, observances, celebrations and recognition, selection of appointee from certified list of applicants and support of Pennsylvania National Guard units; making an editorial change; and making a related repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 785, PN 1381**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in consolidated county assessment, further providing for definitions and for subjects of local taxation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 791, PN 951**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in township officers generally, further providing for removal for failure to perform duties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 793, PN 1031**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in corporate powers, further providing for building and housing regulations and repealing provisions relating to building and housing inspectors; and providing for Uniform Construction Code, property maintenance code and reserved powers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 609, PN 1324**, entitled:

An Act establishing a task force on prostate cancer and related chronic prostate conditions; and providing for powers and duties of the task force, the Department of Health, the Insurance Department, the Department of Aging and the Department of Human Services, and for prevention and education strategies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BAKER** offered the following amendment No. **A03874**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 15, by striking out "prostatitis" and inserting
prostatitis

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Baker.

Members, please take your seats. Please take your seats. All conversations should be taken to the anterooms. Representative Baker has called up an amendment to SB 609. His amendment is 3874. We would like to give him the opportunity to tell you what the amendment is about. All members, please take your seats. Any conversations, please take off the floor.

Representative Baker, please tell us about the amendment.

Mr. **BAKER**. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a technical amendment. It merely corrects the spelling of one word, a particular disease. It is a technical amendment, and it is my understanding it is agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	English	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Readshaw
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santora
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper

Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1233, PN 2473**, entitled:

An Act providing for summaries or copies of patient test results to be sent directly to a patient or the patient's designee when there is a finding of a significant abnormality; and providing for duties of the Department of Health.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, Representative Quinn.

Members, we are moving to debate and votes on third consideration bills. We have much work to do. We would like to make sure that every member who wishes to speak on the bill has that opportunity to do so. Would all members please take

their seats. All staff, please take all the conversations outside the chamber. All members, please take your seats.

Representative Quinn, on the bill, HB 1233.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have introduced HB 1233, the Patient Test Result Information Act, to insert a safety net in terms of the critical communication of test results to patients when a significant abnormality is detected in the diagnostic imaging test. Our health-care providers are very busy. Their offices are busy. And for the most part, we as patients still believe that no news is good news when it comes to hearing about our test results.

I have introduced this bill in memory of two people – not one, but two – who I knew, that if this bill had been in place as law, they very well would be alive today. Both died of a cancer that was in fact detected at an early stage, very curable types of cancer, and for whatever reason, the communication of those test results never reached them. The communication of a diagnosis may very well be as important as the diagnosis itself. Mr. Speaker, the test results belong to the patients. HB 1233 will ensure that they receive them.

Thank you, and I ask my colleagues for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Vitali, on the bill.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A constituent of mine, a radiologist, has asked me to note her concerns about the bill. I just want also to make note of the fact that the Pennsylvania radiological association opposes the bill. They cite numerous reasons in a letter they circulated to the House members, including an interference with the doctor-patient relationship, the unworkability of the bill, and numerous other reasons.

But I do want to salute the lady, because I know, as always, she has the best interest of her constituents at heart, and she always does a good job. But I do feel compelled because a radiologist in my district, whom I actually know personally, has taken some time to express her concerns, and I just wanted to give a fuller picture of the issue, just put those concerns out there.

The SPEAKER. Representative Quinn.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I appreciate the comments from my colleague from Delaware County, as he represents the concerns for the radiologists. For the last several sessions I have met with the radiologists. I have incorporated many of their concerns in this bill, and I understand their feelings, but I believe that I am acting in terms of some lifesaving measures here.

So I appreciate an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese

Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Tobash
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Toohil
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Topper
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Truitt
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vereb
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

NAYS-1

Vitali

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1322, PN 2453**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Public Welfare Code, in public assistance, further providing for identification and proof of residence.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Kaufner, do you wish to be recognized on the bill?

Representative DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the bill stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Representative Kaufner, will you agree to stand for interrogation? He has consented to. You may proceed with your questioning.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I understand that this bill has been drafted as a prerequisite to an interstate compact that has yet to come into existence, let alone any States agree to such a compact. Could the maker of the bill explain to me how this particular bill is a prerequisite for that compact and why?

Mr. KAUFNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill specifically is so that we will notify other States when somebody comes to our State and was collecting welfare benefits in a previous State within the last 5 years. As part of being a good partner in this process, we will notify them, and hopefully in the near future we will consider legislation where we would create a compact that they would also notify us. So we are trying to be good partners in this and making sure that people are not collecting in multiple States.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I must have misunderstood it in committee, however, then. That answer seems to be a little different than what I heard in committee. My apologies if I misunderstood.

I understand that we currently, we do currently exchange information via the Federal PARIS (Public Assistance Reporting Information System) system of exchanging information. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. KAUFNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Yes; that is correct, but only specifically with the SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) program, none of the other programming. That is specific to SNAP within the PARIS program.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Mr. Speaker, I believe Act 22 of, I think, 2011 – it was either 2011 or 2012 that the department was to establish a computerized income eligibility verification system to verify eligibility and to eliminate duplication of assistance and to deter fraud.

Are you saying, Mr. Speaker, that this only applied to the SNAP program and did not apply to all of the programs that are under the purview of the Department of Human Services?

Mr. KAUFNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That is specifically in regard to Federal law. The PARIS program is a Federal program. The State programming that was done was for intrastate, from within our own State, so people are not collecting in multiple counties, which is something that was found in previous audits.

This legislation that I have drafted is specific in regard to the Auditor General's reports that have been drafted with recommendations, and I am going to quote two of them right now. We need to "adequately monitor and resolve inappropriate EBT (electronic benefit transfer) activity, determining periodically if recipients still reside in Pennsylvania." That is recommendation number four. And number seven is also "Develop reciprocal agreements with surrounding states to better monitor programs and make certain 'double dipping' of benefits does not exist."

Ms. DeLISSIO. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that this already exists, that this law is looking for constituents to enter 5 years' worth of data into a system. I do not know about other district offices in this State, Mr. Speaker, but my district office seems to be unduly burdened by constituents who currently cannot get things to the Department of Human Services, not so much because the department is not competent but because the department is overwhelmed.

I am not convinced that there is a cost-benefit analysis here, and for that reason I will be voting "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Daley.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate Representative DeLissio raising the points that she did because I am also going to rise to oppose this bill and ask my colleagues to vote "no." HB 1322 is a solution in search of a problem. The existing fraud prevention structure, that existing fraud prevention structure is far more efficient and cost-effective, and it is far more accurate than what is proposed in HB 1322.

I absolutely agree that we need to spend our limited public assistance dollars as wisely as we can, and we absolutely must ensure that they go to those who truly need them. If I believed that this bill would help to make certain that our public assistance funds could be stretched further and go to more needy families, I would support it in a heartbeat. Unfortunately, it does not.

The purpose of both the Public Assistance Reporting Information System, which is also known as PARIS, and this legislation is to determine if clients may be receiving some type of benefit inappropriately or have simply not reported receipt of another benefit to which they may be entitled in another State.

The PARIS system was set up by the Federal government to ensure that benefits be allocated appropriately and that people receiving benefits in other States do not double-dip. Thanks to this data exchange system, the department in Pennsylvania was able to do the following. In fiscal year 2012-2013 the department closed 1,493 SNAP cases, saving the State a total of \$2,317,038. In fiscal 2013-2014 the department closed 1,130 SNAP cases, saving the State a total of \$1,693,794. In fiscal 2012-2013 the department closed 2,309 Medicaid cases, saving the State a total of \$9,846,738. In fiscal 2013-14 the department closed 2,324 Medicaid cases, saving the State a total of \$8,102,186. Cash assistance in fiscal 2012-2013, the department closed 1,716 cash assistance cases, saving the State a total of \$54,554. And in 2013-14 the department closed 1,530 cash assistance cases, saving the State a total of \$54,709. For TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) in 2012-2013, the department closed 1,716 TANF cases, saving the State a total of \$54,554, and in 2013-14 the department closed 1,530 TANF cases, saving the State a total of \$54,709.

The above-mentioned data only reflects savings related to PARIS. There are other relevant savings related to other data exchange systems that the department uses in order to prevent fraud, and this data can be found in the Act 22, 2012-13 and 2013-14 report published by the Department of Human Services in October of 2014.

Mr. Speaker, to support my previous points, I would like to read a paragraph from a letter submitted by Community Legal Services to the House Health Committee in opposition to HB 1322. "...DHS shares enrollment data with other states electronically...to prevent issuing benefits to someone who might be receiving benefits elsewhere. People who are flagged as receiving benefits elsewhere do not become eligible in Pennsylvania until they provide proof to DHS that they have notified the other states that they want their cases closed...."

This legislation would not have much impact on individuals applying for assistance, but it would rather have an impact on the department. The legislation is "administratively inefficient without much value."

Mr. Speaker, I believe we should take a moment to think about the relevance of this legislation and if imposing an unnecessary burden on the department actually brings added value and/or transparency to the public, because it is pretty clear that we are already addressing the issue of the benefits and the inappropriate receipt of benefits. So, Mr. Speaker, I would ask my colleagues for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, Curtis Thomas, and then Representative Fabrizio.

Representative Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the author of the bill stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Yes. The maker of the bill has indicated he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I heard—

The SPEAKER. Members—

Mr. THOMAS. —some information—

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, please hold.

Members, please take your seats. Representative Thomas has some questions to ask of the maker of the bill. The floor is just for the interrogator and for the maker of the bill, Representative Kaufner. If you have conversations, please take them to the anterooms. All members, please take your seats.

After Representative Thomas, Representative Fabrizio will be speaking, and then finally, the maker of the bill will speak on final passage.

Representative Thomas, you may proceed.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, is it true that there is a national database that keeps a record of general assistance from one State to another?

Mr. KAUFNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There is not a national database for all of benefits. There is one that we are talking about in regard to PARIS, which is for the SNAP program specifically. Each State has different programs, so there is not one streamlined process for this.

Mr. THOMAS. Now, Mr. Speaker, when you say that there is no streamlined process, you are saying that the Federal government does not have a database of general assistance applicants from one end of the country to the other?

Mr. KAUFER. Not that I am aware of; no. The program that I believe you are referring to is the PARIS program, which is specific to SNAP benefits.

Mr. THOMAS. Yeah; PARIS is one program, but I thought that within the United States office of Health and Human Services, where I worked at one time, and even though I was in the Office of the General Counsel, I was aware of a database that kept a record of all citizens that—

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, Representative Thomas, if you will suspend for just a second.

Sir, you may speak on the bill if you wish, but right now you are speaking on it when you are still in interrogation. If you have a question of the maker, please feel free to ask the question. If not, I will recognize you to speak on the bill.

Mr. THOMAS. One question, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, are you familiar with the United States office of Health and Human Services?

Mr. KAUFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Yes, I am.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, are you aware of the kind of data that the United States office of Health and Human Services collects on a regular basis?

Mr. KAUFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

No.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the bill, sir?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes.

The SPEAKER. You are recognized.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, we could not in good faith—I appreciate the effort of the maker of the bill, and I appreciate the fact that he is not aware of systems that are out there. So, Mr. Speaker, in good faith, we cannot vote in the affirmative on HB 1322.

To the best of my knowledge, there is a national database, and rather than having to spend money to create another system and put another responsibility on recipients who will in effect be duplicating what is already being done – and, Mr. Speaker, the Social Security Administration has a record of everybody that has received general assistance, especially those people who have moved from general assistance to Social Security. So, Mr. Speaker, there is no need to create another layer of bureaucracy that will not provide the kind of outcome that the maker of the bill is seeking to achieve.

And so to that end, to the maker, your effort is praiseworthy, but this is not needed at this time. So I ask my colleagues to vote "no" on HB 1322. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Fabrizio.

Mr. FABRIZIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will not belabor the point, because much has been said there. And as well-intentioned as this bill may well be, it really is unnecessary.

I want to clear one misconception because we have just heard, that there is a necessity for this bill because the Federal database only covers SNAP. That is not true. The Federal database that we exchange, that exchanges with other various States, covers TANF, covers cash assistance, covers Medicaid. So there are, you know, all the public assistance programs that we are aware of are covered and shared with the Federal government.

It is also more important as the bill references general assistance, and for our purposes here, the general assistance program ended in August of 2012; therefore, the bill will only apply to general assistance-related Medicaid assistance applications, which are not eligible for the newly eligible category of federally funded medical assistance.

It has been said here that there is this check back and forth, and I understand. There are 11 databases, Mr. Speaker, that really, that really provide for the intent of this bill. Social Security, the IRS (Internal Revenue Service), unemployment compensation, earnings reference file, and veterans affairs – there are all kinds of checks and balances and the sharing of information that this bill calls for. It seems to me that this is duplicative in effort, and in fact, you know, in these times of fiscal restraint, it seems incongruous to me that we would adopt unnecessary legislation that would cost this Commonwealth money it need not spend.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Kaufer, on the bill.

Mr. KAUFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill is very simple. People should not collect benefits in multiple States. If somebody has collected within the last 5 years from another State, all we are asking them to do is clarify that they are going to – that when they inform our State, we, as a good partner in our great country, will inform that other State of which they were collecting.

There have been a lot of talks about what this bill does and does not do, and I think a lot of people have talked about some of the stuff, but let me talk about what the Auditor General has said. Back to May 2010, recipients in 1 month, in 1 month in May 2010 recipients accessed more than \$5 million, or 94,947 transactions, in benefits outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In Florida in that 1 month, \$266,672; North Carolina, \$139,988. The list goes on and on – over \$5 million in benefits outside of our Commonwealth. This is a serious issue.

Now, I have heard people talk about a database. This does not create a database. What it does is creates an informing mechanism that we are going to inform other States.

Now, people talk about the health and human services. There are other programs outside of that that are collected within our Federal program. This does not add a layer of bureaucracy.

It has been discussed that they already do this via regulation. Well, it is time to codify it, put it in the law, make sure we are doing and respecting the taxpayers' dollars on what we say we are doing.

I have heard it too often from the local level about what they say they are doing. Well, I have, out of the most recent 32 county assistance offices that were audited, 30 of them failed; 30 out of 32 failed. Our special allowance program: 45 percent fraudulent or without the necessary paperwork. And according to all of this, the Auditor General has simply stated, "While senior management at DPW did not address these deficiencies, we are also disappointed that the Pennsylvania General Assembly has been less than diligent in its oversight role."

It is time that today we step up to the plate, be responsible of our legislative oversight role over the Department of Human Services and our welfare programming, and I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this bill today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Fabrizio, for the second time.

Mr. FABRIZIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Not to belabor the point one more time, but the report cited by my distinguished colleague from Luzerne County from the Auditor General was prior to Act 22. Okay? We did codify in the Welfare Code reform provided for by Act 22.

And may I quote, under section 432.23: "VERIFICATION SYSTEM...THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ESTABLISH A COMPUTERIZED INCOME ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION SYSTEM TO VERIFY ELIGIBILITY, ELIMINATE DUPLICATION OF ASSISTANCE AND DETER FRAUD." It is already codified.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—149

Adolph	Gabler	Maher	Reed
Baker	Galloway	Mahoney	Reese
Barbin	Gibbons	Major	Regan
Barrar	Gillen	Marshall	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Ross
Bizzarro	Gingrich	Masser	Rothman
Bloom	Godshall	Matzie	Rozzi
Boback	Goodman	McGinnis	Sainato
Boyle	Greiner	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Grove	Metcalfe	Santarsiero
Burns	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Carroll	Hanna	Miccarelli	Saylor
Causar	Harhai	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Harhart	Miller, B.	Simmons
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harris, A.	Milne	Sonney
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Staats
Culver	Helm	Mullery	Stephens
Cutler	Hennessey	Murt	Tallman
Daley, P.	Hickernell	Nesbit	Taylor
Davis	Hill	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Irvin	O'Neill	Toepel
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Topper
DeLuca	Kampf	Parker, D.	Truitt
Diamond	Kaufer	Pashinski	Vereb
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Payne	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Peifer	Warner
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Watson
Ellis	Killion	Petri	Wentling
Emrick	Knowles	Pickett	Wheatley
English	Kortz	Pyle	Wheeland
Evankovich	Kotik	Quigley	White
Everett	Krieger	Quinn	Zimmerman
Farina	Lawrence	Rader	
Farry	Lewis	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Longietti	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Flynn	Mackenzie	Readshaw	

NAYS—48

Acosta	Davidson	Harkins	O'Brien
Bishop	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Parker, C.
Bradford	Dean	Keller, W.	Roebuck
Briggs	DeLissio	Kim	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Schlossberg
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Schreiber
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Krueger	Schweyer
Cohen	Evans	Markosek	Sims
Conklin	Fabrizio	McCarter	Sturla

Costa, P.	Freeman	McClinton	Thomas
Cruz	Gainey	McNeill	Vitali
Daley, M.	Gergely	Neilson	Youngblood

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1638, PN 2443**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 29, 1972 (P.L.1713, No.367), known as the Motor Vehicle Physical Damage Appraiser Act, further providing for compliance with act.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Barrar is recognized.

Mr. BARRAR. May I interrogate the maker of the bill?

The SPEAKER. The maker of the bill has indicated he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, Representative Barrar.

Mr. BARRAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I ask you what protections are in this legislation for the consumer?

Mr. TOBASH. HB 1638 offers the protection to the consumer. The wording in the bill is that an appraiser must disclose to the vehicle owner that there is no obligation to submit photos or videos in order to obtain an appraisal. So this transaction is really steered by consumer wishes and desires, and it protects the consumer, because if indeed they want an appraisal to take place, that is a requirement.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, currently I think our current legislation, our current bill has actually worked very, very well for many years, and I truly believe that the current law the way it is written does a great job of protecting the consumers. Has there been a problem with our current law?

Mr. TOBASH. Well, I mean, I think that this bill is an indication of what we should be doing here in this body, taking a look at our opportunity to embrace new technology. I mean, this law was made in 1972 when photographs were Polaroid photographs. Now we have an opportunity for digital photographs and the chance for consumers to send photographs

to insurance companies, to appraisers, in order to get their vehicles repaired. So I would tell you that right now we have a circumstance where we have overregulation and we are not embracing technology, and this bill would certainly be good for consumers in that regard.

Mr. BARRAR. So this is major change; you see this as a major change in the law.

How complete of an inspection do you think can be made just by using photos? How would a consumer know if they had a bent frame or if there was serious damage done to this car, because not in every collision is serious damage, necessarily, on the surface? A lot of times it is under the car, and how would the consumer know without that inspection, a personal inspection?

Mr. TOBASH. Well, I know how I would know. I would take my car to a body shop, my body shop, and I would have them take a look at it, just like if I was not feeling well, I would go to the doctor.

Again, with this change in the law, it offers the consumer an opportunity to have another option for getting their vehicle repaired. Remember, in no way is this trying to get rid of the process of physical inspections, but it is trying to give consumers an opportunity to have a car appraised if there is minor damage.

Mr. BARRAR. So this all has to be disclosed to the consumer.

At what point does the disclosure to the consumer have to be made?

Mr. TOBASH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

After submission of the claim, prior to the appraisal process, it needs to be disclosed to the consumer that they have got the option for a physical inspection of the vehicle or for the opportunity for some alternative method, which, in this case, might be a photograph.

Mr. BARRAR. I read your amendment that was put into the bill. It says, "AN APPRAISER, OR AN INSURER AS PART OF THE APPRAISAL PROCESS, SHALL DISCLOSE TO THE OWNER OF THE VEHICLE THAT THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT PHOTOGRAPHS OR VIDEOS," evidence, "IN ORDER TO OBTAIN AN APPRAISAL." Where does it say that they are entitled to a personal inspection at any time?

Mr. TOBASH. The law right now requires that a personal inspection be performed for the appraisal of a vehicle, so that opportunity still exists within the claim process. This change in the law simply states that there is another opportunity. Right now consumers are precluded from sending a photograph to get an appraisal completed.

Mr. BARRAR. So the insurance company cannot give them the price before— I am trying to think. Okay. At what point in time would they receive the price? Here is what I am wrestling with. I go out; I take a couple photographs of the car. I send it to the insurance company. Okay? That is who I am texting the photos to. I am not texting it to my appraiser, right? Okay. Then they come back with an offer and say, "You know, we're going to repair your car for \$2500." First of all, do they have to disclose to me at that point that I have a right to go somewhere else and get a different appraisal? I am not sure how this works.

Mr. TOBASH. You have to understand, we are not taking appraisers out of the equation. An estimate still needs to be performed by a licensed appraiser in the Commonwealth, but right now the law states that they do not have the option to do

that appraisal through a photograph or through the telephone. This simply allows them, through the use of technology, to develop that appraisal, by the appraiser to the consumer, through photographs.

Mr. BARRAR. So are you saying current law today prevents that scenario from happening? I cannot take a photograph of my car damage, send it to the insurance company, and they cannot send me a price?

Mr. TOBASH. Yes.

Mr. BARRAR. Are you aware that is currently happening? Allstate advertises on TV that, you know what? Just take a couple pictures of the accident and send it in. I can tell you that there are auto body shops that have called me – okay? – and have told me that they are already being forced to do this by the insurance companies, and it is not even the law yet. I mean, this is the problem. This is why the insurance industry is such a heavily—

The SPEAKER. Sir, please suspend.

Mr. BARRAR. —regulated industry.

The SPEAKER. Sir, please suspend.

Members, please take your seats.

Representative Barrar, if you have any further questions, please.

Mr. BARRAR. I do.

The SPEAKER. I will recognize you on final passage.

Mr. BARRAR. Thank you.

Mr. TOBASH. Mr. Speaker, could I have one moment to look at the current law, please?

The SPEAKER. Yes, but interrogation has to be limited to questioning, sir; I apologize.

Representative Murt will be next on this bill, and Representative DeLuca. After that we will recognize the maker of the bill, Representative Tobash.

Representative Barrar, please start. Could you repeat your question, please.

Mr. BARRAR. I was asking the maker of the bill, Mr. Speaker, if he was currently aware that this is currently happening in the State of Pennsylvania, that insurance companies are already directing their customers to go, basically, to take photographs, just send it to them, and then furnishing them with an estimate to have their car repaired.

Mr. TOBASH. So there are two things that I am aware of. Number one, that the opportunity to use photographs is available to consumers in about 45 other States.

Number two, I will read you the law as it is today: "[No appraiser shall secure or use repair estimates that have been obtained by the use of photographs, telephone calls or in any manner other than a personal inspection]" of a vehicle. So I have just cited to you the current law, and that is what we are changing, simply giving consumers the opportunity to use photographs as they do in many other States.

Mr. BARRAR. So you are telling me that you would agree with me then that currently the insurance companies that are requiring this, that are participating in this, are breaking our State law at this time?

Mr. TOBASH. I have just cited the law, and I am not making any indication that any business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is breaking it. I simply cited the law.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, are there any provisions in this bill to prevent steering from happening? We all know steering takes place every day in the State of Pennsylvania. It goes on. Nothing is done by the Department of Insurance to prevent it.

And is there anything to prevent the consumer from being steered to a specific auto body shop?

Mr. TOBASH. Thank you for that question, Mr. Speaker. I will tell you that the essence of this bill I think will preclude insurance companies from steering clients because it will simply give customers the opportunity to take a photograph, an appraiser will develop an estimate, they can then send them a check, and they can take that check to the body shop of their choice and have the vehicle repaired. In essence, this bill should help prevent steering of insurance companies to refer clients to the shops that they desire. Thank you.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, for years I have stood up here and complained about steering taking place by the insurance companies, and can you imagine a scenario that would take place that I am in a car accident. I send the photos of my damaged car to the insurance company. They view the pictures and they offer me a settlement. And I complain, and I say to the insurance adjuster, "You know what? That seems awfully low to me," and then the insurance agent on the phone says to me, "You know what? If you go to one of our provider auto shops" – okay? – "we can do it for that price." And I think that is the scenario that is going to take place. Can you see that happening?

Mr. TOBASH. No. As I have mentioned before, appraisers develop estimates. They develop estimates through industry standards. The opportunity to go to the body shop of your choice has always existed, and I think that with this piece of legislation, it will be enhanced.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, this legislation was introduced into the Insurance Committee on October 20. It was basically voted on and moved from the Insurance Committee on the 26th. It received second consideration a day or two later.

May I ask you, why is this bill being fast-tracked, and have there been any hearings yet on this legislation?

Mr. TOBASH. Well, as I mentioned before, 45 other States allow consumers to use photographs to develop appraisals. We still have got licensed appraisal requirements in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I think for the sake of consumer convenience, moving a piece of legislation like this only makes sense. You know, the fact that it is moving quickly is kind of like the claims process in Pennsylvania right now. It takes a long time. It costs an awful lot of money. I do not think there is any reason to put our consumers through that arduous process when simple, commonsense legislation can be enacted quickly. Thank you.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, just a couple more questions, if you would.

Are you aware of a Federal investigation by the United States Justice Department into these types of practices that began in the early 1960s? They found appraisers, auto body shops, and 242 insurance companies that were found in collusion in a kickback scandal, and that resulted in a consent decree order that made the insurance industry promise that they would not interfere with the auto repair business.

Mr. TOBASH. I am not aware of those hearings in 1960. I am aware of the timeframe, 1972, when we did not allow photographs to be taken. And I understand the dynamic of taking photographs and preparing estimates in 1972, but we have certainly come a long way with technology since then, and again, as I mentioned before, I think embracing technology for the sake of consumer convenience is something that we ought to be getting done.

Mr. BARRAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think those are all the questions I have. If I may, on the bill?

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Representative Barrar, on HB 1638.

Mr. BARRAR. Mr. Speaker, we all received a letter today from the PCI, the Property Casualty Insurers, asking us to support this bill. And if you read the bottom line at the bottom of the page, they talk about what is in the bottom line for the consumer, and it talks about convenience and how fast they can get their car done. But let us really talk about the bottom line, what is in it for the insurance industry. It is going to be easier for them to steer customers to their preferred shops, which is illegal under Pennsylvania law, but if they can control the appraisal process—

I had an auto body guy tell me that when a car came in, they did the photo appraisal and they were given a price of \$1800 over the phone for the photos that were viewed by the insurance industry, but the total repair of the car, after subsequent appraisals, the total repair for the car was over \$6,000. So they are going to low-ball it and try to steer – okay? – with this process they will low-ball this and steer it to their preferred shops, which is illegal. No longer will they need to pay a contracted licensed appraiser, but it will mean, the bottom line, according to this, it will mean a lot larger profits for the insurance industry.

We are diluting a consumer protection bill, not an insurance bill. This is a bill about consumer protection. We are dealing with people's automobiles. People drive around in these automobiles with their newborn babies, their families, and we are going to allow a shoddy process like this to take place. What the maker of the legislation, this bill, did not tell you were 2 States – there were 47 States that allowed for this – in the last 14 months, 2 States have found this process so egregious and they found the insurance industry taking advantage of the consumer so badly they have repealed this process and have outlawed it. I really think we should be aware of what we are going to do before we allow this process to take place here.

Mr. Speaker, where is the Commonwealth's responsibility to the motoring public? Where are the requirements under the safety-is-paramount guidelines stipulated under the personal inspection of the Appraiser Act and completed by a licensed appraiser? Mr. Speaker, the Pennsylvania Vehicle Physical Damage Appraiser Act was written to protect consumers and your automobile investment. HB 1638 will only benefit the insurance industry by settling claims without a State-licensed appraiser's physical inspection to properly inspect the damages after an automobile is damaged. It is not a safe process. Photo estimates are not an accurate method to appraise damages. The vehicle owner will lose big under this scenario. The industry will settle claims unfairly.

Mr. Speaker, the stakeholders in this have requested public hearings on the revisions to the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Damage Appraiser Act, but we have not had any. Why is such a major change being done without public awareness or even public insight on this? The personal inspection requirement of the State-licensed physical damage appraiser is being diluted through the elimination of the required hands-on examination by a State-certified appraiser. Why would we sacrifice the safety-is-paramount provision of the act to allow for telephone photos, desk reviews, and video inspections?

I would ask the members in this House to vote "no" on this, because eventually we are going to deal with it again when we find out that this is so egregious. It will be back here before us to repeal this law that we are going to vote today.

I would ask the members to vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Tom Murt, on the bill.

Mr. MURT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my colleague from Chichester raises some very cogent issues relative to HB 1638. We are considering a major change in policy with this bill. We are essentially empowering an appraiser in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to do an appraisal of a damaged automobile without ever physically inspecting the car in question. How is this good policy? How is this a good course of action? Mr. Speaker, this is patently bad policy and should not be passed through this legislative body.

What makes this bill even worse is that it has not been fully or copiously vetted. A bill of this magnitude that proposes such significant and meaningful changes in current statute ought to be given, at the very least, a fair and objective hearing to more completely assess the provisions of the legislation in question. The lack of a hearing in order to allow us to learn more about their proposal, its implications, what it might mean to the industry, what the legal repercussions are, or even what the new law will mean to our Commonwealth are reasons enough to vote "no." How could we possibly move this bill to the Senate without a full vetting? This bill has been moved through the legislative process way too hurriedly and has not been sufficiently reviewed.

Mr. Speaker, I have in my possession a statement from the Society of Collision Repair Specialists, and I just want to read an excerpt from it. This is a quote, Mr. Speaker: "We contend that only the auto body professional performing the repair is in a position to thoroughly inspect, diagnose and identify the methodology and true cost of the vehicle damage repair. Both the auto body repair facility and the technician have the appropriate training and equipment that allow for a detailed analysis of the damage, and by requisite of the training and investment in the equipment, it is the auto body repair shop who is able to disassemble, or 'tear down' the vehicle, when applicable; thereby allowing the repair professionals to capture enough information to document a thorough written estimate of repair that reflects the true extent of the damage, and the cost to repair the vehicle's damage," end of quote.

Mr. Speaker, why have there been no hearings on this bill and why has this bill moved so hastily? What we have before us is a specimen of bad policy.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. MURT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a motion.

The SPEAKER. Members, please take your seats.

Representative Murt, please state your motion.

Mr. MURT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a motion that this bill be referred to the Veterans Affairs and Emergency Preparedness Committee for further vetting, including a public hearing.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Representative Murt and Representative Tobash, I am going to go over the bill right now.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 12, PN 2472**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in preliminary provisions relating to divorce, further providing for definitions; and, in dissolution of marital status, further providing for grounds for divorce, for counseling and for decree of court.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	English	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Readshaw
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santora
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White

Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 380, PN 2474**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in dissolution of marital status, further providing for grounds for divorce and for decree of court.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-191

Acosta	Emrick	Kortz	Rader
Adolph	English	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Bishop	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bizzarro	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Flynn	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Mahoney	Ross
Boyle	Gabler	Major	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillespie	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gingrich	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Carroll	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Causar	Greiner	McNeill	Schreiber

Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Schweyer
Cohen	Hahn	Metcalfe	Simmons
Conklin	Hanna	Metzgar	Sims
Corbin	Harhai	Miccarelli	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhart	Millard	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harper	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harris, A.	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Heffley	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Hennessey	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hickernell	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hill	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Irvin	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	James	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Kampf	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kaufman	Parker, D.	Warner
DeLozier	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, W.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Killion	Petri	White
Donatucci	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kinsey	Pyle	
Dunbar	Kirkland	Quigley	Turzai,
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Speaker
Ellis			

NAYS-6

Fee	Miller, B.	Tallman	Zimmerman
Gillen	Rothman		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 246, PN 2412**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 19, 1931 (P.L.589, No.202), referred to as the Barbers' License Law, further providing for licensure of manager-barbers and barber-teachers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	English	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Readshaw
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santora
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufman	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1347, PN 2444**, entitled:

An Act designating a portion of State Route 981 in Mount Pleasant Township, Westmoreland County, as the James Paul Takitch Honorary Highway.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	English	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Readshaw
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santora
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufman	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman

Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1229, PN 1614**, entitled:

An Act designating the overpass on State Route 3145 over Interstate 376, BMS 02-3145-0010-0537, in the Market District at Settlers Ridge, Robinson Township, Allegheny County, as the Roy F. Johns, Jr., Overpass.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	English	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Readshaw
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santora
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer

Christiana	Greiner	Metcalf	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortity	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
DeLozier	Kaufman	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 887, PN 1364**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in rules of the road in general, further providing for duty of driver in construction and maintenance areas or on highway safety corridors and for duty of driver in emergency response areas.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	English	Kotik	Rapp
Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Flynn	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gabler	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Tobash
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Toohil
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Topper
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
DiGiroalamo	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Donatucci	Killion	Pickett	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quigley	
Dush	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Kortz		

NAYS—1

Truitt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Frankel	Klunk	Mustio	Saccone
Kavulich	Maloney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

The SPEAKER. There will be no more votes for today.

LIQUOR CONTROL COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Representative Chris Ross, for a committee announcement.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to make an announcement to all the members of the House Liquor Control Committee that there will be a voting meeting this Thursday, 11 a.m., in room 205 of the Ryan Office Building.

The SPEAKER. The Liquor Control Committee will have a voting meeting at 11 a.m. on Thursday in room 205 of the Ryan Office Building.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Major, for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce to Republican members to report to our caucus room at 4 p.m. I would ask all our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 4 p.m. for a very important caucus.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Dermody, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Democrats will also caucus at 4 o'clock. We would ask all our members to please attend our caucus that will start at 4 o'clock.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. Representative Reese, on unanimous consent.

Mr. REESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to offer written comments for the record in support of HB 1347. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. REESE submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

HB 1347 was written to honor Mr. James Takitch. Mr. Takitch joined the U.S. Navy on September 23, 1944, and began his service on the USS *Kidd*, one of the Navy's destroyer vessels. Destroyers are smaller, more maneuverable warships intended to defend larger vessels, and are also used in antisubmarine campaigns and search and rescue missions.

On April 11, 1945, the USS *Kidd*, along with other naval vessels, were roughly 90 miles east of Okinawa when several Japanese aircraft began a raid-style attack. It is well known that the Japanese military was actively recruiting pilots that were tasked with purposely flying their planes to collide with the Allied Forces ships. The term for a pilot assigned with such a task is "kamikaze."

At the time of this attack, Seaman Takitch witnessed a kamikaze pilot fly his plane between the USS *Kidd* and another vessel so neither naval ship could defend itself for fear of hitting the other vessel. Mr. Takitch personally saw this plane when it was roughly 150 feet from the USS *Kidd*'s starboard side and only 5 feet from the water. Seeing the plane's approach, he sought cover but was hit by one of the many rounds being fired from the plane. His left arm and jaw were hit and caused life-threatening injuries. Mr. Takitch was one of the 55 Americans injured in this attack. Thirty-seven sailors lost their lives.

The USS *Kidd* transferred their wounded to a hospital ship and Mr. Takitch eventually disembarked on the island of Guam, where he stayed for 2 weeks. He was then moved to Hawaii; then to Oakland, California; and then to Bethesda, Maryland, receiving additional medical treatment at each location. He was honorably discharged from naval service in December of 1945, roughly 8 months after being wounded.

Approximately 35 years later, a doctor near his hometown diagnosed a mass below his right cheekbone as a cyst. It was later determined to be a piece of shrapnel from the attack. The shrapnel was removed and is now a part of the USS *Kidd* Museum located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Having been made familiar with Mr. Takitch's story, I felt it important that the Commonwealth recognize his valor. HB 1347 proposes to name a portion of Pennsylvania Route 981, adjacent to his family's property, in his honor.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. PETRI submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to bring to the attention of the Speaker and the members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives the name of Theodore Thomas Carroll, who has recently been awarded Scouting's highest honor – Eagle Scout.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to read to the members of the House of Representatives the following citation.

Whereas, Theodore Thomas Carroll has earned the Eagle Award in Scouting. This is the highest award that Boy Scouts of America can bestow and as such represents great sacrifice and tremendous effort on the part of this young man. Theodore is a member of Troop 34.

Now therefore, Mr. Speaker and members of the House of Representatives, it is my privilege to congratulate and place in the Legislative Journal the name of Theodore Thomas Carroll.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 902;
SB 609;
SB 775;
SB 785;
SB 791; and
SB 793.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 484;
HB 1062;
HB 1460; and
HB 1490.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

RESOLUTION

Mr. REED called up **HR 389, PN 1782**, entitled:

A Resolution appointing a committee and empowering it to make investigation.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 389 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 389 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Representative Gary Day moves that this House do now adjourn until Tuesday, November 10, 2015, at 11 a.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to, and at 3:55 p.m., e.s.t., the House
adjourned.